Chapter 6 Modeling and viewing users, part I

In <u>Chapter 5</u>, we ended with a stub page for creating new users (<u>Section 5.3</u>); over the course of the next three chapters, we'll fulfill the promise implicit in this incipient signup page. The first critical step is to create a *data model* for users of our site, together with a way to store that data. Completing this task is the goal for this chapter and the next (<u>Chapter 7</u>), and we'll give users the ability to sign up (<u>Chapter 8</u>). Once the sample application can create new users, we'll let them sign in and sign out (<u>Chapter 9</u>), and in <u>Chapter 10</u> (<u>Section 10.2</u>) we'll learn how to protect pages from improper access.

Taken together, the material in <u>Chapter 6</u> through <u>Chapter 10</u> develops a full Rails login and authentication system. As you may know, there are various pre-built authentication solutions out there in Rails land; <u>Box 6.1</u> explains why (at least at first) it's a good idea to roll your own.

Box 6.1.Roll your own authentication system

Virtually all web applications nowadays require a login and authentication system of some sort. Unsurprisingly, most web frameworks have a plethora of options for implementing such systems, and Rails is no exception. Examples of authentication and authorization systems include <u>Clearance</u>, <u>Authlogic</u>, <u>Devise</u>, and <u>CanCan</u> (as well as non-Rails-specific solutions built on top of <u>OpenID</u> or <u>OAuth</u>). It's reasonable to ask why we should reinvent the wheel. Why not just use an off-the-shelf solution instead of rolling our own?

There are several reasons why building our own authentication system is a good idea. First, there is no standard answer to Rails authentication; tying the tutorial to a specific project would leave us open to the risk that the our particular choice would go out of fashion or out of date. Moreover, even if we guessed right, the project's codebase would continue to evolve, rendering any tutorial explanation quickly obsolete. Finally, introducing all the authentication machinery at once would be a pedagogical disaster—to take one example, Clearance contains more than 1,000 lines of code and creates a complicated data model right from the start. Authentication systems are a challenging and rich programming exercise; rolling our own means that we can consider one small piece at a time, leading to a far deeper understanding—of both authentication and of Rails.

I encourage you to study <u>Chapter 6</u> through <u>Chapter 10</u> to give yourself a good foundation for future projects. When the time comes, if you decide to use an off-the-shelf authentication system for your own applications, you will be in a good position both to understand it and to tailor it to meet your specific needs.

In parallel with our data modeling, we'll also develop a web page for *showing* users, which will give us a first taste of the REST architecture for structuring web applications. Though we won't get very far in this chapter, our eventual goal for the user profile pages is to show the user's profile image, basic user data, and a list of microposts, as mocked up in <u>Figure 6.1.1</u> (<u>Figure 6.1</u> has our first example of *lorem ipsum* text, which has a <u>fascinating story</u> that you should definitely read about some time.) In this chapter, we'll lay the essential foundation for the user show page, and will start filling in the details starting in <u>Chapter 7</u>.



Figure 6.1: A mockup of our best guess at the user show page. (full size)

As usual, if you're following along using Git for version control, now would be a good time to make a topic branch for modeling users:

```
$ git checkout master
$ git checkout -b modeling-users
```

(The first line here is just to make sure that you start on the master branch, so that the modeling-users topic branch is based on master. You can skip that command if you're already on the master branch.)

6.1 User model

Although the ultimate goal of the next three chapters is to make a signup page for our site, it would do little good to accept signup information now, since we don't currently have any place to put it. Thus, the first step in signing up users is to make a data structure to capture and store their information. In Rails, the default data structure for a data model is called, naturally enough, a *model* (the M in MVC from Section 1.2.4). The default Rails solution to the problem of persistence is to use a *database* for long-term data storage, and the default library for interacting with the database is called *Active Record*.2

Active Record comes with a host of methods for creating, saving, and finding data objects, all without having to use the structured query language (SQL)3 used by <u>relational databases</u>. Moreover, Rails has a feature called *migrations* to allow data definitions to be written in pure Ruby, without having to learn an SQL data definition language (DDL).4 The effect is that Rails insulates you almost entirely from the details of the data store. In this book, by using SQLite for development and Heroku for deployment (<u>Section 1.4</u>), we have developed this theme even further, to the point where we barely ever have to think about how Rails stores data, even for production applications.5

6.1.1 Database migrations

You may recall from <u>Section 4.4.5</u> that we have already encountered, via a custom-built <code>User</code> class, user objects with <code>name</code> and <code>email</code> attributes. That class served as a useful example, but it lacked the critical property of *persistence*: when we created a User object at the Rails console, it disappeared as soon as we exited. Our goal in this section is to create a model for users that won't disappear quite so easily.

As with the User class in <u>Section 4.4.5</u>, we'll start by modeling a user with two attributes, a name and an email address, the latter of which we'll use as a unique username. 6 (We'll add a password attribute in <u>Section 7.1</u>.) In <u>Listing 4.8</u>, we did this with Ruby's attr_accessor keyword:

```
class User
  attr_accessor :name, :email
  .
  .
  end
```

In contrast, when using Rails to model users we don't need to identify the attributes explicitly. As noted briefly above, to store data Rails uses a relational database by default, which consists of *tables*

composed of data *rows*, where each row has *columns* of data attributes. For example, to store users with names and email addresses, we'll create a users table with name and email columns (with each row corresponding to one user). By naming the columns in this way, we'll let Active Record figure out the User object attributes for us.

Let's see how this works. (If this discussion gets too abstract for your taste, be patient; the console examples starting in <u>Section 6.1.3</u> and the database browser screenshots in <u>Figure 6.3</u> and <u>Figure 6.8</u> should make things clearer.) In <u>Section 5.3.1</u>, recall that we created a Users controller (along with a **new** action) with the command

```
$ script/generate rspec controller Users new
```

There is an analogous command for making a User model (<u>Listing 6.1</u>).

```
Listing 6.1. Generating a User model. $ script/generate rspec_model User name:string email:string
```

(Note that, in contrast to the plural convention for controller names, model names are singular: a Users controller, but a User model.) By passing the optional parameters name:string and email:string, we tell Rails about the two attributes we want, along with what types those attributes have; compare this with including the action names in <u>Listing 3.2</u> and <u>Listing 5.22</u>.

One of the results of the <code>generate</code> command in <u>Listing 6.1</u> is a new file called a *migration*. Migrations provide a way to alter the structure of the database incrementally, so that our data model can adapt to changing requirements. In the case of the User model, the migration is created automatically by the model generation script; it creates a <code>users</code> table with two columns, <code>name</code> and <code>email</code>, as shown in <u>Listing 6.2</u>. (We'll see in <u>Section 6.2.4</u> how to make a migration from scratch.)

```
Listing 6.2. Migration for the User model (to create a users table).

db/migrate/<timestamp>_create_users.rb

class CreateUsers < ActiveRecord::Migration
    def self.up
        create_table :users do |t|
        t.string :name
        t.string :email

        t.timestamps
        end
    end

def self.down
        drop_table :users
    end
end
```

Note that the name of the migration is prefixed by a *timestamp* based on when the migration was generated. In the early days of migrations, the filenames were prefixed with incrementing integers, which caused conflicts for collaborating teams when two programmers had migrations with the same number. Using timestamps conveniently avoids such collisions.

