Interactive

September 10, 2019

Data Science Workflow < | > Hall of Fame

1 Exploring Subsets Interactively

In this notebook you can select criteria for a subset to inspect and compare to the entire dataset.

Instructions:

1. Run Cell 1, wait for "DONE IN <time>" message before continuing.
2. Run Cell 2, fill out criteria before continuing.
3. Run Cell 3, view report.
4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 with new criteria, if desired.

Prerequisites:

- four final CSV file local in `./data_final`
- all aggregations created by `aggregate.py` local in `./analysis_data`
- ipywidgets
  - `pip install ipywidgets`
- nodejs
  - `conda install nodejs`
- npm
  - `pip install npm`
- labextension
  - for jupyter lab: `jupyter labextension install @jupyter-widgets/jupyterlab-manager`)
  - for jupyter notebook: `notebook extension (jupyter nbextension enable --py widgetsnbextension`

1.1 Run Cell 1

This takes about 10 minutes. Wait for "DONE IN <time>" message before continuing!

[1]:
```
import interactive
import load_data
import datetime
from IPython.core.display import HTML
```

```
# 10 minutes to load data
start = datetime.datetime.now()
data_frames = interactive.data()
end = datetime.datetime.now()
print('\n'+'-'*80+'\n'+'DONE IN {0}'.format(end - start))
```

```
Notebooks loaded in 0:00:33.673711
Repos loaded in 0:00:05.437692
Owners loaded in 0:00:00.777930
Notebook imports loaded in 0:00:43.048986
Errors loaded in 0:00:06.050018
Cell stats loaded in 0:00:04.898696
Cell order loaded in 0:00:35.426024
Outputs loaded in 0:00:06.025272
Statuses loaded in 0:00:03.127332
Cell stats loaded in 0:00:01.842215
Collaboration statuses loaded in 0:00:00.071805
Special functions loaded in 0:00:17.884992
Framework uses loaded in 0:00:11.105059
Educational status loaded in 0:00:00.185618

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
DONE IN 0:09:20.538907
```

[ ]:
```
# query = interactive.interactive(data_frames)
```

1.2 Run Cell 3

This takes about 1 minute. View report! Repeat Cells 2 and 3 with different criteria.

[7]:
```
data_frames_sub = interactive.subset(data_frames, query)
print('\n'+'-'*73+'\n')
interactive.report_comparisons(data_frames_sub, data_frames)
```

```
Subsetting to Python notebooks pushed between 2011-10-24 and 2019-07-14.
Notebooks considered use at least one machine learning framework and at least
one visualization package.
962,959 (24.79%) notebooks fit your criteria.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

1.2.1 Summary Statistics

```
        num_cells  forks_count  open_issues_count  stargazers_count  \
mean         39.2         5.19               0.23              9.36
median       28.0         0.00               0.00              0.00
```

```
min           0.0         0.00                0.00             0.00
max        1641.0      8589.00              559.00         18885.00

        subscribers_count  watchers_count  lines_of_code  num_words
mean                 1.67            9.36         222.86     529.73
median               1.00            0.00         157.00      98.00
min                  0.00            0.00           1.00       0.00
max               2446.00        18885.00       40759.00   55037.00
```

Compare to all:

```
        num_cells  forks_count  open_issues_count  stargazers_count  \
mean        28.76         5.56               0.49              9.88
median      19.00         0.00               0.00              0.00
min          0.00         0.00               0.00              0.00
max       1641.00     17634.00            2003.00          22831.00

        subscribers_count  watchers_count  lines_of_code  num_words
mean                 2.13            9.88         147.26     405.18
median               1.00            0.00          88.00      60.00
min                  0.00            0.00           1.00       0.00
max               2446.00        22831.00      462118.00  200404.00
```

1.2.2 Package Use





1.2.3 Framework Use

100.0% of these notebooks use at least one framework.

Compare to all:

35.48% of all notebooks use at least one framework.



1.2.4 Visualization Package Use

100.0% of these notebooks use at least one visualization package.

Compare to all:

50.71% of all notebooks use at least one visualization package.



1.2.5 Number of Errors per Notebook

```
mean        0.21
median      0.00
```

```
min          0.00
max       9104.00
Name: num_errors, dtype: float64
```

Compare to all:

```
mean         0.22
median       0.00
min          0.00
max       9104.00
Name: num_errors, dtype: float64
```

1.2.6 Ratio of Markdown to Code

```
mean        3.27
median      0.65
min         0.00
max       964.22
Name: ratio_wl, dtype: float64
```

Compare to all:

```
mean          6.45
median        0.69
min           0.00
max       18029.00
Name: ratio_wl, dtype: float64
```

1.2.7 Execution Order

```
67.88% of these notebooks have cells run in order.
91.13% of these notebooks have at least one output, 64.85% of which are run in
order.

86.58% of these notebooks were able to be parsed with Python AST.
Of these, 14.38% had a function used before it was defined, 3.45% had a package
used before it was imported, and 6.21% used a variable before it was defined.
```

Compare to all:

```
74.92% of all notebooks have cells run in order.
84.4% of all notebooks have at least one output, 70.58% of which are run in
```

order.

86.41% of all notebooks were able to be parsed with Python AST.
Of these, 13.0% had a function used before it was defined, 1.8% had a package used before it was imported, and 4.76% used a variable before it was defined.



1.2.8 Collaboration

16.14% of these repositories are collaborative, containing 20.43% of these notebooks.

Compare to all:

16.85% of all repositories are collaborative, containing 22.76% of all notebooks.



1.2.9 Educational Status

```
24.42% of these repos are educational, holding 29.39% of these notebooks
```

Compare to all:

```
23.67% of all repos are educational, holding 29.21% of all notebooks
```

Data Science Workflow < | > Hall of Fame