

Applied Linear Algebra in Data Analysis: Course Notes

Sivakumar Balasubramanian
CMC Vellore

Update on June 24, 2024

Contents

	1.8	Linear independence of a set of vectors	19
	1.9	Span of a set of vectors	20
	1.10	How big is a vector?	21
	1.10.1	Geometry of the p-norms	22
	1.11	How similar are two vectors?	23
	1.11.1	Distance between two vectors	23
	1.11.2	Angle between two vectors	23
	1.12	Standard and other inner products	24
	1.13	Orthogonality of vectors	25
	1.14	Basis of a vector space	26
	1.14.1	Orthonormal basis	27
	1.15	Dimension of a vector space	28
	1.16	Linear functions	28
	1.17	Exercise	29
I		Linear Algebra	7
1		Vectors	9
	1.1	n -Vectors	9
	1.1.1	Some common n -vectors	9
	1.2	Visualizing n -vectors	10
	1.3	Some Commonly Used n -vectors	10
	1.4	Operations on n -vectors	11
	1.5	Vector spaces	12
	1.6	Subspaces – “Little” Vector Spaces	15
	1.7	Linear combinations and others	18
II		Optimization	33
III		Probability and Statistics	35
IV		Least Squares	37

List of Figures

1.1	The real line \mathbb{R} contains the 1-vectors.	10
1.2	The \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 sets.	11
1.3	Scalar multiplication of a vector.	12
1.4	Vector addition.	12
1.5	Example of a subspace of \mathbb{R} . (a) Shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the subset $S = \{[x2x]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. (b) Shows that the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. Take any vecotr from the line, and scale it and it remains on that blue line. (c) Shows that S is closed under vector addition. If we take any two vectors from the blue line and add them, the resulting vector remains in the blue line.	17
1.6	Example of a subspace of \mathbb{R} . (a) Shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the subset $S = \{[x2x]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. (b) Shows that the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. Take any vecotr from the line, and scale it and it remains on that blue line. (c) Shows that S is closed under vector addition. If we take any two vectors from the blue line and add them, the resulting vector remains in the blue line.	18
1.7	Example of a subspace of \mathbb{R} . (a) Shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the subset $S = \{[x2x]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. (b) Shows that the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. Take any vecotr from the line, and scale it and it remains on that blue line. (c) Shows that S is closed under vector addition. If we take any two vectors from the blue line and add them, the resulting vector remains in the blue line.	18
1.8	Span of a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3	20
1.9	The set of all real numbers with magnitude 1. This set contains two numbers $\{-1, 1\}$	22
1.10	Locus of all points with unit 1, 2, p , and ∞ norms in \mathbb{R}^2	22
1.11	23
1.12	24
1.13	Orthogonal vectors in \mathbb{R}^2	26
1.14	Representation of w in three different basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . (a) and (c) are some arbitrary basis, while (b) is an orthonormal basis.	27

Part I

Linear Algebra

Chapter 1

Vectors

1.1 n -Vectors

A collection of an ordered list of n numbers is called an n -vector. We will use bold lower case alphabets to represent such vectors, and we will represent these as a column of numbers, which is referred to as a *column vector*. We will look at *row vectors* at a later stage. Consider the following example:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The elements of the n -vector x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are called the *components* of the vector \mathbf{x} ; x_i is the i^{th} component of the vector \mathbf{x} . If these components are all real numbers, the set of all such n -vectors is the set \mathbb{R}^n .

Where do we come across such n -vectors? In many places, such as in physics, engineering, economics, medicine, etc. Any application where we deal with multiple pieces of information that can be represented as a list of numbers can be represented as an n -vector. When we deal with systems with multiple inputs, multiple output, or multiple states, we can represent these as n -vectors. We talk about the state of a system in a later chapter.

1.1.1 Some common n -vectors

We will often come across some special n -vectors in this document course and in many applications. We will define some of these vectors here.

- **Zero vector:** The n -vector whose components are all zeros is called the *zero vector*. $\mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

- **One vector:** The n -vector whose components are all ones is called the *one vector*. $\mathbf{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- **Unit vectors:** The n -vectors whose components are all zeros except for one component which is 1. These are called the *standard basis vectors* and are denoted by $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. The n -vector \mathbf{e}_i has all

components as zeros except for the i^{th} component which is 1. For example, the unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 are:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We now look at some examples of n -vectors that we come across in applications.

Example 1.1. Basic clinical information during a hospital visit. When a patient visits a hospital, several clinically relevant variables are captured, for instance:

Sex, Age, heart rate, systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, and temperature; we assume that categorical variables are given numerical labels. The following are some examples of n -vectors generated from three different patients visiting the hospital.

1.2 Visualizing n -vectors

The n -vectors can be visualized as points in n -dimensional space. For example, A 1-vector or just single real number or a *scalar* can be thought of as a point on the real line. The 1-vector $x = 2.45$ is shown in Figure 1.1 is the red point. But we will find it useful to visualize a 1-vector as an arrow starting at the origin and ending at the point on the real line. The arrow is shown in blue in Figure 1.1.

