

SUMMARY

Problem Statement:

X education is a company which sells online course to industry professionals. The company gets a lot of leads but the lead conversion for the company is very poor. They have assigned a team to help them select the most promising leads, i.e. the leads that are most likely to convert into paying customers.

Solution:

Preparing and Cleaning Dataset:

- There a lot of columns with high number of missing values and since we have around 9000+ data points we can eliminate the columns with 30% missing values
- We dropped City and Country variables since it's of no use to us as the company provides online courses
- Prospect ID and Lead Number are just records identified and as hence dropped
- We dropped all columns which have skewed data points as it won't have any predictability value
- We have found 48% conversion rate after cleaning the data

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA):

From the univariate analysis we can Hypothesis that

- Majority of leads are originated from Landing Page Submission followed by API
- Majority of leads are originated from Landing Page Submission followed by API
- More leads are received from Unemployed customers

From bivariate analysis of the columns with converted column indicates

- Lead originated from Add Form are more likely to be converted
- Working Professional and Housewife are more likely to be converted
- Lead sources from Live Chat, Reference, WeLearn and Welingak Website are more likely to be Converted.

Model Building:

- We created dummy variables for all categorical variables and we split the data into train and test sets with a ratio of 70:30
- We scaled the numerical features with **MinMaxScaler**
- W used Recursive feature Elimination (RFE) to identify 15 most important features in the data set to make the model more robust
- After building our first model we used the Variable inflation factor and p-values of the model to eliminate the statistically insignificant features
- Finally, we ended up with 11 features for the model.

- We created a lead score (i.e. Conversion probability*100) to give a score between 0 and 100. A higher score indicates a hot lead having a higher probability of lead conversion

Model Evaluation:

- The area under the ROC curve was 86% which indicates this is a good model
- From the sensitivity and specificity tradeoff the optimal cutoff point was 0.44 and the metrics for the train set was

Accuracy	74.61%
Sensitivity	76.31%
Specificity	73.56%
Precision	64.01%
Recall	76.31%

Making Predictions on the Test Set:

- The metrics for predictions on the test set is as follows and they are very close to the training set.

Accuracy	74.61%
Sensitivity	77.71%
Specificity	80.10%
Precision	78.40%
Recall	77.71%

Conclusion:

- The top Feature that contributes to the decision are
 1. TotalVisits
 2. Total Time Spent on Website
 3. Lead Origin•Lead Add Form
 4. Lead Source•Welingak Website
 5. What is your current occupation• Unemployed
 6. What is your current occupation• Student

Learning:

- How to work in a team during a project
- How to handle data cleaning and preparations for a logistic regression.
- How to develop Logistic Regression model in python.
- How to create dummy variables on categorical columns
- How we can choose a cut off for model based on sensitivity and specificity.
- How to get list of variables from final model which contribute most towards the probability and help to solve business problem.