

HTML: the language of the Web

An automatically generated PDF of this transcript is available [here](#).

Table of Contents

- Required & recommended readings and activities
- Learning goals
- Web sites vs. web applications vs. the web as a platform
- Electron
- Web design basics
 - Rule: Don't make me think
 - Rule: Minimize noise and clutter
 - Rule: If you cannot make it self-evident, make it self-explanatory
 - Aesthetics matter (of course!)
 - Expectations vs. reality: usability testing
 - Site navigation: the *trunk test*
 - Entry page checklist
 - Performance metrics
- HTML5
 - The move towards HTML5
 - Who decides the HTML standard
- Self-check

Required & recommended readings and activities

- Required readings: //TODO: update the required readings for HTML5
 -  [Introduction to HTML forms](#) (ignore the section on *Basic form styling*, we will cover CSS in a later lecture) and [Sending form data](#) (up to and including the section on *Viewing HTTP requests*).
- Recommended activity:
 -  Listen to [this podcast with Tom Dale](#) discussing modern web applications and the move from web sites to web apps and an almost *native* experience.
- Recommended readings:
 -  Chapter 3 of the [Definite Guide to HTML5](#).
 - [Web Fundamentals by Google](#).
 - [Examples of what modern web technologies can achieve in Chrome](#) (if you use another browser, not all examples may work as expected).
 - [Atomic Design by Brad Frost](#)
- Relevant scientific publications:
 - Fernandez, A., Insfran, E. and Abrahão, S., 2011. [Usability evaluation methods for the web: A systematic mapping study](#). *Information and Software Technology*, 53(8), pp. 789-817.
 - Sonderegger, A. and Sauer, J., 2010. [The influence of design aesthetics in usability testing: Effects on user performance and perceived usability](#). *Applied Ergonomics*, 41(3), pp. 403-410.

- Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Ghetty, M. and Narayanan, A., 2019. [Dark Patterns at Scale: Findings from a Crawl of 11K Shopping Websites](#), ACM Human-Computer Interaction, Vol. 3, CSCW, Article 81.

Learning goals

- Apply web design principles during the design stage of a web app.
- Explain the ideas behind usability testing and employ it.
- Employ HTML to create web pages.

Note that this lecture does not cover **how to write HTML**, this is covered in the required reading for this lecture. At the end of this lecture we provide historic context to understand/appreciate the current state of HTML.

Web sites vs. web applications vs. the web as a platform

The W3C has many working groups, among them (until 2016) the *Web Applications (WebApps) Working Group* whose [goal](#) was as follows:

As web browsers and the web engine components that power them become ubiquitous across a range of operating systems and devices, developers are increasingly using web technologies to build applications and are relying on web engines as application runtime environments. Examples of applications now commonly built using web technologies include reservation systems, online shopping / auction sites, games, multimedia applications, maps, enterprise-specific applications, interactive design applications, and PIM (email, calendar, etc) systems.

In 2017 this group was superseded by the [Web Platform Working Group](#) which has a similar goal (but not written up as nicely). The working group is responsible for a number of web technologies that move us closer towards the vision of the *browser as the operating system*, including:

- client-side database and offline applications;
- file and filesystem APIs;
- WebSockets;
- Web Workers (enables web applications to spawn background processes);
- DOM & HTML;
- Canvas (for drawing);
- Web components (a component model for the web).


Electron

Do web technologies also help you to create desktop apps? Indeed, they do! [Electron](#) is an open-source project that enables you to build **cross-platform** desktop apps (for Windows, Mac and Linux) with HTML, JavaScript and CSS - the very technologies you learn about in this course.

Electron itself uses [Node.js](#), the server-side JavaScript runtime we cover in a later lecture together with [Chromium](#), an open-source web runtime (i.e. browser).

The major benefit of Electron should be clear: instead of writing three separate desktop variants (one for each operating system) you only have to write and maintain one. New features are integrated in one application instead of three, which reduces feature delivery time. For these reasons, many well-known applications today are built on Electron, including [Visual Studio Code](#) (the IDE we recommend you to use), the [Slack](#) app, [Atom](#) and [many, many more](#).

