Math 161 Final Priya Malhotra

For my final I chose to prove the closed form equation of the number of irreducible monic polynomials of degree d, labelled as r_d , is $r_d = (1/d) \sum_{y|d} \mu(y) q^{(d/y)}$. There are three main steps to split this up into, as I outline below. This very closely mimics how I split up my main theorems in the Lean code, with some additional lemmas to reuse and make the work more readable. For this first draft, please find a write-up of question formulations and proofs for each of the three parts that directly build on previous parts to lead to a final answer.

Fix a prime number p. A polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ is called *monic* if its leading coefficient is equal to one. Write a_d for the number of monic polynomials of degree d and write r_d for the number of irreducible monic polynomials of degree d. Our convention is that $a_0 = 1$.

1. Letting A(x) denote the generating function for the sequence a_d , show that

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{1 - nx}.$$

- P~

For a degree d monic polynomial

$$f(X) = X^d + c_{d-1}X^{d-1} + \dots + c_0$$

in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, the vector of coefficients (except for the leading coefficient which is always the same) is $\langle c_{d-1}, \ldots, c_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$. Since each polynomial is uniquely determined by its vector of coefficients, the number of monic polynomials of degree d

$$a_d = |\mathbb{F}_p^d|$$

$$= |\mathbb{F}_p|^d$$

$$= p^d, \tag{1}$$

which is also consistent with the convention that $a_0 = 1$.

The power series expansion of

$$\frac{1}{1-z} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i,\tag{2}$$

SO

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{1 - px} \text{ as given}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (px)^i \text{ by } (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i x^i$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \text{ by } (1).$$

2. Using the fact that any polynomial can be uniquely factored into irreducible polynomials, prove that

$$A(x) = \prod_{d>0} \left(\frac{1}{1-x^d}\right)^{r_d}.$$

·--·

$$\begin{split} \prod_{d \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{1-x^d}\right)^{r_d} &= \prod_{d \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty x^{id}\right)^{r_d} \text{ by } (2) \\ &= \prod_{d \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{r_d} \sum_{i=0}^\infty x^{id} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} \sum_{i=0}^\infty x^{i \deg f} \text{ by definition of } r_d \\ &= \prod_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} \sum_{i=0}^\infty x^{\deg f^i} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} (1+x^{\deg f}+x^{\deg f^2}+\cdots) \\ &= 1 \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f} + \sum_{\substack{f,g \} \subset \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} x^{\deg g^2} x^{\deg g^2} + \cdots \\ &= 1 \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f,g \text{ ponic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2 + \deg g} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f,g \text{ ponic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2 + \deg g} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2 + \deg g} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2 + \deg g} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2 + \deg g} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2 + \deg g} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f,g \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} + \cdots \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}$$

$$= 1$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f} + \sum_{\substack{\{f,g\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f,g \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg fg} + \cdots$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2} \sum_{\substack{\{f,g\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible}}} x^{\deg f^2g} + \cdots$$

$$f \text{ monic} \qquad f,g \text{ monic} \\ f \text{ irreducible} \qquad f,g \text{ irreducible}$$

$$+ \cdots$$

$$(3)$$

Because any polynomial can be uniquely factored into irreducible polynomials, and (3) contains all possible combinations of all powers of the irreducible monic polynomials of \mathbb{F}_p , (3) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \\ f \text{ monic}}} x^{\deg f}. \tag{4}$$

When indexed by degree, (4) is equal to

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} a_d x^d, \tag{5}$$

which is A(x) by definition.

3. By taking logarithmic derivative (i.e. computing $\frac{d}{dx} \log A(x) = \frac{A'(x)}{A'(x)}$ in two ways using above formulas for A(x) and comparing the results) deduce that

$$p^n = \sum_{d|n} dr_d.$$

Explain why this relation determines the numbers r_d uniquely.

