Strategic Deconstruction of the Web3 Sustainability Ecosystem: Transitioning from Physical Infrastructure to Pure Digital Architectures for MSME Carbon Management

The Evolution of Decentralized Environmental Infrastructure

The global transition toward a low-carbon economy has increasingly relied on the intersection of distributed ledger technology (DLT), artificial intelligence (AI), and environmental science. Early conceptual models aimed at addressing the carbon accountability of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) often relied heavily on physical infrastructure. For instance, early architectural frameworks proposed ecosystems where Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, placed directly within factories, logistics fleets, and agricultural systems, would feed real-time emissions data into a decentralized network to mint verified carbon offset tokens.[1] While theoretically sound from a data-provenance perspective, such hardware-dependent architectures present severe scalability bottlenecks, prohibitive capital expenditure requirements for resource-constrained MSMEs, and ongoing maintenance vulnerabilities.

The contemporary regulatory and technological landscape demands a definitive pivot away from physical hardware dependency toward pure digital solutions. The imposition of rigorous international reporting frameworks—most notably the European Union's Digital Product Passport (DPP), the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM), and India's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) directives—has created an urgent need for frictionless, software-native compliance layers.[1] MSMEs, which form the backbone of global supply chains and contribute significantly to aggregate industrial emissions, require systems that synthesize existing digital metadata rather than requiring the installation of new physical sensor networks.[5]

The actual frontiers—the theoretical and practical "grey areas" for digital innovation in climate technology—now reside entirely within the software domain. These frontiers involve extracting high-fidelity environmental data from existing enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, utilizing advanced cryptography to balance supply chain transparency with competitive privacy, deploying natural language processing (NLP) to autonomously audit sustainability claims, and designing advanced behavioral tokenomics that prevent the perverse incentivization of consumption. The digital transition fundamentally alters how environmental monitoring, reporting, and verification (dMRV) are executed, shifting the burden from physical hardware operators to advanced data engineers and cryptographic architects.[8]

Architectural Component	Legacy Physical Architecture (IoT-Driven)	Pure Digital Architecture (Software-Native)	Strategic Advantage for MSMEs
Data Acquisition	Physical IoT sensors, smart meters, telemetry hardware.	Synthetic sensors, ERP API integration, OCR parsing of financial invoices.	Eliminates hardware Capex; leverages existing accounting data.
Verification	Manual audits, physical site inspections.	Agentic AI cross-referencing, Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs).	Real-time, continuous auditing with high privacy preservation.
Data Storage	Centralized databases with potential single points of failure.	High-throughput public ledgers (e.g., Hedera Hashgraph), decentralized IPFS.	Immutable, tamper-proof record keeping resistant to greenwashing.
Incentive Model	Basic gamification, fungible reward tokens.	Regenerative Finance (ReFi), Algorithmic supply burning, Soulbound tokens.	Mitigates the behavioral "rebound effect"; focuses on systemic ecological restoration.

This exhaustive analysis dissects these digital grey areas, charting pathways for advanced research and software product development in the Web3 sustainability sector, deliberately excising the reliance on physical product development.

The Regulatory Catalyst: Data Requirements and the Digital Product Passport (DPP)

The paradigm shift in corporate sustainability is currently being driven by the European Union's Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR), which mandates the implementation of the Digital Product Passport (DPP).[2] Slated for phased enforcement between 2026 and 2030, the DPP requires a comprehensive digital twin for physical goods, encapsulating a unique product identifier (UID), material composition, carbon footprint data, circularity metrics, and deep supply chain traceability.[2] The regulation targets products with high environmental impact, establishing initial timelines for intermediate materials like iron and steel (2026), aluminum and tires (2027), and finished goods like textiles and apparel (2027-2028).[12]

For MSMEs operating in the Global South, particularly Indian textile, agricultural, and manufacturing exporters, this presents an existential compliance hurdle.[7] The Indian MSME sector is characterized by immense supply chain complexity, operating across highly fragmented, multi-tier supplier networks where digital infrastructure is often nascent or completely non-existent.[6] Furthermore, existing global reporting frameworks, such as the EU CBAM, essentially impose a carbon tariff on imported goods that cannot cryptographically prove their carbon intensity.[1]

The current digital gap lies in the absence of specialized middleware that can bridge disparate MSME financial data with the stringent requirements of the GS1 Digital Link standards mandated by the EU.[7] The challenge is not merely hosting data, but formatting, authenticating, and updating it continuously across the product lifecycle. Exporters face the dual pressure of complying with the DPP and the EU Deforestation-Free Supply Chain Regulation, both of which demand irrefutable proof of origin and processing.[20]

Designing the Compliance Middleware Layer

A purely digital research vector involves developing API-centric middleware architectures capable of ingesting highly unstructured supply chain data (e.g., PDF invoices, WhatsApp supplier communications, regional ledger formats) and structuring it into GS1-compliant DPP schemas.[21] Such middleware must act as a decentralized translation layer, formatting data for regulatory compliance without requiring the MSME to overhaul their internal software systems.

Research into Digital Product Passport readiness among textile manufacturers in the Global South reveals that less than a quarter of suppliers currently utilize standardized data formats, APIs, or authentication mechanisms.[17] This exposes a vast market opportunity for lightweight, mobile-first software solutions that can capture traceability data at the source. The architecture must integrate seamlessly with Product Information Management (PIM) systems, Customer Relationship Management (CRM) tools, and existing ESG platforms while outputting a public-facing GS1 Digital Link—typically an enhanced QR code that dynamically retrieves product provenance from a decentralized registry.[7]

Regulatory Framework	Target Implementation	Primary Data Requirements	Digital Implementation Challenge for MSMEs

EU Digital Product Passport (DPP)	2026–2030 (Phased by sector)	Material composition, circularity, carbon footprint, repair instructions, UID.	Consolidating multi-tier supplier data into GS1 Digital Link formats without legacy ERP systems.
EU Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM)	2026 (Full implementation)	Embedded emissions calculations for imports (iron, steel, aluminum, cement).	Accurate Scope 3 emissions calculations; transitioning from regional estimates to primary data.
India BRSR Lite	Ongoing (Voluntary shifting to mandatory)	Material ESG risks, local job creation, energy usage, governance transparency.	Digital literacy, cost of software deployment, fragmentation of data across informal sub-contractors.