If you ever wanted to know how *Windows 95* looks like, there is an [Electron app for that as well](#). It looks like this:



On the downside, such a cross-platform approach usually brings with it considerable overhead - each application for instance bundles Chromium, which means that even if your app is not doing anything else besides [Hello World](#) it will be at least 30MB large. More concretely, the unzipped Windows95 Electron app is more than 454 MB in size, while the original Windows 95 operating system required about [40 MB of disk space](#).


Web design basics

Web design is not trivial. However, a few basic rules go a long way. Most of these principles seem obvious, but in practice are often ignored. This lecture is based on the book [Don't Make Me Think, Revisited \(2014\)](#) by Steve Krug. In the following sections we go over a number of Krug's rules with concrete examples.

Rule: Don't make me think

The way a web site or web application (I tend to use the terms interchangeably here; the rules apply to both apps and sites) works should be self-evident; the user should not have to expend **cognitive effort** to understand what she can do.

Consider this example of [ebay.com](#) 



The screenshot shows the ebay.com homepage with a prominent red banner at the top. The banner features three main categories: "Consoles & games →", "Accessories →", and "Laptops →". Below each category is a large image of a product: a white PlayStation 4 console with a controller, a purple and blue octagonal laptop cooler, and an open Alienware laptop. To the left of the banner, there's a section titled "From 8-bits to all the bits" with a "Power up →" button. To the right, there's a "Help" menu with options like "?", "Feedback", and "Log in". Below the banner, there's a grid of seven smaller circular icons representing different shopping categories: Games up to 20% off (The Legend of Zelda), Monitors under \$399, Cosplay up to 50% off (Pikachu), Up to 50% off accessories (Razer mouse), Laptops up to 50% off (laptop), Consoles under \$200 (Xbox One S), and Shop all (joystick).

Screenshot taken on October 11, 2019

 Here, it is very clear for the user what she can do: search for products, browse through the available items via product categories and shop for discounted electronics.

Contrast ebay with the following older example from [koopplein](#) 

Screenshot taken February 8, 2014

👉 It is not self-evident for the user how to act to achieve her goals and major questions are raised:

- How do I get to the offers?
- What if I want to look at offers from Delft **and** Rijswijk instead of one or the other?
- What is all this text about?

Good to know: In case you wonder how it is possible to go back in time and look at older versions of a web site (as today's [koopplein.nl presence](#) looks considerably better), head over to the [Wayback Machine](#), maintained by the Internet Archive whose mission is to **archive** the web. The Wayback Machine of course does not archive the entire web (this would be impossible), but it does take regular snapshots of more than **390 billion web pages**, including those of koopplein - [take a look](#).


When naming and formatting links, buttons, section headers, etc. adhere to **established standards** and **be clear instead of clever**. For instance, a company's web site that has a link to its current job offers should use as link text **Jobs** or **Vacancies** (clear to the user what this link is about) instead of **Interested?** or **Join us!** (less clear).

Similarly, there are established style standards of how to format a link: in the early years of the web, blue underlined text was synonymous with a link and thus we are now stuck with the saying *10 blue links* as a synonym for web search results.

Users should also **not get lost within a web site**. A site should provide users with information on where they are and on how they arrived at that point. Ebay for instance leaves so-called **breadcrumbs**:

[Back to search results](#) | Listed in category: [Toys & Hobbies](#) > [Games](#) > [Chess](#) > [Vintage Chess](#)

Lastly, it should be easy for the user to **distinguish different parts of a site** such as advertisement vs. content. Here  is an example from Google that does a poor job in this respect. It is not obvious on first sight that the first search result is indeed a paid advertisement instead of a so-called "organic" search result:



The screenshot shows a Google search results page for the query "toyota offers". The search bar contains "toyota offers". Below the search bar are navigation links: All (selected), Images, News, Maps, Shopping, More, Settings, and Tools. A status message indicates "About 573.000.000 results (0,59 seconds)".

