

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 1112-001 / CNS 1112-010 / I D 1112-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 164

Section Title: Cultures of Collaborating

Course Level: All

Instructors: Hans Butzer / Lisa Holliday / Mia Kile

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.30526	4	1.13993		95	7.37	17.89	25.26	35.79	13.68	5.41	3.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.90	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.17895	3	1.15757		95	10.53	15.79	30.53	31.58	11.58	5.41	4.40
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.93	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
<i>Hans Butzer</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.16129	4	0.97010		93	2.15	5.38	10.75	37.63	44.09	40.00	36.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.12	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.07447	4	1.01853		94	3.19	5.32	12.77	38.30	40.43	40.00	37.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.02	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.22340	4	0.79182		94	0.00	1.06	19.15	36.17	43.62	27.50	25.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.15	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		
<i>Lisa Holliday</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.09677	4	0.90966		93	1.08	4.30	17.20	38.71	38.71	32.50	29.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.19	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.96774	4	1.02630		93	3.23	6.45	15.05	40.86	34.41	27.50	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.11	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.94565	4	0.89399		92	0.00	7.61	19.57	43.48	29.35	17.50	13.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.46	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		
<i>Mia Kile</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.85106	4	1.19998		94	6.38	8.51	15.96	31.91	37.23	17.50	15.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.44	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.77660	4	1.13738		94	5.32	8.51	20.21	35.11	30.85	22.50	21.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.28	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.89362	4	0.97791		94	0.00	10.64	21.28	36.17	31.91	15.00	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.52	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 1153-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design I- Design Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.19	74.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.55	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	70.27	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.50	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.22	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	67.50	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.36	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.50	63.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.45	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 1153-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Design I- Design Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ken Marold

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.32288		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	22.22	66.67	40.54	37.36
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.13	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	33.33	55.56	51.35	41.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.19	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Ken Marold													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.88889	4	1.26930		9	11.11	0.00	11.11	44.44	33.33	20.00	18.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.40	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.22474		9	11.11	11.11	22.22	44.44	11.11	15.00	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.67	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.22222	5	1.30171		9	11.11	0.00	0.00	33.33	55.56	25.00	24.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.15	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 1153-003

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Design I- Design Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Samuel Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	1.13389		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	0.00	85.71	67.57	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.37	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	72.97	64.84
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.42	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Samuel Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.49603		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	71.43	47.50	42.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.00	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	1.51186		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	57.50	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.29	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 1153-004

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Design I- Design Fundamentals

Course Level: All

Instructor: Luca Guido

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.56	44.44	51.35	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.25	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	32.43	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Luca Guido													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.11111	4	0.92796		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	55.56	33.33	37.50	31.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.17	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.41421		9	11.11	22.22	11.11	33.33	22.22	15.00	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.67	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.50000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	62.50	55.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.36	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 1163-001

Section Title: Methods I

Instructor: Andres Cavieres

Total Enrollment: 63

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.81481	4	1.11068		27	3.70	11.11	14.81	40.74	29.63	13.51	14.29
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.39	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.70370	4	1.23459		27	7.41	14.81	3.70	48.15	25.93	10.81	10.99
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.42	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Andres Cavieres													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.92593	4	1.03500		27	0.00	14.81	11.11	40.74	33.33	22.50	20.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.36	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.29630	4	1.23459		27	11.11	14.81	22.22	37.04	14.81	10.00	8.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.70	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.65385	4	0.84580		26	0.00	11.54	23.08	53.85	11.54	5.00	4.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.79	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 2243-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 68

Section Title: History of Built Environ I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Catherine Barrett

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.96667	4	0.96431		30	0.00	6.67	26.67	30.00	36.67	21.62	19.78
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.23	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.73333	4	1.17248		30	10.00	0.00	23.33	40.00	26.67	13.51	13.19
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.39	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Catherine Barrett													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	5	1.06188		30	0.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	50.00	35.00	30.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.19	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.43333	5	0.89763		30	0.00	6.67	6.67	23.33	63.33	60.00	52.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.30	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		30	0.00	3.33	16.67	16.67	63.33	35.00	34.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.05	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 2356-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 18

Section Title: Design III-Crafting Place

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	67.57	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.37	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	1.49603		7	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	71.43	45.95	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.14	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	67.50	60.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	50.00	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.17	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	67.50	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.41	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 2356-002

Section Title: Design III-Crafting Place

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	30.00	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 2363-001 / ARCH 5363-001

Section Title: Methods III-Materials & Form

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Total Enrollment: 53

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.39216	5	0.69508		51	0.00	1.96	5.88	43.14	49.02	43.24	39.56
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.19	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.39216	4	0.63493		51	0.00	0.00	7.84	45.10	47.06	54.05	43.96
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.25	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.74510	5	0.52319		51	0.00	0.00	3.92	17.65	78.43	80.00	73.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.47	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.49020	5	0.83361		51	1.96	0.00	9.80	23.53	64.71	65.00	54.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.35	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.47059	5	0.64352		51	0.00	0.00	7.84	37.25	54.90	42.50	38.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.13	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 3013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 133

