TABLES

Table 1: Summary of LCOE estimated from various sources in North America

| Estimated LCOE \$/kWh | Technology | Year | Plant specifications | Life | Financing and incentives | Location and solar resource | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------|--|------------|--|--|------|
| 0.28-0.46 | Solar PV (including tracking - 0.5%/yr degr.) | 2008 | residential (\$7.5/W, CF 14%- 33%) | 30 | no subsidies (30 yr mortgage, 100% financed, 6% IR, 6% DR, 35% TR) | various cities in USA (1000- 2500 kWh/m2/yr) | [11] |
| 0.20-0.32 | Solar PV (including tracking - 0.5%/yr degr.) | 2008 | residential (\$7.5/W, CF 14%- 33%) | 30 | with subsidies covering 30% initial cost (30 yr mortgage, 100% financed, 6% IR, 6% DR, 35% TR) | various cities in USA (1000- 2500 kWh/m2/yr) | [11] |
| 0.15-0.80 | Solar PV single axis | 2009 | 25 MW (CF 27%,\$4.55/Wp) | 20 | with and without tax benefits, and other incentives (merchant, IOU, POU) | California, USA [California Energy Commission] | [14] |
| 0.15-0.20 | Solar PV- crystalline | 2009 | 10 MW (CF 20- 27%,\$5/Wp) | 20 | lower price include incentives | USA | [58] |
| 0.12-0.18 | Solar PV- thin film | 2009 | 10 MW (CF 20- 23%,\$4/Wp) | 20 | lower price include incentives | USA | [58] |
| 0.16 (year 1) | Solar PV | 2010 | large scale (\$3.00/W, CF 21%) | 20/ 100 | 20 yr, 6% IR, no incentives or tax | USA Southwest | [49] |
| 0.316-0.696 | Solar PV | Jan, 2011 | 2kW (\$7.51/W) | 20 | 5% cost of capital (tax and incentives excluded) | Global [used 5.5 sun-hours and 2.5 sun-hours as high and low sites] | [64] |
| 0.169-0.372 | Solar PV | Jan, 2011 | 500 kW (\$3.98/ W) | 20 | 5% cost of capital (tax and incentives excluded) | Global [used 5.5 sun-hours and 2.5 sun-hours as high and low sites] | [64] |
| 0.319-0.702 | Solar PV | Dec,2010 | 2 kW (\$7.61/W) | 20 | 5% cost of capital (tax and incentives excluded) | Global [used 5.5 sun-hours and 2.5 sun-hours as high and low sites] | [31] |
| 0.171-0.376 | Solar PV | Dec,2010 | 500 kW (\$4.07/W) | 20 | 5% cost of capital (tax and incentives excluded) | Global [used 5.5 sun-hours and 2.5 sun-hours as high and low sites] | [31] |
| 0.15 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2011 | 4.5 kW residential (\$5/W, 10 yr inverter life) | 35 | not considered (SAM used) | Phoenix, USA | [19] |
| 0.10 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2011 | 150 kW commercial (\$4/W, 15 yr inverter life) | 35 | not considered (SAM used) | Phoenix, USA | [19] |
| 0.12 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2011 | 12 MW single axis at tilt (\$3.9/W, 15 yr inverter life) | 35 | not considered (SAM used) | Phoenix, USA | [19] |