Furthermore, the implementation of such middleware must address the linguistic diversity and digital literacy barriers prevalent in emerging markets. Solutions targeting Indian MSMEs must incorporate multilingual low-code orchestration, enabling rural or semi-urban suppliers to interact with complex reporting requirements through natural language interfaces in regional dialects.[6]

Grey Area I: The Privacy-Transparency Paradox and Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs)

A fundamental tension exists within the mandate for supply chain transparency. Frameworks like the DPP and CBAM require the disclosure of deep supply chain routing, material sourcing, and energy intensity.[12] However, for an MSME, revealing the exact geographic origin of a raw material, the specific processing factory, or the precise energy input per unit often equates to exposing highly guarded trade secrets and competitive pricing advantages.[4] If a company reports intensity-based metrics alongside inventory data, competitors can reverse-engineer production costs, supplier relationships, and operational margins.[27]

The original iterations of blockchain-based sustainability trackers championed radical transparency, writing supply chain metadata directly to public ledgers in plaintext.[1] This approach is fundamentally incompatible with enterprise privacy requirements and modern data sovereignty laws. The critical research grey area lies in the implementation of Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs) for environmental reporting.

ZKPs represent a cryptographic paradigm shift, allowing a "prover" (e.g., the MSME) to demonstrate to a "verifier" (e.g., an EU customs auditor or consumer) that a specific statement is true without revealing the underlying data that makes it true.[29] In the context of the DPP, an MSME can use ZKPs to prove that their product's carbon footprint falls below the EU CBAM taxation threshold, or that their materials are ethically sourced, without disclosing the identities of their suppliers, their exact energy expenditure, or their total production volume.[26]

The Cryptographic Verification Architecture

The application of ZKPs in ESG reporting is rapidly transitioning from theoretical cryptography to vital enterprise infrastructure.[33] Advanced digital architectures must focus on developing specific mechanisms to handle the complexities of supply chain auditing.

1. **zk-Compilation and Provenance:** This involves proving that a specific environmental audit was executed against a known dataset (such as an ERP database) using an approved regulatory algorithm, without revealing the database contents.[35] The system mathematically guarantees that the emissions calculation was performed correctly according to the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol, shielding the proprietary input variables.
2. **Selective Disclosure Mechanisms:** Utilizing ZKPs alongside verifiable credentials (VCs) allows organizations to reveal only necessary attributes to specific stakeholders. For instance, a manufacturer can prove to an auditor that a supplier is located outside a restricted deforestation zone without revealing the exact GPS coordinates or the name of the region.[36]
3. **Circuit Generation for Sustainability Claims:** A highly complex research domain involves writing the arithmetic circuits that mathematically represent sustainability standards. A dedicated circuit template must be generated for each specific environmental claim—such as verifying the exact percentage of recycled material composition—which can then process private inputs to generate a cryptographic proof.[26]

The Hedera Hashgraph network, often utilized for its asynchronous Byzantine Fault Tolerance (aBFT) and exceptionally low energy footprint, provides a robust foundation for this architecture.[39] The Hedera Guardian, an open-source digital Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (dMRV) platform, explicitly supports verifiable credentials and is moving toward deeper, native ZKP integration.[41] Following the deprecation of legacy Alpha State Proofs, Hedera is implementing advanced privacy-preserving architectures to support enterprise-grade ESG data management.[43]

Developing open-source ZKP circuits specifically tailored for the Global South's MSME manufacturing sectors represents a massive, untapped digital research opportunity.[26] Current implementations face challenges regarding the computational cost of generating proofs and the lack of interoperability between different ZKP frameworks (e.g., zk-SNARKs vs. zk-STARKs).[29] Research aimed at minimizing the verification gap—reducing the computational overhead required to generate hash-based zero-knowledge proofs—will be critical for deployment on low-power devices utilized by MSMEs.[46]

Grey Area II: Agentic AI, Synthetic Sensors, and Scope 3 Emissions Estimation

The reliance on physical IoT sensors to measure carbon footprints—such as monitoring machine energy usage on a factory floor or tracking vehicular fuel consumption via telematics—is a capital-intensive strategy that scales poorly across fragmented MSME networks.[1] Hardware is prone to failure, requires physical maintenance, and represents a sunken cost that many small enterprises cannot justify. The most significant digital frontier in carbon accounting is the substitution of physical hardware with "Synthetic Sensors."

Synthetic sensors leverage artificial intelligence to infer physical realities from existing digital metadata.[50] Rather than installing a physical smart meter on a loom in a textile factory, an AI system analyzes the factory's digital financial footprint—utility bills, procurement invoices, ERP logs, and existing security camera feeds—to calculate the corresponding energy usage and emissions.[52]

The Spend-Based Carbon Accounting Challenge

Scope 3 emissions, which encompass the indirect emissions occurring in a company's upstream and downstream value chain, routinely account for over 70% to 90% of an organization's total carbon footprint.[55] Because MSMEs rarely have direct, primary data for these emissions (as they originate from third-party suppliers), the industry standard heavily relies on the "spend-based" method.[57] This involves multiplying the monetary value of purchased goods and services by an established emission factor (e.g., CO_2e per dollar spent).[57]

However, the manual classification of millions of unstructured financial transactions into specific economic sectors is highly prone to error, creating an "accuracy gap" estimated at 30% to 40%.[19] Herein lies a critical software research vector: the development of Agentic AI pipelines for automated spend classification, anomaly detection, and Scope 3 extrapolation.[58]

AI-Driven ERP Integration and Autonomous Orchestration

Advanced digital platforms must deploy Machine Learning (ML) and Natural Language