Section Title: Architecture for Non-Majors

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Boeck

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.97531	4	1.08369		81	2.47	8.64	18.52	29.63	40.74	24.32	20.88
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.23	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.95062	4	1.08283		81	2.47	8.64	19.75	29.63	39.51	27.03	20.88
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.18	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.93750	4	1.24620		80	5.00	12.50	12.50	23.75	46.25	25.00	22.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.35	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.56790	4	1.40480		81	13.58	11.11	13.58	28.40	33.33	20.00	17.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.46	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.02500	4	1.01850		80	2.50	6.25	16.25	36.25	38.75	22.50	18.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.37	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 3556-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Design V-Arch Making I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.54772		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	59.46	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.30	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	33.33	51.35	41.76
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.19	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Khosrow Bozorgi													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	33.33	30.00	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	1.36626		6	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	16.67	5.00	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-1.25	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.83666		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	47.50	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.17	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 4133-001 / ARCH 5133-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Architectural Structures I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shideh Shadravan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.09545		11	0.00	18.18	0.00	45.45	36.36	29.73	26.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.45455	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	36.36	54.55	62.16	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.31	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Shideh Shadravan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.46710		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.27	72.73	77.50	71.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.45	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	0.68755		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	27.27	63.64	72.50	62.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.40	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	90.00	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.63	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4183-900 / ARCH 5183-900

Section Title: Survey of Middle Eastern Arch

Instructor: Khosrow Bozorgi

Total Enrollment: 24

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.19342		12	8.33	0.00	25.00	33.33	33.33	16.22	15.39
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.37	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.28806		12	0.00	25.00	16.67	16.67	41.67	16.22	16.48
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.37	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Khosrow Bozorgi													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	5	1.61433		12	16.67	8.33	16.67	8.33	50.00	7.50	8.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.62	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.91667	3	1.67649		12	33.33	8.33	16.67	16.67	25.00	7.50	4.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-1.03	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.77850		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	30.00	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.02	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4333-001 / ARCH 5333-001

Section Title: Advanced Structures

Instructor: Shideh Shadravan

Total Enrollment: 42

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.73333	4	1.25762		30	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	33.33	10.81	13.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.47	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.05318		30	3.33	10.00	13.33	46.67	26.67	24.32	19.78
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.29	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Shideh Shadravan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.37931	5	0.94165		29	0.00	10.34	0.00	31.03	58.62	50.00	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.10	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.01710		30	0.00	13.33	10.00	40.00	36.67	37.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.08	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.36667	5	0.80872		30	0.00	3.33	10.00	33.33	53.33	32.50	30.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.02	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 4353-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: LEED Seminar

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	91.89	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.66	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.83333	5	0.40825		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	83.33	89.19	87.91
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.67	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	75.00	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.42	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	85.00	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.57	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4453-001 / ARCH 5453-001

Section Title: Modern & Contemporary Arch

Instructor: Stephanie Pilat

Total Enrollment: 44

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.53571	5	0.69293		28	0.00	3.57	0.00	35.71	60.71	62.16	50.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.34	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.46429	5	0.63725		28	0.00	0.00	7.14	39.29	53.57	64.87	50.55
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.32	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Stephanie Pilat													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.49868		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	14.29	82.14	82.50	77.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.51	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.82143	5	0.47559		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	10.71	85.71	92.50	82.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.64	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.64286	5	0.55872		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	67.86	60.00	54.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.33	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 4563-900 / ARCH 5563-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Methods V

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lee Fithian

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.72727	3	1.19087		11	9.09	45.45	18.18	18.18	9.09	2.70	2.20
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-1.48	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.05409		10	10.00	20.00	30.00	40.00	0.00	2.70	2.20
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-1.10	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Lee Fithian													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.09091	3	0.94388		11	9.09	9.09	45.45	36.36	0.00	2.50	1.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-1.21	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	1.54545	1	0.93420		11	63.64	27.27	0.00	9.09	0.00	2.50	1.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-2.24	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.00000	3	1.00000		11	0.00	36.36	36.36	18.18	9.09	2.50	1.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-1.53	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 4723-001 / ARCH 5723-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 38

Section Title: Methods VII

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Yowell

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.13793	4	0.78940		29	0.00	3.45	13.79	48.28	34.48	32.43	31.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.06	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.78571	4	1.13389		28	3.57	10.71	21.43	32.14	32.14	18.92	17.58
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.34	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.24138	5	0.91242		29	0.00	6.90	10.34	34.48	48.28	42.50	38.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.04	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.44828	5	0.73612		29	0.00	3.45	3.45	37.93	55.17	62.50	53.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.31	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	42.86	53.57	47.50	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.17	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 4756-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	5	1.06904		7	0.00	14.29	0.00	42.86	42.86	35.14	32.97
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.06	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	5	0.75593		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	45.95	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.14	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	67.50	60.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.69007		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	57.14	28.57	42.50	38.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.04	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	67.50	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.41	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 4756-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	0.89974		7	0.00	0.00	42.86	28.57	28.57	18.92	16.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.34	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	57.14	28.57	14.29	8.11	7.69
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.55	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.25357		7	0.00	28.57	0.00	42.86	28.57	10.00	9.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.58	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		7	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	37.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.08	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	5	1.21499		7	0.00	14.29	28.57	14.29	42.86	12.50	11.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.56	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4756-003