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{1}{1 - px} = \frac{d}{dx} \log \prod_{d \ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^d}\right)^{r_d}$$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1 - px}}{\frac{1}{1 - px}} = \frac{d}{dx} \log \prod_{d \ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^d}\right)^{r_d}$$

$$\frac{\frac{p}{(1 - px)^2}}{\frac{1}{1 - px}} = \frac{d}{dx} \log \prod_{d \ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^d}\right)^{r_d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1 - px}} = \frac{d}{dx} \log \prod_{d \ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^d}\right)^{r_d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1 - px}} = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{d \ge 0} \log \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^d}\right)^{r_d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \log \frac{1}{1-x^d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \log \frac{1}{1-x^d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{1}{1-x^d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \frac{\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{1-x^d}}{1-x^d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \frac{\frac{d^{d-1}}{1-x^d}}{1-x^d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \frac{\frac{d^{d-1}}{1-x^d}}{1-x^d}$$

$$\frac{p}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \sum_{d\geq 0} r_d \frac{dx^{d-1}}{1-x^d}$$

$$p \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1-px}} = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d x^{d-1} \frac{1}{1-x^d}$$

$$p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (px)^n = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d x^{d-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{nd} \text{ by (2)}$$

$$p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n x^n = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d x^{d-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{nd}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n x^{n-1} = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{nd-1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n x^{n-1} = x \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{nd-1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n x^n = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{nd-1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n x^n = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{nd-1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n x^n = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{nd}$$

$$px + p^2 x^2 + \dots = \sum_{d\geq 0} dr_d (x^d + x^{2d} + \dots)$$

$$px + p^2 x^2 + \dots = \sum_{d\geq 0} (dr_d x^d + dr_d x^{2d} + \dots)$$
(6)

For each term in the right-hand side of (6), dr_d is added to its coefficient if and only if the degree of the term is a multiple of d, because for each $d \ge 0$, dr_d is added to x^d, x^{2d}, \ldots on the right-hand side. Therefore, we have:

$$px + p^{2}x^{2} + \dots = \sum_{d|1} dr_{d}x + \sum_{d|2} dr_{d}x^{2} + \dots$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{n}x^{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} dr_{d}x^{n}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{n}x^{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{n} \sum_{d|n} dr_{d}$$

$$p^{n} = \sum_{d|n} dr_{d}$$

$$(7)$$

which allows r_d to be calculated recursively using only r_b with b < d. (The numbers r_d can also be calculated in closed form using Möbius inversion.)

In Lean, I have defined the sets of polynomials with degree d, monic polynomials of degree d, and irreducible monic polynomials of degree d respectively over any semiring as

```
variables (R : Type) [semiring R]

def degree_eq (d : N) : set R[X] := { f | f.nat_degree = d }

def monic_degree_eq (d : N) := degree_eq R d ∩ { f | f.monic }

def irreducible_degree_eq (d : N) :=
  monic_degree_eq R d ∩ { f | irreducible f }
```

From these definitions, I refine to specifically finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{l^{\times}}$

```
def monics (d : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{Z} := (#(monic_degree_eq (galois_field p n) d)).to_nat def irreducibles (d : \mathbb{N}) := (#(irreducible_degree_eq (galois_field p n) d)).to_nat
```

using field_theory.finite.galois_field from mathlib.

In order to prove Part 1 of my paper proof, I define an equivalence between mathlib's existing submodule of polynomials over a semiring R with degree strictly less than d degree_lt and my $monic_degree_eq$ set by bijectively erasing the leading coefficient of a monic polynomial to obtain an element in $degree_lt$, and conversely adding a monic term with degree exactly d to obtain an element of $monic_degree_eq$, and encapsulate the equivalence in

```
def monic_degree_lt_equiv \{d: \mathbb{N}\} : monic_degree_eq R d \simeq degree_lt R d
```

I proceed to prove the consequential lemma

```
lemma card_degree_lt (d : N) : #(degree_lt F d) = #F ^ d
```

which, in turn, depends on very recently proved theorems in mathlib, like cardinal_mk_eq_cardinal_mk_field_pow_rank and rank_span_set: these results were not in mathlib when the current semester started! This shows that my current final project is on the active edge of mathlib's reach.

