

- **CIA**, a modern definition. Confidentiality: prevent unauthorized reading of information. Integrity: detect unauthorized writing of information. Availability: data is available in a timely manner when needed.
- **Network Security**. Various protocols play a critical role, and cryptography matters a lot in protocol (especially network protocols) design and analysis.
- **Kerckhooft's Principle**. The system is completely known to the attacker; only the key is secret; the crypto algorithms are not secret.
- **Confusion and Diffusion**. Confusion: obscuring the relationship between plaintext and ciphertext. Diffusion: spreading the plaintext statistics through the ciphertext. A little note: hash function can be viewed as *one way cryptography*.
- **Stream Cipher**. Both A5/1 and RC4 are examples of this symmetric cryptosystem. It generalized the idea of a one-time pad, except that we trade provably security with a relatively small (and manageable) key. The key is stretched into a long stream of bits, which is then used just like a one-time pad.
- **Block Cipher**. It's really just an "electronic" version of a codebook, and employs both confusion and diffusion.

Algorithm 1 RC4 Keystream Byte

```

i = (i + 1) mod 256
j = (j + S[i] mod 256)
swap (S[i], S[j])
t = (S[i] + S[j] mod 256)
Keystream byte = S[t]

```

- **Feistel Cipher**. It's a general cipher design principle. $L_i = R_{i-1}$ and $R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(R_{i-1}, K_i)$.
- **DES**. The security of this cryptosystem has much to do with *S-box*. Steps: an initial permutation before round 1; halves are swapped after last round; a final permutation applied to R_{16}, L_{16} .

Algorithm 2 TEA Encryption

```

(K[0], K[1], K[2], K[3]) = 128 bit key
(L, R) = plaintext (64-bit block)
delta = 0x9e3779b9
sum = 0
for i = 1 to 32 do
    sum = sum + delta
    L = L + (((R << 4) ⊕ K[0]) ⊕ (R + sum) ⊕ ((R >> 5) ⊕ K[1]))
    R = L + (((L << 4) ⊕ K[2]) ⊕ (L + sum) ⊕ ((L >> 5) ⊕ K[3]))
next i
end for
ciphertext = (L, R)

```

- **Block Cipher Modes**. ECB: encrypt each block independently. CBC: chain the blocks together. For this mode, a random initialization vector is required. CTR: block cipher acts like stream one.

- **Data Integrity**. The encryption process does provide confidentiality, but no guarantee of integrity.

Algorithm 3 Key generation for RSA public key encryption

Ensure: Each entity creates an RSA public key and a corresponding private key. Each entity A should do the following:

1. Generate two large random and distinct primes p and q , each roughly the same size.
 2. Compute $n = pq$ and $\phi = (p-1)(q-1)$.
 3. Select a random integer e , $1 \leq e \leq \phi$, such that $\gcd(e, \phi) = 1$.
 4. Use the extended Euclidean algorithm to compute the unique integer d , such that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$.
 5. A's public key is (n, e) , private key is d .
-

• RSA Validity Proof.

- Since $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi}$, there exists an integer k such that $ed = 1 + k\phi$.
- Now if $\gcd(m, p) = 1$, then by Fermat's theorem, $m^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- Raising both sides of this congruence to the power $k(q-1)$ and then multiplying both sides by m yields $m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv m \pmod{p}$.
- On the other hand if $\gcd(m, p) = p$, then this last congruence is valid since each side is congruence to 0 mod p .
- Hence, in all cases, $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{p}$. By the same argument, $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{q}$.
- Finally, since p and q are distinct primes, it follows that $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{n}$. And hence, $c^d \equiv (m^e)^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$.

- **Cube Root attack on RSA**. A simple but practical way to prevent is to pad message with random bits.

- **Cryptographic Hash Function**. This function must provide the following:

- Compression. For any size input x , the output length, i.e. $h(x)$ is small. Usually a fixed length is pre-defined.
- Efficiency. It must be easy to compute $h(x)$ for any input x .
- One way. Given any value y , it's computationally infeasible to find a value x such that $h(y) = x$.
- Weak Collision Resistance. Given x and $h(x)$, it's infeasible to find any y , with $y \neq x$, such that $h(y) = h(x)$.
- Strong Collision resistance. It's (and should be so) infeasible to find any $x \neq y$ such that $h(x) = h(y)$.

- **Birthday Problem**. Strong one. How large much the N be before the probability that someone shares the same birthday with me? Weak one. How many people must be in a room before the probability of at least two share the same birthday is larger than 0.5?

- **Access Control.** Two easy-to-understand comparisons. Authentication: are you who you say you are? Authorization: are you allowed to do that fucking (forgive my rudeness; I am tired.) stuff?
- **Common Attacks on Passwords.** Usually, this applies to many other similar stuff in security as well. Outsider, and then you “act as if” you are a normal user. Some time when “the day” comes, you may have the privilege to “self-promoting” to (one of) the administrators.
- **Iris Scan Attacks.** One thing pointed out in the slides, “scanners could use light to” make sure that it’s scanning a live eye.
- **Web Cookies.** According to our official textbook, web cookies have “some interesting security implications”. Web cookie is simply a numerical value that is stored and managed by one’s browser. The website that one is visiting also stores the cookie, which is used to index a database that retains information about Alice (in textbook flavor). In a slightly stronger “expression”, a password is used to initially authenticate Alice, after which the cookie is considered sufficient.
- **Evaluation Assurance Level.** Note that a product with a higher EAL does not necessarily mean it *does* possess a higher security power (forgive me for the lack of words). For example, suppose that product A is certificated EAL4, while product B carries EAL5 rating. All it means is that product A was evaluated for EAL4 (and passed), while product B was actually evaluated for EAL5 (and, at the same time, passed). It is possible that product A could have achieved EAL5 or higher, but the developers simply felt it was not worth the cost and effort to try a higher EAL.
- **Classification and Clearances.** Classification applies to *objects*. Clearance applies to *subjects*.
- **Compartments.** They serve to enforce the *need to know* principle, that is, subjects are only allowed to know the information that they *must* know for their work.
- **Covert Channel.** Three things are required for a covert channel to exist. First, the sender and receiver must have access to a shared resource. Second, the sender must be able to vary some property of the shared resource that the receiver can observe. Finally, the sender and receiver must be able to synchronize their communication.