# Möbius Functions and Semigroup Representation Theory

Benjamin Steinberg

December 31, 2007

# Inverse Semigroups

- Just as groups abstract permutations, inverse semigroups abstract partial permutations.
- A semigroup S is an inverse semigroup if, for all  $s \in S$ , there exists unique  $s' \in S$  such that ss's = s and s'ss' = s'.
- One writes  $s^{-1}$  for s'.
- The motivating example is the symmetric inverse monoid  $I_n$  of all partial permutations of an n-element set.
- The Preston-Wagner theorem says every inverse semigroup of order n embeds in  $I_n$ .

#### Rook Monoid

• A typical element of  $I_4$  is

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ - & 1 & - & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of course

$$\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & - & 4 & - \end{pmatrix}.$$

ullet Alternatively,  $\sigma$  can be represented by the rook matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The monoid  $R_n$  of all  $n \times n$  rook matrices, called the rook monoid, is isomorphic to  $I_n$ .
- The inverse in this context is the transpose matrix.

### Examples

- The signed symmetric inverse monoid consists of all rook matrices with entries in {±1}.
- It can be identified with the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr I_n$ .
- The monoid of uniform block permutations  $UB_n$  consists of all bijections between partitions of n preserving sizes of blocks.
- ullet A typical example from  $UB_5$  is

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \{1,3\} & \{2\} & \{4,5\} \\ \{1,5\} & \{3\} & \{2,4\} \end{pmatrix}$$

•  $UB_n$  can be identified with the semigroup of partial permutations of the support lattice of the Coxeter complex for  $S_n$  generated by the partial identities of the supports and the action of  $S_n$ .

#### Renner monoids

- Let M be a reductive algebraic monoid (e.g.  $M_n(K)$ ).
- Let G be the unit group of M; it is reductive (e.g.  $GL_n(K)$ ).
- Let T be a maximal torus and B a Borel subgroup.
- Let W = N(T)/T be the Weyl group.

#### Renner monoids

- Let M be a reductive algebraic monoid (e.g.  $M_n(K)$ ).
- Let G be the unit group of M; it is reductive (e.g.  $GL_n(K)$ ).
- Let T be a maximal torus and B a Borel subgroup.
- Let W = N(T)/T be the Weyl group.

#### Theorem (Renner)

 $M = \bigsqcup_{r \in R} BrB$  where  $R = \overline{N(T)}/T$  is a finite inverse monoid with unit group W .

• R is called the Renner monoid of M. For  $M_n(K)$ , the Renner monoid is the rook monoid  $R_n$ .

#### Goals

- Find an alternative basis for an inverse semigroup algebra.
- Use this basis to identify the algebra as a direct product of matrix algebras over group algebras.
- Ompute explicitly the irreducible representations.
- Give a combinatorial method to compute multiplicities of irreducible constituents in an arbitrary representation.
- Discuss applications to random walks.

# The Structure of Inverse Semigroups via an Example

- The idempotents of  $I_n$  are the partial identities  $1_X$  with  $X \subseteq [n]$ .
- They form a lattice isomorphic to  $2^X$  as  $1_X \cdot 1_Y = 1_{X \cap Y} = 1_Y \cdot 1_X$ .

# The Structure of Inverse Semigroups via an Example

- The idempotents of  $I_n$  are the partial identities  $1_X$  with  $X \subseteq [n]$ .
- They form a lattice isomorphic to  $2^X$  as  $1_X \cdot 1_Y = 1_{X \cap Y} = 1_Y \cdot 1_X$ .
- $I_n$  can be ordered by  $\sigma \leq \tau$  if  $\sigma$  is a restriction of  $\tau$ .

# The Structure of Inverse Semigroups via an Example

- The idempotents of  $I_n$  are the partial identities  $1_X$  with  $X \subseteq [n]$ .
- They form a lattice isomorphic to  $2^X$  as  $1_X \cdot 1_Y = 1_{X \cap Y} = 1_Y \cdot 1_X$ .
- $I_n$  can be ordered by  $\sigma \leq \tau$  if  $\sigma$  is a restriction of  $\tau$ .
- The set of elements  $\sigma \in I_n$  with domain and range X is a group isomorphic to  $S_X$ .
- This structure is common to all inverse semigroups.

# The Structure of Inverse Semigroups

- ullet Let S be an inverse semigroup and E(S) its set of idempotents.
- E(S) is a commutative semigroup.
- E(S) is a meet-semilattice ordered by  $e \leq f$  if e = ef.
- The ordering on E(S) extends to S by  $s \leq t$  if  $s \in tE(S)$ .
- If  $e \in E(S)$ , then  $G_e = \{s \in S \mid ss^{-1} = e = s^{-1}s\}$  is a group called the maximal subgroup at e.
- It is the unit group of eSe.

# Isomorphism of Idempotents

- Idempotents  $e, f \in E(S)$  are isomorphic if  $\exists s \in S$  such that  $s^{-1}s = e$  and  $ss^{-1} = f$ .
- We represent this by an arrow  $s^{-1}s \xrightarrow{s} ss^{-1}$  and write  $dom(s) = s^{-1}s$  and  $ran(s) = ss^{-1}$ .
- If  $e \cong f$ , then  $G_e \cong G_f$ .

# Isomorphism of Idempotents

- Idempotents  $e, f \in E(S)$  are isomorphic if  $\exists s \in S$  such that  $s^{-1}s = e$  and  $ss^{-1} = f$ .
- We represent this by an arrow  $s^{-1}s \xrightarrow{s} ss^{-1}$  and write  $dom(s) = s^{-1}s$  and  $ran(s) = ss^{-1}$ .
- If  $e \cong f$ , then  $G_e \cong G_f$ .
- One can in fact form a groupoid with objects E(S) and arrows  $s^{-1}s \xrightarrow{s} ss^{-1}$ .
- Composition is given by

$$e \xrightarrow{s} f \xrightarrow{t} e' = e \xrightarrow{st} e'.$$

# The Groupoid Basis

- Let K be a field and S a finite inverse semigroup.
- Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function of the poset  $(S, \leq)$ .
- ullet Define, for  $s \in S$ , an element of KS by

# The Groupoid Basis

- Let K be a field and S a finite inverse semigroup.
- Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function of the poset  $(S, \leq)$ .
- ullet Define, for  $s\in S$ , an element of KS by

$$\overline{s} = \sum_{t \le s} t\mu(t, s).$$

• By Möbius inversion,  $s = \sum_{t < s} \overline{t}$ . So the  $\overline{s}$  form a basis for S.

# The Groupoid Basis

- ullet Let K be a field and S a finite inverse semigroup.
- Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function of the poset  $(S, \leq)$ .
- ullet Define, for  $s\in S$ , an element of KS by

$$\overline{s} = \sum_{t \le s} t\mu(t, s).$$

• By Möbius inversion,  $s = \sum_{t < s} \overline{t}$ . So the  $\overline{s}$  form a basis for S.

#### Theorem (BS)

The basis  $\{\overline{s} \mid s \in S\}$  satisfies

$$\overline{s} \cdot \overline{t} = \begin{cases} \overline{st} & \text{dom}(s) = \text{ran}(t) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Orthogonal Idempotents and a Decomposition

- From the theorem, it follows  $\{\overline{e} \mid e \in E(S)\}$  is a set of orthogonal idempotents summing to 1.
- Moreover,  $\overline{e}KS \cong \overline{f}KS$  if and only if  $e \cong f$ .
- $\overline{e}KS\overline{e} \cong KG_e$ .
- Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  be a transversal to the set of isomorphism classes of idempotents of S.
- Let  $n_i$  be the number of idempotents isomorphic to  $e_i$ .

# Orthogonal Idempotents and a Decomposition

- From the theorem, it follows  $\{\overline{e} \mid e \in E(S)\}$  is a set of orthogonal idempotents summing to 1.
- Moreover,  $\overline{e}KS \cong \overline{f}KS$  if and only if  $e \cong f$ .
- $\overline{e}KS\overline{e} \cong KG_e$ .
- Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  be a transversal to the set of isomorphism classes of idempotents of S.
- Let  $n_i$  be the number of idempotents isomorphic to  $e_i$ .

### Theorem (BS)

$$KS \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(KG_{e_i}).$$

# Orthogonal Idempotents and a Decomposition

- From the theorem, it follows  $\{\overline{e} \mid e \in E(S)\}$  is a set of orthogonal idempotents summing to 1.
- Moreover,  $\overline{e}KS \cong \overline{f}KS$  if and only if  $e \cong f$ .
- $\overline{e}KS\overline{e} \cong KG_e$ .
- Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  be a transversal to the set of isomorphism classes of idempotents of S.
- Let  $n_i$  be the number of idempotents isomorphic to  $e_i$ .

#### Theorem (BS)

$$KS \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(KG_{e_i}).$$

#### Proof.

$$KS \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \operatorname{End}(n_i \overline{e}_i KS) \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\overline{e}_i KS \overline{e}_i) \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(KG_{e_i}).$$

# The Algebra of $I_n$

- For  $I_n$ , we can take as a transversal  $\{1_{[i]} \mid i=0,\ldots,n\}$ .
- Then  $G_{1_{[i]}} \cong S_i$  and  $n_i = \binom{n}{i}$ .
- So  $KI_n \cong \prod_{i=0}^n M_{\binom{n}{i}}(KS_i)$ .
- The corresponding central idempotents are

$$e_i = \sum_{|X|=i} \sum_{Y \subseteq X} (-1)^{|X|-|Y|} 1_Y$$

- This explicit decomposition for  $KI_n$  was first discovered by Solomon.
- In general, the idempotents of a Renner monoid form the face lattice of a rational polytope. Hence the Möbius function is particularly nice in this context.

# Some History

- Munn and Ponizovskii showed in the fifties that the algebra of an inverse semigroup has an ideal series whose successive quotients are the  $M_{n_i}(KG_{e_i})$ . This implies our decomposition.
- But it is not good enough to compute multiplicities of irreducible constituents.
- Solomon obtained the explicit decomposition, but did not use it to compute multiplicities.
- After I introduced the groupoid basis, it was exploited by Rockmore and Malandro to develop Fast Fourier Transforms for the symmetric inverse monoid.

# Multiplicities

- We retain our previous notation.
- $\mathbb{C}S$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}G_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}G_r$ .
- So  $Irr(S) \cong \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r Irr(G_i)$ .
- Let  $\theta$  be a character of S and let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $G_i$ .
- The associated irreducible character of S is denoted  $\chi^*$ .
- For  $f \leq E(S)$ , define  $\theta_f(s) = \theta(sf)$ .

# Multiplicities

- We retain our previous notation.
- $\mathbb{C}S$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}G_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}G_r$ .
- So  $Irr(S) \cong \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r Irr(G_i)$ .
- Let  $\theta$  be a character of S and let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $G_i$ .
- The associated irreducible character of S is denoted  $\chi^*$ .
- For  $f \leq E(S)$ , define  $\theta_f(s) = \theta(sf)$ .

#### Theorem (BS)

The multiplicity of  $\chi^*$  in  $\theta$  is given by

$$\sum_{f \le e} \langle \chi, \theta_f \rangle \mu(f, e).$$

#### **Tensor Powers**

- Let G be a finite group.
- $G \wr I_n$  acts naturally on  $|G| \times [n]$ .
- Let  $\theta$  be the character of the associated representation and let  $\theta^p$  be its  $p^{th}$ -tensor power.

#### **Tensor Powers**

- ullet Let G be a finite group.
- $G \wr I_n$  acts naturally on  $|G| \times [n]$ .
- Let  $\theta$  be the character of the associated representation and let  $\theta^p$  be its  $p^{th}$ -tensor power.

#### Theorem (BS)

Let  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \wr S_r)$ . Then the multiplicity of  $\chi^*$  in  $\theta^p$  is

$$\frac{1}{|G|^{r-p}}\deg(\chi)S(p,r)$$

where S(p,r) is the Stirling number of the second kind.

• This generalizes a result of Solomon for |G| = 1, but even in this case our proof is easier as Solomon used a more complicated method to compute multiplicities.

# Triangularizable Semigroups

#### Theorem (AMSV)

The following are equivalent for a finite semigroup S:

- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{C}S$  is basic;
- 2 All irreducible representations of S have degree 1;
- S admits a faithful representation by upper triangular matrices;
- There is a morphism  $\varphi: S \to T$  with T a commutative inverse semigroup such that the induced map  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{C}S \to \mathbb{C}T$  is the semisimple quotient;
- All subgroups of S are abelian and there exists n > 0 such that regular elements satisfy  $x^n = x$  and products of idempotents satisfy  $x^n = x^{n+1}$ :

Semigroups satisfying these conditions are called triangularizable.

- Let  $\pi = \sum_{m \in M} \pi_m m$  be a probability measure on a finite monoid M.
- Fix a minimal right ideal R of M.
- For  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , let  $T_{r_1r_2}$  be the probability  $r_1m = r_2$  if  $m \in M$  is chosen according to  $\pi$ .
- Let  $T=(T_{r_1r_2})$  be the transition matrix. Then  $T^n_{r_1r_2}$  is the probability of going from  $r_1$  to  $r_2$  on the  $n^{th}$ -step of the walk.

- Let  $\pi = \sum_{m \in M} \pi_m m$  be a probability measure on a finite monoid M.
- Fix a minimal right ideal R of M.
- For  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , let  $T_{r_1r_2}$  be the probability  $r_1m = r_2$  if  $m \in M$  is chosen according to  $\pi$ .
- Let  $T=(T_{r_1r_2})$  be the transition matrix. Then  $T^n_{r_1r_2}$  is the probability of going from  $r_1$  to  $r_2$  on the  $n^{th}$ -step of the walk.
- What is the spectrum of T?

- Let  $\pi = \sum_{m \in M} \pi_m m$  be a probability measure on a finite monoid M.
- Fix a minimal right ideal R of M.
- For  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , let  $T_{r_1r_2}$  be the probability  $r_1m = r_2$  if  $m \in M$  is chosen according to  $\pi$ .
- Let  $T=(T_{r_1r_2})$  be the transition matrix. Then  $T_{r_1r_2}^n$  is the probability of going from  $r_1$  to  $r_2$  on the  $n^{th}$ -step of the walk.
- What is the spectrum of T?
- Can you compute the stationary distribution (the probability vector with eigenvalue 1)?

- Let  $\pi = \sum_{m \in M} \pi_m m$  be a probability measure on a finite monoid M.
- Fix a minimal right ideal R of M.
- For  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , let  $T_{r_1r_2}$  be the probability  $r_1m = r_2$  if  $m \in M$  is chosen according to  $\pi$ .
- Let  $T=(T_{r_1r_2})$  be the transition matrix. Then  $T_{r_1r_2}^n$  is the probability of going from  $r_1$  to  $r_2$  on the  $n^{th}$ -step of the walk.
- What is the spectrum of T?
- Can you compute the stationary distribution (the probability vector with eigenvalue 1)?
- Diaconis did this for abelian groups, Brown did this for idempotent semigroups (bands), following earlier work of Bidigare, Hanlon and Rockmore for face semigroups of hyperplane arrangements.

#### Diaconis-Brown trick

- $\mathbb{C}R$  is a right ideal in  $\mathbb{C}M$ . Let  $\rho: M \to M_{|R|}(\mathbb{C})$  be the associated matrix representation with respect to the basis R.
- Key observation:  $T = \rho(\pi)$ .
- Suppose now M is triangularizable. Taking a basis adapted to a composition series for  $\mathbb{C}R$  yields

#### Diaconis-Brown trick

- $\mathbb{C}R$  is a right ideal in  $\mathbb{C}M$ . Let  $\rho: M \to M_{|R|}(\mathbb{C})$  be the associated matrix representation with respect to the basis R.
- Key observation:  $T = \rho(\pi)$ .
- Suppose now M is triangularizable. Taking a basis adapted to a composition series for  $\mathbb{C}R$  yields

$$\rho \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & \chi_2 & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & * & \ddots & 0 \\ * & \cdots & * & \chi_{|R|} \end{pmatrix}$$

- T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda_\chi = \sum_{m \in M} \pi_m \chi(m)$  associated to each  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr} M$ .
- The multiplicity of  $\lambda_{\chi}$  is the multiplicity of  $\chi$  in  $\rho$ .
- Our work on inverse semigroups allows us to explicitly determine these.

# The Spectrum

- Choose an idempotent transversal  $\mathscr{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  to the set of isomorphism classes of E(M).
- $\operatorname{Irr} M = \coprod \operatorname{Irr} G_{e_i}$  ( $G_{e_i} = \text{unit group of } e_i M e_i$ ).
- If  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{e_i}$ , then

# The Spectrum

- Choose an idempotent transversal  $\mathscr{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  to the set of isomorphism classes of E(M).
- $\operatorname{Irr} M = \coprod \operatorname{Irr} G_{e_i}$  ( $G_{e_i} = \operatorname{unit} \operatorname{group} \operatorname{of} e_i M e_i$ ).
- If  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{e_i}$ , then

$$\lambda_{\chi} = \sum_{e_i \in MmM} \pi_m \chi(e_i m e_i).$$

- The set  $\mathscr E$  is a meet-semilattice with respect to the ordering  $e_j \leq_{\mathscr J} e_i$  if  $Me_j M \subseteq Me_i M$ . Let  $\mu$  be its Möbius function.
- ullet The multiplicity of  $\lambda_\chi$  is given by

# The Spectrum

- Choose an idempotent transversal  $\mathscr{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  to the set of isomorphism classes of E(M).
- $\operatorname{Irr} M = \coprod \operatorname{Irr} G_{e_i}$  ( $G_{e_i} = \operatorname{unit} \operatorname{group} \operatorname{of} e_i M e_i$ ).
- If  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{e_i}$ , then

$$\lambda_{\chi} = \sum_{e_i \in MmM} \pi_m \chi(e_i m e_i).$$

- The set  $\mathscr E$  is a meet-semilattice with respect to the ordering  $e_j \leq_{\mathscr J} e_i$  if  $Me_j M \subseteq Me_i M$ . Let  $\mu$  be its Möbius function.
- ullet The multiplicity of  $\lambda_{\chi}$  is given by

$$\sum_{e_j \leq \mathscr{J} e_i} \langle \chi, \varphi_j \rangle \mu(e_j, e_i)$$

where  $\varphi_i(g)$  is the number of fixed points of  $e_i g e_i$  on R.

 This recovers the results of Diaconis on abelian groups and of Brown on bands.