

# 1. Introduction

- **Context & Background**

- Explain what anti-cheat systems are and why they exist.
- Describe the rise of competitive online gaming and the problem of cheating.
- Define **kernel-level anti-cheat** vs **user-level anti-cheat**.
- Mention examples: Vanguard (Valorant), Easy Anti-Cheat, BattlEye, etc.

- **Problem Statement**

- The main issue: Kernel-level anti-cheats run at the highest privilege level in the OS — raising security and ethical concerns.

- **Research Questions**

- How does kernel-level anti-cheat work compared to user-level anti-cheat?
- What are the potential security risks?
- Is it worth exposing system data for a cheat-free experience?
- Is kernel-level anti-cheat ethical?

- **Hypothesis**

- Example: *“Kernel-level anti-cheat systems provide stronger protection against cheaters than user-level ones, but pose significant security and ethical trade-offs.”*
- 

# 2. Methods

- **Research Methodology**

- Literature review: analyze technical papers, cybersecurity reports, and case studies (e.g., Riot Vanguard, ESEA, Valorant).

- Comparative analysis: compare architecture and performance of kernel-level vs user-level systems.
  - Ethical analysis: use frameworks (like utilitarianism or rights-based ethics) to evaluate privacy impact.
  - **Data Sources**
    - Technical documentation, whitepapers, academic papers, online security communities, and player feedback.
- 

### 3. Results

- **Technical Comparison**
  - Table showing differences between user-level and kernel-level:
    - Access level
    - Detection rate
    - Resource use
    - Risk of exploitation
  - Example findings: Kernel-level provides deeper access to detect cheats but also allows potential abuse or exploits.
- **Security Risks Identified**
  - Potential for rootkit-like behavior.
  - Vulnerability to privilege escalation attacks.
  - Lack of transparency or user control.
- **Community and Ethical Findings**
  - Player trust issues.

- Debate over “freedom vs fairness” in gaming.
- 

## 4. Discussion

- **Interpretation of Results**

- Summarize which level performs better technically.
- Discuss whether the benefits (fair play) justify the risks (privacy, data security).

- **Ethical Perspective**

- Is it ethical for companies to install drivers that can monitor system-level processes?
- Compare to real-world analogies (e.g., antivirus permissions vs game anti-cheat).

- **Considerations**

- Transparency, informed consent, opt-in mechanisms, and open communication by developers.
- 

## 5. Evaluation

- **Answer Your Research Questions**

- Provide a clear conclusion to each of your listed questions.

- **Limitations**

- Lack of public data on proprietary anti-cheat systems.
- Potential bias in player opinions.

- **Future Work**

- Propose safer anti-cheat designs (sandboxing, AI-based detection, etc.).

---

## 6. References

Use a proper reference style (APA/IEEE) for:

- Official documentation (Riot Vanguard, BattleEye, Easy Anti-Cheat).
- Academic research on kernel security.
- News articles about anti-cheat controversies.