MATH 9850, Free Resolutions

Fall 2019

Project on type

Name: Shuai Wei

Let k be a field, and set $R = k[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_d \rangle \leq R$. Let I be an ideal of R generated by non-constant homogeneous polynomials. Assume that $\overline{R} = R/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension Δ .

Fact 1. There is a free resolution

$$F = (0 \to \underbrace{R^{\beta_{d-\Delta}}}_{\deg d - \Delta} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-\Delta}^F} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^F} R^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^F} R \to 0)$$

of \overline{R} over R such that the entries of the matrices representing ∂_i^F are non-constant and homogeneous. Furthermore, one has depth_I $(R) = d - \Delta$.

The goal of this project is to prove that $\beta_{d-\Delta} = \text{type}(\overline{R})$. We accomplish this in steps.

Exercise 2. Let $\mathbf{f} = f_1, \dots, f_{\Delta} \in \mathfrak{X}$ be a homogenous maximal \overline{R} -regular sequence. As in Homework 2, let $K = K^R(\mathbf{f}, F)$ be defined inductively as $K^R(f_{\Delta}, F) = \operatorname{Cone}(F \xrightarrow{f_{\Delta}} F)$ and $K = K^R(\mathbf{f}, F) = \operatorname{Cone}(K^R(\mathbf{f}', F) \xrightarrow{f_1} K^R(\mathbf{f}', F))$ where $\mathbf{f}' = f_2, \dots, f_{\Delta}$.

(a) Prove that

$$K = K^{R}(\mathbf{f}, F) = (0 \to \underbrace{R^{\beta_{d-\Delta}}}_{\text{deg } d} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-\Delta}^{K}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{K}} R^{\Delta + \beta_{1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{K}} R \to 0)$$

is a resolution of $\overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \overline{R} \cong R/(I + \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle)$ over R such that the entries of the matrices representing ∂_i^K are non-constant and homogeneous.

Proof. Let F^+ be the corresponding augmented free resolution of \overline{R} :

$$F^{+} = (0 \to \underbrace{R^{\beta_{d-\Delta}}}_{\text{deg } d-\Delta} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-\Delta}^{F}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{F}} R^{\beta_{1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{F}} R \xrightarrow{\tau} \overline{R} \to 0).$$

It is enough to prove the claim: Let $\mathbf{g} = g_1, \dots, g_D \in \mathfrak{X}$ be a homogeneous \overline{R} -regular sequence, then

$$L = K^{R}(\mathbf{g}, F) = (0 \to \underbrace{R^{\beta_{d-D}}}_{\text{deg } d} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-D}^{L}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{L}} R^{D+\beta_{1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{L}} R \to 0)$$

is a resolution of $\overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle \overline{R} \cong R/(I+\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle)$ over R such that the entries of the matrices representing ∂_i^L are non-constant and homogeneous. To prove the claim, we use induction on D.

Base case: The case for D=0 is covered in Fact 1. Let D=1. Then

$$F^{+} = (0 \to \underbrace{R^{\beta_{d-\Delta}}}_{\deg d-\Delta} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-\Delta}^{F}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{F}} R^{\beta_{1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{F}} R \xrightarrow{\tau} \overline{R} \to 0),$$

and
$$L = K^R(g_1, F) = \text{Cone}(F \xrightarrow{g_1} F)$$
. So

$$\partial_1^L: \ \underset{F_1}{\overset{F_0}{\oplus}} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ g_1 & \partial_1^F \end{bmatrix}} \underset{F_0}{\overset{0}{\oplus}} \Longrightarrow \partial_1^L: \ \underset{R^{\beta_1}}{\overset{R}{\oplus}} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} g_1 & \partial_1^F \end{bmatrix}} \underset{R}{\overset{0}{\oplus}} \Longrightarrow \partial_1^L: \ R^{1+\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} g_1 & \partial_1^F \end{bmatrix}} R.$$

Since F^+ is exact, we have $\operatorname{Im}(\partial_1^F) = \operatorname{Ker}(\tau) = I$. So

$$\operatorname{Im}(\partial_1^L) = \operatorname{Im}(\left[g_1 \ \partial_1^F\right]) = \langle g_1 \rangle + \operatorname{Im}(\partial_1^F) = \langle g_1 \rangle + I.$$

Hence

$$H_0(L) = R/\operatorname{Im}(\partial_1^L) = R/(\langle g_1 \rangle + I).$$

Claim. $H_i(L) = 0$ for i = 1, ..., d - 1. Since F is a resolution, we have $H_i(F) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$ and $H_0(F) \cong \overline{R}$. By Theorem I.D.20, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \Sigma F \longrightarrow 0.$$

We consider the long exact sequence of homology modules that rises from the above short exact sequence.

