# <u>Chapter 10 Updating, showing, and deleting</u> users

In this chapter, we will complete the REST actions for the Users resource (<u>Table 6.2</u>) by adding edit, update, index, and destroy actions. We'll start by giving users the ability to update their profiles, which will also provide a natural opportunity to enforce a security model (made possible by the authentication code in <u>Chapter 9</u>). Then we'll make a listing of all users (also requiring authentication), which will motivate the introduction of sample data and pagination. Finally, we'll add the ability to destroy users, wiping them from the database. Since we can't allow just any user to have such dangerous powers, we'll take care to create a privileged class of administrative users (admins) along the way.

To get started, let's start work on an updating-users topic branch:

\$ git checkout -b updating-users

# **10.1 Updating users**

The pattern for editing user information closely parallels that for creating new users (<u>Chapter 8</u>). Instead of a new action rendering a view for new users, we have an edit action rendering a view to edit users; instead of create responding to a POST request, we have an update action responding to a PUT request (<u>Box 3.1</u>). The biggest difference is that, while anyone can sign up, only the current user should be able to update their information. This means that we need to enforce access control so that only authorized users can edit and update; the authentication machinery from <u>Chapter 9</u> will allow us to use a *before filter* to ensure that this is the case.

#### **10.1.1 Edit form**

We start with tests for the edit form, whose mockup appears in <u>Figure 10.1.1</u> Two are analogous to tests we saw for the new user page (<u>Listing 8.1</u>), checking for the proper response and title; the third test makes sure that there is a link to edit the user's Gravatar image (<u>Section 7.3.2</u>). If you poke around the Gravatar site, you'll see that the page to add or edit images is (somewhat oddly) located at <a href="http://gravatar.com/emails">http://gravatar.com/emails</a>, so we test the edit page for a link with that URL. The result is shown in <a href="<u>Listing 10.1.1">Listing 10.1</a></u>.



Figure 10.1: A mockup of the user edit page. (full size)

Listing 10.1. Tests for the user edit action.

```
spec/controllers/users controller spec.rb
require 'spec helper'
describe UsersController do
  integrate views
  describe "GET 'edit'" do
    before(:each) do
     @user = Factory(:user)
      test sign in(@user)
    it "should be successful" do
     get :edit, :id => @user
      response.should be success
    end
    it "should have the right title" do
     get :edit, :id => @user
      response.should have tag("title", /edit user/i)
    end
    it "should have a link to change the Gravatar" do
      get :edit, :id => @user
      gravatar url = "http://gravatar.com/emails"
      response.should have_tag("a[href=?]", gravatar url, /change/i)
 end
end
```

Here we've made sure to use test\_sign\_in(@user) to sign in as the user in anticipation of protecting the edit page from unauthorized access (Section 10.2). Otherwise, these tests would break as soon as we implemented our authentication code.

Note from <u>Table 6.2</u> that the proper URL for a user's edit page is /users/1/edit (assuming the user's id is 1). Recall that the id of the user is available in the params [:id] variable, which means that we can find the user with the code in <u>Listing 10.2</u>. This uses find to find the relevant user in the database, and then sets the @title variable to the proper value.

```
Listing 10.2. An incomplete user edit action.
app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
   .
   .
   def edit
      @user = User.find(params[:id])
      @title = "Edit user"
   end
end</pre>
```

Getting the tests to pass requires making the actual edit view, shown in <u>Listing 10.3</u>. Note how closely this resembles the new user view from <u>Listing 8.2</u>; the large overlap suggests factoring the repeated code into a partial, which is left as an exercise (<u>Section 10.6</u>).

```
Listing 10.3. The user edit view.
                                          3
app/views/users/edit.html.erb
<h2>Edit user</h2>
<% form for(@user) do |f| %>
  <%= f.error messages %>
  <div class="field">
    <%= f.label :name %><br />
    <%= f.text field :name %>
  <div class="field">
    <%= f.label :email %><br />
    <%= f.text field :email %>
  </div>
  <div class="field">
    <%= f.label :password %><br />
    <%= f.password field :password %>
  </div>
  <div class="field">
    <%= f.label :password_confirmation, "Confirmation" %><br />
    <%= f.password_field :password_confirmation %>
  </div>
  <div class="actions">
    <%= f.submit "Update" %>
  </div>
<% end %>
<div>
  <%= gravatar for @user %>
  <a href="http://gravatar.com/emails">change</a>
</div>
```

We'll also add a link to the site navigation for the user edit page (which we'll call "Settings"), as mocked up in <u>Figure 10.23</u> and shown in <u>Listing 10.4</u>.



Figure 10.2: A mockup of the user profile page with a "Settings" link. (full size)

```
 <% end %>
    .
    .

</div>
```

Here we use the named route edit\_user\_path from <u>Table 6.2</u>, together with the handy current user helper method defined in <u>Listing 9.19</u>.

With the @user instance variable from <u>Listing 10.2</u>, the tests from <u>Listing 10.1</u> pass. As seen in <u>Figure 10.3</u>, the new page renders, though it doesn't yet work.



Figure 10.3: Editing user settings (<u>/users/1/edit</u>). (<u>full size</u>)

Looking at the HTML source for <u>Figure 10.3</u>, we see a form tag as expected (<u>Listing 10.5</u>).