Section Title: Design VII-Systems & Context

Instructor: Michael Hoffner

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.60000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	0.00	8.11	9.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.60	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	20.00	35.14	34.07
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.06	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Michael Hoffner													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	15.00	13.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.49	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.40000	4	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	0.00	17.50	13.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.61	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	20.00	17.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.40	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 4970-001 / L A 5513-001

Section Title: Creativity Through Sketching

Instructors: David Boeck / Theresa Marks

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.84119		19	0.00	5.26	5.26	26.32	63.16	54.05	45.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.28	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.26316	5	1.04574		19	0.00	10.53	10.53	21.05	57.89	40.54	36.26
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.12	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
David Boeck													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.47368	5	0.77233		19	0.00	5.26	0.00	36.84	57.89	57.50	52.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.19	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.36842	5	0.95513		19	0.00	10.53	0.00	31.58	57.89	52.50	47.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.24	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.69248		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	52.63	40.00	36.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.08	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		
Theresa Marks													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.60698		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	31.58	63.16	70.00	61.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.30	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.42105	5	0.69248		19	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	52.63	55.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.29	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.50726		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.11	57.89	50.00	46.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.26	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5143-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Architectural History

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	48.65	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	59.46	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.26	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	55.00	48.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.12	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	77.50	69.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.44	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5516-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Graduate Arch Design I

Instructor: John Yowell

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	48.65	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.68	68.13
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.45	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
John Yowell													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	55.00	48.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.12	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	47.50	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.09	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	55.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.28	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5536-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: DANIEL BUTKO

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.97	62.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.40	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.89443		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	59.46	46.15
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.26	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
DANIEL BUTKO													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	87.50	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.52	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.62	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5536-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design III

Instructor: Anthony Cricchio

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.19	74.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.55	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	37.84	35.17
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.11	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Anthony Cricchio													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.91485		4	25.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	5.00	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.79	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.81650		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	37.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.08	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	72.50	63.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.45	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5536-003

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 5

Section Title: Grad Architectural Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Michael Hoffner

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.70711		5	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	29.73	26.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	21.62	18.68
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.33	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Michael Hoffner													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	1.09545		5	0.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	15.00	13.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.49	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	5	1.30384		5	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	25.00	22.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.26	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.80000	4	0.83666		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	20.00	10.00	8.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.63	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5713-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Real Estate I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kevin Anderson

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	75.68	64.84
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.47	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Kevin Anderson													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.57735		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	82.50	73.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.50	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5923-001 / ARCH 4923-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 30

Section Title: Methods IX

Course Level: All

Instructor: Marjorie Callahan

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.69231	5	0.48038		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.77	69.23	78.38	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.49	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.59914		13	0.00	0.00	7.69	7.69	84.62	81.08	78.02
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.61	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Marjorie Callahan													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	90.00	85.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.57	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.53846	5	0.77625		13	0.00	0.00	15.38	15.38	69.23	70.00	61.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.39	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.61538	5	0.86972		13	0.00	7.69	0.00	15.38	76.92	57.50	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.30	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5955-001

Section Title: Design IX

Instructor: Robert Pavilk

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	94.60	87.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.69	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	83.78	80.22
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.62	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Robert Pavilk													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	92.50	87.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.61	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	95.00	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.70	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	87.50	82.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.61	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5955-002

Section Title: Design IX

Instructor: Andres Cavieres

Total Enrollment: 16

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	59.46	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.30	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Andres Cavieres													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.50	64.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.32	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.09545		5	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	47.50	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.09	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 5970-900 / ARCH 4970-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 7

Section Title: Advanced Digital Making

Course Level: All

Instructor: Robert Pavilk

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	89.19	74.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.55	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	70.27	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Robert Pavilk													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.50000		4	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	45.00	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.03	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	2.00000		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	37.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.08	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	5	1.89297		4	25.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	7.50	6.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.68	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: ARCH 5970-902 / ARCH 4970-902

Section Title: Comp. Design & Fabrication

Instructor: Deborah Richards

Total Enrollment: 14

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.75378		12	0.00	0.00	16.67	41.67	41.67	37.84	36.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.05	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.89443		11	0.00	0.00	36.36	27.27	36.36	32.43	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Deborah Richards													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.67420		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	33.33	58.33	62.50	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.22	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.65134		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	16.67	75.00	82.50	73.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.50	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.41667	5	0.66856		12	0.00	0.00	8.33	41.67	50.00	37.50	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.07	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: ARCH 6680-980

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Advanced Arch Design III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shawn Schaefer

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Shawn Schaefer													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: CNS 1112-011