Processing (NLP) models to ingest unstructured procurement data and convert it into audit-ready emissions ledgers. The workflow requires deep integration of autonomous agents:

1. **Optical Character Recognition (OCR) and Parsing:** Using deep learning to extract line-item activity data from PDF invoices, scanned receipts, and the localized accounting software prevalent in emerging markets.[21]
2. **Contextual Semantic Mapping:** Large Language Models (LLMs) analyze the extracted text, understanding the context of the purchase (e.g., distinguishing between "cloud server hosting fees" and "physical server hardware purchases"), and autonomously mapping it to the appropriate standard economic taxonomy.[60]
3. **Emission Factor Matching:** The AI agent cross-references the mapped activity against massive global databases (e.g., Climatiq, DEFRA, EPA, ecoinvent) to assign the correct emission factor automatically, without manual human intervention.[58]

Scope 3 Accounting Challenge	Traditional Manual Approach	AI-Driven Synthetic Sensor Approach	Research & Development Gaps
Data Extraction	Manual data entry from supplier invoices and ERP exports.	OCR and deep learning pipelines extract line-item data autonomously.	Handling low-quality, multi-lingual, and non-standardized invoices prevalent in the Global South.
Spend Classification	Human analysts manually map transactions to economic categories.	Agentic LLMs contextually interpret purchases and align with GHG protocols.	Ensuring LLMs do not hallucinate classifications; developing standardized benchmark datasets (e.g., ATLAS).
Emission Factor Matching	Searching static databases (e.g., Excel sheets) for relevant multipliers.	API integration with live databases (e.g., Climatiq) for automated, dynamic matching.	Addressing extreme spatial and temporal variance in regional emission factors (e.g., Indian grid intensity).

A significant research gap exists regarding regional specificity in emission factors. Standard global emission factors often fail to accurately represent the energy mix of developing nations. For example, the marginal CO_2 emission factors for Indian power generation exhibit immense spatial variability across different states and temporal variability across seasons.[64] An AI model relying on generalized national averages will produce inherently flawed calculations, rendering the final DPP data useless for strict compliance.[65] Researching and developing dynamic, regionally specific emission factor APIs that continuously update based on localized grid mix data is a highly valuable digital pursuit.[65]

Furthermore, AI models must mitigate the risk of hallucination—generating supplier emissions data where none actually exists.[56] Agentic AI systems, utilizing Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) architectures, must be strictly constrained to ground their inferences only in verifiable databases and explicitly flag instances where data fidelity falls below acceptable regulatory thresholds.[61] The development of standardized benchmarks, such as the ATLAS (Aggregate Transaction Ledgers for Accounting Sustainability) dataset, is crucial for systematically evaluating the accuracy of different LLMs in spend classification tasks.[57]

Grey Area III: Automated Greenwashing Detection via NLP

As sustainability reporting becomes monetized and deeply integrated into regulatory compliance, the incentive for corporate deception—greenwashing—increases exponentially.[1] Greenwashing involves creating a false, exaggerated, or misleading image of environmental responsibility, presenting a significant risk to the integrity of tokenized carbon markets, ESG investments, and consumer trust.[70] Regulatory bodies, such as the UK's Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) and the European Commission, are increasingly levying severe financial penalties for misleading green claims across supply chains.[70]

Traditionally, greenwashing detection has relied on manual auditing by third-party non-governmental organizations or regulatory analysts, a process that is fundamentally unscalable and incapable of meeting the volume of global corporate disclosures. A highly compelling digital research area is the development of automated, NLP-driven greenwashing detection frameworks.[72]

Linguistic Topography and Semantic Discrepancy Analysis

Large Language Models are uniquely positioned to process massive corpuses of unstructured corporate communications—annual sustainability reports, press releases, social media feeds, and upcoming DPP disclosures—and compare them against empirical supply chain metadata.[74]

Research indicates that greenwashing often manifests linguistically through the heavy use of "gray information" (aspirational, ambiguous, or future-oriented statements lacking concrete timelines) as opposed to "hard information" (substantive, quantifiable, and historically verified facts).[76] An automated detection system must deploy advanced encoder architectures to perform specific, complex climate NLP tasks:

1. **Discourse Discrepancy Analysis:** The AI system contrasts the semantic sentiment of a company's marketing materials with its actual capital expenditure (CapEx) allocations. If a manufacturing firm's marketing is overwhelmingly focused on renewable energy transition, yet its financial filings (parsed via ERP integration) show minimal capital allocation toward environmental improvements and continued expansion of fossil-fuel assets, the system flags an "in-name-only" greenwashing risk.[76]
2. **Claim Verification and Temporal Tracking:** NLP models can extract specific forward-looking commitments (e.g., "Achieving Net Zero by 2030" or "Eliminating single-use plastics by 2025") and autonomously track the firm's subsequent reporting over several years to calculate fulfillment percentages. Continuous postponement of targets without substantive progress or explanation triggers algorithmic warnings.[76]
3. **Anomaly Detection in ESG Metrics:** Machine learning algorithms evaluate reported scope emissions against peer benchmarks, industry standards, and historical sector data. Significant deviations that cannot be explained by underlying operational changes or verified technological upgrades are flagged for intensive human review.[58]

The current methodological foundation for NLP-based greenwashing detection remains highly fragmented, with no universally agreed-upon dataset of verified, legally adjudicated greenwashing cases to train models on.[73] Constructing robust, cross-domain datasets that span official ESG reports, critical news media, and granular supply chain metadata to benchmark LLM performance in identifying deceptive climate framing constitutes a major academic and commercial opportunity.[77]

Greenwashing Typology	NLP Detection Methodology	Required Data Sources for Algorithmic Verification
Aspirational Language Bias	Sentiment analysis; Classification algorithms separating 'gray' vs. 'hard' linguistic markers.	Annual ESG Reports, Marketing Materials, Social Media feeds, Press Releases.
Investment Discrepancy	Entity extraction; Capital expenditure correlation mapping to identify actual spending vs. stated goals.	Audited Financial Statements, ERP Data, Procurement Logs, SEC Filings.
Target Postponement	Temporal tracking; Fulfillment percentage	Historical Sustainability Disclosures, Public

	calculation across sequential reporting periods.	Announcements, Multi-year Corporate Reports.
Data Manipulation	Anomaly detection; Peer benchmarking algorithms comparing reported data against industry norms.	CBAM filings, Utility APIs, Industry standard datasets (e.g., CDP, SBTi).

