| | | 023 EM CDA | i - Elivii olilliei | ntal Restoration Projects – | | |
|------------|---|--|---------------------|--|----------------|--|
| A. COS | T - Criteria for Cost Estimate Ratings | | | | | |
| 1 | Cost Estimate A fully mature cost estimate must have been developed by the project and formally approved by the Federal Project | | | | | |
| | | | | | For | |
| | Duning t Dhana | Lavel of Ducinet | | End Usage and | CDAT | |
| | Project Phase (DOE O 413.3B) | Level of Project Definition | Estimate Class | CERCLA/RCRA | Maturity | |
| | (DOL 0 413.3B) | Demintion | | Phase | Value | |
| | CD 0/A manage Mississa Need | 00/ 4= 20/ | Class 5 | Fals investigations and applications also in a maline in an | 1 | |
| | CD-0/Approve Mission Need CD-1/Approve Alternative | 0% to 2% 1% to 15% | Class 3 | Early investigations and preliminary planning; preliminary In depth investigations, evaluation of remedial alternatives and | 2 or 3 | |
| | Selection & Cost Range | | Class 4 | remedy selection; remedial investigation/feasibility study | 2 OF 3 | |
| | CD-2/Approve Preliminary Design | 10% to 40% | Class 3 | Preliminary planning and design of selected remedy; record of decision; preliminary remedial design. (Phase 2 and/or 3). | 3 or 4 | |
| | CD-2/3 Approve design/Start of Construction | 30% to 75% | Class 2 | Intermediate Remedial Design Refined estimates for O&M and LTM. Final remedial action/remedial action implementation | 4 or 5 | |
| | CD-3/Approve Start of Construction | 65% to 100% | Class 1 | Pre-Final/Final Remedial Design Detailed/remedial action, operations and maintenance and long-term monitoring plans | 5 | |
| 12 | Cost Risk/Contingency Analysis | The cost estimate | includes continger | ncy allowances developed in accordance with DOE guidance. In ad | ldition to any | |
| 13 | Funding Requirements/Profile | Funding requirements have been defined and the project timeline is in compliance with the DOE budget | | | | |
| 14 | Independent Cost/Schedule Review | In addition to any internal cost and schedule estimate reviews, the cost estimate and schedule have been subjected to | | | | |
| | 710.00.1.00 | an independent review by an organization not directly involved with the project (Independent Cost Estimate, when | | | | |
| A5 | Life Cycle Cost | 1 0 | • • • | includes relevant assumptions, bases of estimate, qualifications, ar | | |
| A 6 | Forecast of Cost at Completion | | ** | ne measurement of actual performance is begun, forecasts of costs | | |
| A 7 | Cost Estimate for Next Phase of Work | A detailed cost estimate is prepared and approved for the work scope to be accomplished during the next phase of the project (i.e., the efforts needed to successfully complete the prerequisites for the next Critical Decision). Cost | | | _ | |
| B. SCH | EDULE – Criteria for Maximum Rati | | | | | |
| 81 | Project Schedule | _ | en developed, doc | umented and approved by DOE, is identified in regulatory milestor | nes, and is t | |
| 32 | Major Milestones | Milestones are included at each level of the project schedule to establish a baseline and indicate significant progres | | | | |
| 3 | Resource Loading | The schedule is resource loaded, considers critical resources, and is consistent with the funding profile. The | | | | |
| 34 | Critical Path Management | A Critical Path is defined. Near-Critical Path activities are identified, and sensitivity analyses have been conducted | | | | |
| 35 | Schedule Risk/Contingency | | | | | |
| 33 | Analysis | A probabilistic risk assessment has been conducted on the baseline schedule, and appropriate contingency added required. Assumptions, rationale, and methodology, used in the analysis are documented. Schedule risks are full | | | | |
| 36 | Forecast of Schedule at Completion | The schedule baseline is approved, and the measurement of actual performance has begun, forecasts of completion dates are developed and issued at regular intervals in addition to presentations of schedule progress. Schedule | | | | |
| 37 | Schedule for Next Phase of Work | A detailed schedule is approved for activities to be accomplished during the next phase of the project (i.e., th | | | | |
| | | needed to successfully complete the prerequisites for the next phase of remediation, D&D or the next Critical | | | | |
| c. sco | PE/TECHNICAL - Criteria for Maxii | num Rating | | | | |
| C1 | Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation | The Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation is complete and approved. | | | | |
| C2 | Remedial Investigation/RCRA | The Site PA is completed, reviewed by an independent team, and approved. | | | | |
| | Facility Investigation (includes Baseline Risk Assessment) | and sale to the complete of the management of the sale | | | | |
| C3 | Feasibility Study (FS)/Corrective | The FS (or Correc | tive Measures Stud | dy) is complete and has been approved by all applicable parties. | | |
| | Measures Study (CMS) | The FS (of Coffeetive Measures Study) is complete and has been approved by an applicable parties. | | | | |
| C4 | Engineering Evaluation/Cost | For CERCLA removal (early) actions, the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) is complete, the public | | | | |
| | Analysis of Removal Actions/Early Actions | | | DE has approved the document. | 1 | |
| C5 | Performance Assessment (PA) | The Site PA is completed, reviewed by an independent team, and approved | | | | |
| C6 | Technology Needs Identified and Available | The Site PA is completed, reviewed by an independent team, and approved Technology to be used has been identified and is currently available. If new technology is required, a technology | | | | |
| 27 | Technology Needs Demonstrated | development schedule supports the project schedule. New technology has been evaluated and determined to meet project objectives (technical, cost and schedule). | | | | |
| | | Maturity of new technology to be used has been evaluated and factored into risk analysis by means of a Technology | | | | |
| 28 | Performance Requirements | Functional and performance requirements for the project are documented (approved by users and key stakeholders), | | | | |
| C9 | Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) | | | | | |
| C10 | Proposed Plan (PP) | design requirements for the project. For CERCLA remedial actions, the PP is complete, and the public comment period is complete. | | | | |
| C11 | CERCLA Record of Decision | | | | s the AM is | |
| ×11 | I | The ROD is complete and has been signed by DOE, the state, and EPA. For CERCLA removal actions, the AM is complete. The public comment period is complete, and DOE has approved the document. | | | | |
| C12 | Natural Phenomena | Seismic, tornadoes, hurricanes, tropical storms, and other natural phenomena are considered in the remedy selection | | | | |
| | | The Remedial Design (or RCRA Corrective Measures Design) is complete and approved. | | | | |

| C14 | Equipment Needs | Equipment needs have been identified and | procurement schedules established. All engineered equipment and/or | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| C15 | Remedial/D&D Design/Plans, | © 11 | d safety-related reviews of applicable planning documents have been | | | |
| | Technical, and Safety-related Reviews for this phase | conducted at each appropriate project phase. They have been performed by a multi-functional team representing appropriate disciplines and, if appropriate, external experts have been utilized. Review results, comments and | | | | |
| C16 | Waste Storage, Packaging and | Storage, packaging and transportation requirements for nuclear and hazardous materials and wastes are identified | | | | |
| C17 | Transportation Training Requirements | and documented, including both off-site and in-plant transportation, as well as methods and equipment (casks, | | | | |
| C17 | Waste Characterization and | Training requirements defined, planned and scheduled. Design considerations have been incorporated as Waste streams generated (gaseous, solid, and liquid, both hazardous and non-hazardous) through construction, | | | | |
| C16 | Disposition | demolition, or environmental cleanup are sufficiently characterized to identify appropriate disposition alternative | | | | |
| C19 | Pollution Prevention and Waste | A detailed waste minimization/pollution prevention plan for the project, including any operational phases is | | | | |
| | Minimization | Support the waste management cost estimate for the cleanup and any processes. | | | | |
| | | • | ent, storage, and disposal, including availability of future disposal | | | |
| | | • Integrate waste management plans with waste minimization/pollution prevention plans. | | | | |
| | | Characterize regulatory benefits and concerns associated with types and quantities of wastes expected. The description of | | | | |
| C20 | Environmental Monitoring Plan | | ance of the release site or disposal facility during construction is | | | |
| C21 | NEPA Documentation (Not Applicable to projects conducted under CERCLA Regulations) | All NEPA activities, including NEPA strategy and requirements, are complete and compliant with DOE Orders, as necessary. (Not Applicable to projects conducted under CERCLA Regulations) | | | | |
| C22 | End Point Criteria and Closure Plan/Permit Modification | End Point Criteria have been defined, documented, and approved for soils, groundwater, facilities, spaces, system materials and wastes, consistent with meeting the established end state for the project. The Closure Plan for the | | | | |