Let's focus on the self.up method, which uses a Rails method called create_table to create a

table in the database for storing users. (The use of self in self.up identifies it as a class method. This doesn't matter now, but we'll learn about class methods when we make one of our own in Section 7.2.4.) The create_table method accepts a block (Section 4.3.2) with one block variable, in this case called t (for "table"). Inside the block, the create_table method uses the t object to create name and email columns in the database, both of type string. There the table name is plural (Users) even though the model name is singular (User), which reflects a linguistic convention followed by Rails: a model represents a single user, whereas a database table consists of many users. The final line in the block, t.timestamps, is a special command that creates two magic columns called created_at and updated_at, which are timestamps that automatically record when a given user is created and updated. (We'll see concrete examples of the magic columns starting in Section 6.1.3.) The full data model represented by this migration is shown in Figure 6.2.



Figure 6.2: The users data model produced by <u>Listing 6.2</u>.

We can run the migration, known as "migrating up", using the rake command (Box 1.2) as follows:8 sake db:migrate

(You may recall that we have run this command before, in <u>Section 1.2.5</u> and again in <u>Chapter 2</u>.) The first time <code>db:migrate</code> is run, it creates a file called <code>db/development.sqlite3</code>, which is an <u>SQLite9</u> database. We can see the structure of the database using the excellent <u>SQLite Database</u> <u>Browser</u> to open the <code>db/development.sqlite3</code> file (<u>Figure 6.3</u>); compare with the diagram in <u>Figure 6.2</u>. You might note that there's one column in <u>Figure 6.3</u> not accounted for in the migration: the <code>id</code> column. As noted briefly in <u>Section 2.2</u>, this column is created automatically, and is used by Rails to identify each row uniquely.



Figure 6.3: The SQLite Database Browser with our new users table. (full size)

You've probably inferred that running db:migrate executes the self.up command in the migration file. What, then, of self.down? As you might guess, down migrates *down*, reversing the effects of migrating up. In our case, this means *dropping* the users table from the database:

You can execute down with rake using the argument db: rollback:

```
$ rake db:rollback
```

This is often useful if you realize there's another column you want to add but don't want the trouble of making a new migration: you can roll back the migration, add the desired column, and then migrate

back up. (This isn't always convenient, and we'll learn how to add columns to an existing table in Section 7.1.2.)

If you rolled back the database, migrate up again before proceeding:

\$ rake db:migrate

6.1.2 The model file

We've seen how the User model generation in <u>Listing 6.1</u> generated a migration file (<u>Listing 6.2</u>), and we saw in <u>Figure 6.3</u> the results of running this migration: it updated a file called development.sqlite3 by creating a table users with columns id, name, email, created_at, and updated_at. <u>Listing 6.1</u> also created the model itself; the rest of this section is dedicated to understanding it.

We begin by looking at the code for the User model, which lives in the file user.rb inside the app/models/ directory; it is, to put it mildly, very compact (<u>Listing 6.3</u>).

```
Listing 6.3. The brand new User model. app/models/user.rb class User < ActiveRecord::Base end
```

Recall from <u>Section 4.4.2</u> that the syntax class User < ActiveRecord::Base means that the User class *inherits* from ActiveRecord::Base, so that the User model automatically has all the functionality of the ActiveRecord::Base class. Of course, knowledge of this inheritance doesn't do any good unless we know what ActiveRecord::Base contains, and we'll get a first taste starting momentarily. Before we move on, though, there are two tasks to complete.

Model annotation

Though it's not strictly necessary, I like to *annotate* my Rails models using the annotate-models gem:

```
$ [sudo] gem install annotate-models -v 1.0.4
```

This gem gives us a command called annotate, which simply adds the comments containing the data model to the model file:

```
$ annotate
Annotated User
```

The results appear in <u>Listing 6.4</u>.

```
Listing 6.4. The annotated User model. app/models/user.rb # == Schema Information
```

```
# Schema version: <timestamp>
#
# Table name: users
#
#
  id
              :integer
                                not null, primary key
#
  name
              :string(255)
          :string(255)
#
   email
#
  created at :datetime
#
  updated at :datetime
#
class User < ActiveRecord::Base</pre>
end
```

I find that having the data model visible in the model files is useful for reminding me which attributes the model has, but future code listings will usually omit the annotations for brevity.

Accessible attributes

Another step that isn't strictly necessary but is a really good idea is to tell Rails which attributes of the model are accessible, i.e., which attributes can be modified by outside users (such as users submitting requests with web browsers). We do this with the attr_accessible method (<u>Listing 6.5</u>). We'll see in <u>Chapter 10</u> that using attr_accessible is important for preventing a *mass assignment* vulnerability, a distressingly common and often serious security hole in many Rails applications.

```
Listing 6.5. Making the name and email attributes accessible. app/models/user.rb class User < ActiveRecord::Base attr_accessible :name, :email end
```

6.1.3 Creating user objects

We've done some good prep work, and now it's time to cash in and learn about Active Record by playing with our newly created User model. As in <u>Chapter 4</u>, our tool of choice is the Rails console. Since we don't (yet) want to make any changes to our database, we'll start the console in a *sandbox*:

```
$ script/console --sandbox
Loading development environment in sandbox (Rails 2.3.8)
Any modifications you make will be rolled back on exit
>>
```

As indicated by the helpful message "Any modifications you make will be rolled back on exit", when started in a sandbox the console will "roll back" (i.e., undo) any database changes introduced during the session.

When working at the console, it's useful to keep an eye on the *development log*, which records the actual low-level SQL statements being issued by Active Record, as shown in Figure 6.4. The way to get

this output at a Unix command line is to tail the log:

```
$ tail -f log/development.log
```

The -f flag ensures that tail will display additional lines as they are written. I recommend keeping a terminal window for tailing the log open whenever working at the console.



Figure 6.4: Tailing the development log. (full size)

In the console session in <u>Section 4.4.5</u>, we created a new user object with <code>User.new</code>, which we had access to only after requiring the example user file in <u>Listing 4.8</u>. With models, the situation is different; as you may recall from <u>Section 4.4.4</u>, the Rails console automatically loads the Rails environment, which includes the models. This means that we can make a new user object without any further work:

```
>> User.new
=> #<User id: nil, name: nil, email: nil, created_at: nil, updated_at: nil>
```

We see here the default console representation of a user object, which prints out the same attributes shown in <u>Figure 6.3</u> and <u>Listing 6.4</u>.

When called with no arguments, User.new returns an object with all nil attributes. In <u>Section 4.4.5</u>, we designed the example User class to take an *initialization hash* to set the object attributes; that design choice was motivated by Active Record, which allows objects to be initialized in the same way:

```
>> user = User.new(:name => "Michael Hartl", :email => "mhartl@example.com")
#<User id: nil, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created at: nil, updated at: nil>
```

Here we see that the name and email attributes have been set as expected.

If you've been tailing the development log, you may have noticed that no new lines have shown up yet. This is because calling User.new doesn't touch the database; it simply creates a new Ruby object in memory. To save the user object to the database, we call the Save method on the user variable:

```
>> user.save
=> true
```

The Save method returns true if it succeeds and false otherwise. (Currently, all saves should succeed; we'll see cases in Section 6.2 when some will fail.) As soon as you save, you should see a line in the development log with the SQL command to INSERT INTO "users". Because of the many methods supplied by Active Record, we won't ever need raw SQL in this book, and I'll omit discussion of the SQL commands from now on. But you can learn a lot by watching the log.

You may have noticed that the new user object had nil values for the id and the magic columns created_at and updated_at attributes. Let's see if our save changed anything:

```
>> user
=> #<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created_at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46", updated_at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46">
```

We see that the id has been assigned a value of 1, while the magic columns have been assigned the

current time and date. 10 Currently the created and updated timestamps are identical; we'll see them differ in Section 6.1.5.

As with the User class in <u>Section 4.4.5</u>, instances of the User model allow access to their attributes using a dot notation:11

```
>> user.name
=> "Michael Hartl"
>> user.email
=> "mhartl@example.com"
>> user.updated_at
=> Tue, 05 Jan 2010 00:57:46 UTC +00:00
```

As we'll see in <u>Chapter 8</u>, it's often convenient to make and save a model in two steps as we have above, but Active Record also lets you combine them into one step with User.create:

```
>> User.create(:name => "A Nother", :email => "another@example.org")
=> #<User id: 2, name: "A Nother", email: "another@example.org", created_at:
"2010-01-05 01:05:24", updated_at: "2010-01-05 01:05:24">
>> foo = User.create(:name => "Foo", :email => "foo@bar.com")
=> #<User id: 3, name: "Foo", email: "foo@bar.com", created_at: "2010-01-05 01:05:42", updated_at: "2010-01-05 01:05:42">
```

Note that User.create, rather than returning true or false, returns the User object itself, which we can optionally assign to a variable (such as foo in the second command above).

The inverse of create is destroy:

```
>> foo.destroy
=> #<User id: 3, name: "Foo", email: "foo@bar.com", created_at: "2010-01-05
01:05:42", updated at: "2010-01-05 01:05:42">
```

Oddly, destroy, like create, returns the object in question, though I can't recall ever having used the return value of destroy. Even odder, perhaps, is that the destroyed object still exists in memory:

```
>> foo
=> #<User id: 3, name: "Foo", email: "foo@bar.com", created_at: "2010-01-05
01:05:42", updated_at: "2010-01-05 01:05:42">
```

How do we know if we really destroyed an object? And for saved and non-destroyed objects, how can we retrieve users from the database? It's time to learn how to use Active Record to find user objects.

6.1.4 Finding user objects

Active Record provides several options for finding objects. Let's use them to find the first user we created while verifying that the third user (foo) has been destroyed. We'll start with the existing user:

```
>> User.find(1)
=> #<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46", updated at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46">
```

Here we've passed the id of the user to User.find; Active Record returns the user with that id attribute.

Let's see if the user with an id of 3 still exists in the database:

```
>> User.find(3)
ActiveRecord::RecordNotFound: Couldn't find User with ID=3
```

Since we destroyed our third user in <u>Section 6.1.3</u>, Active Record can't find it in the database. Instead, find raises an *exception*, which is a way of indicating an exceptional event in the execution of a program—in this case, a nonexistent Active Record id, which causes find to raise an ActiveRecord::RecordNotFound exception.12

In addition to the generic find, Active Record also allows us to find users by specific attributes:

```
>> User.find_by_email("mhartl@example.com")
=> #<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46", updated at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46">
```

Since we will be using email addresses as usernames, this sort of find will be useful when we learn how to let users sign in to our site (<u>Chapter 8</u>).13

We'll end with a couple of more general ways of finding users. First, there's first:

```
>> User.first
=> #<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46", updated at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46">
```

Naturally, first just returns the first user in the database. There's also all:

```
>> User.all
=> [#<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created_at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46", updated_at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46">,
#<User id: 2, name: "A Nother", email: "another@example.org", created_at:
"2010-01-05 01:05:24", updated_at: "2010-01-05 01:05:24">]
```

No prizes for inferring that all returns an array (Section 4.3.1) of all users in the database.

6.1.5 Updating user objects

Once we've created objects, we often want to update them. There are two basic ways to do this. First, we can assign attributes individually, as we did in <u>Section 4.4.5</u>:

```
>> user  # Just a reminder about our user's attributes
=> #<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created_at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46", updated_at: "2010-01-05 00:57:46">
>> user.email = "mhartl@example.net"
=> "mhartl@example.net"
>> user.save
=> true
```

Note that the final step is necessary to write the changes to the database. We can see what happens without a save by using reload, which reloads the object based on the database information:

```
>> user.email
=> "mhartl@example.net"
>> user.email = "foo@bar.com"
=> "foo@bar.com"
```

```
>> user.reload.email
=> "mhartl@example.net"

Now that we've updated the user, the magic columns differ, as promised in Section 6.1.3:
>> user.created_at
=> "2010-01-05 00:57:46"
>> user.updated_at
=> "2010-01-05 01:37:32"

The second way to update attributes is to use update_attributes:
>> user.update_attributes(:name => "The Dude", :email => "dude@abides.org")
=> true
>> user.name
=> "The Dude"
>> user.email
=> "dude@abides.org"
```

The update_attributes method accepts a hash of attributes, and on success performs both the update and the save in one step (returning true to indicate that the save went through). It's worth noting that, once you have defined some attributes as accessible using attr_accessible (Section 6.1.2.2), only those attributes can be modified using update_attributes. If you ever find that your models mysteriously start refusing to update certain columns, check to make sure that those columns are included in the call to attr_accessible.

6.2 User validations

The User model we created in <u>Section 6.1</u> now has working <code>name</code> and <code>email</code> attributes, but they are completely generic: any string (including an empty one) is currently valid in either case. And yet, names and email addresses are more specific than this. For example, <code>name</code> should be non-blank, and <code>email</code> should match the specific format characteristic of email addresses. Moreover, since we'll be using email addresses as unique usernames when users sign in, we shouldn't allow email duplicates in the database.

