

BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Group Number

15

Compiler Construction (CS F363)
II Semester 2021-22
Compiler Project (Stage-2 Submission)
Coding Details
(April 16, 2022)

Instruction: Write the details precisely and neatly. Places where you do not have anything to mention, please write NA for Not Applicable.

1. IDs and Names of team members

ID: __2019A7PS0088P__ Name: __Preetika Verma__

ID: __2019A7PS1140P__ Name: __Pritika Ramu__

ID: __2019A7PS0097P__ Name: __Nandan Parikh__

ID: __2019A7PS0042P__ Name: __Sneha__

ID: __2019A7PS0077P__ Name: __Aadit Deshpande__

2. Mention the names of the Submitted files (Include Stage-1 and Stage-2 both)

- | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1) ast.c | 9) parser.c | 17) typeChecker.c |
| 2) ast.h | 10) parser.h | 18) typeChecker.h |
| 3) astDef.h | 11) parserDef.h | 19) grammar.txt, First.txt, |
| 4) driver.c | 12) predParseTable.c | Follow.txt |
| 5) lexer.c | 13) stack.c | 20) TestCases: p1-p4.txt, |
| 6) lexer.h | 14) symbolTable.c | s1-s5.txt, c1-c8.txt |
| 7) ll1Grammar.c | 15) symbolTable.h | 21) op.txt |
| 8) lookupTable.c | 16) symbolTableDef.h | |

3. Total number of submitted files: ____ 20 (Code Files) ____ (All files should be in **ONE** folder named exactly as Group number)

4. Have you mentioned names and IDs of all team members at the top of each file (and commented well)? (Yes/no) ____ YES ____ [Note: Files without names will not be evaluated]

5. Have you compressed the folder as specified in the submission guidelines? (yes/no) ____ YES ____

6. **Status of Code development:** Mention 'Yes' if you have developed the code for the given module, else mention 'No'.

a. Lexer (Yes/No): ____ YES ____

b. Parser (Yes/No): ____ YES ____

c. Abstract Syntax tree (Yes/No): ____ YES ____

d. Symbol Table (Yes/ No): ____ YES ____

e. Type checking Module (Yes/No): ____ YES ____

f. Semantic Analysis Module (Yes/ no): ____ YES ____ (reached LEVEL __3__ as per the details uploaded)

g. Code Generator (Yes/No): ____ NO ____

7. **Execution Status:**

- a. Code generator produces code.asm (Yes/ No): _____ No _____
- b. code.asm produces correct output using NASM for testcases (C#.txt, #:1-11): _____ No _____
- c. Semantic Analyzer produces semantic errors appropriately (Yes/No): _____ Yes _____
- d. Static Type Checker reports type mismatch errors appropriately (Yes/ No): _____ Yes _____
- e. Dynamic type checking works for variant records with tagged union and reports errors on executing code.asm (yes/no): _____ No _____
- f. Symbol Table is constructed (yes/no) _____ Yes _____ and printed appropriately (Yes /No): _____ Yes _____
- g. AST is constructed (yes/ no) _____ Yes _____ and printed (yes/no) _____ Yes _____
- h. Name the test cases out of 17 as uploaded on the course website for which you get the segmentation fault (p#.txt ; # 1-4, s\$.txt; \$ 1-5, and c@.txt ; @:1-8): _____ None _____

8. **Data Structures** (Describe in maximum 2 lines and avoid giving C definition of it)

- a. **AST node structure** _____ Has the Fields: AST Node Type, Grammar Symbol, Lexeme, Line number and isUnion. Implemented as an N-ary Tree Node (Parent, First Child, Sibling) _____
- b. **Symbol Table structure**: _____ 3 sub tables for Identifiers, Record/Union and Function, with hash-chained nodes (3 types corresponding to each type of table) _____
- c. **Record type expression structure**: _____
- d. **Data structure for global variables**: _____ Boolean global in "Identifier Node" _____
- e. **Variant record type expression structure**: _____ Boolean isVariant in the "Record/Union Node" _____
- f. **Input parameters type structure**: _____ Token, AST Node Type, pointer to next parameter (linked list) _____
- g. **Output parameters type structure**: _____ >same as input parameters> _____
- h. Structure for maintaining the three address code(if created) : _____ --- _____
- i. Any other interesting data structures used : _____

9. **Semantic Checks**: Mention your scheme NEATLY for testing the following major checks (in not more than 5-10 words)[Hint: You can use simple phrases such as 'symbol table entry empty', 'symbol table entry already found populated', 'traversal of linked list of parameters and respective types' etc.]

- a. **Variable not Declared** : _____ Symbol Table Entry retrieved is empty _____
- b. **Multiple declarations**: _____ Symbol Table Entry already for lexeme already exists _____
- c. **Number and type of input and output parameters**: _____ Checked in Pass 4 of AST traversal, while making Function Table _____
- d. **assignment of value to the output parameter in a function**: _____ Matching type and number of outputs of function call with symbol table. _____
- e. function call semantics: Type checking and number matching the input and output parameters in function call with the function node in symbol table. _____
- f. **static type checking** : _____ Referred to Symbol Table to compare AST Types _____
- g. return semantics: _____ Checking if return parameters are assigned a value and the type and lexeme is the same as the function output parameters. _____
- h. **Recursion** : _____ No Recursion allowed in functions _____

