

Geoffrey Parker - grp352

HW 25: 4.36 - 4.42

M328K

April 26th, 2012

**4.36 Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $a$  be an integer such that  $1 \leq a < p$ . Then there exists a unique natural number  $b$  less than  $p$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $a$  be an integer such that  $1 \leq a < p$ . Then by theorem 4.13  $S = \{a, 2a, \dots, pa\}$  is a complete residue system modulo  $p$ . So by definition of complete residue systems, one, being an integer, is congruent modulo  $p$  to exactly one element of  $S$ , call it  $t$ . So  $t = ab$  where  $b \leq p$  is a natural number. However  $ap \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  so  $b$  cannot be  $p$ . Therefore there exists a unique natural number  $b$  less than  $p$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**4.37 Exercise.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. Show that the natural numbers 1 and  $p - 1$  are their own inverses modulo  $p$ .*

*Solution.*

$1 \cdot 1 = 1$  and  $1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

$(p-1)(p-1) = p^2 - 2p + 1$ . And since  $p^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $2p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we know  $p^2 - 2p + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**4.38 Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers such that  $1 < a, b < p - 1$  and  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $a \neq b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers such that  $1 < a, b < p - 1$  and  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Assume by way of contradiction that  $a = b$ . Then  $aa \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $p \mid aa - 1$  or equivalently  $p \mid (a+1)(a-1)$ . So by theorem 2.27  $p \mid a+1$  or  $p \mid a-1$ . However, since  $1 < a < p - 1$  both of these are natural numbers less than  $p$ , so  $p$  cannot divide either. Therefore we have a contradiction and have shown that  $a \neq b$ .  $\square$

**4.39 Exercise.** *Find all pairs of numbers  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\{2, 3, \dots, 11\}$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ .*

*Solution.* 2, 7; 3, 9; 4, 10; 5, 8; 6, 11  $\square$

**4.40 Theorem.** *If  $p$  is a prime larger than 2, then  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime larger than 2. Let  $S$  be the set of numbers  $\{2, 3, 4, \dots, (p-2)\}$ . Note that each element of  $S$  is coprime with  $p$ . By theorem 4.36 each element  $a$  of  $S$  has some natural number  $b < p$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . But  $b$  cannot be 1 because that would imply that  $p \mid a - 1$ . And if  $b = p - 1$  then  $p \mid ap - a$ , and since  $p \mid ap$  then by theorem 1.1  $p \mid a$ . Since  $1 < a < p - 1$ , neither of these can be true, so  $b$  must be an element of the set  $S$ . And by theorem 4.38  $a \neq b$ . So we can break the set  $S$  into  $n$  distinct  $a, b$  pairs where  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $|S| = 2n$ . Then  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  can be rewritten as  $a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n$ . Since each of these pairs is congruent modulo  $p$  to one, the entire product is congruent modulo  $p$  to one. Therefore  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**4.41 Theorem** (Wilson's Theorem). *If  $p$  is a prime, then  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be prime. Note that  $(p-1)! = 1(2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p-2)(p-1)$ . By theorem 4.40  $(2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . So  $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}$ . And because  $p \mid p$ , also  $p \mid p-1 - (-1)$ , so by the definition of congruence  $p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore by theorem 1.11  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**4.42 Theorem** (Converse of Wilson's Theorem). *If  $n$  is a natural number such that  $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ , then  $n$  is prime.*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a natural number with  $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ . Because  $p \mid p$ , also  $p \mid p-1 - (-1)$ , so by the definition of congruence  $n-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  and  $(n-1)! \equiv n-1 \pmod{n}$ . And by 2.32  $(n-1, n) = 1$ , so by theorem 4.3  $((n-1)!, n) = 1$ . Assume by way of contradiction that  $n$  is composite. Then by definition of composite there exist natural numbers  $a$  and  $b$  where  $1 < a, b < n$  and  $n = ab$ . So  $a \mid n$ . However, by the definition of factorial  $a \mid (n-1)!$ . So  $a$  must divide  $((n-1)!, n)$ , which means that this gcd cannot be 1. Therefore we have a contradiction, so  $n$  must be prime.  $\square$