

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 1223-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 24

Section Title: Methods II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Andres Cavieres

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.90909	3	1.30035		11	9.09	36.36	27.27	9.09	18.18	5.26	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.43	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.90909	3	1.57826		11	18.18	36.36	9.09	9.09	27.27	10.53	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-1.34	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Andres Cavieres													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.90909	3	1.44600		11	18.18	27.27	18.18	18.18	18.18	12.50	7.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-1.51	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.36364	2	1.50151		11	36.36	27.27	18.18	0.00	18.18	7.50	4.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-1.65	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.09091	3	1.37510		11	18.18	9.09	36.36	18.18	18.18	12.50	6.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-1.65	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 1254-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design II

Instructor: Robert Pavilk

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	78.95	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.53	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.58	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.55	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Robert Pavilk													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	65.00	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.19	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.50	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.55	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.50	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.39	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 1254-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Design II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ken Marold

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.58	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.55	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Ken Marold													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	12.50	75.00	65.00	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.19	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	25.00	62.50	62.50	54.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.21	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	85.00	82.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.54	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 2343-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: History of Architecture II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	1.25064		13	7.69	7.69	23.08	30.77	30.77	15.79	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.60	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.23517		13	7.69	7.69	15.38	38.46	30.77	18.42	14.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.46	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.07692	5	1.11516		13	0.00	15.38	7.69	30.77	46.15	30.00	34.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.26	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.18754		13	0.00	23.08	0.00	38.46	38.46	22.50	24.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.21	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	57.50	52.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.13	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 2423-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 33

Section Title: Methods IV-Materials & Form

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.90625	4	0.99545		32	0.00	9.38	25.00	31.25	34.38	23.68	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.37	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.78125	4	0.97499		32	3.13	9.38	12.50	56.25	18.75	21.05	15.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.45	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.61892		32	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	52.50	48.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.12	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	1.03954		32	3.13	6.25	9.38	37.50	43.75	40.00	39.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.02	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.21875	4	0.75067		32	0.00	0.00	18.75	40.63	40.63	30.00	26.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.26	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 2454-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design IV-Materials & Making

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856	0.35	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	65.79	64.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856	0.20	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	55.26	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.79296	0.28	12	0.00	0.00	16.67	8.33	75.00	72.50	62.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.93744	0.02	12	0.00	8.33	8.33	41.67	41.67	47.50	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	0.87386	-0.31	11	0.00	0.00	27.27	27.27	45.45	27.50	25.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 3013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 62

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructors: David Boeck / Marcus Glenn

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.56667	5	0.67891		30	0.00	0.00	10.00	23.33	66.67	63.16	61.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.34	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.92227		30	3.33	0.00	10.00	33.33	53.33	44.74	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.12	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.68145		30	0.00	0.00	10.00	26.67	63.33	67.50	56.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.22	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545		30	6.67	0.00	10.00	33.33	50.00	52.50	45.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.05	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.93710		30	3.33	0.00	10.00	20.00	66.67	47.50	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.04	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		
Marcus Glenn													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL			0.00000		0							
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 3655-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design VI-Arch Making II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.53	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.26	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	68.42	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.42	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	50.00	46.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.05	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	57.50	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.09	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	25.00	22.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.38	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 3655-003

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Design VI-Arch Making II

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.19523		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	12.50	50.00	36.84	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.88641		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	42.11	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.03	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	40.00	39.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.08	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.30931		8	0.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	35.00	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	70.00	60.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.24	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4183-900 / ARCH 5183-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 32