- i. module overloading: __implemented operator overloading_____
- j. **if-then-else semantics** : ____Implemented in AST with correct syntactic structure_____
- k. **handling offsets for local variables** (starting with 0, integer size =2, real size =4 for symbol table purpose): __Each Function has local offset starting from 0, counting input/output parameters first then counting for local declarations of variables_____
- l. **handling offsets for formal parameters**: __iterate through parameter list and set offsets (starting from 0)_____
- m. **handling global variable declaration over local variables and input-output parameters**: _overwrite in symbol table entry with global variable declaration_____
- n. Record semantics and static type checking: ____checking nested records attributes matching with the symbol table._____
- o. Variant record semantics and dynamic type checking: __ (Not handled) _____
- p. **Scope of variables and their visibility** : _____stored and handled with identifier table and function table_____
- q. handling nesting depth of variables in Boolean expression in while loop for assignment of an expression to one of the guard variables: _____checking if the comparison in a while loop is performed for variables that have been assigned a value in symbol table entry_____

10. Compiler passes description (Mention the details of information collected/populated/worked upon at each traversal of the whole AST):

- a. Pass 1: _collect names of records and unions_____
- b. Pass 2: ____map alias of constructed datatype to actual name_____
- c. Pass 3: ____creates record and union table traversing fields of all the records_____
- d. Pass 4: ____width calculation for record and union recursively and storing the identifiers_____
- e. Extra Pass:

11. Code Generation: **(Not implemented)**

- a. NASM version as specified earlier used (Yes/no): _____ --- _____
- b. Used 32-bit or 64-bit representation: _____ --- _____
- c. For your implementation: 1 memory word = _____ --- _____ (in bytes)
- d. Mention the names of major registers used by your code generator:
 - For base address of an activation record: _ --- _____
 - for stack pointer: _____ --- _____
 - others (specify): _____ --- _____
- e. Mention the physical sizes of the integer and real data as used in your code generation module

size(integer): _____ --- _____ (in words/ locations), _____ --- _____ (in bytes)

size(real): _____ --- _____ (in words/ locations), _____ --- _____ (in bytes)

f. How did you implement functions calls?(write 3-5 lines describing your model of implementation)

_____(N.A.)_____

g. Specify the following:

• Caller's responsibilities:_____---

• Callee's responsibilities:_____---

h. How did you maintain return addresses? (write 3-5 lines): _____

_____(N.A.)_____

i. How have you maintained parameter passing? How were the statically computed offsets of the parameters used by the callee? _____---

j. What have you included in the activation record size computation? (local variables, parameters, both): _____

_____---

k. Choice of registers (your manually selected heuristic only) _____

_____---

l. Which primitive data types have you handled in your code generation module?(Integer and real): _____

_____---

m. Where are you placing the temporaries in the activation record of a function? _____

_____---

n. Write your method of code generation for dynamic type checking for tagged union data type. _____

_____---

12. Compilation Details:

a. Makefile works (yes/No):_____YES_____

b. Code Compiles (Yes/ No):_____YES_____

c. Mention the .c files that do not compile:_____None_____

d. Any specific function that does not compile:_____None_____

e. Ensured the compatibility of your code with the specified versions [GCC, UBUNTU, NASM]
(yes/no)_____YES_____

13. Execution time for compiling the test cases [type checking (p1-p4.txt), semantic analyses including symbol table creation (s1-s5.txt), and code generation (c1-c8.txt)] :

i. p1.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

ii. p2.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

iii. p3.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

iv. p4.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

v. s1.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

- vi. s2.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- vii. s3.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- viii. s4.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- ix. s5.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- x. c1.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xi. c2.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xii. c3.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xiii. c4.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xiv. c5.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xv. c6.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xvi. c7.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- xvii. c8.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

14. **Driver Details:** Does it take care of the **ELEVEN** options specified earlier?(yes/no): _____ NO _____
(All 10, Except Code Generation)

15. Specify the language features your compiler is not able to handle (in maximum one line)
____ NASM Code Generation, Variant Records Dynamic Checking _____

16. Are you availing the lifeline (Yes/No): _____ NO _____

17. Write exact command you expect to be used for executing the code.asm using NASM simulator [We will use these directly while evaluating your NASM created code]

(Not Applicable) _____

18. **Strength of your code**(Strike off where not applicable): (a) correctness (b) completeness (c) robustness (d) Well documented (e) readable (f) strong data structure (f) Good programming style (indentation, avoidance of goto stmts etc) (g) modular (h) space and time efficient

19. **Any other point you wish to mention:** __ We have implemented comprehensive functionality in the Symbol Table for handling nested records. Our Type Checking is very thorough and is capable of handling operations involving 2 records or a Record and a Scalar. _____

20. Declaration: We, Preetika Verma, Pritika Ramu, Nandan Parikh, Sneha, and Aadit Deshpande declare that we have put our genuine efforts in creating the compiler project code and have submitted the code developed only by our group. We have not copied any piece of code from any source. If our code is found plagiarized in any form or degree, we understand that a disciplinary action as per the institute rules will be taken against us and we will accept the penalty as decided by the department of Computer Science and Information Systems, BITS, Pilani.
[Write your ID and names below]

ID__ 2019A7PS0088P _____
ID__ 2019A7PS1140P _____
ID__ 2019A7PS0097P _____
ID__ 2019A7PS0042P _____
ID__ 2019A7PS0077P _____

Name: __ Preetika Verma _____
Name: __ Pritika Ramu _____
Name: __ Nandan Parikh _____
Name: __ Sneha _____
Name: __ Aadit Deshpande _____

Date: __ 16 April 2022 _____

Should not exceed 6 pages.