

# Probabilistic Method and Random Graphs

## Lecture 2. Moments and Inequalities <sup>1</sup>

Xingwu Liu

Institute of Computing Technology  
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

---

<sup>1</sup>The slides are partially based on Chapters 3 and 4 of Probability and Computing.

Questions, comments, or suggestions?

Monty Hall Problem?

## Review

- ➊ Probability axioms
- ➋ Union Bound
- ➌ Independence
- ➍ Conditional probability and **chain rule**
  - $\Pr(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(A_i | \bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j)$
- ➎ Random variables: expectation, linearity, Bernoulli/binomial/geometric distribution
- ➏ Coupon collector's problem:  $\mathbb{E}[X] = nH(n) \approx n \ln n$

# Coupon collector's problem: fight the salesman

## Expectation is too weak

Average has nothing to do with the probability of exceeding it, Guy!

## Example

- Random variables  $Y_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$
- Let  $\Pr(Y_\alpha = \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$  and  $\Pr(Y_\alpha = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$
- $\Pr(Y_\alpha \geq 1) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$  can be arbitrarily close to 1

But, mh...

Possible to exceed so much with high probability?

# An inequality for tail probability

## Markov's inequality

If  $X \geq 0$  and  $a > 0$ ,  $\Pr(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$ .

## Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X] &= \sum_{i \geq 0} i * \Pr(X = i) \geq \sum_{i \geq a} i * \Pr(X = i) \\ &\geq \sum_{i \geq a} a * \Pr(X = i) = a * \Pr(X \geq a).\end{aligned}$$

## Observations

- Intuitive meaning (level of your income)
- With 12 coupons,  $\mathbb{E}[X] \approx 30$ ,  $\Pr(X \geq 200) < 1/6$
- Loose? Tight when only expectation is known!

# Conditional expectation

## Definition

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|Z = z] = \sum_y y * \Pr(Y = y|Z = z)$$

## Theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}_Z[\mathbb{E}_Y[Y|Z]] \triangleq \sum_z \Pr(Z = z)\mathbb{E}[Y|Z = z]$$

## Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_z \Pr(Z = z)\mathbb{E}[Y|Z = z] &= \sum_z \Pr(Z = z) \sum_y y \frac{\Pr(Y=y, Z=z)}{\Pr(Z=z)} \\ &= \sum_y y \sum_z \Pr(Y = y, Z = z) \\ &= \sum_y y \Pr(Y = y) = \mathbb{E}[Y]\end{aligned}$$



## Via conditional expectation

- $X_n$ : the runtime of sorting an  $n$ -sequence.
- $K$ : the rank of the pivot.
- If  $K = k$ , the pivot divides the sequence into a  $(k - 1)$ -sequence and an  $(n - k)$ -sequence.
- Given  $K = k$ ,  $X_n = X_{k-1} + X_{n-k} + n - 1$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[X_n | K = k] = \mathbb{E}[X_{k-1}] + \mathbb{E}[X_{n-k}] + n - 1$ .
- $$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_n] &= \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr(K = k)(\mathbb{E}[X_{k-1}] + \mathbb{E}[X_{n-k}] + n - 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_{k-1}] + \mathbb{E}[X_{n-k}]}{n} + n - 1.\end{aligned}$$
- Please verify that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = 2n \ln n + O(n)$ .

# Application: expected run-time of Quicksort

## Via linearity + indicators

- $y_i$ : the  $i$ -th biggest element
- $Y_{ij}$ : indicator for the event that  $y_i, y_j$  are compared
- $Y_{ij} = 1$  iff the first pivot in  $\{y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j\}$  is  $y_i$  or  $y_j$
- $\mathbb{E}[Y_{ij}] = \Pr(Y_{ij} = 1) = \frac{2}{j-i+1}$
- $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n Y_{ij}$
- $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_{ij}]$
- It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = (2n+2) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} + O(n)$

# Moments of random variables

## Why moments?

- *Global* features of a random variable.
- Expectation is too weak: can't distinguish  $Y_\alpha$

## Definition

- $k$ th moment:  $\mathbb{E}[X^k]$ .
  - Variance:  $Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$   
Show how far the values are away from the average.
  - Examples:  $Var[Y_\alpha] = \alpha - 1$
- 
- Covariance:  $Cov(X, Y) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$ .
  - It's zero in case of independence.



# Properties of the variance

$$\text{Var}[X + Y] = \text{Var}[X] + \text{Var}[Y] + 2\text{Cov}(X, Y)$$

$$\text{Var}[X + Y] = \text{Var}[X] + \text{Var}[Y] \text{ if } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent.}$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$$

# Variances of some random variables

## Binomial random variable with parameters $n$ and $p$

- $X = \sum_{k=1}^n X_i$  with the  $X_i$ 's independent.
- $\text{Var}[X_i] = p - p^2 = p(1 - p)$ .
- $\text{Var}[X] = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}[X_i] = np(1 - p)$

## Geometric random variable with parameter $p$

Straightforward computing shows that  $\text{Var}[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$

## Coupon collector's problem

- We know that  $\text{Var}[X_i] = \frac{1-p_i}{p_i^2}$ .
- $\text{Var}[X] = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}[X_i] \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n^2}{(n-k+1)^2} \leq \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{6}$

# A new argument against the salesman

## Chebyshev's inequality

- $\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$
- An immediate corollary from Markov's inequality.

## Coupon collector's problem

$$\Pr(X \geq 200) = \Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq 170) \leq \frac{255}{170^2} < 0.01$$

# A new argument against the salesman

## Chebyshev's inequality

- $\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$
- An immediate corollary from Markov's inequality.

## Coupon collector's problem

$$\Pr(X \geq 200) = \Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq 170) \leq \frac{255}{170^2} < 0.01$$

## Trump card

- By union bound,  $\Pr(|X - nH_n| \geq 5nH_n) \leq \frac{1}{n^5}.$
- Hint: Consider the probability of not containing the  $i$ th coupon after  $(c+1)n \ln n$  steps.

Union bound beats the others. What a surprise!

# Brief introduction to Chebyshev



- May 16, 1821 —  
December 8, 1894
- A founding father of  
Russian mathematics



- Probability, statistics, mechanics, geometry, number theory
- Chebyshev inequality, Bertrand-Chebyshev theorem,  
Chebyshev polynomials, Chebyshev bias
- Aleksandr Lyapunov, Markov brothers

# Chernoff bounds: inequalities of independent sum

## Motivation

- 1-moment  $\Rightarrow$  Markov's inequality
- 1- and 2-moments  $\Rightarrow$  Chebyshev's inequality
- Q: more information  $\Rightarrow$  stronger inequalities?

## Examples

Flip a fair coin for  $n$  trials. Let  $X$  be the number of Heads, which is around the expectation  $\frac{n}{2}$ . How about its concentration?

- Markov's inequality:  $\Pr(X - \frac{n}{2} > \sqrt{n \ln n}) < \frac{n}{n+2\sqrt{n \ln n}} \rightsquigarrow 1$
- Chebyshev's inequality:  $\Pr(X - \frac{n}{2} > \sqrt{n \ln n}) < \frac{1}{\ln n}$
- Can we do better due to independent sum? **YES!**

# Chernoff bounds: basic form

## Chernoff bounds

Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where  $X_i$ 's are **independent** Poisson trials. Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ . Then

1. For any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq \left( \frac{e^\delta}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \right)^\mu$ .
2. For any  $1 > \delta > 0$ ,  $\Pr(X \leq (1 - \delta)\mu) \leq \left( \frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{(1-\delta)}} \right)^\mu$ .

## Remarks

Note that  $0 < \frac{e^\delta}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}} < 1$  when  $\delta > 0$ . The bound in 1 exponentially decreases w.r.t.  $\mu$ ! And so is the bound in 2.

# Proof of the upper tail bound

For any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) = \Pr(e^{\lambda X} \geq e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu}) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu}}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}] = \mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda X_i}] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}].$$

Let  $p_i = \Pr(X_i = 1)$  for each  $i$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] = p_i e^{\lambda \cdot 1} + (1 - p_i) e^{\lambda \cdot 0} = 1 + p_i(e^\lambda - 1) \leq e^{p_i(e^\lambda - 1)}.$$

$$\text{So, } \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{p_i(e^\lambda - 1)} = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i(e^\lambda - 1)} = e^{(e^\lambda - 1)\mu}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu}} \leq \frac{e^{(e^\lambda - 1)\mu}}{e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu}} = \left( \frac{e^{(e^\lambda - 1)}}{e^{\lambda(1+\delta)}} \right)^\mu.$$

Let  $\lambda = \ln(1 + \delta) > 0$ , and the proof ends.



# Lower tail bound and application

## Lower tail bound

Can be proved likewise.

## A tentative application

Recall the coin flipping example. By the Chernoff bound,

$$\Pr\left(X - \frac{n}{2} > \sqrt{n \ln n}\right) < \frac{e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}}{\left(1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}\right)^{\left(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n \ln n}\right)}}$$

Even hard to figure out the order.

Is there a bound that is more *friendly*?

# Chernoff bounds: a simplified form

## Simplified Chernoff bounds

Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where  $X_i$ 's are independent Poisson trials. Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ ,

1.  $\Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta}\mu}$  for any  $\delta > 0$ ;
2.  $\Pr(X \leq (1 - \delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2}\mu}$  for any  $1 > \delta > 0$ .

## Application to coin flipping

$\Pr(X - \frac{n}{2} > \sqrt{n \ln n}) \leq n^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ . This is exponentially tighter than Chebychev's inequality  $\frac{1}{\ln n}$ .

## Idea of the proof

1.  $\frac{e^\delta}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta}} \Leftrightarrow \delta - (1+\delta) \ln(1+\delta) < -\frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta} \Leftrightarrow \ln(1+\delta) > \frac{2\delta}{2+\delta}$  for  $\delta > 0$ .
2. Use calculus to show that  $\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{(1-\delta)}} \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2}}$ .

## Remark 1

When  $1 > \delta > 0$ , we have  $-\frac{\delta^2}{2+\delta} < -\frac{\delta^2}{3}$ , so

$$\Pr(X \geq (1+\delta)\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{3}\mu}, \text{ and } \Pr(|X - \mu| \geq \delta\mu) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{3}\mu}.$$

## Remark 2

The bound is simpler but looser. Generally, it is outperformed by the basic Chernoff bound. See example.

# Example: random rounding

## Minimum-congestion path planning

- $G = (V, E)$  is an undirected graph.  $D = \{(s_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq V^2$ .
- Find a path  $P_i$  connecting  $(s_i, t_i)$  for every  $i$ .
- Objective: minimize the congestion  $\max_{e \in E} \text{cong}(e)$ , the number of the paths among  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$  that contain  $e$ .

This problem is NP-hard, but we will give an approximation algorithm based on randomized rounding.

- Model as an integer program
- Relax it into a linear program
- Round the solution
- Analyze the approximation ratio

# ILP and its relaxation

## Notation

$\mathbb{P}_i$ : the set of candidate paths connecting  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ ;

$f_P^i$ : the indicator of whether we pick path  $P \in \mathbb{P}_i$  or not;

$C$ : the congestion in the graph.

### ILP

Min  $C$

$$\begin{aligned} s.t. \quad & \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_i} f_P^i = 1, \forall i \\ & \sum_i \sum_{e \in P \in \mathbb{P}_i} f_P^i \leq C, \forall e \Rightarrow \\ & \underline{f_P^i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i, P} \end{aligned}$$

### LP

Min  $C$

$$\begin{aligned} s.t. \quad & \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_i} f_P^i = 1, \forall i \\ & \sum_i \sum_{e \in P \in \mathbb{P}_i} f_P^i \leq C, \forall e \\ & \underline{f_P^i \in [0, 1], \forall i, P} \end{aligned}$$

## Round a solution to the LP

For every  $i$ , randomly pick **one** path  $P_i \in \mathbb{P}_i$  with probability  $f_P^i$ .  
Use the set  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$  as an approximate solution to the ILP.

## Notation

$C$ : optimum congestion of the ILP.

$C^*$ : optimum congestion of the LP.  $C^* \leq C$ .

$X_i^e$ : indicator of whether  $e \in P_i$ .

$X^e \triangleq \sum_i X_i^e$ : congestion of the edge  $e$ .

$X \triangleq \max_e X^e$ : the network congestion.

## Objective

We hope to show that  $\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)C)$  is small for a small  $\delta$ .

By union bound, we only need to show  $\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) < \frac{1}{n^3}$  for every  $e$ .

Apply Chernoff bound to  $X^e = \sum_i X_i^e$

Prove  $\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) < \frac{1}{n^3}$

Easy facts

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i^e] = \sum_{e \in P \in \mathbb{P}_i} f_P^i.$$

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}[X^e] = \sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_i^e] = \sum_i \sum_{e \in P \in \mathbb{P}_i} f_P^i \leq C^* \leq C.$$

If  $C = \omega(\ln n)$ ,  $\delta$  can be arbitrarily small

Proof: For any  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2 C}{2 + \delta}} \leq \frac{1}{n^3}$ .

If  $C = O(\ln n)$ ,  $\delta = \Theta(\ln n)$

Proof:  $\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2 C}{2 + \delta}} \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}$  for  $\delta \geq 2$ .

So,  $\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) \leq \frac{1}{n^3}$  when  $\delta = 6 \ln n$ .

Prove  $\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) < \frac{1}{n^3}$

If  $C = O(\ln n)$ ,  $\delta$  can be improved to be  $\delta = \Theta\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$

Proof: By the basic Chernoff bounds,

$$\Pr(X^e > (1 + \delta)C) \leq \left[ \frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1 + \delta)}} \right]^C \leq \frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1 + \delta)}}.$$

When  $\delta = \Theta\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$ ,  $(1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) = \Theta(\ln n)$  and  $\delta - (1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) = \Theta(\ln n)$ .



# Remarks of the application

## Remark 1

It illustrates the practical difference of various Chernoff bounds.

## Remark 2

Is it a mistake to use the inaccurate expectation?

No! It's a powerful trick.

If  $\mu_L \leq \mu \leq \mu_H$ , the following bounds hold:

- Upper tail:  $\Pr(X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu_H) \leq \left( \frac{e^\delta}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \right)^{\mu_H}$ .
- Lower tail:  $\Pr(X \leq (1 - \delta)\mu_L) \leq \left( \frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{(1-\delta)}} \right)^{\mu_L}$ .

## Chernoff bounds + Union bound: a paradigm

A high-level picture: Want to upper-bound  $\Pr(\text{something bad})$ .

1. By Union bound,  $\Pr(\text{something bad}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\text{Large}} \Pr(\text{Bad}_i)$ ;
2. By Chernoff bounds,  $\Pr(\text{Bad}_i) \leq \text{minuscule}$  for each  $i$ ;
3.  $\Pr(\text{something bad}) \leq \text{Large} \times \text{minuscule} = \text{small}$ .

Why the Chernoff bound is better? Note that it's rooted at Markov's Inequality.

Can it be improved by using functions other than exponential?



1. <http://tcs.nju.edu.cn/wiki/index.php/>
2. <http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall09/cos521/Handouts/probabilityandcomputing.pdf>
3. <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs/academic/class/15859-f04/www/>