

# Hypothesis Testing

November 20, 2023

```
[37]: import numpy as np
      from scipy.stats import chi2_contingency
      import scipy.stats as stats
```

## 1 Alcohol and Substance Abuse: Chi Squared Tests ( Income, Region, Race, Gender )

### 1.1 Race

```
[8]: # Create a contingency table
      observed_data = np.array([[142,23890],
                                [146,118733],
                                [383,176839],
                                [1001,474737],
                                [1130,581671],
                                [4004,1314526]])
```

```
[15]: # Perform the chi-squared test
      chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)
```

```
[17]: chi2
```

```
[17]: 448.2708136665917
```

```
[18]: p
```

```
[18]: 1.1594774961384505e-94
```

```
[12]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
      alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
      if p < alpha:
          print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is_
          ↳dependent on race.")
      else:
          print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse_
          ↳cases is independent of race.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is dependent on race.

## 1.2 Gender

```
[19]: # Create a contingency table
observed_data = np.array([[4488,1354413],
                           [2708,1544041],
                           ])

[20]: # Perform the chi-squared test
chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)

[22]: chi2

[22]: 704.5858230765883

[23]: p

[23]: 3.0094796136033507e-155

[21]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
if p < alpha:
    print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is_
    ↪dependent on gender.")
else:
    print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse_
    ↪cases is independent of gender.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is dependent on gender.

## 1.3 Region

```
[27]: # Create a contingency table
observed_data = np.array([[1467,662619],
                           [2602,1172837],
                           [1257,388398],
                           [1871,674599]])

[28]: # Perform the chi-squared test
chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)

[29]: chi2

[29]: 163.5906715202513
```

```
[30]: p
```

```
[30]: 3.077423050012854e-35
```

```
[31]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
if p < alpha:
    print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is
    ↪dependent on region.")
else:
    print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse
    ↪cases is independent of region.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is dependent on region.

## 1.4 Income

```
[32]: # Create a contingency table
observed_data = np.array([[1540,557327],
                           [1918,777093],
                           [1690,678509],
                           [2049,885524]])
```

```
[33]: # Perform the chi-squared test
chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)
```

```
[34]: chi2
```

```
[34]: 27.833423644850136
```

```
[35]: p
```

```
[35]: 3.936499874097809e-06
```

```
[36]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
if p < alpha:
    print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is
    ↪dependent on income.")
else:
    print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse
    ↪cases is independent of income.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is dependent on income.

## 1.5 Age : Correlation Test

```
[43]: countofasa_age =  
      ↪ [296,24,19,18,13,15,14,17,17,33,20,23,65,84,181,370,691,707,1086,1739,1765]  
  
      # Calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient  
      correlation_coefficient, p_value = stats.pearsonr(age_alcohol_abuse,  
      ↪ countofasa_age)  
  
      # Output the results  
      print(f"Pearson correlation coefficient: {correlation_coefficient:.2f}")  
      print(f"P-value: {p_value:.2f}")  
  
      # Interpret the results  
      if p_value < 0.05: # You can choose your significance level  
          print("There is a significant correlation between age and the count of  
          ↪ cases.")  
      else:  
          print("There is no significant correlation between age and the count of  
          ↪ cases.")
```

Pearson correlation coefficient: 0.73

P-value: 0.00

There is a significant correlation between age and the count of cases.

```
[ ]:
```

# Alcohol & Substance Abuse ANOVA & Kruskal-Wallis

November 20, 2023

## 1 ANOVA

```
[22]: import pandas as pd
import scipy.stats as stats
import statsmodels.api as sm
from statsmodels.formula.api import ols
import scikit_posthocs as sp
```

```
[4]: data_anova = pd.read_excel('/Users/yashgupta/Downloads/BSAN Project Files_
↳ Edited/Final Datasets/Alcohol and Drug Abuse Subset.xlsx')
```

```
[5]: data_anova.isna().sum()
```

```
[5]: HOSP_REGION_DES    0
RACE_DES              0
TOTCHG               0
dtype: int64
```

```
[6]: # Check for non-numeric values in the 'TOTCHG' column
non_numeric = data_anova['TOTCHG'].apply(lambda x: isinstance(x, str))
missing_data = data_anova['TOTCHG'].isnull()

# Remove rows with non-numeric 'TOTCHG' values
clean_data_anova = data_anova[~(non_numeric | missing_data)].copy()

# Convert 'TOTCHG' to numeric
clean_data_anova['TOTCHG'] = pd.to_numeric(clean_data_anova['TOTCHG'])

# Perform the Two-Way ANOVA
model_anova_clean = ols('TOTCHG ~ C(HOSP_REGION_DES) + C(RACE_DES) +
↳ C(HOSP_REGION_DES):C(RACE_DES)', data=clean_data_anova).fit()
anova_results_clean = sm.stats.anova_lm(model_anova_clean, typ=2)

# Output the results
print(anova_results_clean)
```

	sum_sq	df	F	PR(>F)
C(HOSP_REGION_DES)	8.250780e+11	3.0	78.920493	4.357724e-50

C(RACE_DES)	2.184381e+11	5.0	12.536444	3.914456e-12
C(HOSP_REGION_DES):C(RACE_DES)	1.026040e+11	15.0	1.962858	1.426386e-02
Residual	2.134819e+13	6126.0	NaN	NaN

## 2 Kruskal-Wallis

```
[14]: group_sizes = clean_data_anova.groupby(['HOSP_REGION_DES', 'RACE_DES']).size()
      print(group_sizes)
```

HOSP_REGION_DES	RACE_DES	
Midwest	Asian or Pacific Islander	23
	Black	232
	Hispanic	105
	Native American	57
	Other	59
	White	1154
Northeast	Asian or Pacific Islander	27
	Black	167
	Hispanic	193
	Native American	2
	Other	116
	White	493
South	Asian or Pacific Islander	17
	Black	458
	Hispanic	332
	Native American	16
	Other	93
	White	1366
West	Asian or Pacific Islander	73
	Black	82
	Hispanic	397
	Native American	59
	Other	76
	White	553

dtype: int64

```
[16]: # Filter groups with at least 3 observations
      filtered_data = clean_data_anova.groupby(['HOSP_REGION_DES', 'RACE_DES']).
      ↪filter(lambda x: len(x) >= 3)
```

```
[18]: # Group by each factor and check if there are at least two groups
      groups_by_region = filtered_data.groupby('HOSP_REGION_DES')
      groups_by_race = filtered_data.groupby('RACE_DES')

      # Kruskal-Wallis Test for 'HOSP_REGION_DES' if there are at least two groups
      if len(groups_by_region) >= 2:
```

```

    kruskal_results_region = stats.kruskal(*[group['TOTCHG'] for name, group in
↳groups_by_region])
    print('Kruskal-Wallis Test for HOSP_REGION_DES:', kruskal_results_region)
else:
    print('Not enough groups for Kruskal-Wallis Test on HOSP_REGION_DES')

# Kruskal-Wallis Test for 'RACE_DES' if there are at least two groups
if len(groups_by_race) >= 2:
    kruskal_results_race = stats.kruskal(*[group['TOTCHG'] for name, group in
↳groups_by_race])
    print('Kruskal-Wallis Test for RACE_DES:', kruskal_results_race)
else:
    print('Not enough groups for Kruskal-Wallis Test on RACE_DES')

```

Kruskal-Wallis Test for HOSP\_REGION\_DES:  
KruskalResult(statistic=413.96141641337977, pvalue=2.0935623622457854e-89)  
Kruskal-Wallis Test for RACE\_DES: KruskalResult(statistic=204.29404777163893,  
pvalue=3.4251160817379375e-42)

```

[23]: # Dunn's Test for 'HOSP_REGION_DES'
dunn_test_region = sp.posthoc_dunn(filtered_data, val_col='TOTCHG',
↳group_col='HOSP_REGION_DES', p_adjust='bonferroni')
print('Dunn\'s Test for HOSP_REGION_DES:\n', dunn_test_region)

# Dunn's Test for 'RACE_DES'
dunn_test_race = sp.posthoc_dunn(filtered_data, val_col='TOTCHG',
↳group_col='RACE_DES', p_adjust='bonferroni')
print('Dunn\'s Test for RACE_DES:\n', dunn_test_race)

```

Dunn's Test for HOSP\_REGION\_DES:

	Midwest	Northeast	South	West
Midwest	1.000000e+00	2.442636e-50	2.389712e-14	3.770388e-71
Northeast	2.442636e-50	1.000000e+00	1.805234e-19	5.715719e-01
South	2.389712e-14	1.805234e-19	1.000000e+00	4.644086e-32
West	3.770388e-71	5.715719e-01	4.644086e-32	1.000000e+00

Dunn's Test for RACE\_DES:

	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black \
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.000000e+00	1.000000e+00
Black	1.000000e+00	1.000000e+00
Hispanic	1.000000e+00	1.967826e-02
Native American	7.042033e-08	4.744021e-09
Other	1.000000e+00	4.865932e-02
White	3.572575e-04	1.258790e-09

	Hispanic	Native American	Other \
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.000000e+00	7.042033e-08	1.000000e+00
Black	1.967826e-02	4.744021e-09	4.865932e-02
Hispanic	1.000000e+00	4.417334e-14	1.000000e+00

Native American	4.417334e-14	1.000000e+00	8.019632e-13
Other	1.000000e+00	8.019632e-13	1.000000e+00
White	4.042140e-26	1.384044e-03	9.237443e-13

	White
Asian or Pacific Islander	3.572575e-04
Black	1.258790e-09
Hispanic	4.042140e-26
Native American	1.384044e-03
Other	9.237443e-13
White	1.000000e+00

These results from the Kruskal-Wallis tests for ‘HOSP\_REGION\_DES’ and ‘RACE\_DES’ show significant differences in the ‘TOTCHG’ variable across the different levels of these categorical variables. Let’s interpret these results:

Kruskal-Wallis Test for ‘HOSP\_REGION\_DES’:

Statistic: 413.96413.96 P-value:  $2.09 \times 10^{-89}$

Interpretation: This extremely low p-value suggests that there are significant differences in the ‘TOTCHG’ values across the different hospital regions. The high test statistic value further indicates strong evidence against the null hypothesis of identical distributions of ‘TOTCHG’ across different hospital regions.

Kruskal-Wallis Test for ‘RACE\_DES’:

Statistic: 204.29204.29 P-value:  $3.43 \times 10^{-42}$

Interpretation: Similarly, this result indicates significant differences in the ‘TOTCHG’ values across different racial groups. The low p-value rejects the null hypothesis, suggesting that at least one racial group has a different distribution of ‘TOTCHG’ compared to others.

Interpretation of Dunn’s Test Results:

For ‘HOSP\_REGION\_DES’:

The p-values are shown for each pair of regions. A p-value less than 0.05 typically indicates a statistically significant difference. For example, the p-value between Midwest and Northeast is approximately  $2.44 \times 10^{-50}$ , indicating a statistically significant difference in ‘TOTCHG’ between these two regions. Similarly, significant differences are observed between several other pairs of regions, as indicated by the very low p-values (e.g., Midwest and West, South and West).

For ‘RACE\_DES’:

The table shows p-values for pairwise comparisons between different racial groups. Many pairs show significant differences. For instance, the p-value between Hispanic and Native American is about  $4.42 \times 10^{-14}$ , suggesting a significant difference in ‘TOTCHG’ between these two racial groups. However, some comparisons do not show significant differences (p-values close to 1), such as between Asian or Pacific Islander and Black.

[ ]:



# Neonatal ANOVA & Kruskal-Wallis

November 20, 2023

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
import scipy.stats as stats
import statsmodels.api as sm
from statsmodels.formula.api import ols
import scikit_posthocs as sp

[2]: data_anova = pd.read_excel('/Users/yashgupta/Downloads/BSAN Project Files_
↳ Edited/Final Datasets/Neonatal ANOVA.xlsx')

[3]: data_anova.isna().sum()
```

```
[3]: Unnamed: 0          0
HOSP_KID              0
RECNUM               0
HOSP_LOCTEACH         0
H_CONTRL             0
HOSP_REGION          0
HOSP_BEDSIZE         0
AMONTH              0
AWEEKEND            0
DQTR                0
DQTR_DES            0
ELECTIVE            0
ELECTIVE_DES        0
APRDRG              0
APRDRG_FULL         0
APRDRG_Risk_Mortality 0
APRDRG_Risk_Mortality_FULL 0
APRDRG_Severity     0
APRDRG_Severity_FULL 0
AGE                 0
AGE_NEONATE         0
DISPUNIFORM         0
DISPUNIFORM_DES     0
DIED                0
FEMALE              0
FEMALE_DES          0
HOSP_REGION_DES     0
```

```

PAY1                                0
PAY1_DES                           0
RACE                                0
RACE_DES                           0
TOTCHG                             0
ZIPINC_QRTL                         0
Median Income                      0
LOS                                 0
PCLASS_ORPROC                      0
PL_NCHS                            0
PL_NCHS_DES                        0
HOSP_LOCTEACH_FULL                 0
H_CONTRL_FULL                      0
dtype: int64

```

## 1 ANOVA

```

[4]: # Check for non-numeric values in the 'TOTCHG' column
non_numeric = data_anova['TOTCHG'].apply(lambda x: isinstance(x, str))
missing_data = data_anova['TOTCHG'].isnull()

# Remove rows with non-numeric 'TOTCHG' values
clean_data_anova = data_anova[~(non_numeric | missing_data)].copy()

# Convert 'TOTCHG' to numeric
clean_data_anova['TOTCHG'] = pd.to_numeric(clean_data_anova['TOTCHG'])

# Perform the Two-Way ANOVA
model_anova_clean = ols('TOTCHG ~ C(HOSP_REGION_DES) + C(RACE_DES) +
    C(HOSP_REGION_DES):C(RACE_DES)', data=clean_data_anova).fit()
anova_results_clean = sm.stats.anova_lm(model_anova_clean, typ=2)

# Output the results
print(anova_results_clean)

```

	sum_sq	df	F	PR(>F)
C(HOSP_REGION_DES)	1.355665e+13	3.0	8.594334	0.000011
C(RACE_DES)	8.833760e+12	6.0	2.800113	0.010109
C(HOSP_REGION_DES):C(RACE_DES)	1.549081e+13	18.0	1.636752	0.043352
Residual	3.481834e+15	6622.0	NaN	NaN

## 2 Kruskal Wallis

```

[5]: group_sizes = clean_data_anova.groupby(['HOSP_REGION_DES', 'RACE_DES']).size()
print(group_sizes)

```

```
HOSP_REGION_DES  RACE_DES
```

Midwest	Asian or Pacific Islander	40
	Black	305
	Hispanic	65
	Missing	312
	Native American	5
	Other	62
	White	638
Northeast	Asian or Pacific Islander	34
	Black	191
	Hispanic	129
	Missing	121
	Native American	2
	Other	157
	White	229
South	Asian or Pacific Islander	66
	Black	1042
	Hispanic	515
	Missing	253
	Native American	13
	Other	275
	White	1117
West	Asian or Pacific Islander	74
	Black	76
	Hispanic	368
	Missing	127
	Native American	9
	Other	96
	White	329

dtype: int64

```
[6]: # Filter groups with at least 3 observations
filtered_data = clean_data_anova.groupby(['HOSP_REGION_DES', 'RACE_DES']).
    ↪filter(lambda x: len(x) >= 3)

[7]: # Group by each factor and check if there are at least two groups
groups_by_region = filtered_data.groupby('HOSP_REGION_DES')
groups_by_race = filtered_data.groupby('RACE_DES')

# Kruskal-Wallis Test for 'HOSP_REGION_DES' if there are at least two groups
if len(groups_by_region) >= 2:
    kruskal_results_region = stats.kruskal(*[group['TOTCHG'] for name, group in
    ↪groups_by_region])
    print('Kruskal-Wallis Test for HOSP_REGION_DES:', kruskal_results_region)
else:
    print('Not enough groups for Kruskal-Wallis Test on HOSP_REGION_DES')

# Kruskal-Wallis Test for 'RACE_DES' if there are at least two groups
```

```

if len(groups_by_race) >= 2:
    kruskal_results_race = stats.kruskal(*[group['TOTCHG'] for name, group in
    ↪groups_by_race])
    print('Kruskal-Wallis Test for RACE_DES:', kruskal_results_race)
else:
    print('Not enough groups for Kruskal-Wallis Test on RACE_DES')

```

Kruskal-Wallis Test for HOSP\_REGION\_DES:

KruskalResult(statistic=35.538455797703996, pvalue=9.3746200866394e-08)

Kruskal-Wallis Test for RACE\_DES: KruskalResult(statistic=64.05097955034579, pvalue=6.738652359806292e-12)

```

[8]: # Dunn's Test for 'HOSP_REGION_DES'
dunn_test_region = sp.posthoc_dunn(filtered_data, val_col='TOTCHG',
    ↪group_col='HOSP_REGION_DES', p_adjust='bonferroni')
print('Dunn\'s Test for HOSP_REGION_DES:\n', dunn_test_region)

# Dunn's Test for 'RACE_DES'
dunn_test_race = sp.posthoc_dunn(filtered_data, val_col='TOTCHG',
    ↪group_col='RACE_DES', p_adjust='bonferroni')
print('Dunn\'s Test for RACE_DES:\n', dunn_test_race)

```

Dunn's Test for HOSP\_REGION\_DES:

	Midwest	Northeast	South	West
Midwest	1.000000e+00	0.072759	1.000000e+00	8.501805e-07
Northeast	7.275934e-02	1.000000	2.144338e-01	1.365091e-01
South	1.000000e+00	0.214434	1.000000e+00	8.787442e-07
West	8.501805e-07	0.136509	8.787442e-07	1.000000e+00

Dunn's Test for RACE\_DES:

	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic \
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000e+00
Black	1.000000	1.000000	3.054186e-03
Hispanic	1.000000	0.003054	1.000000e+00
Missing	0.245207	0.180464	3.377957e-07
Native American	0.284367	0.610279	6.873750e-02
Other	1.000000	0.000462	1.000000e+00
White	1.000000	0.007327	1.000000e+00

	Missing	Native American	Other \
Asian or Pacific Islander	2.452072e-01	0.284367	1.000000e+00
Black	1.804643e-01	0.610279	4.617213e-04
Hispanic	3.377957e-07	0.068737	1.000000e+00
Missing	1.000000e+00	1.000000	9.452361e-08
Native American	1.000000e+00	1.000000	2.992629e-02
Other	9.452361e-08	0.029926	1.000000e+00
White	4.092657e-07	0.111623	1.000000e+00

White

Asian or Pacific Islander	1.000000e+00
Black	7.327373e-03
Hispanic	1.000000e+00
Missing	4.092657e-07
Native American	1.116230e-01
Other	1.000000e+00
White	1.000000e+00

<font : color = 'red'> Interpretation for 'HOSP\_REGION\_DES': Midwest vs. Other Regions:

Midwest vs. Northeast: No significant difference (p = 0.0728). Midwest vs. South: No significant difference (p = 1.0). Midwest vs. West: Significant difference (p =  $8.50 \times 10^{-7}$ ).

Northeast vs. Other Regions:

Northeast vs. South: No significant difference (p = 0.2144). Northeast vs. West: No significant difference (p = 0.1365). South vs. West: Significant difference (p =  $8.79 \times 10^{-7}$ ).

<font : color = 'blue'>Interpretation for 'RACE\_DES':

Asian or Pacific Islander vs. Other Races: No significant differences observed against any race.

Black vs. Other Races:

Black vs. Hispanic: Significant difference (p = 0.0031). Black vs. Other: Significant difference (p = 0.0005). Hispanic vs. Other Races:

Hispanic vs. Missing: Significant difference (p =  $3.38 \times 10^{-7}$ ). Missing vs. Other Races:

Missing vs. Other: Significant difference (p =  $9.45 \times 10^{-8}$ ). Missing vs. White: Significant difference (p =  $4.09 \times 10^{-7}$ ). Native American vs. Other: Significant difference observed against 'Other' (p = 0.0299).

White vs. Other Races: No significant differences observed against any race except for a significant difference with 'Black' (p = 0.0073).

Summary: In terms of hospital regions, significant differences in 'TOTCHG' are observed between the Midwest and West and between the South and West. Regarding race, several significant differences are observed, notably between Black and Hispanic, Black and Other, and between the Missing category and Hispanic and White. Where p-values are high (close to 1), it suggests no significant difference between those groups.

[ ]:

# Hypothesis Testing - Age Neonatal

November 20, 2023

```
[1]: import numpy as np
      from scipy.stats import chi2_contingency
      import scipy.stats as stats
```

## 1 Neonatal Deaths: Chi Squared Tests ( Income, Region, Race)

### 1.1 Race

```
[2]: # Create a contingency table
      observed_data = np.array([[297,118582],
                                [2100,473638],
                                [1470,581331],
                                [48,23984],
                                [793,176429],
                                [3070,1315460]])
```

```
[3]: # Perform the chi-squared test
      chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)
```

```
[4]: chi2
```

```
[4]: 724.1498538735071
```

```
[5]: p
```

```
[5]: 2.9458906253470425e-154
```

```
[8]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
      alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
      if p < alpha:
          print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death cases is_
          ↳dependent on race.")
      else:
          print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death_
          ↳cases is independent of race.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death cases is dependent on race.

## 1.2 Region

```
[9]: # Create a contingency table
observed_data = np.array([[1903,662183],
                           [4118,1171321],
                           [910,388745],
                           [2051,674419]])
```

```
[10]: # Perform the chi-squared test
chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)
```

```
[11]: chi2
```

```
[11]: 148.76816473980756
```

```
[12]: p
```

```
[12]: 4.858351583892842e-32
```

```
[13]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
if p < alpha:
    print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death cases is_
    ↪dependent on region.")
else:
    print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death_
    ↪cases is independent of region.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of alcohol abuse cases is dependent on region.

## 1.3 Income

```
[14]: # Create a contingency table
observed_data = np.array([[1343,557524],
                           [2200,776811],
                           [2264,677935],
                           [3175,884398]])
```

```
[15]: # Perform the chi-squared test
chi2, p, dof, expected = chi2_contingency(observed_data)
```

```
[16]: chi2
```

```
[16]: 184.35151289054085
```

```
[17]: p
```

```
[17]: 1.0131597907224045e-39
```

```
[19]: # Check the p-value to determine statistical significance
alpha = 0.05 # Set your chosen significance level
if p < alpha:
    print("Reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death cases is_
    ↪dependent on income.")
else:
    print("Fail to reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death_
    ↪cases is independent of income.")
```

Reject the null hypothesis: The number of neonatal death cases is dependent on income.