| 0.12 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2011 | 12 MW two-axis conc. (\$4.3/W, 15 yr inverter life) | 35 | not considered (SAM used) | Phoenix, USA | [19] |
|-------------|--------------------------------|------|---|----|---|--|-----------|
| 0.32 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2005 | 4 kW (residential)(\$8.47/ W, | 30 | SAM (low values if unfinanced) effects of incentives, financing and tax considered | Phoenix, USA | [55] |
| 0.18 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2005 | 150 kW (commercial)(\$6.29 /W) | 30 | SAM (low values if unfinanced) | Phoenix, USA | [55] |
| 0.15 - 0.22 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2005 | 10 MW (utility scale) (\$5.55/W) | 30 | SAM (low values if unfinanced) | Phoenix, USA | [55] |
| 0.30 | Solar PV (no degr.) | 2007 | Residential (\$8.5/Wp) | 30 | home equity loan/mortgage, 90% debt, 6% IR, 28% TR, 30 yr loan with government incentives | USA (average - maps with state values given) (SAM used) | [56] |
| 0.062 | Solar PV | 2006 | 3.51 MW, Utility Scale Pv fixed flat plate (\$5.40/Wp, CF 19.5%) | 30 | no financing cost due to pay-as-go equity (IOU), includes tax credits | Springerville, Tucson, Arizona, USA (1707 kWh/kW/yr) | [59] |
| 0.166 | Solar PV | 2003 | 5 MW (\$4.16/W, CF 24%) | 40 | 5% DR, no financing | USA | [26] |
| 0.269 | Solar PV | 2003 | 5 MW (\$4.16/W, CF 24%) | 40 | 10% DR, no financing | USA | [26] |
| 0.248 | Solar PV | 2010 | roof top PV (projected) | 25 | weighted average cost of capital (6.4%) | Arizona, USA (1700 kWh/kWp) | [18] |
| 0.294 | Solar PV | 2008 | roof top PV (\$5.2/W) | 25 | weighted average cost of capital (6.4%) | Arizona, USA (1700 kWh/kWp) | [18] |
| 0.40 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2009 | commerical (\$6.7/W, CF 18%) | 30 | 7 % DR, no incentives (financing unclear) | USA | [38]; [10 |
| 0.402-0.613 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2009 | rooftop (\$7.20/Wp, CF 17%) | 25 | 5 %-10% DR, no incentives (financing unclear) | Arizona, USA | [10] |
| 0.309-0.499 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2009 | 80 MW (\$6.7/ Wp, CF 19%) | 30 | 5 %-10% DR, no incentives (financing unclear) | Arizona, USA | [10] |
| 0.561-0.860 | Solar PV (1%/yr degr.) | 2009 | rooftop (\$7.20/Wp, CF 12%) | 25 | 5 %-10% DR, no incentives (financing unclear) | New Jersey, USA | [10] |
| 0.198 | Concentrated solar PV (CSP) | 2007 | 65 MW (\$3.7/W, CF 22%) | 30 | 7% DR, no subsidies (higher O&M than Roof top) (financing unclear) | Nevada, USA | [10] |
| 0.17-0.249 | Concentrated solar PV (CSP) | 2009 | 80 MW (\$4.4/W, CF 29%) | 30 | 5 %-10% DR, no incentives (financing unclear) | USA | [10] |
| 0.122-0.192 | Concentrated solar PV (CSP) | 2009 | 500 MW (\$3.9/W, CF 23%) | 30 | 5 %-10% DR, no incentives (financing unclear) | USA | [10] |

| | Solar PV | | Utility Scale PV or | | with and without | California, USA | [61]- other |
|-------------|---|----------------------|--|-----|--|---|---------------------|
| 0.25 - 0.40 | (1-2%/yr degr.) | 2003 | residential (\$6.20- 9.50/W) | 20 | subsidies, taxes etc (financing uncertain) | (2000 kWh/m2/yr) | projection: made |
| 0.49 | Solar PV | 2010 | 1 kW (CF 20%, \$8.73/Wp) | 25 | residential amortization | USA | [15] |
| 0.138-0.206 | Solar PV thin-film | 2009 | large scale ≥ 20MW (CF 18-27%, \$3.7- 4.0/W) | 20? | with and without incentives, financing? | California, USA | [25] |
| 0.135-0.219 | Solar PV crystalline single axis tracking | 2009 | large scale ≥ 20MW (CF 23-28%, \$7.04- 7.15/W) | 20? | with and without incentives, financing? | California, USA* done for different project zones | [25] |
| 0.456 | Solar PV (fixed flat plate) | 2008 | 20 MW (\$7.98/W, CF 26%) | 30? | weighted cost of captial after tax 5.9%, 15 yr accelerated Depr? | USA | [41] |
| 0.20-0.80 | Solar PV | 2007 | rooftop PV (2-5kW) | 20? | no subsidies | worldwide range for 2,500 - 1,000 kWh/ m2 solar insolation -quoted from range of reports | [33] |
| 0.20-0.50 | Solar PV | 2009 | rooftop (2-5 kW) | ? | no subsidies/ incentives | world average - quoted from range of reports | [3] |
| 0.15-0.40 | Solar PV | 2008 | different applications (?) | ? | variable including taxes for USA (?) | different locations, USA (?) see [58] | [4] |
| 0.19 | Solar PV | 2007 | large scale | 20 | independent power producer financing (no incentives) | pacific north west, USA | [60] |
| 0.22- 0.24 | Solar PV | 2007 | small scale | 20 | independent power producer financing (no incentives) | pacific north west, USA | [60] |
| 0.255 | solar PV (solar cell) | 2008 | 5MW (\$5.782/W, CF 21%) | ? | no incentives,financing for IPP | USA | [57] |
| 0.20-0.50 | Solar PV | 2006 | varies at consumer level | 20? | no incentives | Canada | [36] |
| 0.20,0.31 | Solar PV | 2004 | 2003 prices | ? | DR 10% and 15% (Sandia Model, GenSim) | Chicago, USA | [62] |
| 0.337-0.526 | Solar PV - crystalline | 2008 (2005 price) | 5 MW (\$6.31-%7.81/ W, CF 15-25%) | 20 | ? | ? | [34] |
| 0.392 | Solar PV | 2008 | 5 MW (\$7/W, CF 20%) | ? | ? | Minera Escondida Limitada copper mine (off-grid) - South America | [34] |
| 0.25 | Solar PV | 2010 | 2006 prices, includes storage | ? | ? | USA | [54] |
| 0.15 - 0.78 | Solar PV | 2003 | ? | ? | ? | Canada, Taken from US studies and converted to Canadian \$ | [37] |

Legend: degr.: Degradation rate, CF: Capacity Factor, DR: Discount rate, IR: Interest Rate, TR: tax rate, Depr: Depreciation, IPP: Independent power producer, IOU: investor-owned utilities, POU: publicly owned utilities. W=Wp assumed as meaning the rated system power (units displayed as referred to in the sources). SAM: Solar Advisor Model (NREL)

Table 2: LCOE Calculation Nomenclature

| Nomenclature | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| T | life of the project [years] | | | | |
| t | Year t | | | | |
| C_t | Net cost of project for t [\$] | | | | |
| E_t | Energy produced for t [\$] | | | | |
| I_t | Initial investment/ cost of the system including construction, installation etc. [\$] | | | | |
| M_t | Maintenance costs for t [\$] | | | | |
| O_t | Operation costs for t [\$] | | | | |
| F_t | Interest expenditures for t [\$] | | | | |
| r | Discount rate for t [%] | | | | |
| S_t | Yearly rated energy output for t [kWh/yr] | | | | |
| ď | Degradation rate [%] | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Table 3: Summary of recent Solar PV installed system costs

| Solar PV technology | Installed Cost [\$/Wp] | Project Scale |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Crystalline (Europe) ^a | 5.00 | Utility |
| Crystalline (China) ^a | 4.42 | Utility |
| Crystalline (Japan) ^a | 5.02 | Utility |
| Thin-Film CdS/CdTe ^a | 4.28 | Utility |
| Thin-Film a-Si/ μ-Si ^a | 3.52 | Utility |
| Crystalline and thin film (USA) ^b | 7.50 | Capacity weighted average (2009) |
| Crystalline and thin film (Germany) ^c | 7.70 | Residential (2-5 kW) (2009) |
| Crystalline and thin film (Japan) ^c | 4.70 | Residential (2-5 kW) (2009) |
| Crystalline and thin film (USA) ^c | 5.90 | Residential (2-5 kW) (2009) |
| Crystalline and thin film (CA,USA) ^b | 7.30 | Residential ≤10 kW (2010) |
| Crystalline and thin film (CA,USA) ^b | 6.10 | > 100 kW (2010) |

NOTES: ^a estimate based on module prices [68]; ^b average of installed systems [67]; ^c average of installed systems excluding sales taxes[67]

Table 4: Effect of degradation rate and performance requirement on system life

| Degradation rate | Lifetime to 80% Pmax [years] | Lifetime to 50% Pmax [years] |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 0.2% | 100 | 250 |
| 0.5% | 40 | 100 |
| 0.6% | 33 | 83 |
| 0.7% | 29 | 71 |
| 0.8% | 25 | 63 |
| 1.0% | 20 | 50 |

Table 5: Summary of Power loss results for 204 modules installed in 1982-1986 with 19-23 years [77]

| | Average losses (%) | Std dev (%) | Reasons |
|--|--------------------|----------------|---|
| Power loss | 17.3 | 23.5 | Combination of losses in V _{OC} , I _{SC} and FF (see below) |
| Loss in V _{OC} (Open circuit voltage potential across terminal) | 10.6 | 18.5 | Loss of substrings in module in 6 series modules |
| Loss in I _{SC} (Short circuit current – maximum current delivered) | 5.8 | 20 | Module aging processes (gradual degradation of semiconductor properties, cell interconnections, encapsulant browning), optical properties degradation |
| Loss in Fill Factor, FF (ratio of maximum actual power to maximum theoretical power) | 9.1 | 22 | Module aging processes (gradual degradation of semiconductor properties, cell interconnections, encapsulant browning), microscopic cracks and degradation of interconnections increase resistance |