The first result is a paid advertisement for Toyota.nl, titled "Toyota.nl | Officiële website | Acties van deze maand". It includes the URL "www.toyota.nl/" (marked as Ad), a 5-star rating (5,0 - 115 reviews), and a brief description: "Elke maand hebben we aanbiedingen en voordelen voor u. Bekijk alle lopende Toyota acties. Koop, private lease of lease zakelijk een Toyota. Ontdek alle nieuwe modellen en occasions. De power van Toyota. Always a Better Way. Hybride marktleider. Veiligheid voor alles."

The second result is "New Car Deals | Current Offers | Toyota UK" with the URL "https://www.toyota.co.uk › current-offers". It includes a snippet: "Discover all the latest Toyota offers featured across our model range. From small cars, sports cars and SUVs, find the deal to suit you now." and links to "Toyota Scrappage Scheme · New Corolla Hybrid Design 0 ... · Yaris Motability Offers".

The third result is "New Car Deals | Local Toyota Deals, Incentives & Special Offers" with the URL "https://www.toyota.com › local-specials". It includes a snippet: "Find out about the latest new car deals, incentives & special offers at your local Toyota dealer."

A few years ago (August 15, 2013 to be precise) Google was a lot more forthcoming when it came to notifying its users about advertisements 

Google Toyota

Search About 640,000,000 results

Web Images Videos News Shopping More Show search tools	Ads <p>Toyota.com - New Toyota Incentives www.toyota.com/Deals Request a Quote, Explore Incentives at the Nationwide Clearance Event.</p> <p>Local Specials Locate a Dealer Build & Price Request a Quote</p> <p>2013 Toyota - New Toyota Is Here shop.autonationtoyotanortharlington.com/ Browse And Get Our Internet Price.</p> <p>Toyota½ Toyota - JeffHunterToyota.com www.jeffhuntertoyota.com/ Find Photos, Specs & More Options Online. Find an Authorized Dealer!</p> <p>Toyota Cars, Trucks, SUVs & Hybrids Toyota Official Site. www.toyota.com/ - Cached - Similar Explore the newest Toyota trucks, cars, SUVs, hybrids and minivans. See photos, compare models, get tips, calculate payments, and more.</p> <p>Current Toyota Vehicles Find your perfect 2013 Toyota vehicle. Narrow current Toyota models down by ...</p> <p>Find a Toyota Dealer Finding a Toyota dealership is easy. Our dealer locator provides the most ...</p> <p>Build Build a custom Toyota vehicle package. Choose the model, select the engine, ...</p> <p>More results from toyota.com</p>	Ads <p>Toyota Dallas www.cowboytoyota.com/ Wide Selection of New Toyotas. Multiple Models. Shop Now. Dallas.</p> <p>2013 Toyota Clearance toyota-clearance-sale.autosite.com/ Massive August Toyota Sale Now! Get Our Lowest Toyota Price & Save</p> <p>Toyota www.freemantoyota.com/ 2012 Tundra On Our Lot Now. Call Us For Info and Visit Today.</p> <p>2013 ½ Toyota Closeout www.toyota.car.com/ Special Aug. 2013 Toyota Discounts! Be Smart, Get Deals & Pay Less</p>
--	--	--

It should be mentioned though that Google is not the only offender here, take this example  from Twitter which is similarly poorly designed in terms of content distinction between organic tweets and promoted (i.e. paid) ones:

What's happening?

See 2 new Tweets

Pablo Retweeted

2Immerse @2Immerse · 1h
We're at #IBC2018 from Thursday with our object based media projects - do come and see us w @cwi_dis @IRTpresse @ChyronHego @btsport

IBC2018, RAI Amsterdam
The world's most important media, entertainment and technology show

IBC launch for 2-IMMERSE open source software
2immerse.eu

2

Chrom & Mass Spec @ChromSolutions · Sep 5
Separation Science, in collaboration with Thermo Fisher Scientific, has developed a learning hub focused on analytical solutions for biopharmaceutical characterization. Simply complete the form below to access the site. bit.ly/2wNqhla