The elements of \mathbb{R}^2 are points on the plane, and we can visualize them as points in the plane. The 2-vectors $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are shown in Figure 1.2a. A similar visualization is shown for \mathbb{R}^3 (Figure 1.2b), and for \mathbb{R}^4 and beyond you simply pretend that you can visualize things in your head like your instructor does.

1.3 Some Commonly Used n -vectors

We will now define some commonly used n -vectors that we will use in the course.

- **Zero vector:** The n -vector whose components are all zeros is called the *zero vector*. $\mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- **One vector:** The n -vector whose components are all ones is called the *one vector*. $\mathbf{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- **Unit vectors:** The n -vectors whose components are all zeros except for one component which is 1. These are called the *standard basis vectors* and are denoted by $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. The n -vector \mathbf{e}_i has all





Figure 1.1: The real line \mathbb{R} contains the 1-vectors.

Figure 1.2: The \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 sets.

components as zeros except for the i^{th} component which is 1. For example, the unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 are:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.4 Operations on n -vectors

There are many operations we can perform on n -vectors, but we will only focus on two operations for this:

- **Scalar multiplication:** Given a scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and an n -vector \mathbf{x} . The scalar multiplication operation produces another n -vector $c\mathbf{x}$ whose components are $c x_1, c x_2, \dots, c x_n$.

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2(1) \\ 2(2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The geometric interpretation scalar multiplication is shown in Figure 1.3. Scalar multiplication stretches or shrinks the vector without rotating it. When the scalar is positive the direction of the scaled vector is the same as the original vector, and when the scalar is negative the direction is opposite. When the scalar is zero, the scaled vector is the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$.

- **Vector Addition:** Given two n -vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , the vector addition operation, represented by $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$, produces another n -vector whose components are $x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n$.

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2 \\ 3 + 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The geometric interpretation the vector addition operation is shown in Figure 1.4. Geometrically, the vector addition operation follows the parallelogram law of addition, where the resulting vector $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ is a diagonal of the parallelogram formed by the two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . Another way to think about this, is that you first move along \mathbf{x} to its end point, and starting from there then move along \mathbf{y} to its end point or vice versa.




Figure 1.3: Scalar multiplication of a vector.




Figure 1.4: Vector addition.

You can add more than two vectors to obtain a new vector, like below:

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$$

Geometrically, we can first apply the parallelogram law to \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , and then apply the parallelogram law to $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ and \mathbf{z} to get \mathbf{w} .

1.5 Vector spaces

Vector spaces are *sets* with some special properties. More specifically, a vector space is a set V of elements called *vectors* that are closed under two operations called *addition* and *scalar multiplication*. This simply means that if you perform these operations using elements from the set V , the result is also an element of the set V . A vector space must satisfy the following properties:

- **Closure under addition:** For any two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$, the sum $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in V$.
- **Closure under scalar multiplication:** For any scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, the product $c\mathbf{x} \in V$.
- **Additive identity:** There exists a vector $\mathbf{0} \in V$ such that for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{x}$.
- **Additive inverse:** For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, there exists a vector $-\mathbf{x} \in V$ such that $\mathbf{x} + (-\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$.
- **Commutativity of addition:** For any two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$, $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$.

- **Associativity of addition:** For any three vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V$, $(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z})$.
- **Distributive property:** For any scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and any two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$, $c(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = c\mathbf{x} + c\mathbf{y}$.
- **Distributive property:** For any two scalars $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, $(c + d)\mathbf{x} = c\mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{x}$.
- **Associativity of scalar multiplication:** For any two scalars $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, $(cd)\mathbf{x} = c(d\mathbf{x})$.
- **Multiplicative identity:** For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$, $1\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$.

These properties are satisfied by the set \mathbb{R}^n of n -vectors, and hence \mathbb{R}^n is a vector space. Geometrically, the concept of a vector space corresponds to flat spaces that contain the origin. This will become more clear when we talk about subspaces. Notice that definition of the vector space given above does not make any specific mention of n -vectors. The definition is general and can be applied to any set of elements that satisfy the properties listed above. The following are some examples of vector spaces with the addition and scalar multiplication operations defined on them.

Example 1.2. Set of $m \times n$ matrices. The set M of all $m \times n$ matrices of real numbers is a vector space.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$$

We define scalar multiplication and addition of matrices as follows:

$$c\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} ca_{11} & ca_{12} & \cdots & ca_{1n} \\ ca_{21} & ca_{22} & \cdots & ca_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ca_{m1} & ca_{m2} & \cdots & ca_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} + b_{1n} \\ a_{21} + b_{21} & a_{22} + b_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} + b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} + b_{m1} & a_{m2} + b_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} + b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in M$$

Since each element of $c\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$ is a real number, M is a vector space.

Example 1.3. Set of polynomials of order $\leq n$. Now we look at strange example of a vector space. The set P_n of all polynomials of degree at most n with real coefficients, defined over an interval $[a, b]$.

