MATH 9850, Advanced Homological Algebra Spring 2020

Project on polarization (conclusion)

Let k be a field, and set $R = k[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_d \rangle \leq R$. For each positive integer n, set $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For each sequence of positive integers $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ set $R_{\mathbf{n}} = k[X_{i,j} \mid i \in [d]]$ and $i \in [n_i]$.

Let I be a monomial ideal of R, and let $\widetilde{I} \leq R_{\mathbf{n}}$ be the polarization of I where \mathbf{n} is determined by the generators of I. The first part of this project concludes that the sequence consisting of polynomials $X_{i,j-1} - X_{i,j}$ for all $i \in [d]$ and $1 < j \in [n_i]$ is regular for $R_{\mathbf{n}}/\widetilde{I}$. The goal of this part of the project is to show that the sequence consisting of polynomials $X_{i,1} - X_{i,j}$ for all $i \in [d]$ and $1 < j \in [n_i]$ is regular for $R_{\mathbf{n}}/\widetilde{I}$.

Exercise 1. Read and understand the statement and proof of [1, Theorem 16.5(ii)].

Exercise 2. Let A be a noetherian ring, let M be a finitely generated R-module, and let $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in A$ be such that $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)A = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)A$ and there exists an invertible n-by-n matrix $Q := (q_{i,j})$ such that $[y_1 \cdots y_n]^T = Q[x_1 \cdots x_n]^T$. Assume that one of the following holds:

- (α) (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathfrak{m}$, or
- (β) A is an N-graded ring, M is an N-graded A-module, and $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ are homogeneous of positive degree.
- (a) Prove that $K^A(\underline{x}; M) \cong K^A(y; M)$

Proof. Since $K^A(\underline{x}; M) \cong K^A(\underline{x}) \otimes M$ and $K^A(\underline{y}; M) \cong K^A(\underline{y}) \otimes M$, it is equivalent to show that $K^A(\underline{x}) \cong K^A(\underline{y})$. Define $\psi_i : K^A(\underline{x})_i \to K^A(\underline{y})_i$ by $\psi_i = \bigwedge^i(Q^T)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\psi_i = \mathrm{id}$, otherwise. Since $K^A(\underline{x})_j = 0 = K^A(\underline{y})_j$ for $j \geq n+1$ or for $j \leq -1$ and $\bigwedge^i(Q^T)$ is invertible for $1 \leq i \leq n$, to show $K^A(\underline{x}) \cong K^A(\underline{y})$, it suffices to show that the following diagram with $1 \leq i \leq n$ commutes.

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be the standard A-basis of A^n . Then

$$\{e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\lambda_i} \mid 1 \leq \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_i \leq n\}$$

is an A-basis of $A^{\binom{n}{i}}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Note that

$$\partial_{i}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(e_{\lambda_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_{i}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{j-1} \partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(e_{\lambda_{j}}) \cdot e_{\lambda_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_{\lambda_{j}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_{i}}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{j-1} y_{\lambda_{j}} \cdot e_{\lambda_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_{\lambda_{j}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_{i}},$$

and

$$\partial_i^{K^A(\underline{x})}(e_{\lambda_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} x_{\lambda_j} \cdot e_{\lambda_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge \hat{e}_{\lambda_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{\lambda_i}.$$

To show the above diagram commutes, it is enough to show that

$$\bigwedge^{i-1}(Q^T) \circ \partial_i^{K^A(\underline{y})}(e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_i}) = \partial_i^{K^A(\underline{x})} \circ \bigwedge^i(Q^T)(e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_i}). \tag{2.1}$$

Claim. For $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_i \in K^A(y)_i$, we have

$$\partial_i^{K^A(\underline{y})}(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} \partial_1^{K^A(\underline{y})}(v_j) \cdot v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_j \wedge \dots \wedge v_i.$$

Proof by induction. Base case i = 1 follows from the definition. Inductive step. By [2, Exercise 4.33] and inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{split} &\partial_{i}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i})\\ &=\partial_{i}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}\left((v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i-1})\wedge v_{i}\right)\\ &=\partial_{i-1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}\left(v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i-1})\wedge v_{i}+(-1)^{i-1}\cdot v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i-1}\cdot\partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{i})\right)\\ &=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1}\partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{j})\cdot v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{v}_{j}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i-1}\right)\wedge v_{i}\\ &+(-1)^{i-1}\cdot v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i-1}\cdot\partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{i})\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1}\partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{j})\cdot v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{v}_{j}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i-1}\wedge v_{i}\\ &+(-1)^{i-1}\cdot\partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{i})\cdot v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{v}_{i-1}\wedge\hat{v}_{i}\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1}\partial_{1}^{K^{A}(\underline{y})}(v_{j})\cdot v_{1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{v}_{j}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{i}. \end{split}$$

On the one hand, the left hand side of (2.1) is

$$\bigwedge^{i-1}(Q^T) \circ \partial_i^{K^A(\underline{y})}(e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_i})$$

$$= \bigwedge^{i-1}(Q^T) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} y_{\lambda_j} e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_{\lambda_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} y_{\lambda_j} \bigwedge^{i-1}(Q^T)(e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_{\lambda_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_i})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} y_{\lambda_j} Q^T(e_{\lambda_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{Q}^T(e_{\lambda_j}) \wedge \dots \wedge Q^T(e_{\lambda_i}).$$

On the other hand, the right hand side of (2.1) is

$$\begin{split} &\partial_i^{K^A(\underline{x})} \circ \bigwedge^i (Q^T)(e_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\lambda_i}) \\ &= \partial_i^{K^A(\underline{x})} \big(Q^T(e_{\lambda_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge Q^T(e_{\lambda_i}) \big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} \Big(\partial_1^{K^A}(\underline{x}) \big(Q^T(e_{\lambda_j}) \big) \Big) Q^T(e_{\lambda_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{Q}^T(e_{\lambda_j}) \dots \wedge Q^T(e_{\lambda_i}), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \partial_1^{K^A}(\underline{x})\big(Q^T(e_{\lambda_j})\big) &= \partial_1^{K^A}(\underline{x})(q_{\lambda_j,1}e_1 + \dots + q_{\lambda_j,n}e_n) \\ &= \partial_1^{K^A}(\underline{x})(q_{\lambda_j,1}e_1) + \dots + \partial_1^{K^A}(\underline{x})(q_{\lambda_j,n}e_n) \\ &= q_{\lambda_j,1}x_1 + \dots + q_{\lambda_j,n}x_n \\ &= y_{\lambda_i}. \end{split}$$

Thus, (2.1) holds.

(b) Use [1, Theorem 16.5(ii)] with part (a) to prove that x_1, \ldots, x_n is M-regular if and only if y_1, \ldots, y_n is M-regular.

Proof. " \Rightarrow ". Assume x_1, \ldots, x_n is M-regular. Then $H_1(K^A(\underline{x}; M)) = H_1(\underline{x}; M) = 0$ by [3, Theorem VIII.6.15]. Since $K^A(\underline{x}; M) \cong K^A(\underline{y}; M)$ by (a), we have

$$H_1(y; M) = H_1(K^A(y; M)) \cong H_1(K^A(\underline{x}; M)) = 0.$$

So y_1, \ldots, y_n is M-regular by [1, Theorem 16.5(ii)]. " \Leftarrow ". It is similar to the proof of " \Rightarrow ".

Exercise 3. Use Exercise 2 to prove that the sequence consisting of polynomials $X_{i,1} - X_{i,j}$ for all $i \in [d]$ and $1 < j \in [n_i]$ is regular for $R_{\mathbf{n}}/\widetilde{I}$.

Proof. For $i=1,\ldots,d$, define Q_{n_i} to be a lower triangular n_i -by- n_i matrix such that all the non-zero entries are 1. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{1,1} - X_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{1,1} - X_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ X_{1,1} - X_{1,n_1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,1} - X_{d,2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,1} - X_{d,i} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,1} - X_{d,i} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,1} - X_{d,n_d} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{n_1} \\ & \ddots \\ & Q_{n_d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1,1} - X_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{1,i-1} - X_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ X_{1,n_1-1} - X_{1,n_1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,1} - X_{d,2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,i-1} - X_{d,i} \\ \vdots \\ X_{d,i-1} - X_{d,i} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $Q:=\begin{bmatrix}Q_{n_1}&&&\\&\ddots&&\\&&Q_{n_d}\end{bmatrix}$ is a lower triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are 1,

we have Q is invertible. Since the first part of this project concludes that the sequence consisting of polynomials $X_{i,j-1} - X_{i,j}$ for all $i \in [d]$ and $1 < j < [n_i]$ is regular for $R_{\mathbf{n}}/\widehat{I}$, we have the sequence consisting of polynomials $X_{i,1} - X_{i,j}$ for all $i \in [d]$ and $1 < j \in [n_i]$ is also regular for $R_{\mathbf{n}}/\widetilde{I}$ by Exercise 2.

References

- H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, second ed., Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR 90i:13001
- Kristen A Beck and Sean Sather-Wagstaff. A somewhat gentle introduction to differential graded commutative algebra. In Connections Between Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry, pages 3–99. Springer, 2014.
- Sean Sather-Wagstaff. Homological algebra notes. Unpublished lecture notes. Available at http://ssather.people.clemson.edu/notes.html, 2009.