

MASTER THESIS

Name Surname

Thesis title

Name of the department

Supervisor of the master thesis: Supervisor's Name

Study programme: study programme

Study branch: study branch

I declare that I comind out this procton thesis is described and a 1 1 1 1 1
I declare that I carried out this master thesis independently, and only with the cited sources, literature and other professional sources. It has not been used to obtain another or the same degree.
I understand that my work relates to the rights and obligations under the Act No. 121/2000 Sb., the Copyright Act, as amended, in particular the fact that the Charles University has the right to conclude a license agreement on the use of this work as a school work pursuant to Section 60 subsection 1 of the Copyright Act.
In date
Author's signature

Dedication.

Title: Thesis title

Author: Name Surname

Department: Name of the department

Supervisor: Supervisor's Name, department

Abstract: Abstract.

Keywords: key words

Contents

In	troduction	2
1	Gentle summarization of Reinforcement learning 1.1 Defining mathematical common ground for environment	. 3
2	Policy gradient methods 2.1 Idea, motivation and brief technical description of algorithm 2.2 Variants of policy theorem	
3	Multi agent environments for RL 3.1 Definitions	
4	Overcooked environment 4.1 Description	. 6
5	Lack of robustness problem of AI agents 5.1 Related work	. 7
6	Our contribution6.1 Utilized framework6.2 Robustness metric6.3 Population build up6.4 Population policies difference rewards augmentation6.5 Population policies difference loss	. 8
Co	onclusion	9
Bi	bliography	10
Li	st of Figures	11
Li	st of Tables	12
Li	st of Abbreviations	13
\mathbf{A}	Attachments A.1 First Attachment	1 4

Introduction

1. Gentle summarization of Reinforcement learning

An example citation: Anděl [2007]

1.1 Defining mathematical common ground for environment

2. Policy gradient methods

- 2.1 Idea, motivation and brief technical description of algorithm
- 2.2 Variants of policy theorem

Vanilla

PPO

3. Multi agent environments for RL

- 3.1 Definitions
- 3.2 Possible mention of MAPPO success

4. Overcooked environment

4.1 Description

4.2 Base layouts

Cramped room, Assymetric advantages, Coordination ring, Forced coordination, Counter circuit

4.3 Problem of robustness

Definition of robustness problem

Ad hoc agent playing? Trivial states failure?

4.4 Human cooperation vs artificial cooperation

While it is more intriguing to study consequence of human-ai cooperation. This won't be our main point of focus, since experimenting with humans requires non-trivial overhead of human results evaluation.

5. Lack of robustness problem of AI agents

5.1 Related work

Common approaches fail when paired with foreign

6. Our contribution

6.1 Utilized framework

Comparision of rllib and StableBaselines3

Rllib framework was used in original paper, however for our usages stable baselines seemed sufficient and reasonably easy to extend. Stable baselines has no explicit support of multi-agent environments.

Essential modifications of stable baselines

By default SB3 comes with various wrappers to support most of common environment settings, including

Essential modifications of stable baselines

Initializing methods that resets environment did not yield correct initial positions for some maps.

6.2 Robustness metric

Defining our own metric for robust cooperation of two sets of agents. Probably just average of pair results (non diagonal in case of same sets). Maybe number of pairs who surpassed some threshold?

6.3 Population build up

6.4 Population policies difference rewards augmentation

6.5 Population policies difference loss

Conclusion

Bibliography

J. Anděl. Základy matematické statistiky. Druhé opravené vydání. Matfyzpress, Praha, 2007. ISBN 80-7378-001-1.

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

A. Attachments

A.1 First Attachment