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

The set P_n contains all polynomials of the form shown above. We define scalar multiplication and addition of polynomials as follows:

$$cp(x) = c \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} ca_k x^k, \quad p(x) \in P$$

$$p(x) + q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a_k + b_k) x^k, \quad p(x), q(x) \in P_n$$

The set P_n is a vector space because the sum and product of any two polynomials from P_n is also a polynomial of degree at most n with real coefficients.

Example 1.4. Set of continuous functions. The set $C[0, 1]$ of all continuous functions $f(x)$ over the time interval $x \in [0, 1]$ is a vector space. We define scalar multiplication and addition of functions as follows:

$$cf(x) = cf(x), \quad f(x) \in C(0, 1)$$

$$f(x) + g(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad f(x), g(x) \in C(0, 1)$$

The set $C(0, 1)$ is a vector space because the sum and product of any two continuous functions from $C(0, 1)$ is also a continuous function.

1.6 Subspaces – “Little” Vector Spaces

These are little subspaces in the sense that they are subsets of a larger vector space that are themselves vector spaces. More formally, a subspace U of a vector space V is a subset of V that is itself a vector space. The subspace U of the vector space V must satisfy the following properties:

- **Closure under addition:** For any two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in U$, the sum $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in U$.
- **Closure under scalar multiplication:** For any scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and any vector $\mathbf{x} \in U$, the product $c\mathbf{x} \in U$.

One immediate consequence of the above definition is that the zero element of the vector space V must be present in every subspace of V . If the zero element is not in a subset, then it cannot be a subspace. Geometrically subspaces are flat structures (or surfaces or manifolds) in \mathbb{R}^n (or the parent vector space) that contain the origin, and extend infinitely. Let's look at some examples of subspaces of \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 , which are easier to visualize.

Example 1.5. A straight line through the origin. We know that \mathbb{R}^2 is a vector space. Now consider the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 that lie on a straight line passing through the origin, defined as follows:

$$S = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2, x_1 = m \cdot x_2, m \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

How do we verify this is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 ? The definition above shows that any x in S comes from \mathbb{R}^2 , which means its a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Figure 1.5a shows the set S for $m = 2$. How do we verify if S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 ? We need to now verify that S satisfies the properties of a vector space.

1. First, let's check if S contains the zero vector. If it does not contain the zero vector, then it cannot be a subspace. The elements from S are of the form $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx \end{bmatrix}$, thus if we choose $x = 0$, then we get $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in S$. So, S contains the zero vector. This means that S can be a subspace space of \mathbb{R}^2 .

2. Let's verify vector scaling. Scaling the element $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx \end{bmatrix} \in S$ by a scalar c we get,

$$c \begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx \\ cmx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx \\ m(cx) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ my \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } y = cx \in \mathbb{R}$$

This means that $c \begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx \end{bmatrix}$ belongs to S , this the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. This still means that S can be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

3. Let's verify vector addition. Adding two elements $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ mx_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ mx_2 \end{bmatrix} \in S$ we get,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ mx_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ mx_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ mx_1 + mx_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ my_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } y_1 = x_1 + x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

This means that $\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ my_1 \end{bmatrix}$ belongs to S , this the set S is closed under vector addition. This means that S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Since, the subset S is closed under both vector addition and scalar multiplication, it is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .




Figure 1.5: Example of a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 . (a) Shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the subset $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. (b) Shows that the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. Take any vector from the line, and scale it and it remains on that blue line. (c) Shows that S is closed under vector addition. If we take any two vectors from the blue line and add them, the resulting vector remains in the blue line.

Example 1.6. A straight line not through the origin. Consider the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 of the following form:

$$S = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx + c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2, m, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

This is shown in the Figure 1.6a.

How do we verify this is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 ? The definition above shows that any x in S comes from \mathbb{R}^2 , which means it's a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Figure 1.6a shows the set S for $m = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $c = 1$. How do we verify if S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 ? We need to now verify that S satisfies the properties of a vector space.

1. First, let's check if S contains the zero vector. If it does not contain the zero vector, then it cannot be a subspace. The elements from S are of the form $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx + c \end{bmatrix}$, thus if we choose $x = 0$, then we get $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in S$. So, S does not contain the zero vector, which implies that S is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 . We need not check the other two conditions; but we will test them just to see which of these two fails.

2. Scaling the element $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx + c \end{bmatrix} \in S$ by a scalar d we get,

$$d \begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx + c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} dx \\ dmx + dc \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} dx \\ m(dx) + dc \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} y \\ my + c \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } y = dx \in \mathbb{R}$$

This means that $d \begin{bmatrix} x \\ mx + c \end{bmatrix} \notin S$. Thus, the set S is not closed under scalar multiplication. Another confirmation that it is not a subspace. This can be seen in Figure 1.6b, which shows that when we choose an element \mathbf{x} (red arrow) from S (blue line), the scaled version of this vector leaves the set S , i.e., the tip of the green arrow does not stay on the blue line.

3. Let's verify vector addition. Adding two elements $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ mx_1 + c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ mx_2 + c \end{bmatrix} \in S$ we get,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ mx_1 + c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ mx_2 + c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ mx_1 + mx_2 + 2c \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ my_1 + c \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } y_1 = x_1 + x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

17

This means that $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ mx_1 + c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ mx_2 + c \end{bmatrix} \notin S$. Thus the set S is not closed under vector addition.




Figure 1.6: Example of a subspace of \mathbb{R} . (a) Shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the subset $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. (b) Shows that the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. Take any vector from the line, and scale it and it remains on that blue line. (c) Shows that S is closed under vector addition. If we take any two vectors from the blue line and add them, the resulting vector remains in the blue line.

Example 1.7. A parabola through the origin. Consider the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 of the following form:

$$S = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \frac{1}{2}x^2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2, m, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

This is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 . This is geometrically depicted in Figure 1.7a, Figure 1.7b and Figure 1.7c. You are encouraged to verify this algebraically by checking the properties of a vector space.




Figure 1.7: Example of a subspace of \mathbb{R} . (a) Shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the subset $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. (b) Shows that the set S is closed under scalar multiplication. Take any vector from the line, and scale it and it remains on that blue line. (c) Shows that S is closed under vector addition. If we take any two vectors from the blue line and add them, the resulting vector remains in the blue line.

1.7 Linear combinations and others

Linear combination is an *algebraic operation* performed on a set of vectors. We can combine the two fundamental operations on vectors into a single operation called the *linear combination* of a set of vectors. Given a set of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and scalars $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$, the linear combination of the

vectors is given by:

$$\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.1)$$

Notice that the linear combinations of single vector \mathbf{v}_1 are simply different scaled versions of the vector $c_1\mathbf{v}_1$. Linear combinations are the bread-and-butter of linear algebra and we will encounter them again and again. An informal way to think of a linear combination of a set of vectors as process of mixing the set of vectors together with the corresponding scalar c_i determining the amount of a vector in the mixture. There are other types of combinations of vectors, which we will not discuss further in this book.

- **Affine combination:** $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_m$, $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = 1$
- **Convex combinations:** $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_m$, $c_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = 1$
- **Conic combinations:** $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_m$, $c_i \geq 0$

1.8 Linear independence of a set of vectors

- Linear independence is a property of a set of vectors.
- A set is either linear independent or its not.
- No element of a linearly independent set can be represented as linear combination of the other elements in the set.
- Linearly independent set does not have any redundancy.

Linear independence is a *property* of a set of vectors; a set of vector is either linearly independent or linearly dependent. The concept of linear independence is easy to understand the but the algebraic condition for independence can seem a bit unintuitive. A set of vectors is said to be linearly independent if no vector in the set can be expressed as a linear combination of the other vectors in the set. This means that there is some unique information contained in each element of the set, which cannot be obtained from the other elements of the set, i.e., there is no redundancy, so to speak.

More formally, a set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is said to be linearly independent if and only if the only way to produce the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ through the linear combination of the set V is by setting all the scalars to zero, i.e.,

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_m = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

To understand this better, let's assume that the set V is linear dependent and let's assume that the vector \mathbf{v}_m can be represented as the linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{m-1}$. This means that there exist a set of scalar α_i , $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, such that

$$\alpha_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{m-1}\mathbf{v}_{m-1} = \mathbf{v}_m$$

Multiplying both sides by a scalar $c_m \neq 0$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} c_m\alpha_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_m\alpha_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_m\alpha_{m-1}\mathbf{v}_{m-1} &= c_m\mathbf{v}_m \\ \implies c_m\alpha_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_m\alpha_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_m\alpha_{m-1}\mathbf{v}_{m-1} - c_m\mathbf{v}_m &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exist a set of scalar $c_i = c_m\alpha_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, and c_m such that $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_m\mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0}$, where not all c_i are zero. So when a set is linearly dependent, then there are scalars c_i , not all zero, such that the linear combination of the vectors from V with these scalars produces the zero vector.

Now, let's assume that the set V is linearly independent, that is no vector in the set V can be expressed as a linear combination of other vectors in that set. And let's assume that there are scalars c_i , not all zero, such that the linear combination of the vectors from V with these scalars produces the zero vector, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_m \mathbf{v}_m &= \mathbf{0} \\ \implies \frac{c_1}{c_m} \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{c_2}{c_m} \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \frac{c_{m-1}}{c_m} \mathbf{v}_{m-1} &= -\mathbf{v}_m, \quad c_m \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

But this a contradiction because we have just expressed \mathbf{v}_m is expressed as a linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{m-1}$.

1.9 Span of a set of vectors

- The span of a set of vectors V is another set.
- It is generated through the linear combination of the elements of V .
- The span of a set of vector V is a subspace of the originak vectors space the elements of V are from.

