PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL COMMENTS AND BACKGROUND POINTERS

CSE/ITE/ISY 4100

Coordinators:

- Penelope DeFreitas
- ·Alicia Layne
- •Juanelle Marks



BREAKDOWN OF PROJECT STATUS

- -22 submissions
 - 8 accepted
 - •5 pending
 - 9 rejected



> What is meant by Pending?

 We see a direction for a project but we need to know if you are on board



> What is meant by Rejected?

- oidea was too vague
- otoo ambitious and requires advanced expertise outside the scope of your current knowledge base
- otoo critical, implementation biased without scope for CS/IT/IS research
- oalready been done conducted



GENERAL STRENGTHS

- Good sense of the issue on a local setting but not in a wider context e.g. in other countries similar to Guyana, the western world, more developed nations
- •We found several good researchable ideas that our department staff are eager to supervise



GENERAL WEAKNESSES

- Project title was too vague
- There was not enough evidence to show that there is a problem
- > Although the problem was stated, we did not get the sense that it was a problem
- Claims that there were no existing research
- No references and Poor citation practices
- Ideas were presented Implementation biased with no indication of CS/IT/IS research



BACKGROUND TO THE PROBLEM POINTERS

- >Provides brief historical developments that set the stage for your work
- This information provides the reader with the essential context needed to understand the research problem and its significance
- Expands upon key points but is not intended to be the main focus of the paper



BACKGROUND TO THE PROBLEM POINTERS

- > Helps your reader determine if you have a basic understanding of the research problem being investigated
- > Promotes confidence moving forward in the research
- > Provides evidence that the issue exists (e.g. locally, regionally, internationally)
- The general style of writing is from a broad perspective and narrows down to the specific area that is being investigated