The central result of Part 1 is fully proven transitively using card_degree_lt, from which the cardinality of the monic polynomials of degree d can be determined via monic_degree_lt_equiv, and a readable calc construction, as

```
-- A(x) = 1/(1-QC)
   lemma monic_generating_function : mk (monics p n) = rescale \uparrow(p ^ (n : \mathbb{N}))
(inv_units_sub 1) :=
   calc mk (monics p n) = mk (pow \uparrow(p \hat{} (n : \mathbb{N}))) : -- A(x) = \Sigma_{i=0}
x^i
     begin
       apply power_series.ext,
       simp only [coeff_mk],
       intro d,
       unfold monics,
       norm_cast,
       rw [cardinal.mk_congr (monic_degree_lt_equiv (galois_field p n)),
            card_degree_lt d],
       swap,
       { apply_instance },
       rw cardinal.mk_fintype,
       rw galois_field.card,
       swap,
       { simp only [ne.def, pnat.ne_zero, not_false_iff] },
       norm_cast,
       rw cardinal.to_nat_cast
     end
                                        = _ : -- \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i x^i = 1/(1-qx)
     begin
       apply power_series.ext,
       simp only [coeff_mk, coeff_rescale, coeff_inv_units_sub, one_pow, one_divp,
int.units_inv_eq_self, units.coe_one, mul_one, eq_self_iff_true, forall_const]
     end
```

For Part 2 of my proof, an infinite product of power series is used; however, mathlib contains no such notion. Therefore, I rigorously defined such an infinite product in my section infinite_product based on power series convolution, or equivalently, an infinite Cauchy product of power series. The general, reusable, and rigorous proof of the infinite product and its related lemmas was a very intensive effort, which paved the way to a formalization of Part 2 as monic_generating_function'.

I formalized the statement of Part 3 as

```
lemma irreducibles_arithmetic_function : \forall \ m>(0:\mathbb{N}), (\text{p ^ (n:\mathbb{N})) ^ m}=\Sigma d \ \text{in m.divisors, d * irreducibles p n d}
```

Since Part 3 uses logarithmic differentiation over infinite series, which mathlib also has no support for, and very little time was left after defining all of section infinite_product, the logarithmic differentiation is left as sorry, though the equivalence which needs proving has been set up from monic_generating_function' and monic_generating_function previously.

Finally, the Möbius inversion of irreducibles_arithmetic_function is rigorously completed using mathlib's existing canonical definition of the Möbius μ and divisor sums

```
-- r_d = (1/d) \Sigma_{y|d} \mu(y) q^(d/y)
   theorem irreducibles_closed_form
      (d : \mathbb{N}) (h : 0 < d)
      : \uparrow(irreducibles p n d) = (\Sigmax in d.divisors_antidiagonal, \mu x.fst * (p \uparrow
(n : \mathbb{N}) ^ x.snd) / d :=
   begin
      let f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} := (\lambda d, d * irreducibles p n d),
      let g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} := pow (p ^ (n : \mathbb{N})),
      suffices : \Sigma x in d.divisors_antidiagonal, \uparrow(\mu x.fst) * g x.snd = f d,
      { dsimp [f, g] at this,
        norm_cast at this,
        rw_mod_cast this,
        ring_nf,
        rw [nat.mul_div_assoc _ (dvd_refl d), nat.div_self h, mul_one] },
      apply nat.arithmetic_function.sum_eq_iff_sum_mul_moebius_eq.mp,
      swap,
      { exact h },
      intros d hd,
      dsimp [f, g],
      rw_mod_cast (irreducibles_arithmetic_function p n d hd)
   end
```

My final project pushes the boundaries of what has been formalized in Lean, utilizes extremely recent additions to mathlib, and contributes reusable code for infinite Cauchy products of infinite series—an advanced topic which has nontrivial formalization. Though I have had to leave some parts as sorry because of the time the needed to formalize the infinite product and cardinality of the set of monic polynomials of given degree, I have made novel progress towards the formalization of this important result of abstract algebra and number theory.