So, linear combinations of a set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ($\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$) is a way of generating new vectors not in that set. All we need to do is choose a random set of real numbers $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m$, and “mix” the vectors \mathbf{v}_i from the set using these as weights. Clearly there are infinite number of vectors we could generate through this process, and we can put them all together in a set. And this set has a name – the *span* of the set V . The span of a set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is denoted by $\text{span}(V)$ and is defined as:

$$\text{span}(V) = \{c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n \mathbf{v}_m : c_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.3)$$

Its clear that this will be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , but it turns out it is also a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Why? Can you verify this fact algebraically? (*Hint*: Just follow the steps in Examples 1.5-1.7).

Geometrically, this means that the $\text{span}(V)$ will be a flat surface in \mathbb{R}^n . Which means that the linear combination operation generates vectors that lie on a flat surface spanned by the vectors emmployed in the linear combination.




Figure 1.8: Span of a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 .

1.10 How big is a vector?

The size of a vector is an extension of the idea of the magnitude of a real number. The magnitude of a real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ tells us how big the number is irrespective of its sign:

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a, & a \geq 0 \\ -a, & a < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

The “magnitude” or size of an element of a vector space (such as \mathbb{R}^n) is called the *norm* of the vector. The norm of a vector is a function that maps a vector to a non-negative real number, and satisfies the following properties:

- **Non-negativity:** For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\mathbf{x}\| \geq 0$.
- **Definiteness:** The norm of a vector is zero if and only if the vector is the zero vector, i.e., $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
- **Homogeneity:** Scaling a vector by a scalar c , scales the norm of the vector by $|c|$. For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|c\mathbf{x}\| = |c|\|\mathbf{x}\|$.
- **Triangle inequality:** For any vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$.

According to this definition, the magnitude of real numbers (Eq. 1.4) is a norm of the vector \mathbb{R} . The most common norm of a vector is the *Euclidean norm* or the *2-norm* of a vector. The Euclidean norm of a vector

$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ is defined as:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2} \quad (1.5)$$

We are well-versed with this as the length of a vector in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 . The subscript 2 in Eq. 1.5 is used to indicate that it is the 2-norm, which is a special case of a general class of norms in \mathbb{R}^n – the *p-norm*. The *p-norm* is defined as the following:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \geq 1 \quad (1.6)$$

Apart from the *2-norm*, the *1-norm* and the ∞ -norm are also commonly used norms, which are defined as the following:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|, \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max_i |x_i| \quad (1.7)$$

The *1-norm* is the sum of the absolute value of the elements of the vector, and the ∞ -norm is the maximum of the absolute value of the elements of the vector. The *1-norm* is also called the *Manhattan norm* or the *Taxicab norm* because it measures the distance between two points in a city if you can only travel along the grid of streets.

Problem 1.1. Why does the ∞ -norm measure have this weird looking definition compared to the other p -norms?

Solution. Consider the vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $x_{max} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$; let's also assume that the j^{th} element of \mathbf{x} has the maximum absolute value, i.e. $x_{max} = |x_j|$. The p -norm is defined as the following:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = x_{max} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq j}} \left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} = x_{max} (N)^{1/p}$$

where, N is a real number between 1 and n , because $\left| \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right| \leq 1$ (why?). Now, if we increase the value of p to infinity, then the term $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (N)^{1/p} = 1$. Thus, we have $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_p = x_{max} = \max_i |x_i|$. \square

1.10.1 Geometry of the p-norms

In the case of real numbers, the set of all numbers with a magnitude of 1 is the set $\{-1, 1\}$. We can plot these points in the real line as below.




Figure 1.9: The set of all real numbers with magnitude 1. This set contains two numbers $\{-1, 1\}$.

In \mathbb{R}^2 , the set of all vectors from \mathbb{R}^2 with a 2-norm of 1 is the unit circle. The following figure shows the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^2 with unit 1, 2, p , and ∞ norm.




Figure 1.10: Locus of all points with unit 1, 2, p , and ∞ norms in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Problem 1.2. Can you explain why the different norms have these shapes?

Problem 1.3. Can you write a Python program to generate the above plots for different values of $p = 1, 2, 3, 10$ and ∞ ?

Problem 1.4. Can describe what these 1, 2, p and ∞ norms will look like in \mathbb{R}^3 ?

1.11 How similar are two vectors?


The idea of how similar two or more vectors are is an important topic in data analysis, in particular in classification problems in machine learning. Vectors that are “similar” somehow belong to the same “category” or “class”, while vectors that are “dissimilar” belong to different categories or classes. There are various ways to measure the similarity between two vectors. We will look at two methods in this section where similarity is measured by computing the distance between two vectors or by computing the angle between two vectors.

1.11.1 Distance between two vectors

The logic here is that similar vectors correspond to points that are close together, while dissimilar vectors are farther away. We can make use of the norm to compute the distance between two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since the difference between these two vectors $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ is also another vector, we can compute the distance between vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} as the norm of the vector $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ (Figure 1.11a).

$$\text{Distance between } \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_p$$

We could use any of the p norms to compute this or come-up with a new norm depending on the application we are dealing with. Take look at the clusters of points shown in Figure 1.11b, we would agree that the different colored points each form a cluster, since the points of the same color are closer to each other than points from another color.