Grey Area IV: Tokenomics, Behavioral Economics, and the "Rebound Effect"

The integration of Web3 mechanics into sustainability efforts frequently relies on tokenization to incentivize eco-friendly behavior. Early theses often proposed straightforward reward models—for example, the conceptual "ORB Token," wherein MSMEs or consumers making sustainable purchasing decisions or verifying emission offsets are rewarded with fungible cryptographic assets.[1] While intuitively appealing, such models often suffer from critical, systemic flaws rooted in behavioral economics, specifically the phenomenon known as the "Rebound Effect".[78]

The Peril of Perverse Incentives and Jevons Paradox

The Rebound Effect (closely related to Jevons Paradox) occurs when efficiency gains or sustainable incentives inadvertently lead to an overall increase in consumption, ultimately negating the initial environmental benefits.[79] In a gamified, tokenized ecosystem, if a consumer is rewarded with a digital token for purchasing a "sustainable" product, the psychological justification (cognitive dissonance resolution) coupled with the financial reward may encourage them to consume *more* products overall.[82] If the tokenomics model allows these earned tokens to be traded freely for fiat currency on open exchanges, or used to purchase non-sustainable goods within the broader economy, the system actively fuels a net increase in aggregate emissions.[84]

A systematic review of gamified applications designed for sustainable consumption reveals a stark absence of mechanisms addressing broader societal impacts or long-term behavioral shifts; they rely almost entirely on external rewards that contradict the core necessity of reduced absolute consumption.[82] Tokenomics designs that focus purely on investor attraction, liquidity provision, or high-yield speculation (e.g., utilizing wash trading to farm eco-tokens) inevitably collapse, eroding stakeholder trust and destroying the project's utility.[85]

Regenerative Finance (ReFi) and Algorithmic Governance

The digital research frontier requires moving decisively beyond simple reward tokens toward the complex economic design of Regenerative Finance (ReFi).[87] ReFi seeks to create economic ecosystems that are inherently restorative, valuing natural capital and prioritizing planetary health over extractive, linear profit models.[89] Designing sustainable tokenomics requires rigorous mathematical and behavioral modeling, shifting the focus from speculative trading to structural impact:

1. **Algorithmic Supply and Burning Mechanisms:** Token models must incorporate deflationary mechanics tied directly to empirical environmental regeneration. Tokens should be permanently removed from circulation ("burned") when verified carbon sequestration occurs, creating a direct, unbreakable mathematical link between token scarcity and planetary health.[87]
2. **Utility Constriction:** To mitigate the rebound effect, the utility of earned eco-tokens must be strictly ring-fenced. Smart contracts should enforce that tokens can only be redeemed for specific, high-impact regenerative activities (e.g., funding local agroforestry, purchasing verified offsets, investing in renewable infrastructure) rather than being liquidated on speculative decentralized exchanges for arbitrary consumption.[84]
3. **Behavioral Nudging and Loss Aversion:** Leveraging established psychological principles, digital platforms can utilize AI-driven digital nudges, tailored recommendations, and green defaults to shift user behavior at scale.[93] Integrating concepts of loss aversion—where users or corporations lose accrued status, governance voting rights, or tier privileges if their overall consumption outpaces their sustainable actions—provides a powerful counterbalance to the rebound effect.[1]
4. **Decentralized Reputation Systems and Soulbound Tokens:** Shifting the architectural focus from fungible financial tokens to non-fungible, non-transferable reputation tokens (often termed "Soulbound Tokens"). These tokens represent a verifiable, immutable record of a company's or individual's genuine climate impact. Accumulating these tokens heavily influences an MSME's ability to secure favorable green financing rates or pass compliance audits, without ever creating a speculative secondary market that invites wash trading or financial manipulation.[94]

Research into "Token Morphological Frameworks" is vital to evaluate how token purpose, governance rules, and technical parameters interact to limit speculative behavior and foster genuine ecological restoration.[87]

Grey Area V: The Environmental Paradox of AI Verification

As the Web3 and sustainability sectors increasingly rely on advanced artificial intelligence models—LLMs for greenwashing detection, Agentic AI for parsing Scope 3 financial data, and deep learning for synthetic sensors—a profound paradox emerges: the carbon footprint of the digital verification layer itself.[52]

The computational intensity required to train and run inference on large language models is staggering, and rapidly escalating. The training phase of baseline models like GPT-3 generated an estimated 550 metric tons of CO_2 equivalent and consumed over 1,200 megawatt-hours of electricity.[99] Furthermore, hyperscale data centers require massive volumes of water for cooling and contribute significantly to global e-waste.[100] Current projections indicate that without significant interventions, the AI industry's energy demands could derail global net-zero emissions targets.[100] If an MSME utilizes a highly energy-intensive AI pipeline to calculate a minor reduction in its supply chain emissions, the aggregate environmental impact of the verification process may be net-negative.