Section Title: Survey of Middle Eastern Arch

Course Level: All

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.72627	0.04	14	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	42.11	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.86444	-0.08	14	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	36.84	32.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Khosrow Bozorgi													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.72627	-0.04	14	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	45.00	42.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.16732	-0.01	14	7.14	0.00	14.29	28.57	50.00	42.50	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.49725	0.26	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	72.50	62.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4233-001 / ARCH 5233-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Architectural Structures II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shideh Shadravan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.69565	4	1.39593		23	13.04	8.70	8.70	34.78	34.78	18.42	16.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.59	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.82609	4	1.33662		23	4.35	21.74	4.35	26.09	43.48	23.68	17.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.40	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Shideh Shadravan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.26087	5	1.05388		23	0.00	13.04	4.35	26.09	56.52	42.50	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.07	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.52174	4	1.47308		23	13.04	17.39	8.70	26.09	34.78	20.00	17.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.58	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.43478	5	1.07982		23	4.35	4.35	4.35	17.39	69.57	45.00	41.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.00	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4353-900 / ARCH 5970-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: LEED Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.16575		13	7.69	0.00	30.77	30.77	30.77	21.05	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.51	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.69231	4	1.25064		13	7.69	7.69	23.08	30.77	30.77	15.79	10.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.54	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	5	1.44115		13	7.69	15.38	7.69	15.38	53.85	22.50	22.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.43	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.75107		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	38.46	46.15	60.00	52.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.15	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.01274		13	0.00	7.69	15.38	23.08	53.85	32.50	27.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.25	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4433-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 31

Section Title: Rendering

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.38462	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	46.15	46.15	47.37	47.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.14	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.51887		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.15	53.85	63.16	61.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.33	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.23077	5	1.09193		13	0.00	15.38	0.00	30.77	53.85	35.00	37.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.10	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.66023		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	38.46	53.85	65.00	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.29	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.30769	5	0.85485		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	46.15	46.15	37.50	30.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.16	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4543-001 / ARCH 5543-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Research & Critical Writing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.80904		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	0.00	81.82	68.42	68.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.41	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.80904		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	0.00	81.82	71.05	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.43	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	9.09	81.82	77.50	75.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.43	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.80904		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	0.00	81.82	80.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.45	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	1.03573		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	0.00	81.82	60.00	53.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.14	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4543-002 / ARCH 5543-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Research & Critical Writing

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	36.84	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	57.14	28.57	34.21	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.22	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	40.00	39.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.08	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.64087		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	40.00	39.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.02	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.00	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.08	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4623-900 / ARCH 5623-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 50

Section Title: Methods VI-Auxiliary Systems

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.32000	5	0.94516		25	0.00	8.00	8.00	28.00	56.00	44.74	42.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.07	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.81650		25	0.00	4.00	8.00	32.00	56.00	50.00	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.19	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.72000	5	0.54160		25	0.00	0.00	4.00	20.00	76.00	75.00	74.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.42	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.57578		24	0.00	0.00	4.17	29.17	66.67	77.50	75.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.44	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.56000	5	0.65064		25	0.00	0.00	8.00	28.00	64.00	62.50	54.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.16	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4823-025 / ARCH 5823-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Methods VIII-Arch Analytics

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.53	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	78.95	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.55	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.58	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.72	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743	0.09	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	57.50	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000	0.39	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.50	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4855-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Design VIII-Arch Synthesis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Deborah Richards

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.16	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.19	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
<i>Deborah Richards</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	27.50	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	85.00	84.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.60	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	67.50	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.21	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4855-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design VIII-Arch Synthesis

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Total Enrollment: 8

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	36.84	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	34.21	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.22	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	8.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-1.41	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	35.00	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-1.77	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4855-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Design VIII-Arch Synthesis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.53	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.26	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.53	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.29	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.00	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.19	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.00	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.08	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4970-001 / ARCH 5970-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Advanced Digital Making

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Pavilk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Robert Pavilk													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	22.50	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.53	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4970-025

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Service Learning-Rome

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4970-027

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Italian Culture/Lang-Rome

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 4970-902 / ARCH 5970-902

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Urban Redevelopment

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans Butzer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	81.58	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.56	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
<i>Hans Butzer</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	87.50	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.68	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	87.50	84.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.56	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5022-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Methods X

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Total Enrollment: 44

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.90034	0.18	12	0.00	8.33	0.00	33.33	58.33	52.63	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856	0.20	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	55.26	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493	0.28	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	72.50	62.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.95346	-0.14	12	0.00	0.00	41.67	16.67	41.67	35.00	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.66856	0.18	12	0.00	0.00	8.33	25.00	66.67	65.00	57.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5055-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Design X - Comp Arch II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Andres Cavieres

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	4	1.52753		3	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	2.63	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.69	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.33333	4	1.52753		3	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	0.00	2.63	1.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-1.93	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Andres Cavieres													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	4	1.52753		3	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	10.00	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-1.77	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	4	1.73205		3	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	5.00	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-1.99	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	5	2.08167		3	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	33.33	5.00	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-2.18	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5055-003

