

Send me your reviews according the following format by 19 Feb.

Paper summary

[Please summarize the paper in a few sentences. Try to address these questions: (1) What type of paper is it (theoretical, experimental, vision, poster) ? (2) What is the context for this paper? (3) Do you think it is technically correct? (4) What are its contributions? (5) Is it comprehensible?]

Strengths

[What are the paper's main strengths?]

Weaknesses

[What are the paper's main weaknesses?]

Evaluation

[Please rate the paper using the following scale]

Detailed comments

[Please provide a detailed evaluation of the paper keeping in mind the criteria provided here (not all criteria will apply to all papers):

<http://blizzard.cs.uwaterloo.ca/keshav/mediawiki->

1.4.7/index.php/Evaluating_a_research_paper These comments will be forwarded to the authors of the paper. Please try to be positive in your reviews: for instance, instead of saying "Your scheme is bad," consider saying "Your scheme would be stronger if it dealt with case X." If you think that the paper should cite prior work, please give a full citation to the work that should be cited.]