Listing 10.5. HTML for the edit form defined in <u>Listing 10.3</u> and shown in <u>Figure 10.3</u>.

```
<form action="/users/1" class="edit_user" id="edit_user_1" method="post">
    <input name="_method" type="hidden" value="put" />
    .
    .
    .
</form>
```

Note here the hidden input field

```
<input name=" method" type="hidden" value="put" />
```

Since web browsers can't natively send PUT requests (as required by the REST conventions from <u>Table 6.2</u>), Rails fakes it with a POST request and a hidden input field.

There's another subtlety to address here: the code <code>form\_for(@user)</code> in <u>Listing 10.3</u> is *exactly* the same as the code in <u>Listing 8.2</u>—so how does Rails know to use a POST request for new users and a PUT for editing users? The answer is that it is possible to tell whether a user is new or already exists in the database via the <code>new\_record</code>? boolean method:

```
$ script/console
>> User.new.new_record?
=> true
>> User.first.new_record?
=> false
```

When constructing a form using form\_for(@user), Rails uses POST if @user.new\_record? is true and PUT if it is false.

#### **10.1.2 Enabling edits**

Although the edit form doesn't yet work, we've outsourced image upload to Gravatar, so it works straightaway by clicking on the "change" link from <u>Figure 10.3</u>, as shown in <u>Figure 10.4</u>.



Figure 10.4: The <u>Gravatar</u> image-cropping interface, with a picture of <u>some dude</u>. (<u>full size</u>)

Let's get the rest of the user edit functionality working as well.

The tests for the update action are similar to those for create. In particular, we test both update failure and update success (<u>Listing 10.6</u>). (This is a lot of code; see if you can work through it by referring back to the tests in <u>Chapter 8</u>.)

```
Listing 10.6. Tests for the user update action.
spec/controllers/users controller spec.rb
                                                         1
describe UsersController do
  integrate views
  describe "PUT 'update'" do
    before(:each) do
      @user = Factory(:user)
      test_sign_in(@user)
      User.should receive(:find).with(@user).and return(@user)
    describe "failure" do
      before(:each) do
        @invalid attr = { :email => "", :name => "" }
        @user.should_receive(:update_attributes).and_return(false)
      it "should render the 'edit' page" do
        put :update, :id => @user, :user => @invalid attr
        response.should render template('edit')
      end
      it "should have the right title" do
        put :update, :id => @user, :user => @invalid_attr
        response.should have tag("title", /edit user/i)
      end
    end
    describe "success" do
      before(:each) do
        @attr = { :name => "New Name", :email => "user@example.org",
                  :password => "barbaz", :password confirmation => "barbaz" }
        @user.should_receive(:update_attributes).and return(true)
```

```
end
       it "should redirect to the user show page" do
         put :update, :id => @user, :user => @attr
         response.should redirect to(user path(@user))
       end
       it "should have a flash message" do
         put :update, :id => @user, :user => @attr
         flash[:success].should =~ /updated/
    end
  end
end
Here we've set up the message expectations to require that User. find be called, as in
User.should receive(:find).with(@user).and return(@user)
which also arranges to return the proper user. We also require that update attributes be called,
as in
@user.should receive(:update attributes).and return(false)
and
@user.should receive(:update attributes).and return(true)
Compare this to the code from <u>Chapter 8</u>, where we required calls to Save, e.g.,
@user.should receive(:save).and return(false)
and
@user.should receive(:save).and return(true)
(The expectations are located in before blocks so that they execute before every test, thereby
preventing any of the put calls from actually hitting the database. In a previous version of this book,
the code in <u>Chapter 8</u> and <u>Chapter 9</u> didn't put the expectations in the before block; if you have been
reading chapters immediately after their release, you might want to review those chapters before
proceeding.)
```

The **update** action needed to get the tests in <u>Listing 10.6</u> to pass is similar to the final form of the create action (<u>Listing 9.26</u>), as seen in <u>Listing 10.7</u>.

```
Listing 10.7. The user update action.
app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
.
.
.
def update
    @user = User.find(params[:id])
    if @user.update_attributes(params[:user])
        flash[:success] = "Profile updated."</pre>
```

```
redirect_to @user
  else
    @title = "Edit user"
    render 'edit'
    end
  end
end
end
```

With that, the user edit page should be working. As presently constructed, every edit requires the user to reconfirm the password (as implied by the empty confirmation text box in <u>Figure 10.3</u>), which makes updates more secure but is a minor annoyance. (I plan to show how to allow user updates without a password confirmation in the <u>Rails Tutorial screencasts</u>.)

# **10.2 Protecting pages**

Although the edit and update actions from <u>Section 10.1</u> are functionally complete, they suffer from a ridiculous security flaw: they allow anyone (even non-signed-in users) to access either action, and any signed-in user can update the information for any other user. <u>5</u> In this section, we'll implement a security model that requires users to be signed in and prevents them from updating any information other than their own. Users who aren't signed in and who try to access protected pages will be forwarded to the signin page with a helpful message, as mocked up in <u>Figure 10.5</u>.



Figure 10.5: A mockup of the result of visiting a protected page (full size)

## 10.2.1 Requiring signed-in users

Since the security restrictions for the edit and update actions are identical, we'll handle them in a single RSpec describe block. Starting with the sign-in requirement, our initial tests verify that non-signed-in users attempting to access either action are simply redirected to the signin page, as seen in Listing 10.8.

```
it "should deny access to 'edit'" do
    get :edit, :id => @user
    response.should redirect_to(signin_path)
    end

it "should deny access to 'update'" do
    put :update, :id => @user, :user => {}
    response.should redirect_to(signin_path)
    end
    end
end
end
```

The application code gets these tests to pass using a *before filter*, which arranges for a particular method to be called before the given actions. In this case, we define an authenticate method and invoke it using before\_filter :authenticate, as shown in <u>Listing 10.9</u>.

```
Listing 10.9. Adding an authenticate before filter.
app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
  before_filter :authenticate, :only => [:edit, :update]
    .
    .
    private

    def authenticate
        deny_access unless signed_in?
    end
end
```

By default, before filters apply to *every* action in a controller, so here we restrict the filter to act only on the :edit and :update actions by passing the :only options hash.

This code won't work yet, because deny\_access hasn't been defined. Since access denial is part of authentication, we'll put it in the Sessions helper from <a href="Chapter 9">Chapter 9</a>. All deny\_access does is put a message in flash[:notice] and then redirect to the signin page (<a href="Listing 10.10">Listing 10.10</a>).

```
Listing 10.10. The deny_access method for user authentication.
app/helpers/sessions_helper.rb

module SessionsHelper
.
.
.
def deny_access
  flash[:notice] = "Please sign in to access this page."
  redirect_to signin_path
  end
end
```

Together with :Success and :error, the :notice key completes our triumvirate of flash styles, all of which are supported natively by Blueprint CSS. By signing out and attempting to access the user edit page /users/1/edit, we can see the resulting yellow "notice" box, as seen in Figure 10.6.



Figure 10.6: The signin form after trying to access a protected page. (full size)

#### 10.2.2 Requiring the right user

Of course, requiring users to sign in isn't quite enough; users should only be allowed to edit their *own* information. We can test for this by first signing in as an incorrect user and then hitting the edit and update actions (<u>Listing 10.11</u>). Note that, since users should never even *try* to edit another user's profile, we redirect not to the signin page but to the root url.

```
Listing 10.11. Authentication tests for signed-in users.
spec/controllers/users controller spec.rb
                                                         describe UsersController do
  integrate views
  describe "authentication of edit/update pages" do
    describe "for signed-in users" do
      before(:each) do
        wrong user = Factory(:user, :email => "user@example.net")
        test_sign_in(wrong user)
      end
      it "should require matching users for 'edit'" do
        get :edit, :id => @user
        response.should redirect to(root path)
      end
      it "should require matching users for 'update'" do
        put :update, :id => @user, :user => {}
        response.should redirect to(root path)
      end
    end
 end
end
```

The application code is simple: we add a second before filter to call the **correct\_user** method (which we have to write), as shown in <u>Listing 10.12</u>.

```
Listing 10.12. A correct_user before filter to protect the edit/update pages.
app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
  before_filter :authenticate, :only => [:edit, :update]
  before_filter :correct_user, :only => [:edit, :update]
  .
  .
  .
  private

  def authenticate
    deny_access unless signed_in?
  end

  def correct_user
    @user = User.find(params[:id])
    redirect_to(root_path) unless current_user?(@user)
  end
end
```

This uses the current\_user? method, which (as with deny\_access) we will define in the Sessions helper (<u>Listing 10.13</u>).

```
Listing 10.13. The current_user? method.
app/helpers/sessions_helper.rb

module SessionsHelper
.
.
.
.
def current_user?(user)
    user == current_user
end

def deny_access
    flash[:notice] = "Please sign in to access this page."
    redirect_to signin_path
end
end
```

Although this implementation now works, your test suite should fail. We'll understand why it fails (and get it to pass) in the next section.

## 10.2.3 An expectation bonus

As you can verify by filling in the edit form and submitting, the edit/update code currently works, but the test suite fails. This seems like a bug, but it's actually a feature: message expectations like User.should receive(:find)

require that the method be called *exactly once*. The test failure is thus a hint that find is being called too many times. Indeed, upon inspecting the current Users controller, we see that User.find is

called both in the edit/user action and in the correct\_user before filter. To remove the superfluous call, we can simply rely on the before filter to find @user and remove the other lines, as shown in <u>Listing 10.14</u>; the resulting @user instance variable will then be available in all the actions and views as usual.

Listing 10.14. Removing the superfluous @user variable assignments.
app/controllers/users\_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
.
.
.
def edit
 @title = "Edit user"
end

def update
 if @user.update\_attributes(params[:user])
 flash[:success] = "Profile updated."
 redirect\_to @user
 else
 @title = "Edit user"
 render 'edit'
 end
end

private
.

At this point, all the tests should pass, and we are nearly done with protecting pages.

redirect to(root path) unless current user?(@user)

## 10.2.4 Friendly forwarding

def correct user

end

end

@user = User.find(params[:id])

Our page protection is complete as written, but there is one minor blemish: when users try to access a protected page, they are currently redirected to their profile pages regardless of where they were trying to go. In other words, if a non-logged-in user tries to visit the edit page, after signing in the user will be redirected to /users/l instead of /users/l/edit. It would be much friendlier to redirect them to their intended destination instead.

