X

![SWAYAM logo](https://swayam.gov.in)
NPTEL (https://swayam.gov.in/explorer?ncCode=NPTEL)   »   Introduction To Cognitive Psychology (course)

☰

Assignment 11

The due date for submitting this assignment has passed.

Due on 2024-04-10, 23:59 IST.

Assignment submitted on 2024-04-06, 14:03 IST

All questions are compulsory.
Marks [1x10= 10]

1) Deductive reasoning involves which of the following?   **1 point**

- ◉ going from general to specific statements
- ○ conclusions that add new information to what is given
- ○ going from specific to general statements
- ○ going from specific to specific statements

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
going from general to specific statements

2) The tendency to seek out information that supports our current beliefs is called: **1 point**

- ○ a believability effect
- ○ a content effect
- ◉ a confirmation bias
- ○ syllogistic reasoning

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
a confirmation bias

3) _____ is a process by which a conclusion follows necessarily from a series of premises.   **1 point**

- ○ Conditional reasoning
- ◉ Syllogistic reasoning

◯ Heuristic reasoning

◯ Causal reasoning

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
Syllogistic reasoning

4) _____ processes are largely automatic, rapid, and unconscious as _____ processes are **1 point**
controlled, slow, and conscious.

◉ System 1; system 2

◯ System 2; system 1

◯ Analytic; heuristic

◯ Rational; intuitive

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
System 1; system 2

5) _____ reasoning concerns making and evaluating arguments from general information to **1 point**
specific information, while _____ reasoning concerns making and evaluating arguments from specific
information to general information.

◯ Inductive; deductive

◯ Conditional; deductive

◯ Conditional; inductive

◉ Deductive; inductive

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
Deductive; inductive

6) Forrest Gump's famous quote, "Life is like a box of chocolates; you never know what you're **1 point**
going to get," is an example of _____.

◯ surface approaches

◯ category induction

◯ causal reasoning

◉ analogical reasoning

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
analogical reasoning

7) In completing Wason's four-card task, participants usually: **1 point**

◯ turn over the two cards that are necessary and sufficient to test the rule

◯ turn over all four cards, when only two would have been sufficient

◯ turn over all four cards, when only one would have been sufficient

◉ show understanding of modus ponens but neglect modus tollens

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
show understanding of modus ponens but neglect modus tollens

(assessment?
name=125)

Week 12:
Decision
Making ()

Live Sessions
()

8) Which of the following is a difference between everyday reasoning and formal reasoning? *1 point*

○ In everyday reasoning, all premises are usually supplied

○ In everyday reasoning, there is typically one correct answer

◉ In everyday reasoning, problems are solved as a means of achieving other goals

○ In formal reasoning, problems are not self-contained

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
In everyday reasoning, problems are solved as a means of achieving other goals

9) People overestimate the frequency of words beginning with the letter L, as compared to *1 point*
words that have L as the third letter, because of the heuristic of:

◉ availability

○ representativeness

○ anchor and adjust

○ hindsight

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
availability

10) When people interpret premises, *1 point*

○ they often make unwarranted assumptions

○ they often fail to consider all possible interpretations of a premise

○ they perform very well if given enough practice

◉ they often make unwarranted assumptions and fail to consider all possible interpretations of a
premise

Yes, the answer is correct.
Score: 1

Accepted Answers:
they often make unwarranted assumptions and fail to consider all possible interpretations of a premise