(1) Let $i \geq 2$. Then

So by Fact I.B.2(c), we have $H_i(L) = 0$.

(2) Let i = 1. Then

Since $H_1(F) = 0$ and the above sequence is exact, we have $Ker(H_1(L) \to H_0(F)) = 0$. Since q_1 is a non-zero-divisor on \overline{R} , we have $H_0(F) \xrightarrow{g_1} H_0(F)$ is 1-1. So

$$\mathrm{H}_1(L) \cong \mathrm{H}_1(L)/\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{H}_1(L) \to \mathrm{H}_0(F)) \cong \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{H}_0(F) \xrightarrow{g_1} \mathrm{H}_0(F)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, $H_i(L)=0$ for $i=1,\ldots,d-1$. So $L=K^R(g_1,F)$ is a free resolution of $H_0(L)=R/(\langle g_1\rangle+I)$ by Lemma II.A.3. Since $g_1\in\mathfrak{X}$ is homogenous and a non-zero-divisor on \overline{R} , we have g_1 is non-constant and homogeneous. Also, since the entries of the matrices representating ∂_i^F are non-constant and homogeneous, we have the entries of the matrices representating $\partial_i^L=\begin{bmatrix} -\partial_{i-1}^F & 0\\ g_1 & \partial_i^F \end{bmatrix}$ are also non-constant and homogeneous. Inductive case: Set $\mathbf{g}'=g_1,\ldots,g_{D-1}$ and $L'=K^R(\mathbf{g}',F)$. By definition, \mathbf{g}' is \overline{R} -

Inductive case: Set $\mathbf{g}' = g_1, \ldots, g_{D-1}$ and $L' = K^R(\mathbf{g}', F)$. By definition, \mathbf{g}' is \overline{R} -regular. The inductive hypothesis tells us that L' is a free resolution of $R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I)$ and the entries of the matrices representating $\partial_i^{L'}$ are non-constant and homogeneous. Then we claim that $(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I : g_D) = \langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I$.

Proof of claim. " \supseteq " follows from Proposition II.A.6. " \subseteq ". Let $\alpha \in (\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I : g_D)$, so $g_D \cdot \alpha \in \langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I$. Then $g_D \overline{\alpha} = \overline{g_D \alpha} = 0$ in $R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I)$. But g_D is a non-zero-divisor on $R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I) \cong \overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle \overline{R}$ by condition (D) of Definition II.B.5, so $\overline{\alpha} = 0$ in $R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I)$. Therefore, $\alpha \in \langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I$.

Now consider the following free resolutions given by the inductive hypothesis:

$$R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I)$$

$$(L')^{+} = 0 \longrightarrow R^{\beta_{d-D+1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow R^{D-1+\beta_{1}} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I : g_{D}) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow^{g_{D}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{g_{D}} \qquad \downarrow^{g_{D}} \qquad \downarrow^{g_{D}}$$

$$(L')^{+} = 0 \longrightarrow R^{\beta_{d-D+1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow R^{D-1+\beta_{1}} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I) \longrightarrow 0$$

By Theorem II.A.7,

$$L = K^{R}(\mathbf{g}, F) = \operatorname{Cone}(K^{R}(\mathbf{g}', F) \xrightarrow{g_{D}} K^{R}(\mathbf{g}', F)) = \operatorname{Cone}(L' \xrightarrow{g_{D}} L')$$

is a free resolution of
$$R/(\langle \mathbf{g}' \rangle + I + g_D R) = R/(\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle + I)$$
. Since $\partial_i^L = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_{i-1}^{L'} & 0 \\ g_1 & \partial_i^{L'} \end{bmatrix}$ and

the entries of the matrices representing $\partial_i^{L'}$ are non-constant and homogeneous and g_1 is non-constant and homogeneous, we have the entries of the matrices representing ∂_i^L are non-constant and homogeneous.

(b) Since type (\overline{R}) = type $(\overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle)$, conclude that we may assume without loss of generality that $\Delta = 0$.