SeparationScience
BioPharmaceutical Characterization Resource Center

Promoted

The Google/Twitter examples above are of course not accidental: user interface designers are not accidentally trying to disguise the fact that those are ads (also known as promoted/sponsored content). This is done on purpose: we know that users are less likely to focus their attention on ads if it is obvious that they are indeed ads. Disguising them means a higher likelihood of users engaging with them. A higher engagement level means a higher financial return for the company. This is what is known as a **dark pattern**, more specifically the pattern of disguised ads. More formally, "*dark patterns are user interface design choices that benefit an online service by coercing, steering, or deceiving users into making unintended and potentially harmful decisions.*" and can be found in more than 10% of shopping

websites (the more popular the shopping site, the more likely the appearance of dark patterns) according to [this recent research study](#) from Princeton University. Dark patterns exist in different domains, not just social web platforms or search engines: in the past, researchers have looked at dark patterns [in the design of games, privacy and home robots](#).

Rule: Minimize noise and clutter

The rule does not have to be explained, here are two examples that should make things clear 

ZDNet crawled on [March 31, 2001](#):

ZDNet
Where Technology Takes You

- Most Popular Products
- Find A Digital Camera
- Free Downloads

 www.siebel.com

[Free Downloads](#) • [Product Reviews](#) • [Buying Guides](#) • [Faster Downloads](#) • [Free Updates](#) • [Hi-Tech Jobs](#)
[Smart Shopping](#) • [Domain Names](#) • [Top Notebooks](#) • [IT Resources](#) • [PC Check-Up](#) • [ZDNet onebox](#)

Search For: All ZDNet • [Search Tips](#)
• [Power Search](#)

BREAKING NEWS

Mar 31, 2001 10:35 AM PT

- ▶ [Dell prods PC sales with freebies](#)
- ▶ [MarchFirst assets picked up by Divine](#)
- ▶ [New head for Sun storage unit](#)
- ▶ [Hackers' new craze: Worms](#)
- ▶ [Lawmakers seek domain pact review](#)
- ▶ [MS warns of IE security hole](#)
- ▶ [AnchorDesk: I'm not always right! Check out my worst tech predictions](#)
- ▶ [More news headlines...](#)

 **Get great speakers for gaming**
These speakers aren't solely designed for gaming, but their powerful sound will add depth to every punch, crash, and engine rev. See them at [ZDNet Reviews](#)

Reviews PCs , Notebooks , Cameras , Handhelds , Digital Audio ...	Shopping Hardware , Software , Auctions , Computer Shopper , e-centives ...	Business & Tech IT Resources , Tech Jobs , E-Commerce , Small Biz ...
Tech News Page One , AnchorDesk , Alerts , Computing , Rumors ...	Help & How-To Viruses , Fix It , Experts , How-To Free Updates , Online Classes ...	Investing Stock Quotes , Top Tech IPOs , Headline News , Portfolio ...
GameSpot Dreamcast , PC , PlayStation , N64 , Previews , Hints ...	Linux Center News , Downloads , Devices , How-To's , Hardware , More ...	Downloads Free Software , Top 20 , Games , Exclusives , Screensavers ...
Electronics Cameras , TVs , Digital Music , Audio , Theater , Phones , Gear ...	Developer HTML , Java , Free Scripts , Web Graphics , Usability ...	MyZDNet Free E-Mail , eCircles , Calendar , Discussions , ZDNet Rewards ...

ZDNet TODAY ON ZDNET

Build your own Web site
What would you do with 15MB of free space on the Net? Find out how fast and easy it is to get set up online -- and it's free, too!
[Visit ZDNet SiteBuilder](#)

PC pranks for April fools
Play jokes on friends and coworkers from the comfort of your desk. Check out the fun you can have with e-mail tricks, computer sounds, and more. [Get the files at ZDNet Downloads](#)

Exclusive! Preview Windows XP
Check out this live, interactive preview of both the desktop and server versions of Microsoft's next-generation operating system.
[Get details at ZDNet Business & Tech](#)

HOT PRODUCTS

Play that funky music!

Check out these portable MP3 players! See our five most popular picks and find a favorite of your own.