In short, we shouldn't allow name and email to be just any strings; we should enforce certain constraints on their values. Active Record allows us to impose such constraints using *validations*. In this section we'll cover several of the most common cases, validating *presence*, *length*, *format* and *uniqueness*. In Section 7.1.1 we'll add a final common validation, *confirmation*. And we'll see in Section 8.2 how validations give us convenient error messages when users make submissions that violate them.

As with the other features of our sample app, we'll add User model validations using test-driven development. Since we've changed the data model, it's a good idea to prepare the test database before proceeding:

```
$ rake db:test:prepare
```

This just ensures that the data model from the development database, db/development.sqlite3, is reflected in the test database, db/test.sqlite3.

6.2.1 Validating presence

We'll start with a test for the presence of a name attribute. Although the first step in TDD is to write a *failing* test (Section 3.2.2), in this case we don't yet know enough about validations to write the proper test, so we'll write the validation first, using the console to understand it. Then we'll comment out the validation, write a failing test, and verify that uncommenting the validation gets the test to pass. This procedure may seem pedantic for such a simple test, but I have seen 14 many "simple" tests that test the wrong thing; being meticulous about TDD is simply the *only* way to be confident that we're testing the right thing. (This comment-out technique is also useful when rescuing an application whose application code is already written but—*quelle horreur!*—has no tests.)

The way to validate the presence of particular attribute is to use validates_presence_of, whose arguments are symbols indicating which attributes to validate—in this case, name (<u>Listing 6.6</u>).

```
Listing 6.6. Validating the presence of a name attribute.
app/models/user.rb
class User < ActiveRecord::Base</pre>
  attr accessible :name, :email
  validates presence of :name
end
This may look like magic, but validates presence of is just a method, as indeed is
attr accessible; an equivalent formulation of Listing 6.6 is as follows:
class User < ActiveRecord::Base</pre>
  attr accessible(:name, :email)
  validates presence of(:name)
end
Let's drop into the console to see the effects of adding a validation to our User model: 15
$ script/console --sandbox
>> user = User.new(:name => "", :email => "mhartl@example.com")
>> user.save
=> false
>> user.valid?
=> false
```

Here user.save returns false, indicating a failed save. In the final command, we use the valid? method, which returns false when the object fails one or more validations, and true when all validations pass. (Recall from Section 4.2.3 that Ruby uses a question mark to indicate such true/false boolean methods.) In this case, we only have one validation, so we know which one failed, but it can still be helpful to check using the errors object generated on failure:

```
>> user.errors.full_messages
=> ["Name can't be blank"]
```

(The error message is a hint that Rails validates the presence of an attribute using the blank? method, which we saw at the end of <u>Section 4.4.2.</u>)

Now for the failing test. To ensure that our incipient test will fail, let's comment out the validation at

```
Listing 6.7. Commenting out a validation to ensure a failing test. app/models/user.rb  
class User < ActiveRecord::Base attr_accessible :name, :email
  # validates_presence_of :name end
```

As in the case of controller generation (e.g., <u>Listing 5.22</u>), the model generate command in <u>Listing 6.1</u> produces an initial spec for testing users. I've modified the default a bit to produce the initial tests shown in <u>Listing 6.8</u>.

```
Listing 6.8. The initial user spec (modified slightly from the default).

spec/models/user_spec.rb

require 'spec_helper'

describe User do

before(:each) do
    @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" } end

it "should create a new instance given valid attributes" do
    User.create!(@attr)
    end

it "should require a name"
end
```

We've seen require and describe before, most recently in <u>Listing 5.27</u>. The next line is a before (:each) block; this was covered briefly in an exercise (<u>Listing 3.25</u>), and all it does is run the block before each test, in this case setting the @attr instance variable to an initialization hash.

The first test is just a sanity check, verifying that the User model is basically working. It uses User.create! (read "create bang"), which works just like the create method we saw in Section 6.1.3 except that it raises an ActiveRecord::RecordInvalid exception if the creation fails (similar to the ActiveRecord::RecordNotFound exception we saw in Section 6.1.4). As long as the attributes are valid, it won't raise any exceptions, and the test will pass.

The final line is the test for the presence of the name attribute—or rather, it *would* be the actual test, if it had anything in it. Instead, the test is just a stub, but a useful stub it is: it's a *pending spec*, which is a way to write a description of the application's behavior without worrying yet about the implementation. Pending specs are handled well by programs for running specs, as seen for Autotest in <u>Figure 6.5</u>. (The output of <code>Spec/spec/</code> is similarly useful.)



Figure 6.5: Autotest (via autospec) with a pending User spec. (full size)

In order to fill in the pending spec, we need a way to make an attributes hash with an invalid name. (The @attr hash is valid by construction, with a non-blank name attribute.) The Hash method merge does the trick, as we can see with script/console:

```
>> @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" }
=> {:name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com"}
>> @attr.merge(:name => "")
=> {:name => "", :email => "user@example.com"}
```

With merge in hand, we're ready to make the new spec (using a trick I'll explain momentarily), as seen in <u>Listing 6.9</u>.

Listing 6.9. A failing test for validation of the name attribute.

```
spec/models/user_spec.rb

describe User do

before(:each) do
    @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" }
end
.
.
.
it "should require a name" do
    no_name_user = User.new(@attr.merge(:name => ""))
    no_name_user.should_not be_valid
end
end
```

Here we use merge to make a new user called no_name_user with a blank name. The second line then uses the RSpec should_not method to verify that the resulting user is *not* valid. The trick I alluded to above is related to be_valid: we know from earlier in this section that a User object responds to the valid? boolean method. RSpec adopts the useful convention of allowing us to test any boolean method by dropping the question mark and prepending be_. In other words,

```
no_name_user.should_not be_valid
is equivalent to
no name user.valid?.should not == true
```

Since it sounds more like natural language, writing should_not be_valid is definitely more idiomatically correct RSpec.

With that, our new test should fail, which we can verify with Autotest or by running the user spec.rb file using the spec script:

```
$ spec spec/models/user_spec.rb
.F

1)
'User should require a name' FAILED
expected valid? to return false, got true
```

```
./spec/models/user_spec.rb:14:
2 examples, 1 failure

Now uncomment the validation (i.e., revert <u>Listing 6.7</u> back to <u>Listing 6.6</u>) to get the test to pass:
$ spec spec/models/user_spec.rb
...
2 examples, 0 failures
```

Of course, we also want to validate the presence of email addresses. The test (<u>Listing 6.10</u>) is analogous to the one for the name attribute.

The only trick to the implementation is knowing that validates_presence_of (like attr_accessible) can take multiple arguments, as seen in <u>Listing 6.11</u>.

```
Listing 6.11. Validating the presence of the name and email attributes. app/models/user.rb  
class User < ActiveRecord::Base  
attr_accessible :name, :email  
validates_presence_of :name, :email end
```

Now all the tests should pass, and the "presence of" validations are complete.

6.2.2 Length validation

We've constrained our User model to require a name for each user, but we should go further: the user's names will be displayed on the sample site, so we should enforce some limit on their length. With all the work we did in <u>Section 6.2.1</u>, this step is easy.

We start with a test. There's no science to picking a maximum length; we'll just pull 50 out of thin air

as a reasonable upper bound, which means verifying that names of **51** characters are too long (Listing 6.12).

For convenience, we've used "string multiplication" in <u>Listing 6.12</u> to make a string 51 characters long. We can see how this works using the console:

The test in <u>Listing 6.12</u> should fail. To get it to pass, we need to know about the validation to constrain length, validates_length_of; in this case, we use a :maximum parameter to enforce the upper bound (<u>Listing 6.13</u>). (This is a one-element *options hash*; recall from <u>Section 4.3.4</u> that curly braces are optional when passing hashes as the final argument in a method. As noted in <u>Section 5.1.1</u>, the use of options hashes is a recurring theme in Rails.)

```
Listing 6.13. Adding length validation for the name attribute.

app/models/user.rb

class User < ActiveRecord::Base
  attr_accessible :name, :email

validates_presence_of :name, :email
  validates_length_of :name, :maximum => 50
end
```

With our test suite passing again, we can move on to a more challenging validation: email format.

6.2.3 Format validation

Our validations for the name attribute enforce only minimal constraints—any non-blank name under 51 characters will do—but of course the email attribute must satisfy more stringent requirements. So

far we've only rejected blank email addresses; in this section, we'll require email addresses to conform to the familiar pattern user@example.com.

Neither the tests nor the validation will be exhaustive, just good enough to accept most valid email addresses and reject most invalid ones. We'll start with a couple tests involving collections of valid and invalid addresses. To make these collections, it's worth knowing about a useful method for making arrays of strings, as seen in this console session:

```
>> %w[foo bar baz]
=> ["foo", "bar", "baz"]
>> addresses = %w[user@foo.com THE_USER@foo.bar.org first.last@foo.jp]
=> ["user@foo.com", "THE_USER@foo.bar.org", "first.last@foo.jp"]
>> addresses.each do |address|
?> puts address
>> end
user@foo.com
THE_USER@foo.bar.org
first.last@foo.jp
```

Here we've iterated over the elements of the addresses array using the each method (Section 4.3.2). With this technique in hand, we're ready to write some basic email format validation tests (Listing 6.14).

```
Listing 6.14. Tests for email format validation.
spec/models/user spec.rb
describe User do
  before(:each) do
    @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" }
  end
  it "should accept valid email addresses" do
    addresses = %w[user@foo.com THE USER@foo.bar.org first.last@foo.jp]
    addresses.each do |address|
      valid_email_user = User.new(@attr.merge(:email => address))
      valid email user.should be valid
    end
  end
  it "should reject invalid email addresses" do
    addresses = %w[user@foo,com user at foo.org example.user@foo.]
    addresses.each do |address|
      invalid email user = User.new(@attr.merge(:email => address))
      invalid email user.should not be valid
    end
  end
end
```

As noted above, these are far from exhaustive, but we do check the common valid email forms user@foo.com, $THE_USER@foo.bar.org$ (uppercase, underscores, and compound domains), and first.last@foo.jp (the standard corporate username first.last, with a two-letter top-level domain jp), along with several invalid forms.

The application code for email format validation uses a *regular expression* (covered briefly in <u>Section 5.3.1</u>) to define the format, along with the Rails <code>validates_format_of</code> method (Listing 6.15).

Listing 6.15. Validating the email format with a regular expression. app/models/user.rb

```
class User < ActiveRecord::Base
  attr_accessible :name, :email

EmailRegex = /\A[\w+\-.]+@[a-z\d\-.]+\.[a-z]+\z/i

validates_presence_of :name, :email
 validates_length_of :name, :maximum => 50
 validates_format_of :email, :with => EmailRegex
end
```

Here EmailRegex is a Ruby *constant*, as indicated by the leading capital letter. 16 (Using a constant is simply conventional in this context; we could have used a variable, too.) The value of EmailRegex is a *regular expression*, also known as a *regex*. The code

```
EmailRegex = /\A[\w+\-.]+@[a-z\d\-.]+\.[a-z]+\z/i
.
.
.
validates format of :email, :with => EmailRegex
```

ensures that only email addresses that match the pattern will be valid.

So, what of the pattern? Regular expressions consist of a terse (some would say <u>unreadable</u>) language for matching text patterns; learning to construct regexes is an art, and to get you started I've broken <code>EmailRegex</code> into bite-sized pieces (<u>Table 6.1</u>).17 To really learn about regular expressions, though, I consider the amazing <u>Rubular</u> regular expression editor (<u>Figure 6.6</u>) to be simply essential.18 The Rubular website has a beautiful interactive interface for making regular expressions, along with a handy regex quick reference. I encourage you to study <u>Table 6.1</u> with a browser window open to Rubular—no amount of reading about regular expressions can replace a couple of hours playing with Rubular. (Video is a better format than print for understanding regexes, and I plan to cover them in more depth in the <u>Rails Tutorial screencasts</u>.)

Expression Meaning $/\A[\w+\-.]+@[a-z\d\-.]+\.[a-z]+\z/i$ full regex / start of regex **** A match start of a string $[\w+\- .]+$ at least one word character, plus, hyphen, or dot literal "at sign" $[a-z\d\cdot.]+$ at least one letter, digit, hyphen, or dot literal dot [a-z]+ at least one letter \z match end of a string

```
/ end of regex i case insensitive
```

Table 6.1: Breaking down the email regex from <u>Listing 6.15</u>.

By the way, there actually exists a full regex for matching email addresses according to the official standard, but it's really not worth the trouble. The one in <u>Listing 6.15</u> is fine, maybe even better than the official one.<u>19</u>



Figure 6.6: The awesome <u>Rubular</u> regular expression editor. (<u>full size</u>)

The tests should all be passing now. (In fact, the tests for valid email addresses should have been passing all along; since regexes are notoriously error-prone, the valid email tests are there mainly as a sanity check on EmailRegex.) This means that there's only one constraint left: enforcing the email addresses to be unique.

6.2.4 Uniqueness validation

To enforce uniqueness of email addresses (so that we can use them as usernames), we'll be using the method validates_uniqueness_of. But be warned: there's a *major* caveat, so don't just skim this section—read it carefully.