Carbon-Aware Computing and Optimization Strategies

A purely digital, highly critical research vector involves measuring, standardizing, and actively mitigating the energy footprint of the software architecture being deployed.[101] The "Green Engineering Framework" demands a transition from software bloat and unrestrained compute usage to intentional, lean algorithmic architecture.[103]

1. **Algorithmic Efficiency and Small Language Models (SLMs):** Research must focus on evaluating whether massive, generalized LLMs are truly necessary for specific climate NLP tasks. Training and fine-tuning domain-specific Small Language Models (SLMs) or utilizing highly efficient encoder architectures can drastically reduce inference energy costs while maintaining high accuracy for bounded tasks like invoice parsing, categorization, or specific compliance checks.[61]
2. **Spatial and Temporal Workload Shifting:** Integrating AI orchestration platforms with real-time grid carbon intensity data (e.g., via APIs from Climatiq or regional grid monitors). The system can automatically route non-time-sensitive computational workloads (such as the batch processing of monthly ERP data for Scope 3 calculations) to data centers located in regions currently experiencing a surplus of renewable energy generation (e.g., solar peaks during midday).[67]
3. **Hardware Lifecycle and DePIN Convergence:** Exploring Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks (DePIN) to utilize distributed, idle GPU computing power across existing consumer or enterprise hardware, rather than relying solely on constructing new centralized hyperscale facilities. This approach maximizes the utilization rate of existing hardware, amortizing the massive embodied carbon emissions of manufacturing the silicon chips over a much broader array of useful tasks.[97]
4. **Automated Auditing of Energy Tradeoffs:** Developing standardized metrics and integrating open-source tools (such as CodeCarbon or Eco2AI) directly into the development pipeline to track the energy consumed by the AI models during both training and inference. This computational footprint data must be factored back into the MSME's overall Scope 3 reporting, creating a closed-loop system of total accountability that

prevents the shifting of emissions from physical operations to digital overhead.[50]

Strategic Synthesis: The Architecture of a Digital Sustainability Ecosystem

The evolution from a hardware-reliant, conceptual thesis to a globally scalable, software-native ecosystem requires the seamless orchestration of the diverse digital frameworks analyzed above. For entities looking to establish a dominant research or product position in the Web3 sustainability sector, the integration of these distinct grey areas forms a cohesive, unified architecture:

- **The Data Ingestion Layer:** AI-driven Synthetic Sensors and advanced OCR pipelines interface directly with MSME ERP systems and fragmented financial software. This extracts unstructured data without requiring new physical hardware installations, circumventing the capital expenditure and maintenance barriers that typically exclude smaller enterprises from rigorous sustainability reporting.
- **The Analytical Engine:** Domain-specific, highly efficient machine learning models map extracted activity data to dynamic, localized emission factors (accounting for regional grid variances) to accurately calculate Scope 3 emissions. Concurrently, NLP models continuously scan corporate communications to detect and flag linguistic markers of greenwashing, ensuring the integrity of the data being processed.
- **The Privacy and Compliance Layer:** The processed data is passed through tailored Zero-Knowledge Proof circuits. These circuits generate cryptographic proofs verifying that the MSME meets specific EU DPP, CBAM, or BRSR thresholds, ensuring regulatory compliance without exposing sensitive supply chain routing, proprietary pricing models, or raw operational data.
- **The Immutable Ledger:** The generated ZKPs, alongside verifiable credentials and standardized sustainability metadata, are logged onto a high-throughput, low-energy public ledger (such as Hedera Hashgraph via the Guardian dMRV framework). This establishes a tamper-proof, globally accessible audit trail that can be queried by regulators, auditors, and consumers via GS1 Digital Links.
- **The Economic Incentive Layer:** A meticulously designed Regenerative Finance (ReFi) tokenomics model interacts with the verified ledger. It eschews simplistic gamification in favor of structural incentives—such as token burning tied to sequestration, restricted utility for ecological reinvestment, and decentralized reputation scoring via Soulbound tokens—to permanently mitigate the behavioral rebound effect and drive genuine ecological restoration.

Conclusion

The transition from a theoretical academic exercise to a globally scalable digital infrastructure requires abandoning the physical constraints of IoT sensor deployment and hardware-based tracking. The true challenges in modern carbon footprint management, particularly for MSMEs navigating an increasingly complex regulatory labyrinth mandated by initiatives like the Digital Product Passport, are inherently digital.

By focusing rigorous research and development efforts on the intersection of Zero-Knowledge cryptography for privacy preservation, Agentic AI for unstructured data parsing, NLP-driven algorithmic auditing for greenwashing detection, and sophisticated Regenerative Finance tokenomics, it is possible to construct compliance systems that possess unprecedented transparency, security, and economic viability. Furthermore, maintaining acute awareness of the environmental footprint of the computational processes themselves—through carbon-aware computing and lean software engineering—ensures that the technological solution does not inadvertently exacerbate the climate crisis it intends to solve. This pure software-native approach represents the definitive frontier for accelerating corporate accountability, enabling MSME participation in global supply chains, and achieving long-term, systemic sustainability objectives.

Works cited

1. Thesisnew.pdf
2. The EU's new Digital Product Passport (DPP): everything you need to know, accessed February 24, 2026, https://blog.worldfavor.com/the-eus-new-digital-product-passport-dpp-everything-you-need-to-know
3. Evolving BRSR Reporting Framework and ESG Implementation for SMEs: - EcoIdeaz, accessed February 24, 2026, https://ecoideaz.com/expert-corner/evolving-brsr-reporting-framework-and-esg-implementation-for-smes/
4. Navigating EU circular economy legislation's impact on India's textile industry, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/economy/navigating-eu-circular-economy-legislations-impact-on-indias-textile-industry
5. Redefining 'Green' for MSMEs: Understanding the Gaps in Definitions, Recognition, and Policy Alignment - CRF India, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.crfindia.org/publications/issue-brief/redefining-green-for-msmes-understanding-the-gaps-in-definition-recognition-and-policy-alignment
6. Can Artificial Intelligence Fix India's MSME Problem? - BW Businessworld, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.businessworld.in/article/can-artificial-intelligence-fix-india-s-msme-problem-594354
7. EU Digital Product Passport for Textiles: What Indian Apparel Exporters Need to Know, accessed February 24, 2026, https://zubizi.com/blog/eu-digital-product-passport-indian-apparel-exporters/
8. Digital Measurement Reporting Verification Pilot Programme - Gold Standard for the Global Goals, accessed February 24, 2026, https://globalgoals.goldstandard.org/digital-measurement-reporting-verification-pilot-programme/

9. Digitizing carbon credit verification for climate action - SustainCERT, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.sustain-cert.com/news/digital-verification-solutions-for-carbon-markets-could-fast-track-climate-action
10. GS1 Digital Product Passport Provisional Standard, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.gs1.org/standards/standards-emerging-regulations/DPP
11. Passport to Sustainability | PwC Switzerland, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.pwc.ch/en/insights/sustainability/passport-to-sustainability.html
12. The EU's Digital Product Passport | Metro Global, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.metro.global/2025/06/24/the-eus-digital-product-passport/
13. Digital product passport: mandatory with potential for SMEs - Arvato Systems, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.arvato-systems.com/blog/digital-product-passport-mandatory-with-potential-for-smes
14. Digital Product Passports: A Blockchain-based Perspective, accessed February 24, 2026, https://blockchain-observatory.ec.europa.eu/document/download/b6e3c85c-43c1-405b-aba8-e49a71249ef7_en?filename=EUBOF_DPP_report.pdf
15. Digital Product Passport for Textiles: What Fashion Brands Need to Know About the DPP, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.carbonfact.com/blog/policy/digital-product-passport-fashion
16. January 2026 - Apparel Views, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.apparelviews.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/AV_January_2026_Web.pdf
17. Digital Product Passport Readiness Assessment Study for the Textile Industry in the Global South - UNIDO, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/unido-publications/2025-12/DPP-Report-V_1.6-lr.pdf
18. Enabling value-chain emissions reporting through capacity building | GFC Analysis - CEEW, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.ceew.in/gfc/quick-reads/analysis/emissions-reporting
19. How AI Carbon Accounting is Changing: A 2026 View - EcoSkills, accessed February 24, 2026, https://ecoskills.academy/how-ai-carbon-accounting-is-changinga-2026-view/
20. FOREIGN TRADE BARRIERS - USTR, accessed February 24, 2026, https://ustr.gov/sites/default/files/2024%20NTE%20Report_1.pdf
21. AI and OCR: Data Capture for Calculating Scope Emissions in the Energy Industry, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.allganize.ai/en/blog/ai-and-ocr-data-capture-for-calculating-scope-emissions-in-the-energy-industry
22. Digital Product Passport (DPP) - osapiens, accessed February 24, 2026, https://osapiens.com/solutions/dpp/
23. Digital product passport for the textile sector - European Parliament, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2024/757808/EPRS_STU(2

024)757808_EN.pdf
24. Finalists Announced for Three Flagship Global AI Impact Challenges under the India AI Impact Summit 2026 - Press Release: Press Information Bureau, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleseDetailm.aspx?PRID=2228327®=3&lang=1
25. Digital Product Passport: Finding the Right Balance Between Transparency for Circularity and Added Red Tape - Intereconomics, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.intereconomics.eu/contents/year/2025/number/3/article/digital-product-passport-finding-the-right-balance-between-transparency-for-circularity-and-added-red-tape.html
26. (PDF) Zero-Knowledge Proof Extensions for Digital Product Passports in Sustainability Claims Reporting and Verifications - ResearchGate, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400673105_Zero-Knowledge_Proof_Extensions_for_Digital_Product_Passports_in_Sustainability_Claims_Reporting_and_Verifications
27. PreciDatos: A Blockchain-based System for Incentivizing Actors to Disclose Accurate Climate Data, accessed February 24, 2026, https://datadrivenlab.org/climate/precidatos-a-blockchain-based-system-for-incentivizing-actors-to-disclose-accurate-climate-data/
28. How blockchain provides confidence in ESG reporting to accelerate Sustainability Transformation (SX) - Fujitsu, accessed February 24, 2026, https://global.fujitsu/-/media/Project/Fujitsu/Fujitsu-HQ/uvance/digital-shifts/How-blockchain-provides-confidence-in-ESG-reporting-to-accelerate-sustainability-transformation.pdf?rev=b00d09ddc4084de3978dc426a0618973
29. Zero-Knowledge Proof Frameworks: A Survey - arXiv.org, accessed February 24, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2502.07063v1
30. An Introduction to Zero-Knowledge Proofs in Blockchains and Economics | St. Louis Fed, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.stlouisfed.org/publications/review/2023/05/12/an-introduction-to-zero-knowledge-proofs-in-blockchains-and-economics
31. Implementing Zero-Knowledge Proofs for Anonymous but Verifiable ESG Reporting, accessed February 24, 2026, https://hackernoon.com/implementing-zero-knowledge-proofs-for-anonymous-but-verifiable-esg-reporting
32. Zero-Knowledge Compliance: How Privacy-Preserving Verification Is Transforming Regulatory Technology - Security Boulevard, accessed February 24, 2026, https://securityboulevard.com/2026/01/zero-knowledge-compliance-how-privacy-preserving-verification-is-transforming-regulatory-technology/
33. Zero-Knowledge Proofs: The Complete Guide for 2026 - Indie Hackers, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.indiehackers.com/post/zero-knowledge-proofs-the-complete-guide-for-2026-yMSqGntvAI6Yd8PcNcGm
34. Zero-Knowledge Proof Extensions for Digital Product Passports in Sustainability