1. [SONICblue Rio Volt](#)
[Read review](#) | [Check prices](#)
2. [Intel Pocket Concert](#)
[Read review](#) | [Check prices](#)
3. [Creative Labs Nomad II](#)
[Read review](#) | [Check prices](#)
4. [Archos Jukebox 6000](#)
[Check prices](#)
5. [SONICblue Rio 800](#)
[Read review](#) | [Check prices](#)

▶ [More product reviews...](#)

INTER@CTIVE INVESTOR

Ticker(s)
[My portfolio](#) | [Lookup Ticker](#)

SOUND OFF!

Windows 98 woes?
What do you do when your

vs. ZDNet crawled on August 31, 2014 

ZDNet

White Papers Hot Topics Downloads Reviews Newsletters

Log In | Join ZDNet

US Edition Internet of Things Mobility Research Windows Enterprise Software 3D Printing Innovation CXO



Top Android apps, August 2014

Here are some of the most interesting apps for the Android operating system we've discovered this month.

- Top iOS apps, August 2014
- Most smartphone users download 0 apps per month

Featured Stories

Judge revives Microsoft Irish data search warrant	Use the iPad Air as a second monitor for Mac and Windows laptops	Bigger, better 64-bit Chrome browser available for Windows	Why AI could destroy more jobs than it creates
Judge revives Microsoft Irish data search warrant	Use the iPad Air as a second monitor for Mac and Windows laptops	Bigger, better 64-bit Chrome browser available for Windows	Why AI could destroy more jobs than it creates

Tip us off!

Can't find what you want or have a tip? Tell us about it and we will endeavour to cover this topic. Drop us a line

Latest Articles

Latest Articles Photos Videos Reviews

Datacom delivers solid profit, driven by on-off

Datacom delivers solid profit, driven by on-off

A slowing economy in Australia was compensated by strong growth in New Zealand, delivering a 27% increase in profit before tax.

published 1 hour ago by Rob O'Neill

The best of ZDNet, delivered

ZDNet Newsletters

Get the best of ZDNet delivered straight to your inbox

Enter your email address

ZDNet Must Read News Alerts - US: Major news is breaking. Are you ready? This newsletter has only the most important tech news nothing else.

Subscribe Now

Top Stories

Most Popular Most Discussed

- 1 26 ways to improve your iPhone's battery life
- 2 WW1 tech still in use today
- 3 With the iPhone 6 ready to launch, here's what it needs to succeed
- 4 Windows 9: Microsoft faces four daunting challenges
- 5 20 of the coolest gadgets and must-haves for your office!

While it is not hard to go back to very old web designs and find faults in them, it should also be mentioned that in those times, every single HTTP request/response pair was time-consuming (the Internet was slow) and expensive. It made sense to push as much content as possible into a single page which could then be sent to the client in a single HTTP response.

Rule: If you cannot make it self-evident, make it self-explanatory

Self-explanatory sites require users to expend a small amount of cognitive effort. When a site is not self-explanatory, a small amount of explanatory text can go a long way. In today's mobile world where a lot of content is accessed *mobile first*, it is also vital to keep the mobile user in mind as well who has to deal with a small screen, a touch-based interface and possibly many distractions while surfing the web.

A positive example of this rule is the following SurveyMonkey ([December 1, 2013](#)) splash screen 

The screenshot shows the SurveyMonkey homepage. At the top, there is a navigation bar with links for Home, How It Works, Examples, Survey Services, and Plans & Pricing. On the right side of the header are Sign In and Help buttons. Below the header, there is a large image of a smiling woman wearing glasses. To her right, the text "Create Surveys. Get Answers." is displayed above a three-step process diagram: "Design" (lightbulb icon), "Collect" (camera icon), and "Analyze" (pie chart icon). Each step has a brief description: "Design" says "Build your own surveys or choose from our templates.", "Collect" says "Choose how to distribute and start collecting responses.", and "Analyze" says "Use our powerful analytical tools for intelligent insights.". To the right of the process diagram is a "Start Today" section with two buttons: "Pro Sign Up" (yellow) and "Sign Up FREE" (white). Below these buttons are the text "Unlimited Surveys & Responses" and "Just the Basics". At the bottom of the page, there are five category links: Customer Satisfaction, Education, Events, Market Research, and Human Resources.