(a) Distance between two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^2 . This figure depicts the 2-norm, but any p -norm or valid norm function could be used to quantify the distance between two vectors or points.

(b) Distance between two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^2 . This figure depicts the 2-norm, but any p -norm or valid norm function could be used to quantify the distance between two vectors or points. could be used to quantify the distance between

Figure 1.11

1.11.2 Angle between two vectors


This approach is based on the idea that the direction of the vector representing a point contains information about the point. Thus, vectors that point in a similar direction could be considered similar. But how do we measure the angle between two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n ? This is where the concept of the *standard inner product* (or the dot product from vectors from high school math and physics). The standard inner product of two

vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as:

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

The superscript ‘ \top ’ represents the transpose operation. We will not worry about what it means in the next chapter. The standard inner product takes in two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and returns a scalar value \mathbb{R} ; it can

be both positive and negative. We compute it by simply taking the two vectors $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$, and multiply the two of them element-wise $x_i y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and add the n products together $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ to obtain the inner product.



(a) Angle between two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^2 . The standard inner product provides a measure of the cosine of the angle between the two vectors.

(b) The relative angle between the points of the same colors is smaller than that of points of different colors.

Figure 1.12

The standard inner product of two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is related to the cosine of the angle θ between the two vectors, and the 2-norm of the two vectors.

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \cdot \cos(\theta)$$

The angle θ between the two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} can be computed as:

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2} \right), \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \neq 0, \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \neq 0$$

If the 2-norms of the \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , then $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y}$ is simply the cosine of the angle between the vectors.

1.12 Standard and other inner products

$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y}$ is the standard inner product, which of course means there are non-standard inner products. But before we look at generalizing the concept of an inner product, let's look at some properties of the standard inner product.

- **Connection to the 2-norm.** The standard inner product of a vector \mathbf{x} with itself is the square of the 2-norm of the vector, i.e., $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$.

- **Cauchy-Bunyakovski-Schwartz Inequality:**

$$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \quad (1.8)$$

The concept of an inner product is a general one. An inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a function that maps two vectors from \mathbb{R}^n to a scalar value, and satisfies the following properties:

- **Positive definiteness:** $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
- **Symmetry:** For any vectors \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} , $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$.
- **Linearity:** For any vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$, and any scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\langle \alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle$.

We will come across other inner products in due course, but we will stick to the standard inner product for most problem in \mathbb{R}^n in this course. A class of inner products in \mathbb{R}^n is of the form $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$, where \mathbf{A} is a $n \times n$ *positive definite* matrix. The standard inner product is a special case of this class of inner products where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$, the identity matrix. That may sound like too much jargon for now, but we will come to these concepts in the upcoming chapters.

Problem 1.5. Consider the vector space \mathbb{R}^n . Is the the following a valid inner product of \mathbb{R}^n ?

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i y_i, \quad w_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w_i > 0$$

Solution. To find out if this is a valid inner product, we need to verify by if it satisfies the properties of an inner product.

- **Positive definiteness:** The first property is positive definiteness, which is satisfied because $w_i > 0$.

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{since, } w_i > 0 \text{ and } x_i^2 \geq 0$$

Notice, that if any of the w_i is zero or negative, then $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ will not be a valid inner product (why?).

- **Symmetry:** From the commutativity and associativity of multiplication of real numbers, we have $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$.
- **Linearity:** This is also satisfied. You should verify this yourself.

The given function is a valid inner product of \mathbb{R}^n . □

Problem 1.6. Consider the vector space \mathbb{R}^n . Is the the following a valid inner product of \mathbb{R}^n ?

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i y_i, \quad w_i = \begin{cases} +1, & i \text{ is odd} \\ -1, & i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Solution. To find out if this is a valid inner product, we need to verify by if it satisfies the properties of an inner product.

- **Positive definiteness:** This is not satisfied. Can you provide an example where positive definiteness fails?

The given function is a *not* valid inner product of \mathbb{R}^n . □

1.13 Orthogonality of vectors

The concept of orthogonality is a generalization of the concept of perpendicularity in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 . Two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are said to be orthogonal if their standard inner product is zero, i.e., $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} = 0$.

Geometrically, when two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are orthogonal, then when we move along the direction of one vector, we are not moving along the direction of the other vector. If directions of vector convey some information about something, then vectors that are orthogonal to each other are vectors that convey mutually exclusive information, i.e. the two vectors share nothing in common. The concept of orthogonality is a very important concept in linear algebra and we will encounter it again and again.

Note that this definition of orthogonality also implied that $\mathbf{0}$ is orthogonal to all vectors!




Figure 1.13: Orthogonal vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Problem 1.7. Explain why the following statement about the unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^n is true.

$$\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{e}_j = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

All the unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^n are orthogonal to each other, and have unit length.

Problem 1.8. Show if two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are orthogonal to each other, then the following is true.

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2$$

1.14 Basis of a vector space

A set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$, $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a basis for \mathbb{R}^n if it is a linearly independent set and if it spans \mathbb{R}^n . This effectively means the following two things. If V is a basis for a vector space, then,

1. “spans \mathbb{R}^n ” \longrightarrow It can be used to generate every element of \mathbb{R}^n through a linear combination operation.
2. “linearly independent” \longrightarrow There is a unique linear combination of the elements of V that produces every element of \mathbb{R}^n .

A basis is the smallest possible set for generating a vector space.

Note that although the above definition and description is done using \mathbb{R}^n , the concept of a basis applies to any vector space and all its subspaces.

Example 1.8. Consider the set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{e}_1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This set V forms a basis for the subspace $S_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. This is because, the $\text{span}(V) = S_1$ (verify this) and the set V is linearly independent, because $\beta \mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ only if $\beta = 0$.

Example 1.9. Consider the set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{e}_1, 3\mathbf{e}_1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This set V does not form a basis for the subspace $S_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. The $\text{span}(V) = S_1$ (verify this). But the set V is linearly dependent, because $-3\mathbf{e}_1 + 1(3\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{0}$, thus a non-zero set of coefficients result in the zero vector.

Example 1.10. Consider the set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This set V is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 . The $\text{span}(V) = \mathbb{R}^2$ (verify this). And this set V is linearly independent, because $\beta_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$, thus the only way we get the zero vector through the linear combination is if $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$.

Example 1.11. Consider the set of vectors $V = \left\{ \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This set V is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

The $\text{span}(V) = \mathbb{R}^2$ (verify this). And this set V is linearly dependent, because $\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 - 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$; a non-zero linear combination produces the zero vector. Thus, V is not a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

How many different basis does a vector space have? For instance, how many different basis does \mathbb{R}^2 have? For a set to be a basis, all we need to ensure is that the set spans \mathbb{R}^2 and is linearly independent. Thus, there are infinitely many basis for \mathbb{R}^2 . For instance, the sets $\{\alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1, \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2\}$ with $0 \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are all basis for \mathbb{R}^2 . Since there are infinite number of choices for α_1, α_2 , we have an infinite number of basis for \mathbb{R}^2 . The same argument applies to \mathbb{R}^n .




Figure 1.14: Representation of \mathbf{w} in three different basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . (a) and (c) are some arbitrary basis, while (b) is an orthonormal basis.

1.14.1 Orthonormal basis

Among the infinite number of basis for a vector space, there is a special class of basis called the *orthonormal basis*. Let $V = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$, then for an orthonormal basis, the following properties hold:

$$\mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

This means that all basis vector have unit 2-norm, and are orthogonal to each other. We will come across orthonormal basis often in this course, and for a good reason. Orthonormal basis are easy to work with

and it's easy to compute the representation of a vector in an orthonormal basis. Let V be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n , and let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the following representation,

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

Then, the coefficients α_i can be computed as the following:

$$\mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{v}_i = \alpha_j, \text{ because } \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

A special orthonormal basis for the \mathbb{R}^n is the *standard basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$.

1.15 Dimension of a vector space

Although there can be infinite number of basis for a vector space – they all have the same number of elements or basis vectors. This number is called the *dimension* of the vector space that they span. This is also sometimes called the *degrees of freedom* of the vector space. We represent the dimension of a vector space V as $\dim(V)$, e.g. $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$.

The dimension of a vector space also tells us that the maximum number of linearly independent vectors we can choose from that vector space. For instance, in \mathbb{R}^n we can only choose n vectors that can form a linearly independent set. If we already have a linearly independent set with n elements, then adding even one more vector (any vector) to the set will make it linearly dependent. Proper subspaces of a vector space will have dimensions less than the vector space itself.

Example 1.12. The dimension of \mathbb{R}^n is n . The following are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n and their dimensions. Consider the set $V = \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$ with $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$.

- $\dim(\text{span}(V)) = 1$
- Now, let's add another vector \mathbf{v}_2 to V to get $V_1 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ which is still linearly independent, then

$$\dim(\text{span}(V_1)) = 2$$

- Let's now add the vector $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2$ to V_1 to get $V_2 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2\}$, then

$$\dim(\text{span}(V_2)) = 2$$

Why?

- Consider the $V_k = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ which is linearly independent.

$$\dim(\text{span}(V_k)) = ?$$

Can you find out the answer and explain?

1.16 Linear functions

We will conclude this chapter with a brief introduction to linear functions. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be linear if it satisfies the following property $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$f(\alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{y}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta f(\mathbf{y}) \quad (1.10)$$

This means that $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ for all linear functions. If a function does not satisfy this property, then it is not linear.

The standard inner product $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$ with a fixed vector \mathbf{w} is a linear function of \mathbf{x} ,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} = w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \cdots + w_nx_n$$

An interesting fact about linear functions is that every possible $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be presented as a inner product operation with a fixed vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This means the following: if f is a linear function \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} , then there exists a vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This might seem like a strange fact at first. Let's now look at how we could find the vector \mathbf{w} if the function f is linear. This is very simple. We first compute the value of the function for the n unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. $f(\mathbf{e}_1), f(\mathbf{e}_2), \dots, f(\mathbf{e}_n)$. Then,

the vector \mathbf{w} is simply the vector of these values, i.e. $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\mathbf{e}_1) \\ f(\mathbf{e}_2) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{e}_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}$. For any given vector \mathbf{x} , let

the representation of \mathbf{x} in the standard basis be $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$; this simply means that $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{e}_i$.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{e}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f(\mathbf{e}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i w_i = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Here is another interesting consequence of linearity. If we know the value of a linear function for a set of vectors $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$, then know the output of the function for the set $\text{span}(X)$. Can you think of why it is so?

1.17 Exercise

1. Is this set of vectors $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ independent? Explain your answer.
2. Show that the set $\{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n ? This is called the trivial subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . What is the dimension of this subspace?
3. Prove the following for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

(a) **Triangle Inequality:**

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$$

(b) **Backward Triangle Inequality:**

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \geq \left| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\| \right|$$

(c) **Parallelogram Identity:**

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$$

4. Consider a set of vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. When is $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|$? What can you say about the geometry of the vectors \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$?

5. Find the 1, 2 and ∞ norms of the following vectors from \mathbb{R}^3 :

(a) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (b) $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (c) \mathbf{e}_3 (d) $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$ (e) $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3$

6. If $S_1, S_2 \subseteq V$ are subspaces of a vectors space V then, is $S_1 \cap S_2$ a subspace? Is $S_1 \cup S_2$ a subspace? Explain your answers.

7. Consider a vector $\mathbf{v} = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_n]^\top$. Express the following in-terms of inner product between a constant vector \mathbf{u} and the given vector \mathbf{v} , and in each case specify the vector \mathbf{u} .

(a) $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i$
 (b) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$
 (c) $\frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=3}^5 v_i$

8. Which of the following are linear functions of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$?

(a) $\min_i \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$
 (b) $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2}$
 (c) x_6

9. Consider a linear function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Prove that every linear function of this form can be represented in the following form.

$$y = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

10. An *affine* function f is defined as the sum of a linear function and a constant. It can in general be represented in the form,

$$y = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + \beta, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Prove that affine functions are not linear. Prove that any affine function can be represented in the form $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + \beta$.

11. Consider a basis $B = \{\mathbf{b}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Let the vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}_b be the representations in the standard and B basis respectively.

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{e}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_b = \begin{bmatrix} x_{b1} \\ x_{b2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{bn} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{bi} \mathbf{b}_i$$

Evaluate the $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ and $\|\mathbf{x}_b\|_2^2$. Determine what happens to $\|\mathbf{x}_b\|_2^2$ under the following conditions on the basis vectors: **[Marks: 2]**

(a) $\|\mathbf{b}_i\| = 1, \forall i$
 (b) $\|\mathbf{b}_i^\top \mathbf{b}_j\| = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$

12. **[Programming]** Let's build a simple classifier using the concepts you've learned in this chapter. We will pretend that we are doing this for classifying or detecting the presence or absence of a disease called - *vector space sickness*. We wish to do this through two clinical tests - (1) *Subspace assay* and (2) *Basis balance scale*. Both these serious clinical tests generate numerical outcomes that can assume any real number value.

The department has been conducting a large scale clinical study for the last 5-6 years collecting data from participants, from different background, with and without vector space sickness by administering the subspace assay and the basis balance test. The data from this study is stored as a CSV file with four columns: (a) `subjectno` – subject numbers, (b) `x1` – value of the subspace assay test, (c) `x2` – value of the basis balance scale, and (d) `vss` – presence (1) or absence (0) of the vector space sickness condition. Each row of this CSV file corresponds to a individual subject who participated in the study. Your goal here is to look at the data from this experiment and propose how we could build a classifier for determine if a person has vector space sickness is we are given their scores on the subspace assay and the basis balance scale clinical tests.

- (a) **Dataset from young MTechs.** One group of participants in the study was a large sample of of young, 1st year MTech students. Unfortunately, almost half of these students were diagnosed with vector space sickness. This data is stored in `expt1.csv`. Read this data, make a scatter plot in 2D (`x1` versus `x2`) with the data points for participants with vector space sickness in blue and the one without vector space sickness in red. Look at the data, and propose how you could use the measurements `x1` and `x2` to distinguish between participants from the two groups. Implement the classifier you've proposed and find out how well it performs in correctly classifying the two groups.
- (b) **Messed up dataset.** When collecting data from a second set of participants (similar to the one in `expt1.csv`), the engineer had written a program program and ended up saving the results from the subspace assay in a different units. This data is available in `expt2.csv`. Surely, the classifier you've developed using `expt1.csv` should work here as well, as the data is from a similar population, we are using the same two clinical tests, except for the change of units in one of the tests. Run the classifier you've developed using `expt1.csv` on data from `expt2.csv`. Does the classifier work as well? If yes, great! You can move to the next question. If not, why? How can we fix this problem?

Part II

Optimization

Part III

Probability and Statistics

Part IV

Least Squares