Section Title: Disc-CNS 1112-010

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Total Enrollment: 47

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.13873	-0.49	14	7.14	0.00	35.71	28.57	28.57	25.00	10.99
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443		1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.71429	4	1.13873	-0.41	14	7.14	7.14	14.29	50.00	21.43	31.25	12.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342		1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.14286	4	0.94926	-0.14	14	0.00	7.14	14.29	35.71	42.86	43.75	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797		1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.92308	4	1.18754	-0.15	13	7.69	0.00	23.08	30.77	38.46	43.75	26.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863		1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.76923	4	1.23517	-0.66	13	7.69	7.69	15.38	38.46	30.77	18.75	7.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217		1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 2211-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 40

Section Title: Sustainability-Construct Pract

Course Level: All

Instructor: Lloyd Scott

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	2.64706	3	0.99632		17	11.76	35.29	29.41	23.53	0.00	6.25	1.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-1.56	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	2.64706	3	1.11474		17	11.76	41.18	23.53	17.65	5.88	6.25	1.10
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-1.44	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Lloyd Scott													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.52941	4	1.00733		17	0.00	17.65	29.41	35.29	17.65	18.75	6.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.76	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	2.52941	2	1.23073		17	23.53	35.29	5.88	35.29	0.00	6.25	2.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-1.37	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.52941	4	1.32842		17	11.76	11.76	11.76	41.18	23.53	6.25	2.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.93	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: CNS 2713-001

Section Title: Materials & Methods 1

Instructor: Lisa Holliday

Total Enrollment: 65

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.95238	4	1.16087		21	4.76	4.76	23.81	23.81	42.86	37.50	18.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.25	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.04881		21	4.76	4.76	9.52	47.62	33.33	50.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Lisa Holliday													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.90476	4	1.04426		21	4.76	0.00	28.57	33.33	33.33	25.00	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.38	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.85714	4	1.06234		21	4.76	4.76	19.05	42.86	28.57	37.50	24.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.21	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.57143	4	1.16496		21	0.00	23.81	23.81	23.81	28.57	12.50	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.89	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 3103-010

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Construction Surveying

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	93.75	78.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.65	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	87.50	78.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.61	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	93.75	85.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.57	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	81.25	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.59	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	87.50	78.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.56	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 3103-011

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Lab-CNS 3103-010

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	89.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.71	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	100.00	86.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.66	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	88.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.63	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	87.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.71	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.63	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 3413-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Construction Communication

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.73333	4	1.16292		15	6.67	6.67	20.00	40.00	26.67	31.25	13.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.47	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	3	1.32017		15	13.33	13.33	33.33	20.00	20.00	18.75	5.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.91	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.93333	4	1.16292		15	6.67	6.67	6.67	46.67	33.33	31.25	21.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.35	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.06667	5	1.22280		15	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	46.67	50.00	36.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.02	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.46667	5	0.63994		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	40.00	53.33	56.25	37.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.13	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 3443-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 22

Section Title: Electrical Systems

Course Level: All

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.05882	4	0.82694		17	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	43.75	28.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.14	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		17	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	50.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.11765	4	0.60025		17	0.00	0.00	11.76	64.71	23.53	37.50	32.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.17	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.82353	4	1.07444		17	0.00	11.76	29.41	23.53	35.29	31.25	23.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.24	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.23529	4	0.75245		17	0.00	0.00	17.65	41.18	41.18	43.75	26.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.13	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 3512-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 27

Section Title: Cost Estimating

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.59259	5	0.74726		27	0.00	3.70	3.70	22.22	70.37	68.75	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.39	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.51852	5	0.64273		27	0.00	0.00	7.41	33.33	59.26	62.50	59.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.37	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.67937		27	0.00	3.70	0.00	22.22	74.07	68.75	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.39	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.84732		27	3.70	0.00	0.00	29.63	66.67	75.00	63.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.40	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.50637		27	0.00	0.00	3.70	14.81	81.48	62.50	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.48	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: CNS 3612-001

Section Title: Project Controls Lab

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Total Enrollment: 21

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.50709		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	75.00	62.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.40	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	93.75	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.64	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.73333	5	0.59362		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	13.33	80.00	81.25	72.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.46	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.53333	5	0.91548		15	0.00	6.67	6.67	13.33	73.33	68.75	60.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.38	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.56061		15	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	86.67	75.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 3812-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 28

Section Title: Project Planning & Scheduling

Course Level: All

Instructor: Somik Ghosh

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.63413		28	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	62.50	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.37	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.67857	5	0.54796		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	71.43	81.25	70.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.53	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Somik Ghosh													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.67857	5	0.66964		28	0.00	3.57	0.00	21.43	75.00	75.00	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.40	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.88192		28	3.57	0.00	3.57	28.57	64.29	62.50	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.36	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.49868		28	0.00	0.00	3.57	14.29	82.14	68.75	69.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.49	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 4133-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: BIM for Constructors