Lastly, **avoid happy talk**, that is text without any content for the sake of adding some text (e.g. a welcome message).

Aesthetics matter (of course!)

This may be obvious, but the look and feel of an application of course also influences both the users' *perceived* usability as well as the *actual* usability. An insightful piece of research conducted by [Sonderegger & Sauer](#) has measured this influence. They conducted an experiment with an objectively ugly and an objectively good looking mobile phone prototype: not only did the study participants rate the ugly phone as being less usable, they actually performed worse in a given task than the participants receiving the good looking device.


Expectations vs. reality: usability testing

Our expectations of web users are often *not* grounded in reality. We may expect users to be **rational**, **attentive** and having a **clear goal** in mind.

Instead, the average web user:

- quickly scans a web page (not even reading it);
- decides within seconds whether or not a site is worth their attention (research on so-called *dwell time* has shown this [again](#) and [again](#));
- clicks on the first link they find;
- depends a lot on the browser's back button (and not all web applications can deal with it);
- does not read instructions.

A web application should be designed based on **user reality**. **Usability testing** is an important step to create a well-designed web application. The development cycle consists of *designing-testing-reviewing*:



In a **usability test**, a user is given a **typical task**, such as:

- Create a user account.
- Retrieve a lost password.
- Change the current credit card information.
- Delete a user account.
- Find an article in the archive.
- Edit a posting made in a forum.
- Start a game with three players.

While the user is busy with the task, her actions towards completing the task are being recorded. These actions are then translated into performance metrics. Performance metrics depend on the task, it could be the **number of clicks** required to complete the task, the **time taken** or the **number of wrongly clicked elements**.

Usability testers should be a mix of target audience and average web users; 2-3 testers per iteration tend to be sufficient.

A typical usability setup has the following roles:

- The **participant** (our tester) sits in front of the device (laptop, mobile phone, tablet).
- The **facilitator** sits next to her and guides her through the test.
- The **observers** (developers of the app, managers, etc.) watch the usability test and discuss the tester's performance (and how to improve it) afterwards.

This [blog post](#) provides a good practical overview of usability testing with mobile devices, and includes imagery of the [participant and facilitator setup](#) and the [observer setup](#).

The result of a usability test are a set of issues. Each of those issues should be assigned a **priority** (low, medium, high) and the next iteration of the development should focus on the high priority problems. No new issues should be added to the list until the most severe issues are fixed.

Site navigation: the *trunk test*

In order to determine whether a web site's navigation scheme is useful, Krug developed the so-called *trunk test*. Given a web site, pick a random page in it, print it and give it to a user who has never seen the site.

As quickly as possible, the user should find:

- the name of the web site;
- the name of the page she currently views;
- major sections of the page;
- possible navigation options at this point; and
- *You are here* indicators.

If the user is not able to find those elements, the site navigation scheme is considered sub-optimal.

Entry page checklist

The home page (or entry page) of a web application should answer a number of essential questions:

- What **is** this?
- What can I **do** here?
- Why **should** I be here?
- What do they **have** here?

Surprisingly many home pages are not able to answer these questions. Take for example this entry page:



Dutch Aeropress Championship: d...

De Aeropress is de makkelijkste manier om een lekkere kop koffie thuis te maken. Hij is boven...



CCBC17

Wat in 1998 begon met een stopwatch, een kratje bier en een avondje koffiezetten na sluit...



Field trip - Colombia

Eind november vertrokken Roland en Jasper naar Colombia op zoek naar nieuwe koffies, partners...

Screenshot taken October 11, 2019

► What is the core business of this company? Click to find out the answer!

Another serial offender of the entry page checklist are university home pages as immortalized in [this xkcd comic](#).


Performance metrics

Once web applications become complex, besides general usability we also need to consider various **performance metrics**. A basic question to ask is: *how long does it take for the entire application to load?* This is of course a rather crude metric and it is not always clear what exactly this means, due to polling, etc. We thus need more fine-grained metrics. The [metrics section at Google's web.dev resource](#) provides a good overview of what questions to ask in order to measure aspects of an application's performance that are *relevant* to the user. This includes metrics such as:

- the time until the first content is rendered;
- the time until the user can successfully interact with the application;
- the time between the user's first interaction with the application and the time the browser responds to it.

