

## Chapter 7. Number sets

We are going to define natural numbers, integers and rational numbers.

### A. Natural numbers

We introduce nat. numbers by using axioms of set theory.

The axiom of regularity: If  $X$  is a set, then  $X \notin X$

The axiom of infinity: There exists a set  $Y$  with the following properties:

$$1^\circ \quad \emptyset \in Y$$

2° If the set  $X$  belongs to  $Y$ , then the set  $X^+ \in Y$ , where

$X^+ := X \cup \{X\}$  is called the successor of  $X$ .

such a set  $Y$  is called an inductive set.

Def: The set of natural numbers is the smallest inductive set, i.e it's the intersection of all inductive sets.

$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcap_{Y \text{ inductive set}} Y \quad (\text{John von Neumann})$$

Rem:  $\mathbb{N} = \left\{ \underset{0}{\emptyset}, \underset{1}{\emptyset^+} = \{\emptyset\}, \underset{2}{\emptyset^{++}} = \{\emptyset^+ = \{\emptyset, \underset{1}{\emptyset^+\!+\!}\}, \dots \right\}$

$$2^+ = \left\{ \emptyset, \underset{1}{\{\emptyset\}}, \underset{2}{\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}}, \dots \right\}$$

Theorem 1: The triple  $(\mathbb{N}, 0, s)$ , (where  $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s(n) = n^+$  is called the successor function) satisfies the Peano axioms:

III 0 is a natural number

- (1) If  $m$  is a nat. number, then its successor  $s(m)$  is a nat. number.
- (2) 0 is not a successor of a nat. number.
- (3) If  $m \neq m'$ , then  $s(m) \neq s(m')$  (i.e.  $s$  is injective).
- (4) If a subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  satisfies the properties:
- 1°  $0 \in S$
  - 2°  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ if } m \in S \text{ then } s(m) \in S$
- Then  $S = \mathbb{N}$

Rem: Axiom (5) is a 2nd order formula because we quantify sets:  
 $\forall S \dots$   
 principle of mathematical induction.

Theorem 2: Peano's axioms determine the triple  $(\mathbb{N}, 0, s)$  uniquely up to a unique isomorphism: i.e. if  $(\mathbb{N}', 0', s')$  is another triple satisfying Peano's axioms, there is a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}'$  s.t.

a)  $f$  is bijective

b)  $f(0) = 0'$

c)  $f(s(m)) = s'(f(m))$

$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{N} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbb{N}' & \xrightarrow{s'} & \mathbb{N}' \end{array}$$

i.e. the following diagram is commutative

$$f \circ s = s' \circ f$$

### Operations with natural numbers

• addition is defined by induction

$$m + 0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m$$

$$m + 1 = s(m)$$

$$m + s(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s(m+n)$$

• multiplication:

$$m \cdot 0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$$

$$m \cdot 1 = m$$

$$m \cdot s(n) = mn + m$$

• order relations

$$m < n \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Rightarrow} \exists p \in \mathbb{N}, p \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } m = n + p$$

$$m \leq n \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } m = n + p$$

Theorem: The structure  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, \leq)$  satisfies the following properties:

- $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$  is a semiring
 

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{N}, +) \text{ comm. monoid} \\ (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \text{ comm. monoid} \\ ``\cdot" \text{ is distributive w.r.t addition} \end{array} \right.$
- $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  is well-ordered, and " $\leq$ " is compatible with  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ 

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m < n \Rightarrow m + p < n + p \\ m < n, p \neq 0 \Rightarrow mp < np \end{array} \right.$
- $\mathbb{N}$  is Archimedean:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } np > m.$$

Proof. HW

## B. The set of integers

Problem: - equations of the form  $5+x=2$  do not have solutions in  $\mathbb{N}$

-  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  is not a group

We extend the notion of number.

Idea:  $x = 2 - 5 = 3 - 6 (= 2 + 6 = 5 + 3)$

Definition: On set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} = \{(m, n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We define the relation " $\sim$ ".

$$(m, n) \sim (p, q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} m + q \underset{\text{def}}{=} n + p$$

The rel " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation (HW)

The set of integers is the quotient set

$$\mathbb{Z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} / \sim = \{(m, n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where  $(m, n) := \{(p, q) \mid (m, n) \sim (p, q)\}$

Operations with integers:

- $\widetilde{(m, n)} + \widetilde{(p, q)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{(m+p, m+q)}$
- $\widetilde{(m, n)} \cdot \widetilde{(p, q)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{(mp+mq, mq+mp)}$
- $\widetilde{(m, n)} < \widetilde{(p, q)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m+q < m+p$

Theorem: 1) The above definitions do not depend on the choice of representatives.

2) The structure  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \leq)$  is:

- integral domain (commuting with  $1 \stackrel{=}{\sim} (\overline{1}, 0)$ , without divisors of  $0 \stackrel{=}{\sim} (\overline{0}, 0)$ )
- totally ordered

compat with  $\begin{cases} a < b \Rightarrow a+c < b+c \\ a < b, c > 0 \Rightarrow ac < bc \\ a < b, c < 0 \Rightarrow a \cdot c > bc \end{cases}$

. Archimedean:

$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}, b > 0$

$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } nb > a$

Proof - HW!

### C. The set of rationals

problem - equations of the form  $5x=2$  do not have solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}$   
-  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  is not a field (corp commutes)

idea - we add fractions

$$x = \frac{2}{5} = \frac{4}{10} \iff 2 \cdot 10 = 4 \cdot 5$$

Def: On the set  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^* = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$

We define the relation " $\sim$ ":

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} ad = bc$$

The rel., " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation (Hw)

The set of rational numbers is the quotient set:

$$\mathbb{Q} := \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^* / \sim = \left\{ \overline{(a, b)} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$\text{where } \overline{(a, b)} = \{ (c, d) \mid (a, b) \sim (c, d) \}$$

Operations in  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

- $\overline{(a, b)} + \overline{(c, d)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{(ad + bc, bd)}$
- $\overline{(a, b)} \cdot \overline{(c, d)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{(ac, bd)}$
- $\overline{(a, b)} < \overline{(c, d)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (ad - bc)bd < 0$

Theorem: 1) The above definitions do not depend on the choice of representatives.

2) The structure  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, \leq)$  is:

- a field  $(\overline{(a, b)})^{-1} = \overline{(b, a)}$
- totally ordered + compatibility:

- $x < y \iff x + z < y + z$
- $x < y, z > 0 \Rightarrow x + z < y + z$
- $x < y, z < 0 \Rightarrow x + z > y + z$
- Archimedean:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}, \forall y \in \mathbb{Q}, y > 0$$

$$\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ m > x$$

Proof HW

Rem:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0 \Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N_\varepsilon$   
 $\text{s.t. } n > N_\varepsilon \quad \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$   
 $\Downarrow$   
 $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$

Remark:  $\mathbb{Q}$  extends  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,

i.e.  $\exists f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$   
 $f(a) = \tilde{(a, 1)}$  which is strictly increasing morphism

We identify  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\tilde{(a, 1)} \in \mathbb{Q}$

Not  $\frac{a}{b} = \tilde{(a, b)}$

With this identification we have:

$$\frac{a}{b} = f(a) \cdot f(b)^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a, 1}) \cdot (\tilde{b, 1})^{-1} &= \tilde{(a, b)} \\ &\Downarrow \\ &(\tilde{1, b}) \end{aligned}$$