Course Level: All

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	4	1.36848	-0.75	11	9.09	18.18	18.18	27.27	27.27	18.75	5.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443		1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.10000	3	1.19722	-1.00	10	10.00	20.00	30.00	30.00	10.00	12.50	3.30
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342		1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.20000	4	1.61933	-1.10	10	20.00	20.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	6.25	3.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797		1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.63636	4	1.50151	-0.40	11	18.18	0.00	18.18	27.27	36.36	25.00	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863		1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.09091	5	1.13618	-0.30	11	0.00	9.09	27.27	9.09	54.55	37.50	20.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217		1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 4523-900 / CNS 5523-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Pre-Construction Services

Instructor: Anthony Perrenoud

Total Enrollment: 31

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.12000	4	0.88129		25	0.00	8.00	8.00	48.00	36.00	50.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.08	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.80000		26	0.00	7.69	7.69	61.54	23.08	50.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Anthony Perrenoud													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.26923	5	0.87442		26	0.00	3.85	15.38	30.77	50.00	50.00	41.49
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.01	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.57692	4	1.23849		26	3.85	19.23	23.08	23.08	30.77	18.75	18.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.46	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.13137		26	3.85	7.69	15.38	30.77	42.31	31.25	17.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.40	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 4613-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Soils and Foundations

Course Level: All

Instructor: Nasir Marakah

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	81.25	63.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.43	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	56.25	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.23	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
<i>Nasir Marakah</i>													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.22	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.53452		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	62.50	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.36	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.70711		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	50.00	27.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.12	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 4922-900 / CNS 5203-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 26

Section Title: Emerging Trends-Building

Course Level: All

Instructor: Matthew Reyes

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	62.50	56.25	52.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.36	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.72744		16	0.00	0.00	12.50	18.75	68.75	75.00	63.74
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.41	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Matthew Reyes													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	87.50	80.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.54	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	87.50	80.85
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.63	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.81250	5	0.40311		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	81.25	81.25	77.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.52	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 5003-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: Construction Fundamentals I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Doyle Phillips

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.72727	5	0.64667		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	9.09	81.82	87.50	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.53	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.54545	5	1.03573		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	0.00	81.82	68.75	60.44
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.40	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Doyle Phillips													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.67420		11	0.00	0.00	9.09	18.18	72.73	62.50	65.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.36	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.81818	5	0.40452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.18	81.82	93.75	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.64	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.90909	5	0.30151		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.09	90.91	100.00	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.63	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: CNS 5123-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Fundamentals of BIM

Instructor: Tamera Mc Cuen

Total Enrollment: 12

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.45455	4	1.63485		11	27.27	0.00	0.00	45.45	27.27	18.75	5.50
	DEPARTMENT	4.16923	4	1.05185		260	3.46	5.38	11.15	30.77	49.23		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.75	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.27273	4	1.55505		11	27.27	0.00	9.09	45.45	18.18	25.00	6.59
	DEPARTMENT	4.10000	4	1.08233		260	3.85	6.54	11.15	32.69	45.77		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Tamera Mc Cuen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.36364	4	1.68954		11	27.27	0.00	18.18	18.18	36.36	12.50	4.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.30000	5	0.97972		260	2.69	3.85	9.62	28.46	55.38		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.93	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.09091	3	1.70027		11	27.27	9.09	27.27	0.00	36.36	12.50	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.10385	5	1.17929		260	5.77	6.54	10.38	26.15	51.15		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.88	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.81818	5	1.40130		11	9.09	9.09	18.18	18.18	45.45	25.00	9.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.35000	5	0.96892		260	1.92	4.62	10.00	23.46	60.00		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.61	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: EN D 3893-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 15

Section Title: Intro to Urban Development

Course Level: All

Instructor: Shane Hampton

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	81.08	68.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.52	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.66667	5	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	78.38	69.23
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.51	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Shane Hampton													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	75.00	70.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.44	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	85.00	75.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.54	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	85.00	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.57	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: EN D 4893-001 / ARCH 5970-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Historic Preservation Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Ronald Frantz

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	72.97	62.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.03993	4	1.05012		576	2.60	7.99	13.54	34.55	41.32		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.40	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	86.49	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	3.97203	4	1.09092		572	3.67	7.52	16.26	33.04	39.51		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.64	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Ronald Frantz													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	87.50	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.20796	5	1.01424		779	2.44	6.03	10.40	30.55	50.58		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.52	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.02302	4	1.14817		782	5.37	6.52	12.92	30.82	44.37		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.62	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	55.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.24165	4	0.88063		778	0.51	4.37	13.37	33.93	47.81		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.28	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 1151-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Graphics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.06250	4	1.12361		16	6.25	0.00	18.75	31.25	43.75	25.00	29.67
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.14	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.96609		16	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	31.25	25.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	1.25831		16	6.25	6.25	12.50	18.75	56.25	37.50	34.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.16	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.25000	4	1.43759		16	18.75	12.50	12.50	37.50	18.75	12.50	7.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.74	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.06250	5	1.23659		16	6.25	6.25	12.50	25.00	50.00	12.50	19.15
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.33	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 1151-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Graphics I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.94118	4	1.08804		17	0.00	11.76	23.53	23.53	41.18	6.25	17.58
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.26	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.68750	4	1.49304		16	12.50	12.50	12.50	18.75	43.75	6.25	9.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.43	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.76471	5	1.48026		17	5.88	23.53	11.76	5.88	52.94	12.50	10.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.53	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.47059	4	1.62472		17	23.53	5.88	5.88	29.41	35.29	25.00	14.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.55	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.17647	5	1.38000		17	11.76	0.00	11.76	11.76	64.71	18.75	23.40
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.20	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 1153-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 23

Section Title: Design I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.86957	5	0.34435		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.04	86.96	68.75	83.52
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.67	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.73913	5	0.44898		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.09	73.91	75.00	73.63
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.58	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.34783	5	0.98205		23	4.35	0.00	8.70	30.43	56.52	56.25	46.81
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.22	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.95652	5	0.20851		23	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.35	95.65	68.75	87.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.68	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 1153-002

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 21

Section Title: Design I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.73684	5	0.56195		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	78.95	56.25	70.33
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.54	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.60698		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	31.58	63.16	68.75	65.93
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.43	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.84211	5	0.50146		19	0.00	0.00	5.26	5.26	89.47	62.50	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.57	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.57895	5	0.50726		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.11	57.89	62.50	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.43	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.68421	5	0.47757		19	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.58	68.42	50.00	56.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.38	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 2532-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 12

Section Title: Graphics III

Course Level: All

Instructor: Rick Skaggs

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.00000		9	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	12.50	26.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.86603		9	0.00	11.11	0.00	66.67	22.22	25.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Rick Skaggs													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.77778	5	1.48137		9	11.11	11.11	11.11	22.22	44.44	18.75	11.70
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.51	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.41421		9	11.11	22.22	11.11	33.33	22.22	18.75	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.67	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.22474		9	11.11	0.00	22.22	44.44	22.22	6.25	5.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.78	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 2533-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Design III

Instructor: MARY PRICE

Total Enrollment: 11

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.18182	5	0.98165		11	0.00	9.09	9.09	36.36	45.45	31.25	34.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.02	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.20000	5	1.03280		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	30.00	50.00	31.25	34.07
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.06	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
MARY PRICE													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.54545	4	1.12815		11	0.00	18.18	36.36	18.18	27.27	6.25	7.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.75	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.90909	5	1.30035		11	0.00	27.27	0.00	27.27	45.45	37.50	25.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.16	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.63636	5	0.50452		11	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.36	63.64	43.75	53.19
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.32	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 2763-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 14

Section Title: Computer Applications in I D

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	75.00	87.91
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.69	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	62.50	62.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.41	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	56.25	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.50	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	68.75	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.70	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	66.67	37.50	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.23	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 4712-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Interior Design Portfolio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: HILLARY FULTON

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.92308	5	0.27735		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.69	92.31	81.25	90.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.73	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.76923	5	0.43853		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.08	76.92	81.25	78.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.61	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
HILLARY FULTON													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	68.75	85.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.57	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 4723-001 / I D 5723-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: Lighting Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Hans-Peter Wachter

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	50.00	57.14
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.37	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.64621		14	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	37.50	48.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.28	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Hans-Peter Wachter													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.87706		14	0.00	7.14	14.29	50.00	28.57	31.25	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.21429	4	1.52812		14	14.29	28.57	7.14	21.43	28.57	6.25	6.38
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.77	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	5	1.21574		14	7.14	0.00	14.29	7.14	71.43	25.00	29.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.01	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 4743-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 19

Section Title: Institutional/Corp Design

Course Level: All

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.35714	4	0.49725		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.29	35.71	37.50	38.46
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.16	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.51887		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.78446		14	0.00	7.14	7.14	64.29	21.43	31.25	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.92857	4	0.91687		14	0.00	0.00	42.86	21.43	35.71	43.75	27.66
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.15	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.46881		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	56.25	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.41	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 4772-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 20

Section Title: I D Capstone (Pre-Design)

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.84615	5	0.37553		13	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.38	84.62	62.50	78.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.65	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.78571	5	0.42582		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	87.50	81.32
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.63	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 4793-001 / I D 5793-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Interior Materials & Specs

Course Level: All

Instructor: Natalie Ellis

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.04762	4	0.58959	-0.15	21	0.00	0.00	14.29	66.67	19.05	18.75	27.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443		1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.95238	4	0.86465		21	0.00	9.52	9.52	57.14	23.81	12.50	21.98
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342		-0.18	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93		
Natalie Ellis													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.23810	5	0.83095	-0.05	21	0.00	0.00	23.81	28.57	47.62	43.75	37.23
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797		1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	1.01653	-0.38	21	4.76	4.76	28.57	42.86	19.05	31.25	20.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863		1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.76190	5	0.43644	0.46	21	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.81	76.19	62.50	64.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217		1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 5153-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Prac. & Leadership in Des. Mkt

Instructor: MARY PRICE

Total Enrollment: 4

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
MARY PRICE													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 5343-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 3

Section Title: Indoor Environmental Quality

Course Level: All

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 5526-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Graduate Studio I

Instructor: Suchismita Bhattacharjee

Total Enrollment: 3

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	43.75	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.30	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	56.25	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Suchismita Bhattacharjee													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.22	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.08	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	31.25	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.17	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: I D 5763-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 2

Section Title: Graduate ID Comp Application

Course Level: All

Instructor: Elizabeth Pober

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.47059	5	0.77108		187	0.53	2.14	7.49	29.41	60.43		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.37097	5	0.84918		186	1.08	4.30	4.84	36.02	53.76		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Elizabeth Pober													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.39362	5	0.97254		188	1.60	5.32	9.57	19.15	64.36		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.06915	5	1.21069		188	6.38	6.91	10.64	25.53	50.53		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.60106	5	0.83082		188	2.66	0.53	4.79	18.09	73.94		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 4423-900 / L A 5423-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 11

Section Title: Human Experience of Environ

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sarah Little

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	58.33	74.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.55	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Sarah Little													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.57735		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.22	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	50.00	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.36	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	50.00	63.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.45	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 4970-002 / L A 5970-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Green Roofs

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	25.00	30.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.13	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	25.00	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 4970-900 / L A 5970-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Intro to Revit

Instructor: Scott Williams

Total Enrollment: 15

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	33.33	42.86
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.10000	4	0.99443		10	0.00	10.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	33.33	31.87
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.03	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Scott Williams													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	50.00	41.67	48.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.12	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	66.67	74.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.53	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.69921		10	0.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	70.00	41.67	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.28	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: L A 5343-001

Section Title: Land Arch Tech: Site Issues

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	75.00	80.22
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.62	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	83.33	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.50	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.44096		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	77.78	66.67	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.48	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 5402-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Res Methods Landscape Arch

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Total Enrollment: 6

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.83666		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	66.67	0.00	25.00	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.70	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.66667	4	0.51640		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	8.33	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.45	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.16905		6	0.00	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	8.33	14.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.46	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.33333	4	1.03280		6	0.00	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	8.33	12.77
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.67	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	33.33	16.67	22.34
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.21	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 5515-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: L A Intro Graduate Studio I

Course Level: All

Instructor: Sarah Little

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	50.00	51.65
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.36	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.55556	5	0.52705		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	55.56	58.33	62.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.41	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Sarah Little													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.44444	5	0.72648		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	58.33	51.06
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.16	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.22474		9	0.00	11.11	33.33	0.00	55.56	25.00	35.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.08	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.77778	5	0.66667		9	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	88.89	66.67	68.09
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.48	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 5535-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: L A Intern Graduate Studio III

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	66.67	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.66	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	41.67	48.35
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.28	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.42857	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	50.00	50.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.15	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.28571	4	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	28.57	33.33	44.68
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.17	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 5713-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Plant Material & Technology

Course Level: All

Instructor: David Edwards

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
David Edwards													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.73	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 5940-005

College of Architecture

Section Title: Field Work

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.70	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	25.00	28.72
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.29	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	59.57
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.36	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.41421		2	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	8.33	17.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.40	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

College of Architecture

Course: L A 5943-001 / L A 4943-001

Section Title: History & Theory-Lndscp Arch

Instructor: Thomas Woodfin

Total Enrollment: 10

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.41421		8	12.50	12.50	12.50	37.50	25.00	25.00	8.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.70	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.28174		8	0.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	37.50	16.67	16.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.37	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Thomas Woodfin													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.16496		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	12.50	62.50	33.33	40.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.03	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	3.50000	4	1.19523		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	50.00	12.50	16.67	15.96
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	-0.52	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.06904		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	12.50	75.00	33.33	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.17	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 6643-900 / RCPL 6643-900 / ARCH 6643-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 16

Section Title: Urban Design Theory

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryce Lowery

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.63246		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	37.50	56.25	41.67	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.30	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.68750	5	0.47871		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.25	68.75	66.67	71.43
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.53	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Bryce Lowery													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.56250	5	0.62915		16	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	62.50	58.33	64.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.41	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.41404		15	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	75.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: L A 6950-005

College of Architecture

Section Title: Applied Research in Land Arch

Instructor: Leehu Loon

Total Enrollment: 2

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.81656		83	1.20	2.41	6.02	28.92	61.45		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.80	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.46988	5	0.75446		83	0.00	3.61	4.82	32.53	59.04		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.84	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Leehu Loon													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	58.51
	DEPARTMENT	4.56627	5	0.75212		83	0.00	2.41	8.43	19.28	69.88		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.22	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000		0.00000		1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.40244	5	0.88694		82	1.22	3.66	8.54	26.83	59.76		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	0.70711		2	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	33.33	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.70732	5	0.61840		82	0.00	1.22	4.88	15.85	78.05		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.17	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 4003-001 / RCPL 5003-001 / GEOG 4003-001 / GEOG 5003-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 17

Section Title: Global City & Planning Issues

Course Level: All

Instructor: Charles Warnken

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	40.00	62.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.40	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.64	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Charles Warnken													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	40.00	48.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.12	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	40.00	48.94
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.27	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.40000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	40.00	34.04
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.05	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5013-001 / P SC 5013-001 / SOC 5013-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: History & Theory of Urban Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	80.00	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.66	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	50.00	72.53
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.56	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.71429	5	0.48795		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	71.43	70.00	70.21
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.44	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.78680		7	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	71.43	50.00	67.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.42	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.57143	5	0.53452		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	50.00	45.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.25	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5113-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 10