While the Firefox dev tools do not provide these metrics out-of-the-box, its [performance panel](#) allows a developer to explore where the browser spends a lot of its time: executing JavaScript, computing the style (which may have changed due to a resizing of the browser window or some JavaScript function), computing the layout or rendering the page.

For example, when we record the performance of the [tudelft.nl](https://www.tudelft.nl) homepage, we see the following in the beginning of the loading process  :



In this [waterfall](#) visualization we see that initially the browser spends most of its time recalculating the style (based on for instance additional images that were loaded); few paint events occur. In general, the following sequence of events is an often recurring one: JavaScript computation → recompute style → recompute layout → repaint.

HTML5

HTML5 is a set of related technologies (core HTML5, CSS, JavaScript) that together enable [rich web content](#):

- **Core HTML5:** mark up content;
- **CSS:** control the appearance of marked-up content;
- client-side **JavaScript:** manipulate the contents of HTML documents and respond to user interactions.

Modern web application development requires knowledge of all three technologies. In practice, it also requires a whole set of additional frameworks and tools to go from prototype code to production code, such as build tools, transpilers, code coverage tools and so on. This is the case even "just" for [frontend coding](#). We will introduce a few of those tools throughout the practical assignments.

//TODO: web stack examples


Before HTML5 we had **XHTML** and HTML 4.01. XHTML is a reformulation of HTML 4 as an XML 1.0 application and stands for **Extensible HyperText Markup Language**. It looks as follows (taken straight from the [W3C XHTML recommendation](#)):