Proof. We need to show that $\beta_{d-\Delta} = \operatorname{type}(\overline{R})$, it is enough to show that $\beta_{d-\Delta} = \operatorname{type}(\overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle)$ since $\operatorname{type}(\overline{R}) = \operatorname{type}(\overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle)$. But part (a) gives a free resolution for $\overline{R}/\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$, which is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $\dim(\overline{R}) - \Delta = \Delta - \Delta = 0$, so we may assume without losss of generality that $\Delta = 0$.

Remark. We can also just use Theorem II.A.7 to prove the base case D = 1 in (a).

Assume for the rest of the project that $\Delta = 0$. It follows that we have $\operatorname{type}(\overline{R}) = \dim_k(\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, \overline{R})) = \dim_k(\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k, \overline{R}))$, and the goal is to prove that $\beta_d = \operatorname{type}(\overline{R})$.

Exercise 3. (a) Use Fact 1 to prove that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(\overline{R},R)=0$ for all $i\neq d$.

Proof. We have

$$F^*: 0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R,R) \xrightarrow{(\partial_1^F)^*} \cdots \xrightarrow{(\partial_d^F)^*} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R^{\beta_d},R) \to 0.$$

Since $(F^*)_j = F^*_{-j} = 0^* = 0$ for all $j \leq -d-1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(\overline{R},R) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}(\partial_{-i}^{F^{*}})}{\operatorname{Im}(\partial_{-i+1}^{F^{*}})} = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}(0 \to (F^{*})_{-i-1})}{\operatorname{Im}(\partial_{-i+1}^{F^{*}})} = 0, \ \forall \ i \ge d+1.$$

Since $\Delta=0$, we have $\operatorname{depth}_I(R)=d-\Delta=d$. So there exists a R-regular sequence in I of length d, which is also weakly R-regular. So $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(\overline{R},R)=0$ for all $i\leq d-1$ by Theorem II.C.4(a).

(b) Prove that $\Sigma^d F^* = \Sigma^d \operatorname{Hom}_R(F,R)$ is a free resolution of $\omega := \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(\overline{R},R)$.

Proof. We have

$$\Sigma^{d}F^{*} = (0 \to \underbrace{\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R,R)}_{\operatorname{deg} d} \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d}(\partial_{1}^{F})^{*}} \cdots \xrightarrow{(-1)^{d}(\partial_{d}^{F})^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R^{\beta_{d}},R) \to 0),$$

implying

$$\Sigma^d F^* = (0 \to R \xrightarrow{(-1)^d (\partial_1^F)^*} \cdots \xrightarrow{(-1)^d (\partial_d^F)^*} R^{\beta_d} \to 0).$$

By (a) we have $H_j(F^*) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^{-j}(\overline{R}, R) = 0$ for $j \geq 1 - d$. Then by Remark I.D.7, we have $H_i(\Sigma^d F^*) = H_{i-d}(F^*) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Also note that $(\Sigma^d F^*)_i = (F^*)_{i-d}$ is free for each i. So by Lemma II.A.3, we have $\Sigma^d F^*$ is a free resolution of $H_0(\Sigma^d F^*) \cong H_{-d}(F^*) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(\overline{R}, R) = \omega$.

(c) Use Nakayama's lemma to prove that ω is minimally generated by β_d many elements.

Proof. Note that

$$\omega = \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(\overline{R}, R) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}(\partial_{-d}^{F^*})}{\operatorname{Im}(\partial_{-d+1}^{F^*})} = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}((F_d)^* \to 0)}{\operatorname{Im}((\partial_d^F)^*)} \cong \frac{R^{\beta_d}}{\operatorname{Im}((\partial_d^F)^*)}.$$

Let $C \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\beta_{d-1} \times \beta_d}(R)$ be the matrix representing $\partial_d^F : R^{\beta_d} \to R^{\beta_{d-1}}$. Then $D := C^T$ is the matrix representing $(\partial_d^F)^* : R^{\beta_{d-1}} \to R^{\beta_d}$. Since the entries $C_{i,j}$ are non-constant and homogeneous, we have $C_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{X}$ and then $D_{j,i} \in \mathfrak{X}$. Hence we have $\operatorname{Im}((\partial_d^F)^*) = D(R^{\beta_{d-1}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}R^{\beta_d}$ is a submodule. So by the third isomorphism theorem for modules,

$$\frac{\omega}{\mathfrak{X}\omega} \cong \frac{R^{\beta_d}}{\mathfrak{X}R^{\beta_d} + \operatorname{Im}((\partial_d^F)^*)} = \frac{R^{\beta_d}}{\mathfrak{X}R^{\beta_d}} = \frac{R^{\beta_d}}{(\mathfrak{X})^{\beta_d}} \cong \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{X}}\right)^{\beta_d} \cong k^{\beta_d}.$$