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Design X - Comp Arch II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nickolas Harm

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	4	1.41421		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	7.90	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.33	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.82574		4	25.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	13.16	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-1.25	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Nickolas Harm													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	17.50	18.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.61	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	17.50	15.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.60	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	35.00	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.23	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5526-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design II

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	3	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	0.00	10.53	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.07	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	1.70783		4	25.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	7.90	3.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-1.51	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	3	0.95743		4	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-2.21	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.73205		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	17.50	15.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.60	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.81650		4	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	10.00	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-1.77	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5546-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design IV

Course Level: All

Instructor: Deborah Richards

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	84.21	85.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.58	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	65.79	64.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.39	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Deborah Richards													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	32.50	36.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.13	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	52.50	45.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.05	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	1.34164		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	42.50	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.04	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5970-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Rendering

Instructor: David Boeck

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	36.84	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	34.21	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.22	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	35.00	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	22.50	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.53	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 5990-981

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Community Health/Built Envir

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Birkes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	36.84	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	39.47	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.05	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Michael Birkes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	47.50	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.01	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	47.50	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.02	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	40.00	34.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.12	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 6680-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Advanced Arch Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: ARCH 6690-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Professional Project

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	78.95	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.53	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	81.58	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.55	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	77.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.45	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	75.00	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.50	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.39	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 2812-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 29

Section Title: Construction Fundamentals Lab

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.34157		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	94.44	89.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.66	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.34157		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	88.89	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.67	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.61914		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	25.00	68.75	66.67	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.32	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.43750	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	43.75	50.00	50.00	57.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.27	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51640		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.56	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.08	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 2813-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Construct Docs/Quantity Survey

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.67466		30	0.00	3.33	0.00	30.00	66.67	72.22	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.37	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.41379	5	0.73277		29	0.00	3.45	3.45	41.38	51.72	66.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.20	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.65517	5	0.72091		29	0.00	3.45	3.45	17.24	75.86	72.22	69.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.35	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.56667	5	0.67891		30	0.00	3.33	0.00	33.33	63.33	66.67	70.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.39	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.52083		30	0.00	0.00	3.33	20.00	76.67	83.33	69.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.37	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 2822-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Materials and Methods II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.22927		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	38.89	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.05	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	5	1.05409		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	33.33	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.11	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
<i>Rick Skaggs</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.22927		10	10.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	50.00	27.78	36.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.13	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.30000	5	1.05935		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	60.00	38.89	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	60.00	55.56	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.08	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 3223-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Structures I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.15470	-0.62	12	8.33	8.33	8.33	58.33	16.67	16.67	14.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.85280	-0.22	12	0.00	8.33	8.33	58.33	25.00	27.78	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.12815	-0.34	12	8.33	0.00	8.33	50.00	33.33	22.22	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.99620	-0.22	12	0.00	16.67	0.00	58.33	25.00	27.78	23.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.08333	4	0.66856	-0.43	12	0.00	0.00	16.67	58.33	25.00	11.11	20.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 3443-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Mech/Elect/Plumb Systems II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.89974		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	33.33	53.33	50.00	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.08233		15	0.00	13.33	6.67	26.67	53.33	38.89	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.02	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.26667	5	0.96115		15	0.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	53.33	33.33	41.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.06	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	4	1.16292		15	6.67	6.67	0.00	46.67	40.00	33.33	36.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.08	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	40.00	53.33	44.44	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.04	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 3821-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Project Controls Lab II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	88.89	73.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.48	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.60	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	83.33	73.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.40	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.84984		10	0.00	0.00	20.00	10.00	70.00	61.11	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	77.78	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.33	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 3824-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Project Controls Management

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	61.11	64.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.35	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	72.22	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.46	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.89	77.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.45	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.49237		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	78.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.48	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	66.67	61.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.25	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 4153-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Legal Issues-Constr

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marvin Laws

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.61835		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	33.33	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	4	0.64676		18	0.00	0.00	11.11	55.56	33.33	44.44	38.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.01	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Marvin Laws													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.61111	5	0.50163		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.89	61.11	55.56	63.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.31	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.38889	4	0.60768		18	0.00	0.00	5.56	50.00	44.44	44.44	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.22	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.35294	5	0.86177		17	0.00	5.88	5.88	35.29	52.94	27.78	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.10	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 4842-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Leadership Construct Industry

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.22	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.37	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	61.11	48.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.19	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	38.89	47.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.08	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.22	73.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.42	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	33.33	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.04	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 4881-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Construction Safety Management

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	1.11464		12	8.33	0.00	0.00	50.00	41.67	33.33	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	1.16450		12	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	33.33	22.22	20.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.31	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	50.00	62.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.28	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	61.11	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.58333	5	0.51493		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.67	58.33	61.11	57.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.18	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 5013-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Construction Fundamentals II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Doyle Phillips

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	100.00	90.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.72	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	94.44	84.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.72	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Doyle Phillips													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	94.44	85.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.64	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	94.44	82.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.57	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	94.44	80.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.51	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 5023-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Research Methods in PDC

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	23.08	69.23	55.56	59.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.31	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.62158		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	8.33	83.33	77.78	76.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.55	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.65044		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	23.08	69.23	61.11	64.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.31	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	88.89	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.50	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	88.89	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.39	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 5303-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Lean Const. Management

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.27273	5	0.78625		11	0.00	0.00	18.18	36.36	45.45	44.44	40.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.02	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.36364	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	45.45	45.45	55.56	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.15	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	44.44	57.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.24	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.54545	4	1.43970		11	9.09	18.18	18.18	18.18	36.36	16.67	18.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.56	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	38.89	42.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.03	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: CNS 5960-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Directed Readings

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	83.33	72.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.25911	4	0.94011		247	2.02	4.86	7.69	36.03	49.39		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.44	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.22131	4	0.93854		244	2.05	4.10	10.66	36.07	47.13		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.34553	5	0.91614		246	2.44	3.25	6.10	33.74	54.47		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.15385	4	1.05567		247	3.24	6.48	10.12	31.98	48.18		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44672	5	0.71523		244	0.00	2.46	5.74	36.48	55.33		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: EN D 3993-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Environmental Design Practicum

Instructor: Shane Hampton

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.53	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.26	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Shane Hampton													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: EN D 4993-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Environmental Design Capstone

Instructors: Ronald Frantz / Umit Zamudio

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	78.95	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.18576	5	1.02281		323	2.79	4.64	13.93	28.48	50.15		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.53	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	60.53	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.14286	4	1.06696		322	3.42	6.83	9.63	32.30	47.83		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.29	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Ronald Frantz													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.00	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.19	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	82.50	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.39	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		
Umit Zamudio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	65.00	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.29755	5	0.99249		326	2.76	4.60	8.28	28.83	55.52		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.19	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.11656	4	1.12259		326	5.21	5.52	9.82	31.29	48.16		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	55.00	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.37117	5	0.91834		326	1.84	3.07	10.12	26.07	58.90		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.08	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 1251-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Design II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	4	0.51493	0.18	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.33	41.67	53.33	52.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.73855	-0.22	12	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	26.67	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.91667	4	0.90034	-0.43	12	0.00	0.00	41.67	25.00	33.33	26.67	21.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.44600	-0.60	12	8.33	25.00	8.33	25.00	33.33	20.00	15.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868	0.60	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	60.00	85.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 1253-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Graphics II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.61721		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	53.33	40.00	40.00	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.13333	4	0.74322		15	0.00	0.00	20.00	46.67	33.33	33.33	31.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.09	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Mia Kile													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.86667	4	0.99043		15	0.00	6.67	33.33	26.67	33.33	20.00	20.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.49	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.32017		15	6.67	33.33	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	9.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.88	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.99449		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	21.43	57.14	13.33	29.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.18	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 2543-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Design and Human Factors

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.53	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	13.33	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.48	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	40.00	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	53.33	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.09	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	33.33	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.39	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 2773-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Interior Construction

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	80.00	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.32	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	80.00	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.58	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
<i>Suchismita Bhattacharjee</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	86.67	84.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.60	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	60.00	69.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.38	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	46.67	78.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.42	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 2793-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Furniture Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	13.33	11.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.29	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	13.33	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.88	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	7.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-1.06	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 2793-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Furniture Design

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	40.00	45.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	66.67	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.29	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	40.00	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	33.33	22.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.29	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	20.00	65.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.29	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 3223-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Advanced Computer Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	93.33	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.56	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	80.00	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.58	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	86.67	84.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.60	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	46.67	78.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.42	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 3733-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Commercial Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	46.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.16	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	40.00	36.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.02	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.48324		5	20.00	0.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	6.67	9.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-1.20	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	5	1.51658		5	0.00	40.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	26.67	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.51	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	6.67	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.53	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 3753-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: History of Interior Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	30.77	61.54	60.00	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.22	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.46154	5	0.87706		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	30.77	61.54	46.67	53.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.25	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	60.00	72.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.37	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	15.38	76.92	66.67	74.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.43	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	66.67	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.60	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 4463-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Office Professional Practice

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	26.67	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.00	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	75.00	12.50	20.00	19.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.35	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
<i>Hans-Peter Wachter</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	53.33	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.32	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	4	0.83452		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	37.50	40.00	39.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.02	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	26.67	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.35	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 4722-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Interior Design Portfolio II

Course Level: All

Instructor: HILLARY FULTON

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.85714	4	1.21499		7	14.29	28.57	14.29	42.86	0.00	6.67	2.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.49	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.85714	4	1.77281		7	28.57	28.57	0.00	14.29	28.57	6.67	4.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-1.40	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
HILLARY FULTON													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	66.67	80.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.57	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	73.33	85.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.65	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	53.33	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.52	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 4774-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: I D Capstone (Design Phase)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	89.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.66	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	86.67	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.67	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	73.33	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.59	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 5123-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Environment and Human Behavior

Course Level: All

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.26	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	73.33	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.29	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	66.67	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000	0.19	4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	46.67	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.50000	0.09	4	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	53.33	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000	0.70	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 5163-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Design Comput Visual/Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	86.67	72.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.44	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: I D 5223-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Adv Materials and Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	73.33	58.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.33645	5	0.81198		107	0.93	2.80	7.48	39.25	49.53		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.26	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.28972	5	0.93172		107	1.87	3.74	10.28	31.78	52.34		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.38679	5	0.85714		106	0.94	0.94	16.04	22.64	59.43		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.19626	5	1.13636		107	1.87	12.15	8.41	19.63	57.94		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.74286	5	0.55520		105	0.00	0.95	2.86	17.14	79.05		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 4743-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 36

Section Title: Garden History

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.72548		20	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	75.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.05000	4	0.82558		20	0.00	0.00	30.00	35.00	35.00	62.50	29.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.17	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.55000	5	0.60481		20	0.00	0.00	5.00	35.00	60.00	100.00	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.24	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.35000	5	0.74516		20	0.00	0.00	15.00	35.00	50.00	75.00	53.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.19	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.31579	5	0.82007		19	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	52.63	87.50	31.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.15	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 4970-900 / L A 5970-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Exterior Lighting Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Scott Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	5.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.33	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	50.00	28.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.22	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	87.50	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	62.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	62.50	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.53	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 5243-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Land Arch Tech: Mats/Construct

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sarah Little

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	87.50	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.98319		6	0.00	16.67	0.00	66.67	16.67	37.50	18.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.39	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Sarah Little													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.83666		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	50.00	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.88	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	3	1.03280		6	0.00	16.67	50.00	16.67	16.67	37.50	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.75	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	0.00	37.50	11.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.74	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 5525-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: L A Intro Graduate Studio II

Course Level: All

Instructor: Scott Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.29099		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	37.50	11.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	13.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.48	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.25000	3	0.95743		4	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-2.21	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.00000	2	0.81650		4	25.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-1.99	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	2.50000	3	1.00000		4	25.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	2.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-2.38	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 5545-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Intern Grad Studio IV

Instructor: Sarah Little

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	75.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	87.50	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.29	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Sarah Little													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.88	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	87.50	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	62.50	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.53	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 5613-001 / L A 4613-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: L A Computer Applications

Course Level: All

Instructor: Scott Williams

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-1.07	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.15470		4	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	12.50	7.61
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-1.25	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	0.50000		4	0.00	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	6.38
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-1.68	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.75000	3	0.95743		4	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-1.29	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	9.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.84	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 5923-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Planting Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	75.00	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	75.00	44.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.12	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	87.50	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	62.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	83.33	16.67	75.00	24.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.33	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: L A 5950-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Graduate Project Proposal

Course Level: All

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.93333	4	0.86340		45	0.00	4.44	26.67	40.00	28.89		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.92932		45	0.00	8.89	15.56	42.22	33.33		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	87.50	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	4	1.00504		45	2.22	6.67	22.22	37.78	31.11		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.81818	4	1.10544		44	2.27	11.36	22.73	29.55	34.09		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.00000	4	0.87287		43	2.33	0.00	23.26	44.19	30.23		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.08	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: PDC 6023-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 4

Section Title: Advanced Research Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5053-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Planning Management

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fernando Costa

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	85.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.58	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	81.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.60	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Fernando Costa													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	50.00	56.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.23	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	80.00	66.67	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.21	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5173-001 / ECON 5173-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Urban and Regional Analysis

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	75.00	83.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.56	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	75.00	79.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.58	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	75.00	78.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.48	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	66.67	69.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.38	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	25.00	34.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.12	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5203-900 / SOC 5203-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Urban Land Use Controls

Course Level: All

Instructor: Dawn Jourdan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755	0.13	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	33.33	46.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843		787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452	0.29	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	60.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480		783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Dawn Jourdan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.91613	0.05	8	0.00	0.00	25.00	12.50	62.50	41.67	46.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803		788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291	0.55	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	91.67	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190		789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	4	0.51755	-0.07	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	41.67	37.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129		782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5483-990 / RCPL 5483-960 / CEES 5483-960

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Urban & Regional Transportatn

Course Level: All

Instructor: James B Wagner

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	66.67	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.53	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	50.00	66.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.42	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
James B Wagner													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	58.33	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.32	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.75593		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	62.50	58.33	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.32	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.91613		8	0.00	0.00	25.00	12.50	62.50	41.67	37.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.07	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5513-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Subdivision & Planned Unit Dev

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryce Lowery

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	91.67	86.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.65	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	66.67	70.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.51	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Bryce Lowery													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	50.00	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.26	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	75.00	71.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.39	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	58.33	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.17	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5522-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Comp RCPL: Report & Implement

Instructor: John Harris

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	25.00	39.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.00	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	25.00	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.03	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.25831		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	8.33	18.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.61	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	33.33	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	83.33	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.39	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5723-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Community Develop & Revitaliz

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	16.67	35.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.09	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	8.33	34.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	-0.05	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33	66.67	72.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.37	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.32916		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	0.00	66.67	41.67	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.02	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	50.00	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.04	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5813-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Environmental Planning Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	41.67	67.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.37	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	41.67	64.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.39	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	83.33	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.51	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	83.33	73.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.42	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	91.67	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.45	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5823-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Rural and Regional Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	50.00	72.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.44	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	58.33	69.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.46	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	33.33	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.01	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	8.33	20.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.45	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.00	65.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.29	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5970-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 6

Section Title: Participatory Methods

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryce Lowery

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.80	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Bryce Lowery													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	0.72	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	0.79	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	0.70	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValueate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 5970-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Advanced GIS

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	66.67	80.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	0.53	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	41.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.03	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.15470		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	8.33	9.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.84	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Spring 2016

Course: RCPL 6520-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Field Studies

Instructor: Dawn Jourdan

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	8.33	30.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.61290	5	0.55382		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	32.26	64.52		
	COLLEGE	4.25159	5	0.93843	-0.27	787	1.91	4.07	10.93	33.16	49.94		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.58065	5	0.55952		62	0.00	0.00	3.23	35.48	61.29		
	COLLEGE	4.21711	4	0.97480	0.80	783	2.30	5.11	9.83	34.10	48.66		
Dawn Jourdan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	25.00	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.54839	5	0.71695		62	0.00	1.61	8.06	24.19	66.13		
	COLLEGE	4.32360	5	0.93803	-0.34	788	2.16	3.55	9.39	29.57	55.33		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	33.33	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.41935	5	0.77984		62	0.00	1.61	12.90	27.42	58.06		
	COLLEGE	4.14956	4	1.08190	-0.14	789	3.55	6.72	10.65	29.40	49.68		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	16.67	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.45902	5	0.72050		61	0.00	1.64	8.20	32.79	57.38		
	COLLEGE	4.43350	5	0.81129	-0.53	782	0.90	2.30	8.31	29.54	58.95		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent