PLSC 502: "Statistical Methods for Political Research"

Exercise Seven

November 6, 2023

Part I

In this part, you're asked to assess the performance of three different measures of association $(\phi, r_{tet}, \text{ and } \tau_b)$ in recovering the correlation between two continuous variables that have been dichotomized. Consider two latent (unmeasured) variables X_1^* and X_2^* ; for simplicity, we'll make each of them simple:

$$X_1^*, X_2^* \sim \mathcal{BVN}(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho).$$

In other words, X_1 and X_2 are both standard normal variables, where the correlation between X_1^* and X_2^* is ρ .¹ For each latent variable, suppose we observe a binary realization X_1 and X_2 , such that:

$$X_{1,2} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } X_{1,2}^* \le 0\\ 1 \text{ if } X_{1,2}^* > 0 \end{cases}$$

The question is, given binary realizations of the X^* s, which of the three measures of association listed $(\phi, r_{tet}, \text{ and } \tau_b)$ does "best" at recovering the true underlying correlation ρ ? Use simulations to answer this question, being sure to vary ρ widely in formulating your answer.

Part II

This part of the exercise is designed to showcase your mastery of one- and two-way contingency tables, and of measures of association for nominal, binary, and ordinal data. We'll consider data on the 2002 Swedish election to the national parliment.² Those data consist of roughly 7000 respondents³ to a series of exit polls there following that election. The data contain a range of variables; we'll focus on eight of them:

- gender a poorly-named variable, coded "0" for men, "1" for women.
- citizen coded "0" for non-citizens, "1" for citizens.
- employed a four-category nominal variable reflecting employment status: "1" = employed, "2" = unemployed, "3" = student/in training, and "4" = retired.

¹Such continuous correlated data are easy to generate using the mvtnorm package; see the class code from November 6 for examples.

²Why the 2002 Swedish election? Well... why not?

³There are actually more than 10000 respondents, but there is significant missing data in a few of the variables.

- union a four-category nominal variable, coding whether the respondent was a member of one of three types of unions (1,2, or 3) or not (4).
- uniondummy a dichotomous version of union, coded "1" if the respondent is a member of *any* union, and "0" otherwise.
- eu another poorly-named variable, variable coded "0" if the respondent thought that Sweden should resign from the EU, and "1" if s/he believed Sweden should stay in the EU.
- partychoice a four-category ordinal variable, coded "1" for conservative parties, "2" for liberals, "3" for social democrats, and "4" for parties of the left.
- euro coded "1" if the respondent favored Sweden's adopting of the Euro as its currency and "0" if s/he did not.

Exercise

- 1. Assess whether there are any differences in party identification, employment status, union membership, and opinions toward the Euro and EU membership between men and women.
- 2. Are there systematic differences between members of different political parties in views on Euro adoption? on EU membership?
- 3. What, if any, is the relationship between support for EU membership and opinion on the Euro? Is that relationship different for men than it is for women? for citizens than for non-citizens? for union members versus non-union members?

As is typically the case, this homework exercise is worth 50 possible points. It is due by 5:00 p.m. EST on Tuesday, November 14, 2023, and should be submitted electronically – via e-mail attachment – to Nathan (nam@psu.edu) and to me (zorn@psu.edu).