Section Title: Urban Planning Research Method

Course Level: All

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	5	1.19523		8	0.00	12.50	25.00	12.50	50.00	20.00	26.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.28174		8	12.50	0.00	12.50	50.00	25.00	10.00	16.48
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	-0.37	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.87500	5	1.45774		8	12.50	0.00	25.00	12.50	50.00	20.00	17.02
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-0.41	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	1.03510		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	37.50	50.00	20.00	42.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.14	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.12500	5	1.12599		8	0.00	12.50	12.50	25.00	50.00	20.00	21.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.26	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5353-900 / P SC 5353-900

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: State & Local Public Finance

Course Level: All

Instructor: Fernando Costa

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	80.00	82.42
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.66	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	90.00	89.01
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.70	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Fernando Costa													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.72	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	5.00000	5	0.00000		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.79	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.85714	5	0.37796		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	85.71	100.00	81.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.57	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5463-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 13

Section Title: GIS for Land Use Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: Bryce Lowery

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.70000	5	0.48305		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	70.00	50.00	67.03
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.50	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.64	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Bryce Lowery													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.51640		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	64.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.32	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.88889	5	0.33333		9	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	88.89	90.00	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.70	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.42164		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5523-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 8

Section Title: Comp RCPL: Research & Plan

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.68	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.46291		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	60.00	74.73
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.59	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	1.06066		8	0.00	12.50	0.00	25.00	62.50	30.00	43.62
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.09	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	12.50	75.00	70.00	71.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.47	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.37500	5	0.74402		8	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	30.00	31.92
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.03	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5713-900

College of Architecture

Section Title: Urban Econ Develop Planning

Instructor: Guoqiang Shen

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	0.63246		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	20.00	26.37
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	-0.20	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	20.00	41.76
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.19	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Guoqiang Shen													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	3.16667	3	1.32916		6	16.67	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	10.00	2.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	-1.13	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.33333	5	0.81650		6	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	30.00	45.75
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.21	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.75277		6	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	10.00	10.64
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	-0.59	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5893-001

College of Architecture

Section Title: Historic Preservation Planning

Instructor: Ronald Frantz

Total Enrollment: 5

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	60.00	75.82
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.60	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	40.00	68.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.45	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Ronald Frantz													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.60000	5	0.54772		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	60.00	64.89
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.32	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	79.79
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.62	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.80000	5	0.44721		5	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	80.00	90.00	76.60
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.51	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5970-001

College of Architecture

Total Enrollment: 9

Section Title: Infrastructure Planning

Course Level: All

Instructor: John Harris

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	85.71
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.68	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	100.00	90.11
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.72	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
John Harris													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.87500	5	0.35355		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	87.50	90.00	86.17
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.60	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	70.00	71.28
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.47	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.62500	5	0.51755		8	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	60.00	52.13
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.31	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

eValuate Report (Public) - Fall 2016

Course: RCPL 5970-002

College of Architecture

Section Title: Public Hlth & The Environ

Instructor: Kathleen Wieters

Total Enrollment: 7

Course Level: All

Section Size: All

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	4	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	25.00	30.00	36.26
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.64968		68	0.00	1.47	4.41	26.47	67.65		
	COLLEGE	4.20017	4	0.99443	0.05	1,174	2.21	5.71	10.99	32.03	49.06		
9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development	INDIVIDUAL	4.50000	5	1.00000		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	30.00	58.24
	DEPARTMENT	4.60294	5	0.71529		68	1.47	0.00	4.41	25.00	69.12		
	COLLEGE	4.13601	4	1.03342	0.35	1,169	2.91	6.07	11.80	32.93	46.28		
Kathleen Wieters													
12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	80.00	74.47
	DEPARTMENT	4.44118	5	0.92045		68	2.94	1.47	7.35	25.00	63.24		
	COLLEGE	4.28374	5	0.98797	0.47	1,378	2.25	5.08	9.87	27.65	55.15		
17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.95743		4	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	20.00	42.55
	DEPARTMENT	4.59701	5	0.67554		67	0.00	1.49	5.97	23.88	68.66		
	COLLEGE	4.09500	4	1.13863	0.14	1,379	5.08	6.16	11.53	28.64	48.59		
21. Instructor provided for student consultation	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.50000		4	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	70.00	63.83
	DEPARTMENT	4.51471	5	0.68005		68	0.00	1.47	5.88	32.35	60.29		
	COLLEGE	4.35247	5	0.88217	0.45	1,376	1.02	3.56	10.68	28.63	56.10		

Response Key

2. The course projects/assignments provided good opportunity for learning

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

9. The course provided an appropriate challenge which enhanced my learning and development

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

12. Instructor made effective use of class/studio time

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

17. Instructor provided clear objectives for projects, assignments, exams, etc.

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

21. Instructor provided for student consultation

1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent