Module 3.3: Learning Parameters: (Infeasible) guess work



- With this setup in mind, we will now focus on this **model** and discuss an **algorithm** for learning the **parameters** of this model from some given **data**



- With this setup in mind, we will now focus on this **model** and discuss an **algorithm** for learning the **parameters** of this model from some given **data**
- σ stands for the sigmoid function (logistic function in this case)



- With this setup in mind, we will now focus on this **model** and discuss an **algorithm** for learning the **parameters** of this model from some given **data**
- σ stands for the sigmoid function (logistic function in this case)
- For ease of explanation, we will consider a very simplified version of the model having just 1 input



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$

- With this setup in mind, we will now focus on this **model** and discuss an **algorithm** for learning the **parameters** of this model from some given **data**
- σ stands for the sigmoid function (logistic function in this case)
- For ease of explanation, we will consider a very simplified version of the model having just 1 input
- Further to be consistent with the literature, from now on we will refer to w_0 as b (bias)



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$

- With this setup in mind, we will now focus on this **model** and discuss an **algorithm** for learning the **parameters** of this model from some given **data**
- σ stands for the sigmoid function (logistic function in this case)
- For ease of explanation, we will consider a very simplified version of the model having just 1 input
- Further to be consistent with the literature, from now on we will refer to w_0 as b (bias)
- Lastly, instead of considering the problem of predicting like/dislike we will assume that we want to predict $criticsRating(y)$ given $imdbRating(x)$ (for no particular reason)


x
w
σ
$\hat{y} = f(x)$
1
b



Input for training

$\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \rightarrow N$ pairs of (x, y)



Input for training

$\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \rightarrow N$ pairs of (x, y)

Training objective

Find w and b such that:

$$\underset{w,b}{\text{minimize}}\, \mathscr{L}(w, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$

Input for training

$\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \rightarrow N$ pairs of (x, y)

Training objective

Find w and b such that:

$$\underset{w,b}{\text{minimize}}\, \mathscr{L}(w, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x+b)}}$$



What does it mean to train the network?

- Suppose we train the network with $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x+b)}}$$



What does it mean to train the network?

- Suppose we train the network with $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$
- At the end of training we expect to find w*, b* such that:



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$



What does it mean to train the network?

- Suppose we train the network with $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$
- At the end of training we expect to find w*, b* such that:
- $f(0.5) \rightarrow 0.2$ and $f(2.5) \rightarrow 0.9$



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$



What does it mean to train the network?

- Suppose we train the network with $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$
- At the end of training we expect to find w*, b* such that:
- $f(0.5) \rightarrow 0.2$ and $f(2.5) \rightarrow 0.9$

In other words...

- We hope to find a sigmoid function such that $(0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$ lie on this sigmoid



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w \cdot x+b)}}$$



What does it mean to train the network?

- Suppose we train the network with $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$
- At the end of training we expect to find w*, b* such that:
- $f(0.5) \rightarrow 0.2$ and $f(2.5) \rightarrow 0.9$

In other words...

- We hope to find a sigmoid function such that $(0.5, 0.2)$ and $(2.5, 0.9)$ lie on this sigmoid

Let us see this in more detail....


(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
y
−6
−4
−2
0
2
4
6
x



- Can we try to find such a $w*, b*$ manually



- Can we try to find such a $w*, b*$ manually
- Let us try a random guess.. (say, $w = 0.5, b = 0$)



- Can we try to find such a $w*, b*$ manually
- Let us try a random guess.. (say, $w = 0.5, b = 0$)
- Clearly not good, but how bad is it ?



- Can we try to find such a $w*, b*$ manually
- Let us try a random guess.. (say, $w = 0.5, b = 0$)
- Clearly not good, but how bad is it ?
- Let us revisit $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ to see how bad it is ...



$$\mathscr{L}(w,b) = \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$



$$\mathscr{L}(w,b) = \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} * (y_1 - f(x_1))^2 + (y_2 - f(x_2))^2$$



$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}(w,b) &= \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} * (y_1 - f(x_1))^2 + (y_2 - f(x_2))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} * (0.9 - f(2.5))^2 + (0.2 - f(0.5))^2\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}(w,b) &= \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} * (y_1 - f(x_1))^2 + (y_2 - f(x_2))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} * (0.9 - f(2.5))^2 + (0.2 - f(0.5))^2 \\ &= 0.073\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}(w,b) &= \frac{1}{2} * \sum_{i=1}^{N}(y_i - f(x_i))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} * (y_1 - f(x_1))^2 + (y_2 - f(x_2))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} * (0.9 - f(2.5))^2 + (0.2 - f(0.5))^2 \\ &= 0.073\end{aligned}$$

We want $\mathscr{L}(w,b)$ to be as close to 0 as possible

Let us try some other values of w, b



w	b	$\mathscr{L}(w,b)$
0.50	0.00	0.0730

Let us try some other values of w, b



w	b	$\mathscr{L}(w,b)$
0.50	0.00	0.0730
-0.10	0.00	0.1481

Oops!! this made things even worse...



