COURSE 3

Some important examples of rings

We remind that $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a **ring** if (R, +) is an Abelian group, \cdot is associative and the distributive laws hold (that is, \cdot is distributive with respect to +). The ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a **unitary ring** if it has a multiplicative identity element.

The polynomial ring over a field

Let $(K, +, \cdot)$ be a field and let us denote by $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ the set

$$K^{\mathbb{N}} = \{ f \mid f : \mathbb{N} \to K \}.$$

If $f: \mathbb{N} \to K$ then, denoting $f(n) = a_n$, we can write

$$f = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots).$$

For $f = (a_0, a_1, a_2, ...), g = (b_0, b_1, b_2, ...) \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$ one defines:

$$f + g = (a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots)$$
(1)

$$f \cdot g = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots) \tag{2}$$

where

$$c_0 = a_0b_0,$$

$$c_1 = a_0b_1 + a_1b_0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$c_n = a_0b_n + a_1b_{n-1} + \dots + a_nb_0 = \sum_{i+j=n} a_ib_j,$$

$$\vdots$$

Theorem 1. $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ forms a commutative unitary ring with respect to the operations defined by (1) and (2) called **the ring of formal power series over** K.

Let $f = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$. The support of f is the subset of N defined by

$$\operatorname{supp} f = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_k \neq 0\}.$$

We denote by $K^{(\mathbb{N})}$ the subset consisting of all the sequences from $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ with a finite support. Then

$$f \in K^{(\mathbb{N})} \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } a_i = 0 \text{ for } i \geq n \Leftrightarrow f = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Theorem 2. i) $K^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is a subring of $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ which contains the multiplicative identity element. ii) The mapping $\varphi: K \to K^{(\mathbb{N})}, \ \varphi(a) = (a,0,0,\dots)$ is an injective unitary ring morphism. *Proof.*

The ring $(K^{(\mathbb{N})}, +, \cdot)$ is called **polynomial ring** over K. How can we make this ring look like the one we know from high school?

The injective morphism φ allows us to identify $a \in K$ with (a, 0, 0, ...). This way K can be seen as a subring of $K^{(\mathbb{N})}$. The polynomial

$$X = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

is called **indeterminate** or **variable**. From (2) one deduces that:

$$X^{2} = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$X^{3} = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X^{m} = \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)}_{m \ ori}$$

$$\vdots$$

Since we identified $a \in K$ with (a, 0, 0, ...), from (2) it follows:

$$aX^m = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{m \ ori}, a, 0, 0, \dots)$$
 (3)

This way we have

Theorem 3. Any $f \in K^{(\mathbb{N})}$ which is not zero can be uniquely written as

$$f = a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_n X^n \tag{4}$$

where $a_i \in K$, $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and $a_n \neq 0$.

We can rewrite

$$K^{(\mathbb{N})} = \{ f = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_n X^n \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in K, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \} \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} K[X].$$

The elements of K[X] are called **polynomials over** K, and if $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n$ then $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in K$ are **the coefficients of** f, $a_0, a_1X \ldots, a_nX^n$ are called **monomials**, and a_0 is **the constant term of** f. Now, we can rewrite the operations from $(K[X], +, \cdot)$ as we did in high school (during the seminar).

If $f \in K[X]$, $f \neq 0$ and f is given by (4), then n is called **the degree of** f, and if f = 0 we say that the degree of f is $-\infty$. We will denote the degree of f by deg f. Thus we have

$$\deg f = 0 \Leftrightarrow f \in K^*$$
.

By definition

$$-\infty + m = m + (-\infty) = -\infty, -\infty + (-\infty) = -\infty, -\infty < m, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore:

- i) $\deg(f+g) \leq \max\{\deg f, \operatorname{grad} g\}, \forall f, g \in K[X];$
- ii) $\deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g, \forall f, g \in K[X];$
- iii) K[X] is an integral domain (during the seminar);
- iv) a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ este is a unit in K[X] if and only if $f \in K^*$ (during the seminar). Here are some useful notions and results concerning polynomials:

If $f, g \in K[X]$ then

$$f \mid g \Leftrightarrow \exists \ h \in R, \ g = fh.$$

The divisibility | is reflexive and transitive. The polynomial 0 satisfies the following relations

$$f \mid 0, \ \forall f \in K[X] \text{ and } \nexists f \in K[X] \setminus \{0\} : 0 \mid f.$$

Two polynomials $f, g \in K[X]$ are **associates** (we write $f \sim g$) if

$$\exists a \in K^*: f = aq.$$

The relation \sim is reflexive, transitive and symmetric.

A polynomial $f \in K[X]^*$ is **irreducible** if deg $f \ge 1$ and

$$f = gh \ (g, h \in K[X]) \Rightarrow g \in K^* \text{ or } h \in K^*.$$

The gcd and lcm are defined as for integers, the product of a gcm and lcma af two polynomials f, g and the product fg are associates and the polynomials divisibility acts with respect to sum and product in the way we are familiar with from the integers case.

If
$$f = a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_n X^n \in K[X]$$
 and $c \in K$, then

$$f(c) = a_0 + a_1c + a_2cX^2 + \dots + a_nc^n \in K$$

is called **the evaluation of** f **at** c. The element $c \in K$ is **a root of** f if f(c) = 0.

Theorem 4. (The Division Algorithm in K[X]) For any polynomials $f, g \in K[X]$, $g \neq 0$, there exist $q, r \in K[X]$ uniquely determined such that

$$f = gq + r \text{ and } \deg r < \deg g.$$
 (5)

Proof. (optional) Let $a_0, \ldots, a_n, b_0, \ldots, b_m \in K$, $b_m \neq 0$ and

$$f = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_n X^n$$
 si $a = b_0 + b_1 X + \dots + b_m X^m$.

The existence of q and r: If f = 0 then q = r = 0 satisfy (5).

For $f \neq 0$ we prove by induction that that the property holds for any $n = \deg f$. If n < m (since $m \geq 0$, there exist polynomials f which satisfy this condition), then (5) holds for q = 0 and r = f.

Let us assume the statement proved for any polynomials with the degree $n \ge m$. Since $a_n X^n$ is the maximum degree monomial of the polynomial $a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} g$, for $h = f - a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} g$, we have deg h < n and, according to our assumption, there exist $q', r \in R[X]$ such that

$$h = gq' + r$$
 and $\deg r < \deg g$.

Thus, we have $f = h + a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} g = (a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} + q') g + r = gq + r$ where $q = a_n b_m^{-1} X^{n-m} + q'$. Now, the existence of q and r from (5) is proved.

The uniqueness of q and r: If we also have

$$f = gq_1 + r_1$$
 and $\deg r_1 < \deg g$,

then $gq + r = gq_1 + r_1$. It follows that $r - r_1 = g(q_1 - q)$ and $\deg(r - r_1) < \deg g$. Since $g \neq 0$ we have $q_1 - q = 0$ and, consequently, $r - r_1 = 0$, thus $q_1 = q$ and $r_1 = r$.

We call the polynomials q and r from (5) the quotient and the remainder of f when dividing by g, respectively.

Corollary 5. Let K be a field and $c \in K$. The remainder of a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ when dividing by X - c is f(c).

Indeed, from (5) one deduces that $r \in K$, and since f = (X - c)q + r, one finds that r = f(c). For r = 0 we obtain:

Corollary 6. Let K be a field. The element $c \in K$ is a root of f if and only if $(X - c) \mid f$.

Corollary 7. If K is a field and $f \in K[X]$ has the degree $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the number of the roots of f from K is at most k.

Indeed, the statement is true for zero-degree polynomials, since they have no roots. We consider k > 0 and we assume the property valid for any polynomial with the degree smaller than k. If $c_1 \in K$ is a root of f then $f = (X - c_1)q$ and deg q = k - 1. According to our assumption, q has at most k - 1 roots in K. Since K is a field, K[X] is an integral domain and from $f = (X - c_1)q$ it follows that $c \in K$ is a root of f if and only if $c = c_1$ or c is a root of f. Thus f has at most f roots in f.

The ring of square matrices over a field

Let K be a set and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. A mapping

$$A: \{1, ..., m\} \times \{1, ..., n\} \to K$$

is called $m \times n$ matrix over K. When m = n, we call A a square matrix of size n. For each i = 1, ..., m and j = 1, ..., n we denote A(i, j) by $a_{ij} (\in K)$ and we represent A as a rectangular array with m rows and n columns in which the image of each pair (i, j) is written in the i'th row and the j'th column

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also denote this array by

$$A = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \le i \le m \\ 1 \le j \le n}}$$

or, simpler, $A = (a_{ij})$. We denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over K by $M_{m,n}(K)$ and, when m = n, by $M_n(K)$.

Let $(K, +, \cdot)$ be a field. Then + from K determines an operation + on $M_{m,n}(K)$ defined as follows: if $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are two $m \times n$ matrices, then

$$A + B = (a_{ij} + b_{ij}).$$

One can easily check that this operation is associative, commutative, it has an identity element which is the matrix $O_{m,n}$ consisting only of 0 (called **the** $m \times n$ **zero matrix**) and each matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ from $M_{m,n}(K)$ has an opposite (the matrix $-A = (-a_{ij})$). Therefore,

Theorem 8. $(M_{m,n}(K), +)$ is an Abelian group.

The scalar multiplication of a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m,n}(K)$ and a scalar $\alpha \in K$ is defined by

$$\alpha A = (\alpha a_{ij}).$$

One can easily check that:

- i) $\alpha(A+B) = \alpha A + \alpha B$, $\forall \alpha \in K$, $\forall A, B \in M_{m,n}(K)$;
- ii) $(\alpha + \beta)A = \alpha A + \beta A, \ \forall \alpha, \beta \in K, \ \forall A \in M_{m,n}(K);$
- iii) $(\alpha\beta)A = \alpha(\beta A), \ \forall \alpha, \beta \in K, \ \forall A \in M_{m,n}(K);$
- iv) $1 \cdot A = A, \ \forall A \in M_{m,n}(K).$

The matrix multiplication is defined as follows: if $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{m,n}(K)$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_{n,p}(K)$, then

$$AB = (c_{ij}) \in M_{m,p}, \text{ cu } c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}, (i,j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we consider the $n \times n$ square matrix

$$I_n = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

If $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then:

- 1) (AB)C = A(BC), for any matrices $A \in M_{m,n}(K)$, $B \in M_{n,p}(K)$, $C \in M_{p,q}(K)$;
- 2) $I_m A = A = AI_n, \ \forall A \in M_{m,n}(K);$
- 3) A(B+C) = AB + AC for any matrices $A \in M_{m,n}(K), B, C \in M_{n,p}(K)$;
- 3') (B+C)D=BD+CD, for any matrices $B,C\in M_{n,p}(K),\ D\in M_{p,q}(K)$;
- 4) $\alpha(AB) = (\alpha A)B = A(\alpha B), \ \forall \alpha \in K, \ \forall A_{m,n}(K), \ \forall B \in M_{n,p}(K).$

If we work with $n \times n$ square matrices the matrix multiplication becomes a binary (internal) operation \cdot on $M_n(K)$, and the equalities 1)-3') show that \cdot is associative, I_n is a multiplicative identity element (called **the identity matrix** of size n) and \cdot is distributive with respect to +. Hence,

Theorem 9. $(M_n(K), +, \cdot)$ is a unitary ring called the ring of the square matrices of size n over K.

Remarks 10. a) If $n \geq 2$ then $M_n(K)$ is not commutative and it has zero divisors. If $a, b \in K^*$, the non-zero matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & b \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

can be used to prove this.

b) Using the properties of the addition, multiplication and scalar multiplication, one can easily prove that

$$f: K \to M_n(K), \ f(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a \end{pmatrix} = aI_n$$

is a unitary injective ring homomorphism.

The transpose of an
$$m \times n$$
 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ij})$ is the $n \times m$

matrix

$${}^{t}A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \dots & a_{m1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ji}).$$

The way the transpose acts with respect to the matrix addition, matrix multiplication and scalar multiplication is given bellow:

$${}^{t}(A+B) = {}^{t}A + {}^{t}B, \ \forall A, B \in M_{m,n}(K);$$

$${}^{t}(AB) = {}^{t}B \cdot {}^{t}A, \ \forall A \in M_{m,n}(K), \ \forall B \in M_{n,p}(K);$$

$${}^{t}(\alpha A) = \alpha \cdot {}^{t}A, \ \forall A \in M_{m,n}(K).$$

Let K be a field. The set of the units of $M_n(K)$ is

$$GL_n(K) = \{ A \in M_n(K) \mid \exists B \in M_n(K) : AB = BA = I_n \}.$$

The set $GL_n(K)$ is closed in $(M_n(K), \cdot)$ and $(GL_n(K), \cdot)$ is a group called **the general linear** group of degree n over K. We know from high school that if K is one of the number fields $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} \text{ sau } \mathbb{C})$ then $A \in M_n(K)$ is invertible if and only if $\det A \neq 0$. Thus,

$$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \det A \neq 0 \},$$

and analogously we can rewrite $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$. We will see next that this recipe works for any matrix ring $M_n(K)$ with K field. This is why our next course topic will be **the determinant** of a square matrix over a field K.