(2) Ophnization problem: $\min_{\omega,b,\,\epsilon} \frac{1}{2} ||\omega||^2 + \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon^2$ st. $y^{(i)}(\omega^T x^{(i)} + b) \ge 1 - \varepsilon_i^* \quad (i \in \{1, ..., m_i^2\})$ (a) There is no need for he non-negativity constraint on E, i.e. EZD for 12 norm soft margin ophinization In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$, ϵi In the equation $f^{(i)}(w^{\dagger}n^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\epsilon i$ In th generalisable. Ei can be visualised as the distance or the stack.

given to the misclassification It is to deviation for

from the pattern or too so to say, the glitch in

matrin' Now he sign of his variable is defined by the relative position) to misclassifications from to

support on the side it is the while

on the other it is -ne. Now in the equation for 12 norm ophinization, In This eliminates the sign of E as a result on eliminating the need of keying a constraint on it's marity. form is squared.

(5) The layrangian for two given problem is. LUCORO $\mathcal{L}(\omega,\alpha,b,\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^T\omega + \frac{c}{9}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\varepsilon_i^2$ $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{i}^{2} \left(y^{(i)} \left(\omega^{T} \chi^{(i)} + b \right) + \mathcal{E}_{i}^{2} - 1 \right)$ + x 20 2 + i = 3 1, ---, m3 (c) For callendary hy sual see we need somed fint & equate the to 0.

OL = (1)(20) + 0 - \(\frac{1}{2}(\pi_1)(y_1, \pi_1)) = 0

\[
\text{DW} = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_2)(\pi_2) \text{DW} \quad need some derivations $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i)(y_i, \alpha_i).$ $\partial L = 0 + 0 + 0 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i)(y_i(1+0)) = 0$ $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i \chi_{i} \gamma_i) = 0.$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \varepsilon} = 0 - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i^2 + 2c \sum_{i=1}^{M} \varepsilon_i^2 = 0.$ → こが = c 差 E; · luty tru about 8 in Prinal form, - Z x;(y; ((Z x; y; n;) 7 2; +5) + 6;-1)

Scarned with Camsc

on simplify.

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}^{i} y_{i} y_{i}^{j} m_{i}^{m} \alpha_{j}^{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}^{i} \frac{\alpha_{j}^{i}}{c}.$$

The maximize his two our α_{i} , s.t. $\alpha_{i} \ge 0$ $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{j}^{i} = 0$

(43) Gansséan Kernel: K(n,z) = enp(-1121-3/12/22) Given: no 2 identical pts in training set T.P.: we can always find a val. I bandwidth parem T. s.t. SVM autimes O error. $(\alpha) \quad f(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y^{(i)} K(\alpha^{(i)}, \alpha) + b.$ (hure in min sup" dist & st. // n(i) - n(i) // Z & To find: set) paremy (x1, ---, xm, b) & Gaussian Kernel width I S.t. o(i) is classified corruty VI. (aus) from hint, let $\alpha = 1 + i + b = 0$ det any arbitrary train point be 32(1), y(1)3 now prediction would be correct of $\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^n) - y^{(1)}\right) < 1. - \square$ $\left| f(\alpha^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{i} k \left(\alpha^{(i)}, \alpha^{(i)} \right) - y^{(i)} \right|.$ $= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m} y(k) \left(-\frac{|| \pi(k) - \alpha^{(1)} ||^{2}}{|| \alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(1)} ||^{2}} \right) (1) \right|$ now from huse k[1,m], hum "u a k which corresponds to i.

 $| f(n^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} | = | \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y(k) e^{(11x^{(k)} - x^{(i)}11^2)} + y^{(i)} - y^{(i)} |$ now from A inequality, we know mat 1a+b) 5 1a1 + 1b1 If we apply his inequality for each to our eg will $\left|f(n^{(i)})-y^{(i)}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|y^{(k)}e^{-\frac{||n^{(k)}-n^{(i)}||^2}{|T|^2}}\right|$ Noug $y^k \in \{2-1, 1\}$ (10) $\left| + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2} \right|$ now $||n^{(k)} - n^{(i)}|| \geq 2$ (from assumption figure in question : |f(n(i)) - y(i) | < \sum_{k\nu}^{m} e^{-\frac{Q^{1}}{RL}} since $e^{-\frac{g^2}{T^2}}$ is not dependant on k. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int$ (m-1) 6 - Er < 1 => e == < 1 m-1

taking log on both sides -82 < log (1) C' > -8-107(-1) To for SVM to have O error & all he pts
to be classified correctly, , when d; = 1 & b = 0. i parameters found Yes hu SVM will give us O toding coror, if we was run hu SVM with slack variables wing the parameter T found earlier. The points value of T was calculated in such a way that all her points would have been classified correctly. Since all pts were classified correctly, the training terror incurred has to be D. Now If in his scenario clack variables are introduced, the constraint becomes from y(i) (wTn(i) + b) 21 - Ei + i. With autable selection of parameters. If In situation ands what a low hyperplans can be training orror can also se obtained.