| C23 | Long Term Surveillance and | The draft Long Term Surveillance and Monitoring Plan is complete. This plan will be finalized and approved at the | | | | |
| | Monitoring Plan/Post Disposition Monitoring Plan | conclusion of remediation/construction. For D&D, the Post Disposition Monitoring Plan is prepared, approved, and | | | | |
| C24 | Permits, Licenses, and Regulatory | ready for implementation by the performing organization. Lateral Environmental regulations are identified. Potential environmental permitting issues have been identified. | | | | |
| C24 | Approvals | | sues is defined and documented. Environmental permitting authorities | | | |
| C25 | Plot Plan | | f the project in relation to adjoining facilities. It should include items such | | | |
| | | Plant grid system with coordinate | Off-site facilities | | | |
| | | Green space coordinates | Construction/fabrication | | | |
| | | Buildings | Rail facilities | | | |
| | | Project boundaries | Tank farms areas | | | |
| | | Major pipe racks | Major utilities | | | |
| | | Temporary staging areas | Roads and access ways | | | |
| | | Gates and fences | Nearby residences | | | |
| | | Laydown areas | Surface water | | | |
| G2.6 | Gir (D. III) GI | | Decontamination areas | | | |
| C26 | Site/Facility Characterization (Including Surveys and Soil Tests) | | | | | |
| D 3443 | and radioactive inventory | | gn and key assumptions are clearly documented. As applicable, | | | |
| | | TROL - Criteria for Maximum Rating | The Control of the Co | | | |
| D1 | Mission Need Statement (MNS) | | ts. The project MNS demonstrates that the project relates to and supports | | | |
| D2 D3 | Acquisition Strategy/Plan Key Project Assumptions | | eloped and approved in accordance with DOE requirements and orders. stances that would affect project outcome if changed is available. These | | | |
| D4 | Project Execution Plan (PEP) | • | | | | |
| DT | roject Execution Flan (FEF) | The PEP has been developed and approved in accordance with DOE requirements/orders. The PEP is the primary • Performance Baseline (Scope, Cost and Schedule), including a Resource Loaded Schedule for the duration of | | | | |
| | | Identification of any long-lead equipment and materials (including the technical basis for equipment sizing as | | | | |
| | | Project organization and roles and responsibilities. | | | | |
| | | Process for baseline change control and configuration management. | | | | |
| | | Discussion of planned design reviews and how they are to be conducted. | | | | |
| | | Project quality assurance organization and implementation approach. | | | | |
| | | The PEP has been updated to reflect current project status, plans and performance baseline. Note: The Preliminary Project Execution plan (PPEP) which is required at CD-1, should be based on a defined | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| D5 | Integrated Project Team (IPT) and Charter | The project organization and IPT charter are in place and functioning. The Integrated Project Team (IPT) has been in place since early project phases. The IPT participants' roles and responsibilities are clearly articulated. The | | | | |
| D6 | Integrated Regulatory Oversight | Applicable Federal, state, and local government permits, licenses, and regulatory approvals, including strategies at | | | | |
| l | Program Program | | a timely manner or milestone dates established. Schedule for receipt of | | | |
| D7 | Baseline Change Control | There is a DOE approved process to review and approve proposed changes to cost, schedule, and technical baselines | | | | |
| D8 | Project Control | A project control system is being used to manage the project baseline applying earned value techniques, variance | | | | |
| D9 | Project Work Breakdown Structure | e Project Work Breakdown Structure is established and reflects the project through completion. WBS dictionary is | | | | |
| | (WBS) | complete, including a detailed Statements of Work (SOWs). Project schedule and costs directly aligned with WI | | | | |

| D10 | Resources Required (People/Material) for Next Phase | The resources required for next phase are identified and available. These resources are reflected in the resource-loaded schedule. | |
|---------|---|--|--|
| D11 | Configuration Management | A configuration management program is functioning to ensure consistency among requirements, criteria, design, | |
| D12 | Project Risk Management Plan/Assessment | A risk management plan is developed and is included in the Acquisition Strategy/Plan and/or PEP, as appropriat risk mitigation strategy is in place. Project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the strategy of the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the strategy of the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is an accurate and complete estimates the project risk (technical and programmatic) is a project risk (technical and technical an | |
| D13 | Project Risk Management Plan/Assessment Quality Assurance Program | A quality management system is defined and integrated into the processes governing activities that implement the project mission in compliance with requirements of 10CFR 830 Subpart A, Quality Assurance Requirements, D O 414.1 (series), Quality Assurance, and other applicable project specific quality requirements. A Quality Assurance (QA) program/plan is established. QA factors, including standards, specifications, and limitations and | |
| D14 | Value Engineering, Trade-Off, and Optimization Studies | Where appropriate, a value engineering program complying with DOE Orders is in place and qualified personnel have analyzed appropriate project functions using accepted industry techniques with the aim of improving | |
| D15 | Procurement Packages | Procurement packages are being developed in accordance with the Acquisition Plan and will have added details for | |
| D16 | Project Acquisition Process | The project is being accomplished in accordance with the established DOE Project Acquisition Process and in | |
| D17 | Funds Management | A funds management system is in place to ensure funds are allocated to support the project baseline elements for th | |
| D18 | Reviews/Assessments | Reviews (including External Independent Reviews (EIRs), Independent Project Reviews (IPRs) and Technical-IPRs | |
| D19 | Stakeholder Program | A stakeholder program was established early in the planning phase of the project to take into account the concerns | |
| D20 | Inter-Site and On-Site Coordination | Key inter-site and on-site coordination issues are identified, addressed and resolved or plans are in place to accomplish their resolution. | |
| E. SAFE | ETY AND SECURITY - Criteria for M | Maximum Rating | |
| E1 | Hazard Analysis/Safety | Addressing hazards early ensures that safety is "designed in" early instead of "added on" later with increased cost | |
| | Documentation | Requirements on the Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) to be followed are described in DOE P 450.4, | |
| | | The ISMS process is applied to all Critical Decisions (CDs) and the Office of Health, Safety and Security (HSS) | |
| | | Prior to CD-2/3: | |
| 1 | | • Inventory of available documents based on existing facilities/sites identified in the scope of the project to | |
| | | • Identify the potential hazards and their safety and risk implications in the mission need statement or RI/FS. | |
| | | • Include in the mission need DOE expectations for safety in design; identification of Safety in Design Tailoring | |
| | | CD-0 to CD-1 (Alternative Selection and Cost Range: | |
| | | Hazardous conditions and associated likelihoods and consequences, both mitigated and unmitigated for each Development of a Safety Design Strategy, | |
| | | • SSCs that prevent or mitigate the frequency and/or consequences of DBAs associated with project hazards and | |
| | | • Requirements for worker safety, radiation safety, criticality safety, fire safety, industrial safety, and life safety | |
| | | Determine the qualified safety and health professionals in the Integrated Project Team necessary to support the | |
| | | CD-2 to CD-3 (Performance Baseline): | |
| | | Safety analysis activities that may be required should be integrated and performed concurrently and iteratively with | |
| | | • Updated Safety Plan - that demonstrates how an adequate safety plan is maintained on a step by step basis as the | |
| | | Requirement for worker safety, radiation safety (including ALARA), criticality safety, industrial safety, fire Hazard Analysis Report has been updated, reviewed and approved if required. For CD-2 to CD-3. | |
| | | The Integrated Safety Management Process has been validated for D&D/remediation activities. | |
| E2 | Integrated Safeguards & Security Planning | The security approach and potential requirements for the project are documented to aid in the development of the integrated safeguard and security plan. Safeguard and security requirements are identified and documented and | |
| E3 | ES&H Management Planning | Environmental, safety and health requirements, as delineated in Federal, DOE, state, site and local laws and | |
| | | Emergency management considerations are adequately reflected in the project planning and design and meet | |