Since the length of basis of the k-vector space k^{β_d} is β_d , we have the length of basis of the k-vector space $\frac{\omega}{\mathfrak{X}\omega}$ is β_d . So the k-module ω is minimally generated by β_d many elements by Nakayama's lemma.

Fact 4. Let M be an R-module. Then M has a well-defined \overline{R} -module structure defined by the formula $\overline{r}m := rm$ if and only if IM = 0.

Fact 5. If M is an \overline{R} -module and N is an R-module, then $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,N)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(N,M)$ are \overline{R} -modules for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,N)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(N,M)$ are R-modules, by Fact 4 it suffices to show that $I \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,N) = 0 = I \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(N,M)$. Since M is an \overline{R} -module, IM = 0 by Fact 4. Then $\mu^{M,a}: M \xrightarrow{a} M$ is the zero map for all $a \in I$. So for all $a \in I$:

$$\begin{split} \mu^{\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,N),a} &= \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\mu^{M,a},N) = \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(0,N) = 0 \\ &= \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N,0) = \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N,\mu^{M,a}) = \mu^{\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N,M),a}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$a \cdot \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0 = a \cdot \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(N, M), \ \forall \ a \in I.$$

Thus,
$$I \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0 = I \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$$
.

Fact 6. Let M, N be \overline{R} -modules and $f: M \to N$ a function. Then f is an R-module homomorphism if and only if it is an \overline{R} -module homomorphism. In other words, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(M,N) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$.

Proof. Let $\bar{r} \in \overline{R}$ with $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Since M, N are \overline{R} -modules, we have $f(\bar{r}m) = f(rm)$ and $\bar{r}f(m) = rf(m)$. So $f(\bar{r}m) = \bar{r}f(m)$ if and only if f(rm) = rf(m).

Fact 7. Given R-complexes A, B, C one can construct Hom-complexes and tensor-product-complexes such that there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(B, C)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A \otimes_{R} B, C). \tag{7.1}$$

Also, if P is free resolution of M, and Q is a free resolution of N, then for all i we have

$$H_{-i}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(P,Q)) \cong H_{-i}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(P,N)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,N)$$
 (7.2)

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Sigma^{i}A, \Sigma^{i}B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, B)$$
 (7.3)

$$H_{-i}(P \otimes_R Q) \cong H_{-i}(P \otimes_R N) \tag{7.4}$$

$$A \otimes_R B \cong B \otimes_R A \tag{7.5}$$

$$(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{i} A) \otimes_{R} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-i} B) \cong A \otimes_{R} B \tag{7.6}$$

In particular, one has $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\omega,\omega) \cong \overline{R}$ because ω is an \overline{R} -module by Fact 5 and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\omega,\omega) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\omega,\omega) \qquad \text{by Fact } 6$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{0}(\omega,\omega)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Sigma^{d}F^{*},\Sigma^{d}F^{*})) \qquad \text{by } (7.2)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F^{*},F^{*})) \qquad \text{by } (7.3)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F^{*},\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,R)))$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F^{*}\otimes_{R}F,R)) \qquad \text{by } (7.1)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,\operatorname{Se}^{R}F^{*},R)) \qquad \text{by } (7.5)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F^{*},R)))$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,F^{**}))$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,F))$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,\overline{R})) \qquad \text{by } (7.2)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{0}(\overline{R},\overline{R})$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\overline{R},\overline{R})$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\overline{R},\overline{R})$$

$$\cong \overline{R}$$

Exercise 8. Let L be a complex of finite rank free R-modules, and let N be an R-module. Prove that the natural map $\Phi \colon L^* \otimes_R N \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L,N)$ given by $\Phi(\alpha \otimes n)(x) = \alpha(x)n$ is an isomorphism of R-complexes. (Hint: Prove that it is a chain map, then prove that it is an isomorphism when $L = R^b$.)

Proof. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$\phi: L_{-i}^* \times N \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(L_{-i}, N)$$
$$\phi(\alpha, n)(x) \longmapsto \alpha(x)n.$$

Then to prove Φ_i is a well-defined R-module homomorphism, we need to show that ϕ is a well-defined R-bilinear function. Let $(\alpha, n) \in L_{-i}^* \times N$. Then $\alpha \in L_{-i}^* = \operatorname{Hom}_R(L_{-i}, R)$. Let $l_1, l_2 \in L_{-i+1}$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$\phi(\alpha, n)(rl_1 + l_2) = \alpha(rl_1 + l_2)n = (r\alpha(l_1) + \alpha(l_2))n = r\alpha(l_1)n + \alpha(l_2)n$$

= $r\phi(\alpha, n)(l_1) + \phi(\alpha, n)(l_2)$.

So $\phi(\alpha, n) \in \text{Hom}_R(L_{-i}, N)$. Hence ϕ is well-defined. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \in L_{-i}^*$, $n_1, n_2, n \in N$ and $r, s \in R$. Then for $x \in L_{-i+1}$ we have

$$\phi(r\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, n)(x) = (r\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(x)n = r\alpha_1(x)n + \alpha_2(x)n = r\phi(\alpha_1, n)(x) + \phi(\alpha_2, n)(x),$$

$$\phi(\alpha, n_1s + n_2)(x) = \alpha(x)(n_1s + n_2) = (\alpha(x)n_1)s + \alpha(x)n_2 = (\phi(\alpha, n_1)s)(x) + \phi(\alpha, n_2)(x).$$

So $\phi(r\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, n) = r\phi(\alpha_1, n) + \phi(\alpha_2, n)$ and $\phi(\alpha, n_1s + n_2) = \phi(\alpha, n_1)s + \phi(\alpha, n_2)$. Hence ϕ is R-bilinear. Consider the following diagram.

$$\cdots \longrightarrow L_{i}^{*} \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{\partial_{i}^{L^{*}} \otimes_{R} N} L_{i-1}^{*} \otimes_{R} N \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\downarrow^{\Phi_{i}} \qquad \downarrow^{\Phi_{i-1}}$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(L_{-i}, N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\partial_{-i+1}^{L}, N)} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(L_{-i+1}, N) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

To show the commutativity of the above diagram, it is enough to show that it is commutative on the generators of $L_i^* \otimes_R N$. Let $\alpha \otimes n \in L_i^* \otimes_R N$. Then for $x \in L_{-i+1}$, we have

$$\Phi_{i-1}((\partial_i^{L^*} \otimes_R N)(\alpha \otimes n))(x) = \Phi_{i-1}(\partial_i^{L^*}(\alpha) \otimes n)(x) = (\partial_i^{L^*}(\alpha))(x)n$$
$$= ((\partial_{-i+1}^L)^*(\alpha))(x)n = (\alpha \circ \partial_{-i+1}^L)(x)n,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\partial_{-i+1}^{L}, N)(\Phi_{i}(\alpha \otimes n))(x) = (\Phi_{i}(\alpha \otimes n) \circ \partial_{-i+1}^{L})(x) = \Phi_{i}(\alpha \otimes n)(\partial_{-i+1}^{L}(x))$$
$$= \alpha(\partial_{-i+1}^{L}(x))n = (\alpha \circ \partial_{-i+1}^{L})(x)n.$$

So $\Phi_{i-1}((\partial_i^{L^*} \otimes_R N)(\alpha \otimes n)) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\partial_{-i+1}^L, N)(\Phi_i(\alpha \otimes n))$ and thus $\Phi_{i-1} \circ (\partial_i^{L^*} \otimes_R N) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\partial_{-i+1}^L, N) \circ \Phi_i$. Hence Φ is a chain map. Assume without loss of generality that $L_j = R^{b_j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $L_i^* = (L_{-i})^* = \operatorname{Hom}_R(L_{-i}, R) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R^{b_{-i}}, R)$. To prove Φ is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that Φ_i is bijective. Let $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^{b_{-i}} \subseteq R^{b_{-i}}$ be a basis. Then we have the following isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R^{b_{-i}}, R) \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{b_{-i}}$$
$$\psi \longmapsto (\psi(e_{\lambda})),$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(R^{b_{-i}}, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} N^{b_{-i}}$$

 $\phi \longmapsto (\phi(e_{\lambda})),$

and

$$R^{b_{-i}} \otimes_R N \longrightarrow N^{b_{-i}}$$
$$(e_{\lambda}) \otimes n \longmapsto (e_{\lambda}n).$$

So we have an induced isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R^{b_{-i}}, R) \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{b_{-i}} \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{\cong} N^{b_{-i}}$$
$$\alpha \otimes n \longmapsto (\alpha(e_{\lambda})) \otimes n \longmapsto (\alpha(e_{\lambda})n).$$

Hence the following diagram commutes.

Thus, Φ_i is an isomorphism.

Exercise 9. (a) Use the Koszul resolution K of k over R to prove that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\omega) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(k,\omega) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{0}(k,\omega) \cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(F^{*} \otimes_{R} k) \cong k.$$

Proof. Since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$, we have Ik = 0. So k is an \overline{R} -module. Also, since ω is an \overline{R} -module by Fact 5, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\omega) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(k,\omega)$ by Fact 6. The first isomorphism is by the proof of Theorem I.E.6. Since K is a free resolution of k and $\Sigma^d F^*$ is a free resolution of ω by Exercise 3(b),

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{0}(k,\omega) \cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K,\Sigma^{d}F^{*})) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.2)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Sigma^{d}K^{*},\Sigma^{d}F^{*})) \qquad \qquad K \text{ is self-dual}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K^{*},F^{*})) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.3)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K^{*},\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,R))) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.1)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F\otimes_{R}K^{*},R)) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.5)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K^{*},R))) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.1)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,K^{**})) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.1)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,K^{**})) \qquad \qquad \text{by (7.2)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{H}_{0}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(F,k)) \qquad \qquad \text{by Exercise 8}$$

Note that

$$F^* \otimes_R k : 0 \to R \otimes_R k \xrightarrow{(\partial_1^F)^* \otimes k} \cdots \xrightarrow{(\partial_d^F)^* \otimes k} R^{\beta_d} \otimes_R k \to 0,$$

implying

$$F^*/\mathfrak{X}F^* \cong F^* \otimes_R k : 0 \to k \xrightarrow{(\partial_1^F)^* \otimes R/\mathfrak{X}} k^{\beta_1} \to \cdots \xrightarrow{(\partial_d^F)^* \otimes R/\mathfrak{X}} k^{\beta_d} \to 0.$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{Im}((\partial_1^F)^* \otimes R/\mathfrak{X}) = \operatorname{Im}((\partial_1^F)^*) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{X} \cong \operatorname{Im}((\partial_1^F)^*)/\mathfrak{X}\operatorname{Im}((\partial_1^F)^*).$$

Similar to Exercise 3(c), we have $\operatorname{Im}((\partial_1^F)^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$. So $\operatorname{Im}((\partial_1^F)^* \otimes R/\mathfrak{X}) = 0$. Hence

$$H_0(F^* \otimes_R k) \cong \operatorname{Ker}((\partial_1^F)^* \otimes R/\mathfrak{X}) = k.$$

(b) Prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\overline{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\omega)^{\beta_d} \cong k^{\beta_d}$.

Proof. By (a), it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\overline{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\omega)^{\beta_d}$. Since ω is minimally generated β_d many elements by Exercise 3(c), we have $k^{\beta_d} \cong \omega \otimes_R k \cong k \otimes_R \omega$ by Lemma VII.3.12 in Homological Algebra Notes and by (7.5). So

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\omega)^{\beta_d} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k^{\beta_d},\omega)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k \otimes_R \omega,\omega)$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\omega,\omega)) \qquad \text{by (7.1)}$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\overline{R}) \qquad \text{by Fact 7.}$$

(c) Conclude that type(\overline{R}) = β_d , as desired.

Proof. By Fact 6 and proof of (a), we have $\operatorname{Hom}_R(k,\overline{R}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k,\overline{R})$. Since $\operatorname{depth}(\overline{R}) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\overline{R}) = \Delta = 0$, we have $\operatorname{depth}(\overline{R}) = 0$. So by (b),

$$\operatorname{type}(\overline{R}) = \dim_k(\operatorname{Ext}_R^0(k, \overline{R})) = \dim_k(\operatorname{Hom}_R(k, \overline{R})) = \dim_k(\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(k, \overline{R})) = \beta_d. \qquad \Box$$