

Deg	1	2	4	6	8
PF (lr)	1.	0.05	0.05	1.	0.05
SPO (lr)	0.01	0.05	0.1	1.	1.
DBB (lr, $\lambda$ )	(0.1, 10.)	(0.1,1.)	(0.05, 1.)	(0.05, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.1)
I-MLE (lr, $\lambda$ , $\epsilon$ , $\kappa$ )	(0.5, 100, 1, 5)	(0.5, 100, 0.1, 5)	(0.5, 100.,2.,5)	(0.5, 10., 0.5, 5)	(0.5, 100., 2., 5)
FY (lr, $\epsilon$ )	(1., 5)	(0.1, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.1)	(0.05, 5.)	(0.1, 5.)
HSD (lr, $\mu$ , damping)	(0.1, 0.001, 1.0)	(0.1, 0.1, 0.01)	(0.1, $10^{-6}$ , 0.1)	(0.1, 0.001, $10^{-6}$ )	(0.1, 10., 1.)
QPTL (lr, $\mu$ )	(0.1, 10.)	(0.5, 10.)	(0.1, 1.)	(0.1, 10.)	(0.1, 10.)
Listwise (lr, $\tau$ )	(0.1, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.1)	(1., 0.1)	(0.1, 1.)	(1., 1.)
Pairwise (lr, $\Theta$ )	(1., 1.)	(0.1, 0.5)	(0.1, 1.)	(1., 10.)	(1., 10.)
Pairwise(diff) (lr)	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1	1.
MAP (lr)	0.1	0.1	1.	1.	1.

Table 1: Optimal Hyperparameter Combination for the shortest path problems on a  $5 \times 5$  grid.

Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4, Table 5, Table 6 present the hyperaprameter combinations for the instances of the shortest path problem on the grid, portfolio optimization problem, Warcraft shortest path problem, energy-cost aware scheduling, knapsack problem and diverse bipartite matching problem respectively.

Deg	1	4	8	16
PF (lr)	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.05
SPO (lr)	0.5	1.	0.5	0.5
DBB (lr, $\lambda$ )	(1., 0.1)	(1., 0.1)	(1., 0.1)	(1., 0.1)
I-MLE (lr, $\lambda$ , $\epsilon$ , $\kappa$ )	(0.5, 0.1, 0.1, 5)	(0.5, 0.1, 0.5, 5)	(0.5, 0.1, 0.05, 5)	(0.5, 0.1, 0.05, 5)
FY (lr, $\epsilon$ )	(0.1, 0.01)	(0.5, 0.01)	(1, 0.01)	(1., 2.)
QPTL (lr, $\mu$ )	(0.1, 10.)	(0.05, 10.)	(0.1, 10.)	(0.05, 10.)
Listwise (lr, $\tau$ )	(0.1, 0.01)	(0.1, 0.01)	(0.1, 0.01)	(0.05, 0.005)
Pairwise (lr, $\Theta$ )	(0.01, 0.01)	(0.01, 0.1)	(0.01, 0.01)	(0.1, 0.05)
Pairwise(diff) (lr)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.05
MAP (lr)	0.01	1.	0.05	1.

Table 2: Optimal Hyperparameter Combination for the portfolio optimization problem instances.

Image Size	30	24	18	12
PF (lr)	0.01	0.001	0.0005	0.001
SPO (lr)	0.0005	0.005	0.01	0.005
DBB (lr, $\lambda$ )	(0.005, 10.)	(0.001, 100.)	(0.001, 10.)	(0.001, 10.)
I-MLE (lr, $\lambda$ , $\epsilon$ , $\kappa$ )	(.001, 100, 0.05, 50)	(0.001, 10., 0.05, 50)	(0.01, 10., 0.05, 5)	(0.001, 10., 0.05, 50)
FY (lr, $\epsilon$ )	(0.001, 0.01)	(0.01, 0.01)	(0.01, 0.01)	(0.01, 0.01)
Listwise (lr, $\tau$ )	(0.005, 1.)	(0.005, 0.5)	( 0.005, 0.05)	(0.005, 0.5)
Pairwise (lr, $\Theta$ )	(0.01, 0.1)	(0.01 ,0.1)	(0.005, 0.1)	(0.01, 0.1)
Pairwise(diff) (lr)	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
MAP (lr)	0.01	0.005	0.005	0.005

Table 3: Optimal Hyperparameter Combination for the Warcraft shortest path problem instances.

Instance	1	2	3
PF (lr)	0.5	0.5	0.5
SPO (lr)	1.	0.5	0.5
DBB (lr, $\lambda$ )	(0.01, 0.1)	(0.5, 1.)	(0.5, 1.)
I-MLE (lr, $\lambda$ , $\epsilon$ , $\kappa$ )	(0.5, 1., 2., 5)	(0.5, 1., 1., 5)	(0.5, 1., 1., 5)
FY (lr, $\epsilon$ )	(0.01, 0.1)	(0.5, 5)	(0.01, 0.1)
HSD (lr, $\mu$ , damping)	(0.1, 0.1, $10^{-6}$ )	(0.1, 0.001, $10^{-6}$ )	(0.1, 0.1, 0.1)
QPTL (lr, $\mu$ )	(0.1, 1.)	(0.1, 1.)	(0.1, 1.)
Listwise (lr, $\tau$ )	(0.1, 5.)	(0.1, 5.)	(0.1, 5.)
Pairwise (lr, $\Theta$ )	(0.1, 1.)	(0.1, 5.)	(0.1, 50.)
Pairwise(diff) (lr)	0.5	0.5	0.1
MAP (lr)	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table 4: Optimal Hyperparameter Combination for the energy-cost aware scheduling problem instances.

Capacity	60	120	180
PF (lr)	0.5	1.	1.
SPO (lr)	0.5	1.	1.
DBB (lr, $\lambda$ )	(0.5, 0.1)	(1., 1.)	(0.5, 1.)
I-MLE (lr, $\lambda$ , $\epsilon$ , $\kappa$ )	(0.5, 0.1, 0.5, 5)	(0.5, 0.1, 0.1, 5)	(0.5, 0.1, 5., 5)
FY (lr, $\epsilon$ )	(1., 0.005)	(1., 0.5)	(0.5, 0.5)
HSD (lr, $\mu$ , damping)	(0.5, 0.01, 10.)	(0.5, 0.1, 10.)	(1., 0.01, 0.1)
QPTL (lr, $\mu$ )	(0.5, 10.)	(0.5, 1.)	(0.5, 0.1)
Listwise (lr, $\tau$ )	(1., 0.001)	(1., 0.001)	(0.5, 0.0001)
Pairwise (lr, $\Theta$ )	(0.5, 10.)	(0.5, 10.)	(0.5, 10.)
Pairwise(diff) (lr)	1.	1.	1.
MAP (lr)	1.	1.	1.

Table 5: Optimal Hyperparameter Combination for the knapsack problem instances.

$(\rho_1, \rho_2)$	(10%, 10%)	(25%, 25%)	(50%, 50%)
PF (lr)	0.01	0.01	0.0005
SPO (lr)	0.001	0.001	0.005
DBB (lr, $\lambda$ )	(0.01, 10.)	(0.01, 0.1)	(0.01, 1.)
I-MLE (lr, $\lambda$ , $\epsilon$ , $\kappa$ )	(0.001, 100, 0.5, 5)	(0.001, 100., 0.5, 5)	( 0.001, 100., 0.5, 5)
FY (lr, $\epsilon$ )	( 0.001, 0.5)	(0.001, 0.01)	(0.001, 5.)
HSD (lr, $\mu$ , damping)	(0.001, 1., 0.1)	(0.05, 0.1, 10.)	(0.001, 0.1, 0.1)
QPTL (lr, $\mu$ )	( 0.01, 100.)	(0.001, 10.)	(0.001, 10.)
Listwise (lr, $\tau$ )	(0.001, 5.)	(0.01, 5.)	(0.01, 5.)
Pairwise (lr, $\Theta$ )	( 0.005, 5)	(0.01, 50.)	(0.01, 50.)
Pairwise(diff) (lr)	0.001	0.01	0.005
MAP (lr)	0.001	0.01	0.005

Table 6: Optimal Hyperparameter Combination for the diverse bipartite matching problem instances.