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!DOCTYPE html
    PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML 1.0 Strict//EN"
    "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml1/DTD/xhtml1-strict.dtd">
<html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml" xml:lang="en" lang="en">
    <head>
        <title>Virtual Library</title>
    </head>
    <body>
        <p>Moved to <a href="http://example.org/">example.org</a>.</p>
    </body>
</html>
```

XHTML was designed to make processing of web pages **easier for machines** by having a very strict set of rules. The problem though was that (X)HTML is written by developers, not machines and it turned out to be too much hassle to write valid XHTML. Moreover, browsers were and are able to render invalid XHTML pages properly (so why even try to write valid XHTML?) and thus XHTML was eventually abandoned in favor of HTML5, which is not only less strict but also added a host of new features to the language.

With this introduction of new features **browser compatibility** issues returned: some browser vendors are faster than others in implementing W3C standards (in addition to implementing their own non-standardized features). A good resource to check which browser versions support which HTML5 feature and to what extent is <https://caniuse.com/>.

As a concrete example, here is the browser support overview of HTML5 form features as provided by [caniuse](#):



Screenshot taken on December 16, 2019.

We can thus easily find out which browser versions do (not) support these features or only partially support them. This enables application developers to make choices - depending on their target population (and the most popular browsers among the target population), certain HTML5 features should (not) be employed.

The move towards HTML5

The initial list of HTML tags (1991/92) was **static**: `<title> <a> <isindex> <plaintext> <listing> <p> <h1> <address> <hp1> <dl> <dt> `. JavaScript was created within 10 days (*which explains many JavaScript quirks*) in May 1995 by [Brendan Eich](#), a co-founder of Mozilla who today is behind the browser [Brave](#). At the time, Eich worked at Netscape, which offered Netscape Navigator, a dominant browser in those years. It was the beginning of client-side **dynamic** scripting for the browser.

Plugins were created to go beyond what at the time was possible with HTML. Probably the most famous plugin remains Adobe Flash, which was introduced in 1996. HTML5 is a drive to return rich content **directly** into the browser, without the need for plugins or addons.

//TODO: use as semantic HTML example the cse-theme setup HTML5 introduced a number of **semantic HTML elements** including `<article> <footer> <header> <main> <aside> <section> <output>`. As a guideline, when creating an HTML document, it is always best to select the **most specific** element to represent your content (instead of only using `<div>`'s). Semantic elements provide **meaning** but do not force a particular presentation. Older HTML elements (pre-HTML5) often do force a particular presentation, e.g. `` or `<i>`. At the same time, those heavily used HTML elements cannot be moved to an obsolete state - as this would inevitably break a large portion of the web. For the browser vendors, backwards compatibility is a necessity, not an option. It should be pointed out that **semantic HTML** is quite different from the grand vision of the [Semantic Web](#):

The Semantic Web is a Web of data – of dates and titles and part numbers and chemical properties and any other data one might conceive of.

Let us lastly point to the unfortunately underutilized `<kbd>` [HTML tag](#) which represents keyboard input (e.g. Shift+Ctrl) and should be used by anyone writing tutorials/documentation in HTML.

Who decides the HTML standard

HTML is widely used, which makes standardisation a slow process. Many different stakeholders are part of W3C's [Web Platform Working Group](#) (Microsoft, Google, Mozilla, Nokia, Baidu, Yandex, etc.). The standardization process of the W3C is elaborate, as a wide variety of stakeholders have to build consensus. Confusingly, a **W3C recommendation** is the highest level of standardization possible, before achieving it, a number of steps leading up to the recommendation are required:

1. **Working Draft**: *a document that W3C has published for review by the community, including W3C Members, the public, and other technical organizations.*
2. **Candidate Recommendation**: *a document that W3C believes has been widely reviewed and satisfies the Working Group's technical requirements. W3C publishes a Candidate Recommendation to gather implementation experience.*

3. **Proposed Recommendation:** a mature technical report that, after wide review for technical soundness and implementability, W3C has sent to the W3C Advisory Committee for final endorsement.
4. **W3C Recommendation:** a specification or set of guidelines that, after extensive consensus-building, has received the endorsement of W3C Members and the Director. W3C recommends the wide deployment of its Recommendations. Note: W3C Recommendations are similar to the standards published by other organizations.


Source: [W3C Recommendation Track Process](#).

Informally, one could say that the W3C standardizes what the browser vendors have agreed upon and have chosen to implement or chosen to implement in the near future.

Consensus building takes time. HTML5 for instance was a candidate recommendation in Q4-2012 and became a W3C recommendation in Q4-2014. HTML5.1 was a candidate recommendation in Q1-2015 and became a recommendation in Q4-2016.

As of August 2018, [HTML5.3](#) has a *Working Draft* status; if you look at the standard text you will find it to be very elaborate (this is a 1,000+ pages document!) and precise, sufficiently so that any browser vendor can take the text and implement the features described in it without ambiguity.

In rare cases, features added to a web standard can also be removed again, the [AppCache](#) is a prime example of this: it was developed as technology to enable offline web applications in a simple manner (by adding a manifest file to a site containing no more than a few lines of text), but turned out to have so many [pitfalls](#) that it was eventually abandoned in favor of another set of technologies ([Service Workers](#)). However, it is [still supported by all major browsers](#):



Screenshot taken on October 11, 2019.

The W3C writes the following about the AppCache: *This feature is in the process of being removed from the web platform. (**This is a long process that takes many years.**) Using the application cache feature at this time is highly discouraged. Use service workers instead.*

Self-check

Here are a few questions you should be able to answer after having followed the lecture and having worked through the required readings:

1. Which of the following statements about HTML `<form>` methods `GET` and `POST` is true?
 - o There is no difference, `GET` and `POST` can be used in exactly the same situations.
 - o Through `GET` more data can be sent in an HTTP request than through `POST`.
 - o Through `GET`, data is sent more securely than through `POST`.
 - o Using `GET`, the data to be sent is visible in the URL which is not the case for `POST`.
2. In the context of web design, what is the purpose of the trunk test?
3. In a usability test, what is the task of the facilitator?
4. What happens if the `target` attribute is not defined in an HTML `<form>`?
 - o The response received after submitting the form is displayed in the current frame or browsing context.
 - o The HTML form lacks a required attribute and the browser will inform the user with a `405` error when the user tries to submit the form.
 - o The response received after submitting the form is displayed in a new browser window.
 - o The HTML form lacks a required attribute and the browser will inform the server with a `505` error that an invalid form request was made.
5. What does it mean for the W3C to produce a recommendation document?