We'll start, as usual, with our tests. In our previous model tests, we've mainly used User.new, which just creates a Ruby object in memory, but for uniqueness tests we actually need to put a record into the database. 20 The (first) duplicate email test appears in <u>Listing 6.16</u>.

```
Listing 6.16. A test for the rejection of duplicate email addresses.

spec/models/user_spec.rb

describe User do

before(:each) do
    @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" } end

.

it "should reject duplicate email addresses" do
    # Put a user with given email address into the database.
    User.create!(@attr)
    user_with_duplicate_email = User.new(@attr)
    user_with_duplicate_email.should_not be_valid
end
end
```

The method here is to create a user and then try to make another one with the same email address. (We use the noisy method create!, first seen in <u>Listing 6.8</u>, so that it will raise an exception if anything goes wrong. Using create, without the bang!, risks having a silent error in our test, a potential source of elusive bugs.) We can get this test to pass with the code in <u>Listing 6.17.21</u>

Listing 6.17. Validating the uniqueness of email addresses. app/models/user.rb

```
class User < ActiveRecord::Base
   .
   .
   .
   validates_format_of :email, :with => EmailRegex
   validates_uniqueness_of :email
end
```

We're not quite done, though. Email addresses are case-insensitive—foo@bar.com goes to the same place as F00@BAR.COM or Fo0@BAr.coM—so our validation should cover this case as well. We test for this with the code in <u>Listing 6.18</u>.

Listing 6.18. A test for the rejection of duplicate email addresses, insensitive to case. spec/models/user spec.rb

```
describe User do

before(:each) do
    @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" }
end
.
.
.
it "should reject email addresses identical up to case" do
    upcased_email = @attr[:email].upcase
    User.create!(@attr.merge(:email => upcased_email))
    user_with_duplicate_email = User.new(@attr)
    user_with_duplicate_email.should_not be_valid
end
end
```

Here we are using the **upcase** method on strings (seen briefly in <u>Section 4.3.2</u>). This test does the same thing as the first duplicate email test, but with an upper-case email address instead. If this test feels a little abstract, go ahead and fire up the console:

```
$ script/console --sandbox
>> @attr = { :name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com" }
=> {:name => "Example User", :email => "user@example.com"}
>> upcased_email = @attr[:email].upcase
=> "USER@EXAMPLE.COM"
>> User.create!(@attr.merge(:email => upcased_email))
>> user_with_duplicate_email = User.new(@attr)
>> user_with_duplicate_email.valid?
=> true
```

Of course, currently user_with_duplicate_email.valid? is true, since this is a failing test, but we want it to be false. Fortunately, validates_uniqueness_of accepts an option, :case sensitive, for just this purpose (Listing 6.19).

```
Listing 6.19. Validating the uniqueness of email addresses, ignoring case. app/models/user.rb
```

With that, our tests pass, and email uniqueness is validated.

The uniqueness caveat

There's just one small problem, the caveat alluded to above:

Using validates_uniqueness_of does not guarantee uniqueness.

D'oh! But what can go wrong? Here's what:

- 1. Alice signs up for the sample app, with address alice@wonderland.com.
- 2. Alice accidentally clicks on "Submit" *twice*, sending two requests in quick succession.
- 3. The following sequence occurs: request 1 creates a user in memory that passes validation, request 2 does the same, request 1's user gets saved, request 2's user gets saved.
- 4. Result: two user records with the exact same email address, despite the uniqueness validation.

If the above sequence seems implausible, believe me, it isn't: it happens on any Rails website with significant traffic. 22 Luckily, the solution is straightforward to implement; we just need to enforce uniqueness at the database level as well. Our method is to create a database *index* on the email column, and then require that the index be unique.

The email index represents an update to our data modeling requirements, which (as discussed in Section 6.1.1) is handled in Rails using migrations. We saw in Section 6.1.1 that generating the User model automatically created a new migration (Listing 6.2); in the present case, we are adding structure to an existing model, so we need to create a migration directly using the migration generator:

```
$ script/generate migration add email uniqueness index
```

Unlike the migration for users, the email uniqueness migration is not pre-defined, so we need to fill in its contents with Listing 6.20.23

```
Listing 6.20. The migration for enforcing email uniqueness.

db/migrate/<timestamp>_add_email_uniqueness_index.rb

class AddEmailUniquenessIndex < ActiveRecord::Migration
    def self.up
    add_index :users, :email, :unique => true
    end

def self.down
    remove_index :users, :email
    end
end
```

This uses a Rails method called add_index to add an index on the email column of the users table. The index by itself doesn't enforce uniqueness, but the option :unique => true does.

The final step is to migrate the database:

\$ rake db:migrate

Now the Alice scenario above will work fine: the database will save a user record based on the first request, and will reject the second save for violating the uniqueness constraint. (An error will appear in the Rails log, but that doesn't do any harm. You can actually catch the

ActiveRecord::StatementInvalid exception that gets raised—see here for an example—but in this tutorial we won't bother with this step.) Adding this index on the email attribute accomplishes a second goal, alluded to briefly in Section 6.1.4: it fixes an efficiency problem in find_by_email (Box 6.2).

Box 6.2.Database indices

When creating a column in a database, it is important to consider if we will need to *find* records by that column. Consider, for example, the email attribute created by the migration in <u>Listing 6.2</u>. When we allow users to sign in to the sample app starting in <u>Chapter 8</u>, we will need to find the user record corresponding to the submitted email address; unfortunately, based on the naïve data model, the only way to find a user by email address is to look through *each* user row in the database and compare its email attribute to the given email. This is known in the database business as a *full-table scan*, and for a real site with thousands of users it is a <u>Bad Thing</u>.

Putting an index on the email column fixes the problem. To understand a database index, it's helpful to consider the analogy of a book index. In a book, to find all the occurrences of a given string, say "foobar", you would have to scan each page for "foobar". With a book index, on the other hand, you can just look up "foobar" in the index to see all the pages containing "foobar". (Of course, with *this* book you can always just search it electronically.) A database index works essentially the same way.

6.3 Viewing users

We're not quite done with the basic user model—we need to add passwords, a task for <u>Chapter 7</u>—but we do have enough in place to make a minimalist page for showing user information. This will allow a gentle introduction to the REST style of organizing the actions for our site's users. Since this is just a rough demonstration for now, there are no tests in this section; we'll add tests when we flesh out the user view in <u>Section 7.3</u>.

6.3.1 Debug and Rails environments

As preparation for adding dynamic pages to our sample application, now is a good time to add some debug information to our site layout (<u>Listing 6.21</u>). This displays some useful information about each page using the built-in **debug** method and **params** variable (which we'll learn more about in <u>Section 6.3.2</u>), as seen in <u>Figure 6.7</u>.

Listing 6.21. Adding some debug information to the site layout.

app/views/layouts/application.html.erb

<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML 1.0 Strict//EN"
 "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml1/DTD/xhtml1-strict.dtd">
<html lang="en" xml:lang="en" xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml">
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .

Since we don't want to display debug information to users of a deployed application, we use if Rails.env.development?

to restrict the debug information to the *development environment*. Though we've seen evidence of Rails environments before (most recently in <u>Section 6.1.3</u>), this is the first time it has mattered to us.



Figure 6.7: The sample application Home page (\angle) with debug information at the bottom. (full size)

Rails comes equipped with three environments: test, development, and production. 24 The default environment for the Rails console is development:

```
$ script/console
Loading development environment (Rails 2.3.8)
>> Rails.env
=> "development"
>> Rails.env.development?
=> true
>> Rails.env.test?
=> false
```

As you can see, Rails provides a Rails object with an env attribute and associated environment boolean methods. In particular, Rails.env.development? is true only in a development environment, so the Embedded Ruby

```
<%= debug(params) if Rails.env.development? %>
```

won't be inserted into production applications or tests. (Inserting the debug information into tests probably doesn't do any harm, but it probably doesn't do any good, either, so it's best to restrict the debug display to development only.)

If you ever need to run a console in a different environment (to debug a test, for example), you can pass the environment as a parameter to the console script:

```
$ script/console test
Loading test environment (Rails 2.3.8)
>> Rails.env
=> "test"
```

As with the console, development is the default environment for the local Rails server, but you can also run it in a different environment:

```
$ script/server --environment production
```

If you view your app running in production, it won't work without a production database, which we can create by running rake db:migrate in production:

```
$ rake db:migrate RAILS ENV=production
```

(I find it confusing that the console, server, and migrate commands specify non-default environments in three mutually incompatible ways, which is why I bothered showing all three.)

By the way, if you have deployed your sample app to Heroku, you can see its environment using the heroku gem, which provides its own (remote) console:

```
$ heroku console
Ruby console for yourapp.heroku.com
>> Rails.env
=> "production"
>> Rails.env.production?
=> true
```

Naturally, since Heroku is a platform for production sites, it runs each application in a production environment.

6.3.2 User model, view, controller

In order to make a page to view a user, we'll use the User *model* to put a user into the database, make a *view* to display some user information, and then add an action to the Users *controller* to handle the browser request. In other words, for the first time in this tutorial, we'll see in one place all three elements of the model-view-controller architecture first discussed in Section 1.2.4.

Our first step is to create a user using the console, which we'll take care *not* to start in a sandbox since this time the whole point is to save a record to the database:

```
$ script/console
Loading development environment (Rails 2.3.8)
>> User.create!(:name => "Michael Hartl", :email => "mhartl@example.com")
=> #<User id: 1, name: "Michael Hartl", email: "mhartl@example.com",
created at: "2010-01-07 23:05:14", updated at: "2010-01-07 23:05:14">
```

To double-check that this worked, let's look at the row in the development database using the SQLite Database Browser (<u>Figure 6.8</u>). Note that the columns correspond to the attributes of the data model defined in Section 6.1.



Figure 6.8: A user row in the SQLite database db/development.sqlite3. (full size)

Next comes the view, which is minimalist to emphasize that this is just a demonstration (<u>Listing 6.22</u>). We use the standard Rails location for showing a user, app/views/users/show.html.erb; unlike the new.html.erb view, which we created with the generator in <u>Listing 5.22</u>, the show.html.erb file doesn't currently exist, so you'll have to create it by hand.

```
Listing 6.22. A stub view for showing user information. app/views/users/show.html.erb <%= @user.name %>, <%= @user.email %>
```

This view uses Embedded Ruby to display the user's name and email address, assuming the existence of an instance variable called @user. Of course, eventually the real user show page will look very different, and won't display the email address publicly.

Finally, we'll add the Show action to the Users controller (corresponding to the Show.html.erb view) with the code in <u>Listing 6.23</u>, which defines the @user instance variable needed by the view.

```
Listing 6.23. The Users controller with a show action.

app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController

def show
    @user = User.find(params[:id])
    end

def new
    @title = "Sign up"
    end
end
```

Here we've gotten a little ahead of ourselves by using the standard Rails params object to retrieve the user id. When we make the appropriate request to the Users controller, params [:id] will be the user id 1, so the effect is the same as the find command

```
User.find(1)
```

we saw in Section 6.1.4.

With the Show action defined as in <u>Listing 6.23</u>, we can view the user show page at the url <u>/users/show/1</u> (<u>Figure 6.9</u>), which adds id to the traditional /controller/action/id pattern we first encountered in <u>Section 3.1.2</u>.



Figure 6.9: The user show page at /users/show/1. (full size)

If you look closely at the debug information in <u>Figure 6.9</u>, you'll see the following: <u>25</u>

```
--- !map:HashWithIndifferentAccess
action: show
id: "1"
controller: users
```

This is a representation of params (which is basically a hash), and we see that its id attribute is "1". This is why

```
User.find(params[:id])
```

finds the user with id 1. (The find method knows how to convert the string "1" into the integer 1.)

6.3.3 A Users resource

Although the user show URL in <u>Section 6.3.2</u> works fine for now, it doesn't fit in well with the REST architecture favored in Rails applications. This style is based on the ideas of *representational state transfer* identified and named by computer scientist <u>Roy Fielding</u> in his doctoral dissertation *Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures*. <u>26</u> The REST design style emphasizes representing data as *resources* that can be created, shown, updated, or destroyed—four actions corresponding to the four fundamental operations POST, GET, PUT, and DELETE defined by the <u>HTTP standard</u> (<u>Box 3.1</u>).

When following REST principles, resources are typically referenced using the resource name and a unique identifier. What this means in the context of users—which we're now thinking of as a Users resource—is that we should view the user with id 1 by issuing a GET request to the URL /users/1. Here the Show action is *implicit* in the type of request—when Rails' REST features are activated, GET requests are *automatically* handled by the Show action.

Unfortunately, the URL /users/1 doesn't work quite yet (Figure 6.10). By default, Rails only recognizes the /controller/action/id pattern, but recall that Rails URLs are determined by a routes file (Section 5.2.2). We can get the REST-style Users URL to work by adding users as a resource to config/routes.rb (Listing 6.24).

```
Figure 6.10: The initial effect of hitting /users/1. (full size)

Listing 6.24. Adding a Users resource to the routes file.

config/routes.rb

ActionController::Routing::Routes.draw do |map|
map.resources :users
.
.
.
end
```

Now that we've added the Users resource, the URL $\underline{/users/1}$ works perfectly (<u>Figure 6.11</u>).



Figure 6.11: The user show page at <u>/users/1</u> after adding a Users resource. (<u>full size</u>)

The one additional resource line in <u>Listing 6.24</u> doesn't just add a working /users/1 URL; it endows our sample application with all the actions needed for a RESTful Users resource,<u>27</u> along with a large number of named routes (<u>Section 5.2.3</u>) for generating user URLs. The resulting correspondence of URLs, actions, and named routes is shown in <u>Table 6.2</u>. (Compare to <u>Table 2.2</u>.) Over the course of the next three chapters, we'll cover all of the other entries in <u>Table 6.2</u> as we fill in all the actions necessary to make users a fully RESTful resource.<u>28</u>

HTTP request	URL	Action	Named route	Purpose
GET	/users	index	users_path	page to list all users
GET	/users/1	show	user_path(1)	page to show user with id $\boldsymbol{1}$
GET	/users/new	new	new_user_path	page to make a new user (signup)
P0ST	/users	create	users_path	create a new user
GET	/ users/1/edit	edit	<pre>edit_user_path(1)</pre>	page to edit user with id $oldsymbol{1}$
PUT	/users/1	update	user_path(1)	update user with id 1
DELETE	/users/1	destroy	user_path(1)	delete user with id 1

Table 6.2: RESTful routes provided by the Users resource in <u>Listing 6.24</u>.

6.4 Conclusion

This chapter is the first half of the two-step process of creating a working User model. Our users now have name and email attributes, together with validations enforcing several important constraints on their values. We've also taken a first small step toward a working user show page and a Users resource based on the principles of representational state transfer (REST). In <u>Chapter 7</u>, we'll complete the process by adding user passwords and a more useful user view.

If you're using Git, now would be a good time to commit if you haven't done so in a while:

```
$ git add .
```

6.5 Exercises

- 1. Read through the <u>Rails API entry for ActiveRecord::Base</u> to get a sense of its capabilities.
- 2. Study the entries in the Rails API for each of the validates_ methods in this chapter to learn more about their capabilities and options.
- 3. Spend a couple hours playing with **Rubular**.

« Chapter 5 Filling in the layout Chapter 7 Modeling and viewing users, part II »

^{\$} git commit -am "Finished first cut of the User model"

- 1. <u>Mockingbird</u> doesn't support custom images like the profile photo in <u>Figure 6.1</u>; I put that in by hand using <u>Adobe Fireworks</u>. The hippo here is from http://www.flickr.com/photos/43803060@N00/24308857/. ↑
- 2. The name comes from the "<u>active record pattern</u>", identified and named in *Patterns of Enterprise Application Architecture* by Martin Fowler. <u>↑</u>
- 3. Pronounced "ess-cue-ell", though the alternate pronunciation "sequel" is also common. *↑*
- 4. In its earliest incarnations, Rails did require knowledge of an SQL DDL. Even after Rails added migrations, setting up the old default database (MySQL) was quite involved. Happily, as noted in <u>Section 1.2.5</u>, Rails now uses SQLite by default, which stores its data as a simple file—no setup required. ↑
- 6. By using an email address as the username, we open the theoretical possibility of communicating with our users at a future date. ↑
- 7. Don't worry about exactly how the t object manages to do this; the beauty of *abstraction layers* is that we don't have to know. We can just trust the t object to do its job. 1
- 8. We'll see how to migrate up on a remote Heroku server in <u>Section 7.4.2</u>. ↑
- 9. Officially pronounced "ess-cue-ell-ite", although the (mis)pronunciation "sequel-ite" is also common. ↑
- 10.In case you're curious about "2010-01-05 00:57:46", I'm not writing this after midnight; the timestamps are recorded in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC), which for most practical purposes is the same as Greenwich Mean Time. From the NIST Time and Frequency (UTC) used as the acronym for Coordinated Universal Time instead of CUT? A: In 1970 the Coordinated Universal Time system was devised by an international advisory group of technical experts within the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). The ITU felt it was best to designate a single abbreviation for use in all languages in order to minimize confusion. Since unanimous agreement could not be achieved on using either the English word order, CUT, or the French word order, TUC, the acronym UTC was chosen as a compromise. frequency (IT) (ITU) (IT
- 11.Note the value of user.updated at. Told you the timestamp was in UTC. ↑
- 12.Exceptions and exception handling are somewhat advanced Ruby subjects, and we won't need them much in this book. They are important, though, and I suggest learning about them using one of the Ruby books recommended in <u>Section 1.1.1</u>. ↑
- 13.To those worried that find_by_email will be inefficient if there are a large number of users, you're ahead of the game. We'll cover this issue, and its solution via database indices, in Section 6.2.4. 1
- 14.and written ↑
- 15.I'll omit the output of console commands when they are not particularly instructive—for example, the results of User.new. <u>↑</u>
- 16.It's not a coincidence that it looks like a ClassName—class names are constants, too. ↑
- 17.Note that, in <u>Table 6.1</u>, "letter" really means "lower-case letter", but the **i** at the end of the regex enforces case-insensitive matching. ↑
- 18.If you find it as useful as I do, I encourage you to <u>donate to Rubular</u> to reward developer <u>Michael Lovitt</u> for his wonderful work. ↑

- 19.Did you know that "Michael Hartl"@example.com, with quotation marks and a space in the middle, is a valid email address according to the standard? Incredibly, it is—but it's absurd. If you don't have an email address that contains only letters, numbers, underscores, and dots, then get one. N.B. The regex in Listing 6.15 allows plus signs, too, because Gmail (and possibly other email services) does something useful with them: for example, to filter orders from Amazon, you can use username+amazon@gmail.com, which will go to the Gmail address username@gmail.com, allowing you to filter on the string amazon. 1
- 20.As noted briefly in the introduction to this section, there is a dedicated test database, db/test.sqlite3, for this purpose. ⊥
- 21.If you're wondering why the create! line in <u>Listing 6.8</u> doesn't cause this to fail by creating a duplicate user, it's because Rails tests are *transactional*: each test is wrapped in a <u>transaction</u>, which *rolls back* the database after the test executes. This way, each test runs against a fresh database. ↑
- 22. Yes, it happened to me. How do you think I found out about this issue? ↑
- 23.Of course, we could just edit the migration file for the users table in <u>Listing 6.2</u> but that would require rolling back and then migrating back up. The Rails Way is to use migrations every time we discover that our data model needs to change. <u>↑</u>
- 24.You can define your own custom environments as well; see the <u>Railscast on adding an</u> environment for details. ↑
- 25.The Rails **debug** information is shown as <u>YAML</u> (a <u>recursive acronym</u> standing for "YAML Ain't Markup Language"), which is a friendly data format designed to be both machine- *and* human-readable. ↑
- 26.Fielding, Roy Thomas. *Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures*. Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Irvine, 2000. ↑
- 27. This means that the *routing* works, but the corresponding pages don't necessarily work at this point. For example, /users/1/edit gets routed properly to the edit action of the Users controller, but since the edit action doesn't exist yet actually hitting that URL will return an error. ↑
- 28.Note that <u>Table 6.2</u> includes the URL /users/new, which actually worked fine in <u>Section 5.3.1</u>. This is because /users/new matches both the /controller/action pattern and the RESTful routes pattern. <u>↑</u>