The sequence of attempted page visitation, signin, and redirect to destination page is a perfect job for an integration test, so let's make one for friendly forwarding:

```
$ script/generate integration spec friendly forwarding
```

The code then appears as in <u>Listing 10.15</u>.

```
Listing 10.15. An integration test for friendly forwarding.
spec/integration/friendly forwardings spec.rb
                                                             require 'spec helper'
describe "FriendlyForwardings" do
  it "should forward to the requested page after signin" do
    user = Factory(:user)
    visit edit user path(user)
    # Webrat automatically follows the redirect to the signin page.
    fill in :email, :with => user.email
    fill in :password, :with => user.password
    click button
    # Webrat follows the redirect again, this time to users/edit.
    response.should render template('users/edit')
  end
end
```

(As indicated by the comments, Webrat *follows* redirects, so testing that the response **should** redirect\_to some URL won't work. I learned this the hard way.)

Now for the implementation. In order to forward users to their intended destination, we need to store the location of the requested page somewhere, and then redirect there instead. The storage mechanism is the session facility provided by Rails, which you can think of as being like an instance of the cookies variable from Section 9.3.3 that automatically expires upon browser close. We also use the request object to get the request\_uri, i.e., the URL of the requested page. The resulting application code appears in Listing 10.16.

```
Listing 10.16. Code to implement friendly forwarding.
app/helpers/sessions helper.rb
module SessionsHelper
  def deny access
    store location
    flash[:notice] = "Please sign in to access this page."
    redirect to signin path
  end
  def store location
    session[:return_to] = request.request_uri
  def redirect back or(default)
    redirect to(session[:return to] || default)
    clear_return_to
  end
  def clear return to
    session[:return to] = nil
  end
```

Here we've added a line to the deny\_access method, first storing the location of the request with store\_location and then proceeding as before. The store\_location method puts the requested URL in the session variable under the key:return to.

We've also defined the redirect\_back\_or method to redirect to the requested URL if it exists, or some default URL otherwise. This method is needed in the Session create action to redirect after successful signin (<u>Listing 10.17</u>).

```
Listing 10.17. The Sessions create action with friendly forwarding.
app/controllers/sessions controller.rb
class SessionsController < ApplicationController</pre>
  def create
    user = User.authenticate(params[:session][:email],
                              params[:session][:password])
    if user.nil?
      flash.now[:error] = "Invalid email/password combination."
      @title = "Sign in"
      render 'new'
    else
      sign in user
      redirect_back_or user
    end
  end
end
```

With that, the friendly forwarding integration test in <u>Listing 10.15</u> should pass, and the basic user authentication and page protection implementation is complete.

# **10.3 Showing users**

In this section, we'll add the <u>penultimate</u> user action, the **index** action, which is designed to display *all* the users, not just one. Along the way, we'll learn about populating the database with sample users and *paginating* the user output so that the index page can scale up to display a potentially large number of users. A mockup of the result—users, pagination links, and a "Users" navigation link—appears in <u>Figure 10.7.8</u> In <u>Section 10.4</u>, we'll add an administrative interface to the user index so that (presumably troublesome) users can be destroyed.



Figure 10.7: A mockup of the user index, with pagination and a "Users" nav link. (full size)

#### 10.3.1 User index

Although we'll keep individual user **Show** pages visible to all site visitors, the user **index** will be restricted to signed-in users so that there's a limit to how much unregistered users can see by default. Our **index** tests check for this, and also verify that for signed-in users all the site's users are listed (<u>Listing 10.18</u>).

```
Listing 10.18. Tests for the user index page.
                                                         3
spec/controllers/users controller spec.rb
require 'spec_helper'
describe UsersController do
  integrate views
  describe "GET 'index'" do
    describe "for non-signed-in users" do
      it "should deny access" do
        get :index
        response.should redirect to(signin path)
        flash[:notice].should =~ /sign in/i
      end
    end
    describe "for signed-in users" do
      before(:each) do
        @user = test sign in(Factory(:user))
        second = Factory(:user, :email => "another@example.com")
        third = Factory(:user, :email => "another@example.net")
        @users = [@user, second, third]
        User.should receive(:all).and return(@users)
      end
      it "should be successful" do
        get :index
        response.should be success
      end
      it "should have the right title" do
        get :index
        response.should have_tag("title", /all users/i)
      it "should have an element for each user" do
        get :index
        @users.each do |user|
          response.should have tag("li", user.name)
        end
      end
    end
  end
```

end

As you can see, the method for checking the index page is to make three factory users (signing in as the first one) and then verify that the index page has a list element (li) tag for the name of each one. Note that the users themselves get returned via the message expectation

```
User.should receive(:all).and return(@users)
```

which requires the index action to call all on the User class, thereby fetching all the users from the database (as seen in Section 6.1.4).

As expected, the application code uses User.all to make an @users instance variable in the index action of the Users controller (<u>Listing 10.19</u>).

```
Listing 10.19. The user index action.
app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
  before_filter :authenticate, :only => [:index, :edit, :update]
    .
    .
    def index
      @title = "All users"
      @users = User.all
    end
    .
    .
end
```

Note that we have added :index to the list of controllers protected by the authenticate before filter, thereby getting the first test from <u>Listing 10.18</u> to pass.

To make the actual page, we need to make a view that iterates through the users and wraps each one in an li tag. We do this with the each method, displaying each user's Gravatar and name, while wrapping the whole thing in an unordered list (ul) tag (<u>Listing 10.20</u>). Note that each user's name is both linked to and escaped with  $link_{to} h(user.name)$ , user.9

We'll then add a little CSS for style (Listing 10.21).

Finally, we'll add a "Users" link to the site's navigation header (<u>Listing 10.22</u>). This puts to use the users\_path named route from <u>Table 6.2</u>.

With that, the user index is fully functional (with all tests passing), but it is a bit... lonely (Figure 10.8).



Figure 10.8: The user index page <u>/users</u> with only one user. <u>(full size)</u>

## 10.3.2 Sample users

In this section we'll give our lonely sample user some company. Of course, to create enough users to make a decent user index, we *could* use our web browser to visit the signup page and make the new users one by one, but far a better solution is to use Ruby (and Rake) to make the users for us.

First, we'll install the Faker gem, which will allow us to make sample users with semi-realistic names and email addresses:

```
$ [sudo] gem install faker -v 0.3.1
```

Next, we'll add a Rake task to create sample users. Rake tasks live in lib/tasks, and are defined using *namespaces* (in this case, :db), as seen in <u>Listing 10.23</u>.

Listing 10.23. A Rake task for populating the database with sample users.

```
lib/tasks/sample data.rake
require 'faker'
namespace :db do
 desc "Fill database with sample data"
 task :populate => :environment do
   Rake::Task['db:reset'].invoke
   :password => "foobar",
               :password confirmation => "foobar")
   99.times do |n|
     name = Faker::Name.name
     email = "example-#{n+1}@railstutorial.org"
     password = "password"
     User.create!(:name => name,
                 :email => email,
                 :password => password,
                 :password confirmation => password)
   end
 end
end
```

This defines a task db:populate that resets the development database using db:reset (using slightly weird syntax you shouldn't worry about too much), creates an example user with name and email address replicating our previous one, and then makes 99 more. The line

```
task :populate => :environment do
```

ensures that the Rake task has access to the local Rails environment, including the User model (and hence User.create!).

With the : db namespace as in <u>Listing 10.23</u>, we can invoke the Rake task as follows:

```
$ rake db:populate
```

After running the Rake task, our application has 100 sample users, as seen in <u>Figure 10.9</u>. (I've taken the liberty of associating the first few sample addresses with photos so that they're not all the default Gravatar image.)



Figure 10.9: The user index page <u>/users</u> with 100 sample users. (<u>full size</u>)

#### 10.3.3 Pagination

Having solved the problem of too few sample users, we now encounter the opposite problem: having too many users on a page. Right now there are a hundred, which is already a reasonably large number, and on a real site it could be thousands. The solution is to *paginate* the users, so that (for example) only 30 show up on a page at any one time.

There are several pagination methods in Rails; we'll use one of the simplest and most robust, called will\_paginate. It comes as a gem, which means we could install it using gem install; on the other hand, unlike previous gems such as RSpec and Factory Girl, will\_paginate is needed in the application itself, not just the tests. There is a convenient way to indicate this requirement, and include the gem at the same time, using config.gem in the environment.rb file (Listing 10.24).10

```
Listing 10.24. Code to include the will_paginate gem.
config/environment.rb

.
.
.
Rails::Initializer.run do |config|
    .
.
config.gem 'will_paginate', :version => '2.3.12'
end
```

With the configuration line in <u>Listing 10.24</u>, we can install the required gem dependencies as follows:

```
$ [sudo] rake gems:install
```

If you're also deploying the sample application to Heroku, you'll need to include will\_paginate in the *gems manifest*, which involves creating a file called .gems in the Rails root.<u>11</u> Navigate to the Rails root (if you're not already there) and make a new .gems file using your favorite text editor:

```
$ cd ~/rails_projects/sample_app
$ mate .gems
```

Then fill the .gems with contents of <u>Listing 10.25</u>.

```
Listing 10.25. The Heroku gems manifest.
.gems
will_paginate --version 2.3.12
faker
```

(Here we've included the faker gem from <u>Section 10.3.2</u> since otherwise Heroku complains when running heroku rake db:migrate. The other gems in our application, such as Factory Girl and Webrat, are only needed for tests and so are not needed on the Heroku server.)

With will\_paginate installed, we are now ready to paginate the results of finding users. We'll start by adding the special will\_paginate method in the view (<u>Listing 10.26</u>); we'll see in a moment

why the code appears both above and below the user list.

The will\_paginate method is a little magical; inside a users view, it automatically looks for an @users object, and then displays pagination links to access other pages. The view in <a href="Listing 10.26"><u>Listing 10.26</u></a> doesn't work yet, though, because currently @users contains the results of User.all (<a href="Listing 10.19"><u>Listing 10.19</u></a>), which is of class Array, whereas will\_paginate expects an object of class WillPaginate::Collection. Happily, this is just the kind of object returned by the paginate method supplied by the will paginate gem:

```
$ script/console
>> User.all.class
=> Array
>> User.paginate(:page => 1).class
=> WillPaginate::Collection
```

Note that paginate takes a hash argument with key:page and value equal to the page requested. User.paginate pulls the users out of the database one chunk at a time (30 by default), based on the :page parameter. So, for example, page 1 is users 1–30, page 2 is users 31–60, etc.

We can paginate the users in the sample application by using paginate in place of all in the index action (<u>Listing 10.27</u>). Here the :page parameter comes from params[:page], which is generated automatically by will\_paginate.

```
Listing 10.27. Paginating the users in the index action.
app/controllers/users_controller.rb

class UsersController < ApplicationController
  before_filter :authenticate, :only => [:index, :edit, :update]
   .
   .
   def index
    @title = "All users"
    @users = User.paginate(:page => params[:page])
end
```

end

The user index page should now be working, appearing as in <a href="Figure 10.10">Figure 10.10</a>; because we included will\_paginate both above and below the user list, the pagination links appear in both places. The tests, on the other hand, have now broken: by calling User.paginate, we have violated the expectation from <a href="Listing 10.18">Listing 10.18</a> that User.all should be called in the index action. We'll fix this problem next.



Figure 10.10: The user index page <u>/users</u> with pagination. <u>(full size)</u>

If you now click on either the  $\underline{2}$  link or  $\underline{\text{Next}}$  link, you'll get the second page of results, as shown in Figure 10.11.



Figure 10.11: Page 2 of the user index (<u>/users?page=2</u>). (<u>full size</u>)

#### **Testing pagination**

Testing pagination requires detailed knowledge of how will\_paginate works, so we did the implementation first, but it's still a good idea to test it. To do this, we need to invoke pagination in a test, which means making more than 30 (factory) users.

As before, we'll use Factory Girl to simulate users, but immediately we have a problem: user email addresses must be unique, which would appear to require creating more than 30 users by hand—a terribly cumbersome job. Fortunately, Factory Girl anticipates this issue, and provides *sequences* to solve it, as shown in <u>Listing 10.28</u>.

This arranges to return email addresses like person-1@example.com, person-2@example.com, etc., which we invoke using the next method:

```
Factory(:user, :email => Factory.next(:email))
```

Applying the idea of factory sequences, we can make 31 users (the original @user plus 30 more) inside a test, and then verify that the response has the HTML expected from will\_paginate (which you should be able to determine using Firebug or by viewing the page source). The result appears in Listing 10.29.

```
Listing 10.29. A test for pagination.
spec/controllers/users controller spec.rb
                                                               3
require 'spec helper'
describe "UsersController" do
  integrate views
  describe "GET 'index'" do
    describe "for signed-in users" do
      before(:each) do
         @users = [@user, second, third]
         30.times do
           @users << Factory(:user, :email => Factory.next(:email))
         User.should receive(:paginate).and return(@users.paginate)
      end
      it "should have an element for each user" do
         get :index
         @users[0..2].each do |user|
           response.should have tag("li", user.name)
         end
      end
      it "should paginate users" do
         get :index
         response.should have tag("div.pagination")
         response.should have_tag("span", "« Previous")
response.should have_tag("span", "1")
response.should have_tag("a[href=?]", "/users?page=2", "2")
         response.should have tag("a[href=?]", "/users?page=2", "Next »")
      end
    end
  end
end
```

This code ensures that the tests invoke pagination by adding 3012 users to the @users variable using the Array push notation <<, which appends an element to an existing array:

```
$ script/console
>> a = [1, 2, 5]
=> [1, 2, 5]
>> a << 17
=> [1, 2, 5, 17]
>> a << 42 << 1337
=> [1, 2, 5, 17, 42, 1337]
```

We see from the last example that occurrences of << can be chained.

To get the message expectation to pass, <u>Listing 10.29</u> changes

```
User.should receive(:all).and return(@users)
```

with

```
User.should receive(:pagination).and return(@users.paginate)
```

This shows that we can convert an Array object into a WillPaginate::Collection object using @users.paginate.

Finally, in the test itself, note the compact notation have\_tag("div.pagination"), which borrows the class convention from CSS (first seen in <u>Listing 5.3</u>) to check for a div tag with class pagination. Also note that, since there are now 33 users, we've updated the user element test to use only the first three elements ([0..2]) of the @users array, which is what we had before in <u>Listing 10.18</u>:

```
@users[0..2].each do |user|
  response.should have_tag("li", :content => user.name)
end
```

With that, our pagination code is well-tested, and there's only one minor detail left.

## 10.3.4 Partial refactoring

The paginated user index is now complete, but there's one improvement I can't resist including: Rails has some incredibly slick tools for making compact views, and in this section we'll refactor the index page to use them. Because our code is well-tested, we can refactor with confidence, assured that we are unlikely to break our site's functionality.

The first step in our refactoring is to replace the user li from <u>Listing 10.26</u> with a render call (<u>Listing 10.30</u>).

```
     <% @users.each do |user| %>
          <%= render user %>
          <% end %>

<%= will paginate %>
```

Here we call render not on a string with the name of a partial, but rather on a user variable of class User; 13 in this context, Rails automatically looks for a partial called \_user.html.erb, which we must create (Listing 10.31).

This is a definite improvement, but we can do even better: we can call render *directly* on the @users variable (<u>Listing 10.32</u>).

Here Rails infers that @users is an array of User objects; moreover, when called with a collection of users, Rails automatically iterates through them and renders each one with the \_user.html.erb partial. The result is the impressively compact code in <u>Listing 10.32</u>.

## **10.4 Destroying users**

Now that the user index is complete, there's only one canonical REST action left: destroy. In this section, we'll add links to delete users, as mocked up in Figure 10.12, and define the destroy action necessary to accomplish the deletion. But first, we'll create the class of administrative users authorized to do so.



