HW 22 - Solutionsc

For Problems 1-2, consider the following table represents sales of beer in Pennsylvania where it must be sold only by the case. A friend suggests that this means beer is likely less expensive in PA than elsewhere. To test this theory, consider the price per case for n = 12 popular beers at *Rite Buy* in PA and at *Total Wine* in DE, where beer is also sold in 6-packs and 12-packs and isn't taxed.

```
mydata <- read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/ProfSuzy/Stat61/main/beer_data_2005.csv",
                    header=T)
mydata <- mydata[,1:4]
mydata
##
                Beer DE.price..Total.Wine. PA.price..Rite.Buy. Difference..PA...DE.
## 1
                                                            18.59
                                                                                    4.60
          Yuengling
                                      13.99
## 2
       Rolling Rock
                                      13.99
                                                            19.19
                                                                                    5.20
              Coors
                                                            18.99
## 3
                                      16.38
                                                                                    2.61
## 4
          Budweiser
                                      18.99
                                                            18.59
                                                                                   -0.40
## 5
            Fosters
                                                            23.99
                                                                                    4.01
                                      19.98
## 6
             Pete's
                                      21.98
                                                            22.19
                                                                                    0.21
## 7
        Dock Streed
                                      22.99
                                                            21.99
                                                                                   -1.00
## 8
          Dos Equis
                                      23.98
                                                            26.59
                                                                                    2.61
## 9
          Newcastle
                                      21.48
                                                            29.99
                                                                                   8.51
## 10 Sierra Nevada
                                      22.98
                                                            29.99
                                                                                    7.01
## 11
             Corona
                                      23.98
                                                            30.19
                                                                                    6.21
## 12
            Victory
                                      27.99
                                                            28.29
                                                                                    0.30
```

We are interested in estimating μ_{diff} , the mean difference in price for a case of beer in PA vs DE. The matched pairs t-test is useful since it seems reasonable to treat the price differences as a set of n=12 independent values with mean μ_{diff} and some standard deviation σ_{diff} .

Problem 1

Suppose the distribution of differences in price is close enough to a Normal distribution to invoke the CLT even though n = 12.

(a) Compare the standard error for the difference in averages you would get if you treated these as two independent samples compared to the (more appropriate) standard error for matched pairs. Explain how this shows the value of matching when it is reasonable to do so.

```
## First I am going to rename the columns so the data is easier for me to work with:
colnames(mydata) <- c("Beer", "DE_TotalWine", "PA_RiteBuy", "Difference")
mydata</pre>
```

```
##
                Beer DE_TotalWine PA_RiteBuy Difference
## 1
          Yuengling
                             13.99
                                         18.59
                                                      4.60
## 2
       Rolling Rock
                             13.99
                                         19.19
                                                      5.20
## 3
               Coors
                             16.38
                                         18.99
                                                      2.61
## 4
           Budweiser
                             18.99
                                         18.59
                                                     -0.40
## 5
             Fosters
                             19.98
                                         23.99
                                                      4.01
## 6
              Pete's
                             21.98
                                         22.19
                                                      0.21
## 7
        Dock Streed
                             22.99
                                         21.99
                                                     -1.00
```

```
## 8
          Dos Equis
                           23.98
                                       26.59
                                                   2.61
## 9
          Newcastle
                           21.48
                                                   8.51
                                       29.99
                           22.98
## 10 Sierra Nevada
                                       29.99
                                                   7.01
## 11
             Corona
                           23.98
                                       30.19
                                                   6.21
## 12
            Victory
                           27.99
                                       28.29
                                                   0.30
## The standard error if we treat DE and PA prices as coming from independent populations is s_p*sqrt(1
## Note: you have to be really careful with partheneses in the following code
s_p = ( sum((mydata$DE_TotalWine-mean(mydata$DE_TotalWine))^2) + sum((mydata$PA_RiteBuy-mean(mydata$PA_
SE_ind = s_p*sqrt((1/12) + (1/12))
SE ind
## [1] 19.34908
## The standard error if we treat DE and PA prices as linearly dependent is s_diff * sqrt(1/12)
s_diff = (1/11) * sum((mydata$Difference - mean(mydata$Difference))^2)
SE_dep = s_diff * sqrt(1/12)
SE_dep
```

[1] 2.804207

[1] 0.5281288

As expected, the SE for the paired data is smaller than the SE for the un-paired data. A smaller SE corresponds to a tighter CI for the difference in population means which corresponds to an increase in the power to detect a difference if one does in fact exist.

(b) Find an approximate 99% CI for μ_{diff} and interpret what this interval suggests about the price differences.

As the problem specifies that we can assume the CLT applies (even though we only have a sample of n = 12 differences), we know that

$$\bar{D} \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\sim} N(\mu_{diff}, \sigma^2/12).$$

But since we don't know σ^2 we must estimate it and thus we will instead consider

$$\frac{\bar{D}}{SE(\bar{D})} = \frac{\bar{D}}{s_{diff}/\sqrt{12}} \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\sim} t_{(11)}.$$

Thus a 99% CI for μ_{diff} is found to be [0.518, 6.117] by:

```
mean(mydata$Difference) - ( qt(0.01/2, df=11) * sd(mydata$Difference)/sqrt(12) )
## [1] 6.116871
mean(mydata$Difference) + ( qt(0.01/2, df=11) * sd(mydata$Difference)/sqrt(12) )
```

Problem 2

Now let's not suppose we can justify the use of the CLT. The sign test is a non-parametric alternative that only assumes the data of n=12 differences are IID with some constant probability θ of being positive. Thus we can treat the number of positive differences, Y, as a Binomial (n, θ) RV and test $H_0: \theta = 0.5$ vs $H_1: \theta \neq 0.5$.

(a) Interpret the null and alternative hypotheses in the context of this problem.

Here H_0 implies that whether the price of a DE beer is higher or lower than a PA beer is arbitrary and each option is equally likely. H_1 on the other hand implies that this is not so and that systematically, we can expect one of the states to have consistently higher prices for the same beer.

(b) Use the binomial probability function (dbinom() in R) to find the exact p-value for this test and explain its meaning in the context of this problem.

Using the information from the problem, we can use Y as our test statistic and the rejection region will be $\{(PA_{diff,i}, DE_{diff,j}) : | (PA_{diff,i} - DE_{diff,j} | \ge c_{\alpha}) \}$ where c_{α} solves: $Pr(| (PA_{diff,i} - DE_{diff,j} | \ge c_{\alpha}) = 0.01$. Since the problem asks us to find a p-value and we have a significance level, we don't actually need to calculate c_{α} and can instead directly calculate the p-value which is

```
\begin{split} Pr(\mid (PA_{diff,i} - DE_{diff,j} \mid \geq 10 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) &= Pr(\mid d_i \mid \geq 10 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) \\ &= Pr(Y \leq 2 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) + Pr(Y \geq 10 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) \\ &= Pr(Y = 0 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) + Pr(Y = 1 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) + Pr(Y = 2 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) \\ &= Pr(Y = 10 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) + Pr(Y = 11 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) + Pr(Y = 12 \mid H_0: \theta = 1/2) \end{split}
```

```
dbinom(0, size=12, prob=1/2) +
dbinom(1, size=12, prob=1/2) +
dbinom(2, size=12, prob=1/2) +
dbinom(10, size=12, prob=1/2) +
dbinom(11, size=12, prob=1/2) +
dbinom(12, size=12, prob=1/2)
```

[1] 0.03857422

This p-value is larger than $\alpha = 0.01$ so based on this data set, we do not have enough evidence to indicate a systematic difference between the prices of DE beers and PA beers.

Problem 3

A 3-year study with 72 chronic cocaine user considered an antidepressant drug called desipramine as a possible treatment for addiction. A clinical trial compared outcomes for subjects randomly assigned to take either desipramine, lithium, or a placebo. The counts of subjects who relapsed within the 3 years are reported in the following table.

```
## drug n relapse
## 1 Desipramine 24 10
## 2 Lithium 24 18
## 3 Placebo 24 20
```

data: coc fisher table

(a) Construct a 95% CI for the difference in relapse rates for the placebo compared to desipramine.

Note that we are asked to find a CI for the difference in proportions. Since proportions are actually means of a bunch of zeros and ones, this procedure is mathematically equivalent to a (un-paired) t-test/CI for the difference in population means.

```
desi = c(rep(0, 24-10), rep(1, 10))
plac = c(rep(0, 24-20), rep(1, 20))
t.test(desi, plac, alternative="two.sided", paired=TRUE, conf.level = 0.95)
##
##
   Paired t-test
##
## data: desi and plac
## t = -4.0532, df = 23, p-value = 0.0004929
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##
  -0.6293226 -0.2040107
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
                -0.4166667
```

Thus a 95% CI for the difference in mean relapse rates $\mu_{diff} = \mu_{Desi} - \mu_{plac}$ is [-0.629, -0.204].

(b) The lithium group was included because this had been show previously to be an effective treatment for addiction. To argue for desipramine, it should be shown to be at least as effective as lithium. Define parameters, state hypotheses and carry out the test using Fisher's exact test. Give the exact p-value and explain your conclusion at an $\alpha = 0.01$ significance level.

```
coc_fisher_table = matrix(c(10,24-10,18,24-18), nrow=2, byrow=TRUE) ## Desi is first row, Lithium is s
chisq.test(coc_fisher_table, simulate.p.value = TRUE)

##
Pearson's Chi-squared test with simulated p-value (based on 2000
## replicates)
```

X-squared = 5.4857, df = NA, p-value = 0.04098

The p-value of a Fisher's exact test for an association between the drug treatment.

The p-value of a Fisher's exact test for an association between the drug treatment type and whether or not a patient relapses is 0.039

(c) State hypotheses and carry out a Chi-square test of independence for all three groups. Give an approximate p-value for the test and explain what it represents in the context of this problem.

replace this with code for Problem 1