Claims Reporting and Verifications - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/15/4/745
35. The limits of zero-knowledge for age-verification - Brave, accessed February 24, 2026, https://brave.com/blog/zkp-age-verification-limits/
36. Self-Sovereign Identity on Oracle Blockchain with Privacy-Enhanced Verifiable Credentials, accessed February 24, 2026, https://blogs.oracle.com/blockchain/privacyenhanced-verifiable-credentials
37. Zero-knowledge Proof: Don't Say the Secret Word | Hedera, accessed February 24, 2026, https://hedera.com/learning/zero-knowledge-proof/
38. Carbon footprint as environmental performance indicator for the manufacturing industry | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230770765_Carbon_footprint_as_environmental_performance_indicator_for_the_manufacturing_industry
39. Top 20 Hedera Guardian Alternatives | GetApp Canada 2026, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.getapp.ca/alternatives/2066895/hedera-guardian
40. Welcome to Hedera ($HBAR) by SEVO - HashPack, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.hashpack.app/community-blog/welcome-to-hedera-hbar-by-sevo
41. Sustainability Studio (Guardian) - Hedera, accessed February 24, 2026, https://hedera.com/product/sustainability-studio/
42. On Chain ZK Support Thoughts : r/Hedera - Reddit, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/Hedera/comments/1liey5z/on_chain_zk_support_thoughts/
43. Deprecation of Alpha State Proofs (ASP) on Hedera, accessed February 24, 2026, https://hedera.com/blog/deprecation-of-alpha-state-proofs-asp-on-hedera/
44. Hedera Block Nodes in Private Preview, accessed February 24, 2026, https://hedera.com/blog/hedera-block-nodes-in-private-preview/
45. GLOBAL STANDARDS MAPPING INITIATIVE 5.0 DECEMBER 2024, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.gbbc.io/uploads/reports/gsmi50/India-Stand-Alone.pdf
46. Zero-knowledge Proofs - IBM Research, accessed February 24, 2026, https://research.ibm.com/projects/zero-knowledge-proofs
47. Advances in Zero-Knowledge Proofs: Bridging the Gap between Theory and Practice - EECS, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www2.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2024/EECS-2024-35.pdf
48. Roadmap for digital technology to foster India's MSME ecosystem—opportunities and challenges - PMC, accessed February 24, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8662980/
49. Overcoming Data Gaps in Scope 3 Accounting: Practical Solutions - NetZero Events, accessed February 24, 2026, https://netzero-events.com/overcoming-data-gaps-in-scope-3-accounting-practical-solutions/
50. 2026 Tech Predictions - IEEE Computer Society, accessed February 24, 2026, https://ieeecs-media.computer.org/media/tech-news/tech-predictions-report-2026.pdf?source=2026lp
51. A Comprehensive Review of Sensor Technologies in IoT: Technical Aspects,

Challenges, and Future Directions - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-431X/14/8/342
52. Financial investments in AI-based technologies and carbon footprint in selected advanced industrial economies - PMC, accessed February 24, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12866563/
53. AI and invoice data for greenhouse gas emission reporting in corporations: A data-driven approach - Doria, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.doria.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/189277/jahnukainen_elias.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y
54. 5 Trends Shaping the Future of Smart Manufacturing | Verizon, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.verizon.com/business/en-gb/resources/articles/manufacturing/data-driven-plant-operations/
55. How Gen AI is reshaping ESG reporting in 2026? - OptiSol, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.optisolbusiness.com/insight/how-generative-ai-will-transform-esg-compliance-and-reporting
56. Using AI to tackle scope 3 emissions: opportunities, limits, and the role of supplier engagement | Normative, accessed February 24, 2026, https://normative.io/insight/using-ai-to-tackle-scope-3-emissions/
57. How we built ATLAS: a benchmark for spend classification in scope 3 carbon accounting, accessed February 24, 2026, https://watershed.com/blog/how-we-built-atlas-a-benchmark-for-spend-classification-in-scope-3-carbon-accounting
58. Superteams.ai Digest: AI for Carbon Accounting, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.superteams.ai/blog/superteams-ai-digest-ai-for-carbon-accounting
59. Conceptualization of Artificial Intelligence Use for GHG Scope 3 Emissions Measurement, Reporting, Monitoring, and Assurance: A Critical Systems Perspective - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/22/10153
60. AI as a Tool for Sustainability: Measuring Emissions and Biodiversity - Council Fire, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.councilfire.org/blog/ai-as-a-tool-for-sustainability-measuring-emissions-and-biodiversity
61. AI in Carbon Accounting—Main Applications [+ What to Ask Your Vendor] - Persefoni, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.persefoni.com/blog/ai-in-carbon-accounting
62. The 15 Best Carbon Accounting Software Platforms for 2026 - KEY ESG, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.keyesg.com/article/best-carbon-accounting-software-platforms
63. Climatiq - API for Carbon Footprint Calculations, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.climatiq.io/
64. India Default GHG Emission Values V1.0– Complementing GLEC Framework v3.01 - AWS, accessed February 24, 2026, https://smart-freight-centre-media.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/India_Default

_GHG_Emission_Values_SFC_INDIA_v1_2.pdf
65. Current and Future Estimates of Marginal Emission Factors for Indian Power Generation, accessed February 24, 2026, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.1c07500
66. Marginal Emission Factors for Indian Power Generation - Carnegie Mellon University, accessed February 24, 2026, https://kilthub.cmu.edu/articles/dataset/Marginal_Emission_Factors_for_Indian_Power_Generation/19359989
67. India, Southern grid, IN Emission Factors | Climatiq, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.climatiq.io/data/region/in-s_grid
68. Autonomous generative AI agents: Under development - Deloitte, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/technology/technology-media-and-telecom-predictions/2025/autonomous-generative-ai-agents-still-under-development.html
69. Ai-Driven Esg Disclosures: A New Frontier For Greenwashing Risk And Regulation - IJCRT.org, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2506363.pdf
70. Greenwatch: greenwashing in the spotlight - regulatory risks across the supply chain, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.ashurst.com/en/insights/greenwatch-greenwashing-in-the-spotlight-regulatory-risks-across-the-supply-chain/
71. What Greenwashing Is, and How We Can Use Analytics to Detect It - Medium, accessed February 24, 2026, https://medium.com/data-science/what-is-greenwashing-and-how-to-use-analytics-to-detect-it-15b8118031
72. Financial Risk Prediction Models Integrating Environmental, Social and Governance Factors: A Systematic Review - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7072/14/2/31
73. Detecting Greenwashing: A Natural Language Processing Literature Survey - arXiv.org, accessed February 24, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2502.07541v2
74. Large language models for sustainability reporting: A systematic review and research agenda - ResearchGate, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397870501_Large_language_models_for_sustainability_reporting_A_systematic_review_and_research_agenda
75. AI-Driven Responsible Supply Chain Management and Ethical Issue Detection in the Tourism Industry - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/21/9622
76. An LLM-Based Agentic System for Greenwashing Detection, accessed February 24, 2026, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/6d839fc2-44ca-4bd3-b9b2-3220bfd888c7-MECA.pdf?abstractid=5561180&mirid=1
77. A Multimodal Benchmark for Framing of Oil & Gas Advertising and Potential Greenwashing Detection - arXiv, accessed February 24, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2510.21679v1

78. Energy-Efficient Features → Area → Resource 3 - Lifestyle → Sustainability Directory, accessed February 24, 2026, https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/area/energy-efficient-features/resource/3/
79. Sustainability, Volume 16, Issue 10 (May-2 2024) – 439 articles - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/10
80. Sustainable Business Models—Crisis and Rebound Based on Hungarian Research Experience - MDPI, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9276/11/12/107
81. Joshua Gans - IDEAS/RePEc, accessed February 24, 2026, https://ideas.repec.org/e/c/pga42.html
82. Gamified apps for sustainable consumption: A systematic review - TU Delft Research Portal, accessed February 24, 2026, https://research.tudelft.nl/en/publications/gamified-apps-for-sustainable-consumption-a-systematic-review/
83. Sustainability through Behavioral Economics - VML THE COCKTAIL, accessed February 24, 2026, https://vml-thecocktail.com/en/insights/sustainability-under-the-gaze-of-behavioral-economics
84. Tokenomics Design: Principles and Best Practices for Sustainable Models | Coinbound, accessed February 24, 2026, https://coinbound.io/tokenomics-design-best-practices-for-sustainable-models/
85. Tokenomics Design: Hidden Flaws That Sink New Crypto Projects in 2025 - TAS, accessed February 24, 2026, https://tas.co.in/tokenomics-design-hidden-flaws-that-sink-new-crypto-projects-in-2025/
86. Tokenomics 101: Building Sustainable Economic Models - Forbes, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbesbusinessdevelopmentcouncil/2024/12/12/tokenomics-101-building-sustainable-economic-models/
87. Economic Token Models in ReFi Projects: Token Design and Incentive Mechanisms Analysis - SciTePress, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.scitepress.org/Papers/2025/134583/134583.pdf
88. Where finance, digital, sustainability and impact meet: what is Regenerative Finance (ReFi)?, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.hoganlovells.com/en/publications/where-finance-digital-sustainability-and-impact-meet-what-is-regenerative-finance-refi
89. Regenerative Finance (ReFi): Tokenizing Carbon Offsets and Incentives - Braumiller Law Group, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.braumillerlaw.com/regenerative-finance-tokenizing-carbon-offsets-incentives/
90. What is Regenerative Finance (ReFi)? Part II. The Implementation - Chris Skinner's blog, accessed February 24, 2026, https://thefinanser.com/2022/11/what-is-regenerative-finance-refi-part-ii-the-implementation

91. 10 New Carbon Offset Companies & Startups to Watch in 2026 - StartUs Insights, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.startus-insights.com/innovators-guide/new-carbon-offset-companies-and-startups/
92. Comprehensive Guide to Building Sustainable Tokenomics Model | by Rock'n'Block, accessed February 24, 2026, https://rocknblock.medium.com/comprehensive-guide-to-building-sustainable-tokenomics-model-466dba084dfe
93. Gamification for sustainable consumption: A state-of-the-art overview and future agenda, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386051125_Gamification_for_sustainable_consumption_A_state-of-the-art_overview_and_future_agenda
94. Decentralized ESG Data Platforms for Corporate Accountability → Scenario, accessed February 24, 2026, https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/decentralized-esg-data-platforms-for-corporate-accountability/
95. How to apply Blockchain for ESG transparency, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.synesgy.com/en/esg-guide/how-to-apply-blockchain-for-esg-transparency/
96. An evaluation of the regenerative claims of Web3's ReFi movement - Frontiers, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/blockchain/articles/10.3389/fbloc.2025.1564083/full
97. AI scale and climate commitments: A 2026 outlook | Carbon Direct, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.carbon-direct.com/insights/ai-scale-and-climate-commitments-a-2026-outlook
98. The Carbon Footprint of AI | Climate Impact Partners, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.climateimpact.com/news-insights/insights/carbon-footprint-of-ai/
99. How Hungry is AI? Benchmarking Energy, Water, and Carbon Footprint of LLM Inference, accessed February 24, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2505.09598v5
100. 'Roadmap' shows the environmental impact of AI data center boom | Cornell Chronicle, accessed February 24, 2026, https://news.cornell.edu/stories/2025/11/roadmap-shows-environmental-impact-ai-data-center-boom
101. Measuring AI's Energy/Environmental Footprint to Access Impacts - FAS.org, accessed February 24, 2026, https://fas.org/publication/measuring-and-standardizing-ais-energy-footprint/
102. Why transparency matters for sustainable data centers and carbon-neutral artificial intelligence (AI) - PMC, accessed February 24, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12613045/
103. Sustainable Engineering and Mobile App Carbon Footprints in USA 2026 | by Devin Rosario | Write A Catalyst - Medium, accessed February 24, 2026, https://medium.com/write-a-catalyst/sustainable-engineering-and-mobile-app-c

arbon-footprints-in-usa-2026-1a66cacfe6be
104. Sustainable Blockchain in 2026: Can Crypto Be Truly Green? | EvaCodes, accessed February 24, 2026, https://evacodes.com/blog/sustainable-blockchain
105. Five Web3 Trends To Watch In 2025: AI, DePINs, RWAs And Beyond - Forbes, accessed February 24, 2026, https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbesbusinesscouncil/2025/01/15/five-web3-trends-to-watch-in-2025-ai-depins-rwas-and-beyond/
106. How to estimate carbon footprint when training deep learning models? A guide and review, accessed February 24, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10661046/