End of Sprint Peer Review 1

Client details

Team number: 4

Names: James Hanford (9380027), Su Yatti Moe Zin (8741107), Rachel Ofori (9379690), Connor Markula (9432370)

Development details

Team number: 1

Project name: 'Property Management'

Introduction

We as clients for the project named 'Property Management' which is currently under construction of the developer team 1; would like to provide you with constructive feedback of the project. In this feedback, we will include the comments about the features and designs that have currently been constructed. Additionally, our experience of using this system and design from the perspective of the clients will also be included.

Discussion of Demonstration

Preparedness

The demonstration of the project went well however, the developers did not prepare the list of sprint plans beforehand to show the clients what user stories have been solved. This made it difficult for the clients to know what to expect from the demonstration. The developers were able to demonstrate the basic structure of the website using a sample database with the following features:

- Login
- Logout
- Sign up (Sprint 1)
- Search (Sprint 1)

Expectations

The developers could deliver some of the user stories from the sprint plan but the development of <u>Log in feature</u> is not in the Sprint 1 plan that we received. The acceptance criteria for the completed user stories have passed. The Search function which is one of the partially completed tasks still hasn't passed the

acceptance criteria at this moment. However, we agreed to push the incomplete tasks down to Sprint 2. The completed features could deliver some business value so far as the user could log in to their current account and sign up the new account.

Technical

The presentation was made at the appropriate level for the clients. There were no critical technical difficulties during the product demonstration. In order to provide feedback in terms of technical perspective, we will divide the feedback into two parts: Features and Design.

<u>Feature feedback</u>: In the sign up form, the users are asked to put the birthdate in order to create a new account. When demonstrating that feature, the presenter typed 1222 as a birth year in the field and no error message came up. This is obviously not a critical problem however, to improve this; there could be a function to avoid people putting in unrealistic data. A second reason for needing error messages is that a user may accidentally type data incorrectly.

<u>Design feedback</u>: The design of the website over all is quite nice with clean colour combination (black & mint green) and simple flat design. However, we think that the blue background colour doesn't match the design that you created. Otherwise the design is good.

Professionalism of the Presentation

The product presentation generally was reasonably clear and coherent. However, from the client perspective, the explanations of the product were unclear at some points. Additionally, to improve the quality of the demonstration, it would be more convenient for clients to receive the list of tasks that were partially/completed constructed tasks. Moreover, please explain to the clients more while demonstrating the product.

The demonstration of the website appeared to have been prepared in advance. The presenter seemed to be confident enough to solve the problems which occurred during the demonstration and the questions asked by the clients. There were no errors during the demonstration. The demonstration today appeared to be presented by two developers of their team however; we assumed that other members also contributed in this project.

Conclusion

The demonstration overall was good and we as clients were briefly explained about the current stage of the project. Although the developers could not construct all the tasks scheduled in the sprint 1 plan, they tried to renegotiate with us about the schedule of uncompleted sprints in a professional manner which satisfied the clients.