Let us try some other values of w, b

w	b	$\mathscr{L}(w,b)$
0.50	0.00	0.0730
-0.10	0.00	0.1481
0.94	-0.94	0.0214

Perhaps it would help to push w and b in the other direction...

Let us try some other values of w, b



w	b	$\mathscr{L}(w,b)$
0.50	0.00	0.0730
-0.10	0.00	0.1481
0.94	-0.94	0.0214
1.42	-1.73	0.0028

Let us keep going in this direction, *i.e.*, increase w and decrease b

Let us try some other values of w, b



w	b	$\mathscr{L}(w,b)$
0.50	0.00	0.0730
-0.10	0.00	0.1481
0.94	-0.94	0.0214
1.42	-1.73	0.0028
1.65	-2.08	0.0003

Let us keep going in this direction, *i.e.*, increase w and decrease b

Let us try some other values of w, b



w	b	$\mathscr{L}(w,b)$
0.50	0.00	0.0730
-0.10	0.00	0.1481
0.94	-0.94	0.0214
1.42	-1.73	0.0028
1.65	-2.08	0.0003
1.78	-2.27	0.0000

With some guess work and intuition we were able to find the right values for w and b

Let us look at something better than our "guess work" algorithm....

- Since we have only 2 points and 2 parameters (w, b) we can easily plot $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ for different values of (w, b) and pick the one where $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ is minimum



- Since we have only 2 points and 2 parameters (w, b) we can easily plot $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ for different values of (w, b) and pick the one where $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ is minimum



- Since we have only 2 points and 2 parameters (w, b) we can easily plot $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ for different values of (w, b) and pick the one where $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ is minimum
- But of course this becomes intractable once you have many more data points and many more parameters !!



- Since we have only 2 points and 2 parameters (w, b) we can easily plot $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ for different values of (w, b) and pick the one where $\mathscr{L}(w, b)$ is minimum
- But of course this becomes intractable once you have many more data points and many more parameters !!
- Further, even here we have plotted the error surface only for a small range of (w, b) [from $(-6, 6)$ and not from $(-\inf, \inf)$]

Let us look at the geometric interpretation of our "guess work" algorithm in terms of this error surface


(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
x
y


Random search on error surface
w
b
error
0.08 0.16 0.24 0.32 0.40 0.48 0.56 0.64


(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
w=0.50, b=0.00
x
y


Random search on error surface
error
w
b
0.08 0.16 0.24 0.32 0.40 0.48 0.56 0.64


(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
w=0.50, b=0.00
w=-0.10, b=0.00
x
y


Random search on error surface
error
w
b
0.08 0.16 0.24 0.32 0.40 0.48 0.56 0.64


1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
y
−6
−4
−2
0
2
4
6
x
(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
w=0.50, b=0.00
w=-0.10, b=0.00
w=0.94, b=-0.95


Random search on error surface
1.0
0.5
0.0
−0.5
−1.0
error
−6
−4
−2
0
2
4
6
w
b
0.08
0.16
0.24
0.32
0.40
0.48
0.56
0.64


1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
y
−6
−4
−2
0
2
4
6
x
(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
w=0.50, b=0.00
w=-0.10, b=0.00
w=0.94, b=-0.95
w=1.43, b=-1.74


Random search on error surface
error
1.0
0.5
0.0
−0.5
−1.0
w
b
0.08
0.16
0.24
0.32
0.40
0.48
0.56
0.64


1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
y
−6
−4
−2
0
2
4
6
x
(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
w=0.50, b=0.00
w=-0.10, b=0.00
w=0.94, b=-0.95
w=1.43, b=-1.74
w=1.65, b=-2.08


Random search on error surface
error
1.0
0.5
0.0
−0.5
−1.0
w
b
0.08
0.16
0.24
0.32
0.40
0.48
0.56
0.64


w=0.50, b=0.00
w=-0.10, b=0.00
w=0.94, b=-0.95
w=1.43, b=-1.74
w=1.65, b=-2.08
w=1.79, b=-2.28
(2.5, 0.9)
(0.5, 0.2)
x
y


Random search on error surface
w
b
error
0.08 0.16 0.24 0.32 0.40 0.48 0.56 0.64