Figure 10.12: A mockup of the user index with delete links. (full size)

#### **10.4.1 Administrative users**

We will identify privileged administrative users with a boolean admin attribute in the User model, which will lead to an admin? method to test for admin status. Following the model of the tests for "remember me" in <u>Listing 9.13</u>, we can write tests for this attribute as in <u>Listing 10.33</u>.

```
Listing 10.33. Tests for an admin attribute.

spec/models/user_spec.rb

.
.
.
.
. describe "admin attribute" do
```

```
before(:each) do
    @user = User.create!(@attr)
end

it "should respond to admin" do
    @user.should respond_to(:admin)
end

it "should not be an admin by default" do
    @user.should_not be_admin
end

it "should be convertible to an admin" do
    @user.toggle!(:admin)
    @user.should be_admin
end
end
end
end
```

Here we've used the toggle! method to flip the admin attribute from true to false. Also note that the line

```
@user.should be admin
```

implies (via the RSpec boolean convention) that the user should have an admin? boolean method.

We add the admin attribute with a migration as usual, indicating the boolean type on the command line:

```
$ script/generate migration add admin to users admin:boolean
```

The migration simply adds the admin column to the users table (<u>Listing 10.34</u>), yielding the data model in Figure 10.13.

```
Listing 10.34. The migration to add a boolean admin attribute to users.

db/migrate/<timestamp>_add_admin_to_users.rb

class AddAdminToUsers < ActiveRecord::Migration
    def self.up
    add_column :users, :admin, :boolean, :default => false
    end

def self.down
    remove_column :users, :admin
    end
end
```

Note that we've added the argument :default => false to add\_column in <u>Listing 10.34</u>, which means that users will *not* be administrators by default. (Without the :default => false argument, admin will be nil by default, which is still false, so this step is not strictly necessary. It is more explicit, though, and communicates our intentions more clearly both to Rails and to readers of our code.)



Figure 10.13: The User model with an added admin boolean attribute.

Finally, we migrate the development database and prepare the test database:

```
$ rake db:migrate
$ rake db:test:prepare
```

As expected, Rails figures out the boolean nature of the admin attribute and automatically adds the question-mark method admin?:

```
$ script/console
>> user = User.first
>> user.admin?
=> false
>> user.toggle!(:admin)
=> true
>> user.admin?
=> true
```

As a final step, let's update our sample data populator to make the first user an admin (<u>Listing 10.35</u>).

Finally, re-run the populator to reset the database and then rebuild it from scratch:

```
$ rake db:populate
```

end

## Revisiting attr\_accessible

You might have noticed that <u>Listing 10.35</u> makes the user an admin with toggle! (:admin), but why not just add:admin => true to the initialization hash? The answer is, it won't work, and this is by design: only attr\_accessible attributes can be assigned through mass assignment, and the admin attribute isn't accessible. <u>Listing 10.36</u> reproduces the most recent list of

attr accessible attributes—note that :admin is not on the list.

```
Listing 10.36. A review of the attr_accessible attributes in the User model. app/models/user.rb class User < ActiveRecord::Base attr_accessor:password attr_accessible:name,:email,:password,:password_confirmation...
```

Explicitly defining accessible attributes is crucial for good site security. If we omitted the attr\_accessible list in the User model (or foolishly added :admin to the list), a malicious user could send a PUT request as follows:14

```
put /users/17?admin=1
```

This request would make user 17 an admin, which could be a potentially serious security breach, to say the least. Because of this danger, it is a good practice to define attr\_accessible for every model.

#### 10.4.2 The destroy action

The final step needed to complete the Users resource is to add delete links and a **destroy** action. We'll start by adding a delete link for each user on the user index page (<u>Listing 10.37</u>).

Note the :method => :delete argument, which arranges for the link to issue the necessary DELETE request; 15 we've also wrapped each link inside an if statement so that only admins can see them. The result for our admin user appears in Figure 10.14.



Figure 10.14: The user index /users with delete links. (full size)

Even though only admins can see the delete links, there's still a terrible security breach: any sufficiently sophisticated attacker could simply issue DELETE requests from the command line and delete any user on the site. To secure the site properly, we also need access control, so our tests should

check not only that admins *can* delete users, but also that other users *can't*. The results appear in <u>Listing 10.38</u>. Note that, in analogy with the get, post, and put methods, we use delete to issue DELETE requests inside of tests.

```
Listing 10.38. Tests for destroying users.
spec/controllers/users controller spec.rb
                                                         describe UsersController do
  integrate views
  describe "DELETE 'destroy'" do
    before(:each) do
      @user = Factory(:user)
    end
    describe "as a non-signed-in user" do
      it "should deny access" do
        delete :destroy, :id => @user
        response.should redirect to(signin path)
      end
    end
    describe "as a non-admin user" do
      it "should protect the page" do
        test sign in(@user)
        delete :destroy, :id => @user
        response.should redirect to(root path)
      end
    end
    describe "as an admin user" do
      before(:each) do
        admin = Factory(:user, :email => "admin@example.com", :admin => true)
        test sign in(admin)
        User.should receive(:find).with(@user).and return(@user)
        @user.should receive(:destroy).and return(@user)
      end
      it "should destroy the user" do
        delete :destroy, :id => @user
        response.should redirect to(users path)
      end
    end
  end
end
```

(You might notice that we've set an admin user using :admin => true; user factories are not bound by the rules of attr\_accessible parameters.)

As you might suspect by now, the implementation uses a before filter, this time to restrict access to the destroy action to admins. The destroy action itself finds the user, destroys it, and then redirects to

Note that the destroy action uses *method chaining* (seen briefly in <u>Section 4.2.3</u>) in the line User.find(params[:id]).destroy

which saves a line of code.

At this point, all the tests should be passing, and the Users resource—with its controller, model, and views—is functionally complete.

## **10.5 Conclusion**

We've come a long way since introducing the Users controller way back in <u>Section 5.3</u>. Those users couldn't even sign up; now users can sign up, sign in, sign out, view their profiles, edit their settings, and see an index of all users—and some can even destroy other users.

The rest of this book builds on the foundation of the Users resource (and associated authentication system) to make a site with Twitter-like microposts (<u>Chapter 11</u>) and user following (<u>Chapter 12</u>). These chapters will introduce some of the most powerful features of Rails, including data modeling with has \_many and has \_many : through.

Before moving on, be sure to merge all the changes into the master branch:

```
$ git add .
$ git commit -am "Done with user edit/update, index, and destroy actions"
$ git checkout master
$ git merge updating-users
```

## 10.6 Exercises

- 1. Arrange for the Gravatar "change" link in <u>Listing 10.3</u> to open in a new window (or tab). *Hint*: Search the web; you should find one particularly robust method involving something called blank. This solution is not valid HTML (so if you installed the HTML Validator in Chapter 1 it will indicate an error), but it works in every browser I've ever seen.
- 2. Remove the duplicated form code by refactoring the new.html.erb and edit.html.erb views to use the partial in Listing 10.40. Note that you will have to pass the form variable f explicitly as a local variable, as shown in <u>Listing 10.41</u>.
- 3. Signed-in users have no reason to access the new and create actions in the Users controller. Arrange for such users to be redirected to the root url if they do try to hit those pages.
- 4. Add tests to check that the delete links in Listing 10.37 appear for admins but not for normal
- 5. Modify the destroy action to prevent admin users from destroying themselves. (Write a test first.)

```
Listing 10.40. A partial for the new and edit form fields.
app/views/users/ fields.html.erb
<%= f.error messages %>
<div class="field">
  <%= f.label :name %><br />
  <%= f.text field :name %>
</div>
<div class="field">
  <%= f.label :email %><br />
  <%= f.text field :email %>
</div>
<div class="field">
  <%= f.label :password %><br />
  <%= f.password field :password %>
</div>
<div class="field">
  <%= f.label :password confirmation, "Confirmation" %><br />
  <%= f.password field :password confirmation %>
</div>
Listing 10.41. The new user view with partial.
app/views/users/new.html.erb
<h2>Sign up</h2>
<% form for(@user) do |f| %>
  <%= render :partial => 'fields', :locals => { :f => f } %>
  <div class="actions">
    <%= f.submit "Sign up" %>
  </div>
<% end %>
« Chapter 9 Sign in, sign out Chapter 11 User microposts »
```

1. Image from <a href="http://www.flickr.com/photos/sashawolff/4598355045/">http://www.flickr.com/photos/sashawolff/4598355045/</a>. ↑

- 2. The Gravatar site actually redirects this to <a href="http://en.gravatar.com/emails">http://en.gravatar.com/emails</a>, which is for English language users, but I've omitted the en part to account for the use of other languages. <a href="https://en.gravatar.com/emails">https://en.gravatar.com/emails</a>, which is for English language users, but I've omitted the en part to account for the use of other languages. <a href="https://en.gravatar.com/emails">https://en.gravatar.com/emails</a>, which is
- 3. Image from <a href="http://www.flickr.com/photos/sashawolff/4598355045/">http://www.flickr.com/photos/sashawolff/4598355045/</a>. ↑
- 4. Don't be worried about how this works; the details are of interest to developers of the Rails framework itself, but by design are not important for Rails application developers. <u>↑</u>
- 5. To be fair, they would need the user's password, but if we ever made the password unnecessary (as planned for the screencasts) it would open up a *huge* security hole.  $\uparrow$
- 6. The code in this section is adapted from the <u>Clearance</u> gem by <u>thoughtbot</u>. <u>↑</u>
- 7. Indeed, as noted in <u>Section 9.6</u>, **Session** is implemented in just this way. 1
- 8. Baby photo from <a href="http://www.flickr.com/photos/glasgows/338937124/">http://www.flickr.com/photos/glasgows/338937124/</a>. <a href="http://www.flickr.com/photos/glasgows/">http://www.flickr.com/photos/glasgows/</a>. <a href="http://www.flickr.com/photos/">http://www.flickr.com/photos/</a>. <a href="http://
- 9. Note that Ruby allows the omission of *one* pair of parentheses, but not two, so instead of link to h user.name we write link to h(user.name). ↑
- 10.In Rails 3, the config.gem method for including gems will be replaced by <u>Bundler</u>. ↑
- 11.The Heroku gems manifest will also be replaced (or, rather, supplemented) by Bundler in Rails 3. ⊥
- 12.Technically, we only need to create 28 additional factory users since we already have three, but I find the meaning clearer if we create 30 instead. <u>↑</u>
- 13.The name user is immaterial—we could have written @users.each do |foobar| and then used render foobar. The key is the *class* of the object—in this case, User. 1
- 14.Command-line tools such as curl (seen in <u>Box 3.1</u>) can issue PUT requests of this form. <u>↑</u>
- 15.Web browsers can't send DELETE requests natively, so Rails fakes them with JavaScript. This means that the delete links won't work if the user has JavaScript disabled. For the purposes of this tutorial, we can just require our site admins to enable JavaScript, but if you must support non-JavaScript-enabled browsers you can fake a DELETE request using a form and a POST request, which works even without JavaScript; see the Railscast on Destroy Without JavaScript for details. ↑