

Algebraic Measure Theory

Uncultured Tramp

October 27, 2022

Contents

1	Measure Algebras	1
1.1	Subject	1
1.1.1	Definition and Basic Property	1
1.1.2	Measure Algebras Generated by Measure Spaces	4
1.1.3	Stone Representation Theorem	5
1.1.4	Ideals	6
1.1.5	Measure Properties	8
1.1.6	Connections with other Boolean Properties	11
1.1.7	Subspace Measures and Indefinite Integrals	13
1.1.8	Simple Products	14
1.1.9	Strictly Localizable Spaces	16
1.1.10	Subalgebras	17
1.1.11	Localization	19
1.1.12	Stone Spaces	20
1.1.13	Purely Infinite Elements	22
1.2	Topology	23
1.2.1	Subject	23
1.2.2	Relations with an Order Structure	25
1.2.3	Classification Theorems	29
1.2.4	Closed Subalgebras	31
1.2.5	Metric Space of Finite Elements	33
1.2.6	Relation with Convergence In Measure	34
1.2.7	Localization	35
1.2.8	Metric Space of Probability Subalgebras	36
1.2.9	Topology of the Lebesgue Algebra	37
1.3	Category	38
1.3.1	Measure Algebra Functor	38
1.3.2	Stone Space Functor	39
1.3.3	Order Continuous Homomorphism	40
1.3.4	Measure Preserving Homomorphism	42
1.3.5	Example	46
1.3.6	Tensor Products	47
1.3.7	Independent Process Algebra	50
1.3.8	independent Subalgebras	51
1.3.9	Coordinate Determination	52
1.4	Radon-Nikodym Parallels	54
1.4.1	Finitely Additive Functionals	55
1.4.2	Properly Atomless Functionals	58
1.4.3	Liapounoff's Convexity Theorem	61
1.4.4	Countably Additive Functionals	62
1.4.5	Completely Additive Functionals	65
1.4.6	Absolutely Continuous Additive Functionals	71
1.4.7	Radon-Nikodym's Isomorphism	76
1.4.8	Standard Extension	77
1.5	Category of Probability Algebras	80
1.5.1	Reduced Products	80
1.5.2	Filtered Colimits	83
1.5.3	Commuting endomorphism	86

2	Maharam's Theory	87
2.1	Types	87
2.1.1	Relative Atoms	87
2.1.2	Subject	87
2.2	Classification Theorem	87
2.3	Closed Subalgebras	87
2.4	Classification of Products	87
2.5	Von Neuman's Lifting Theorem	87
3	Abstract Ergodic Theory	87
4	Measurable Algebras	87

1 Measure Algebras

1.1 Subject

1.1.1 Definition and Basic Property

MeasureAlgebra :: ? $\sum A : \sigma\text{-DedekindComplete} . A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\infty$

$(A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} \iff \forall a \in A . \mu(a) = 0 \iff a = 0 \ \&$

$$\& \forall a : \text{PairwiseDisjointElements}(\mathbb{N}, A) . \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n)$$

measureAlgebraCategory :: CAT

measureAlgebraCategory () = MA := $(\text{MeasureAlgebra}, \text{BOOL}, \circ, \text{id})$

MeasureMonotonicity :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a, b \in A . a \leq b \Rightarrow \mu(a) \leq \mu(b)$

Proof =

Write $\mu(b) = \mu(a) + \mu(b \setminus a) \geq \mu(a)$.

□

MeasureStrictMonotonicity :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a, b \in A . a > b \Rightarrow \mu(a) > \mu(b)$

Proof =

Definition of measure algebra implies that $\mu(b \setminus a) > 0$.

Write $\mu(b) = \mu(a) + \mu(b \setminus a) > \mu(a)$.

□

MinkovskyIneq :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a, b \in A . \mu(a \vee b) \leq \mu(a) + \mu(b)$

Proof =

Write $\mu(a) + \mu(b) = \mu(a \setminus ab) + \mu(ab) + \mu(b \setminus ab) + \mu(ab) \geq \mu(a \setminus ab) + \mu(ab) + \mu(b \setminus ab) = \mu(a \vee b)$.

□

MonotonicSupremumAsLimit :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \uparrow A . \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_n)$

Proof =

Construct disjoint sequence $b_n = a_n \setminus \bigvee_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k$.

Then by construction $\mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(b_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n b_k \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_n)$.

□

SupremumIneq :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A . \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n)$

Proof =

Construct increasing sequence $b_n = \bigvee_{k=1}^n a_n$.

Then by construction $\mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(a_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n)$.

□

MonotonicInfimumAsLimit ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \downarrow A . \forall \aleph : \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(a_n) < \infty . \mu \left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_n)$

Proof =

Without loss of generality assume that $\mu(a_1) < \infty$.

Then construc the increasing sequence $b_n = a_1 \setminus a_n$.

Then $\mu(a_1) - \mu \left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \mu \left(a_1 \setminus \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_1 \setminus a_n \right) = \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(b_n) =$
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_1 \setminus a_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_1) - \mu(a_n) = \mu(a_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_n)$.

So basic algebraic manipulations $\mu \left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_n)$.

□

SupremumExistance ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall C : \text{UpwardsDirected}(A) . \forall \aleph : \sup_{c \in C} \mu(c) < \infty . \exists a \in A : a = \sup C$

Proof =

1 Assume $\gamma = \sup_{c \in C} \mu(c)$.

2 Then there exists a seurnce of $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C$ such that $\mu(a_n) \geq \gamma - 2^{-n}$.

3 As C is upwards closed, it is possible to find $c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C$ such that $c_{n+1} \geq a_n \vee c_n$.

4 Then c is monotonic-nondecreasing and so it has $\mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(c_n) = \gamma$.

4.1 Note that $\gamma \geq \mu(c_n) \geq \gamma - 2^{-n}$.

5 let $d = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$.

6 $d \geq f$ for every $f \in C$.

6.1 Assume this is false.

6.2 Then $f \setminus d \neq 0$ and so $\alpha = \mu(f \setminus d) > 0$.

6.3 Then there exists n such that $\gamma - \mu(c_n) < \alpha$.

6.4 As C is upwards derected there is $g \in C$ such that $g \geq f \vee c_n$.

6.5 But $\mu(g) \geq \mu(f \vee c_n) = \mu(c_n) + \mu(f \setminus c_n) \geq \mu(c_n) + \mu(f \setminus d) > \gamma$ which is impossible.

7 If there is another f with the property (6), then $d = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \leq f$ as $c_n \leq f$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

□

UpperContinuity ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall C : \text{UpwardsDirected}(A) . \forall \mathbb{N} : \exists a \in A : a = \sup C . \sup_{c \in C} \mu(c) = \mu(\sup C)$$

Proof =

Case $\sup_{c \in C} \mu(c) = \infty$ is trivial.

Finite case follows from the cconstruction in the previous theorem.

□

DisjointUpperContinuity ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall C : \text{PairwiseDisjointElements}(A) . \forall \mathbb{N} : \exists a \in A : a = \sup C . \\ . \mu(\sup C) = \sum_{c \in C} \mu(c)$$

Proof =

Construct a new set $D = \left\{ \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_k \mid c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C \right\}$.

Then D is upwards directed and $\sup C = \sup D$.

$$\text{But this is evedent that } \mu(\sup D) = \sup_{d \in D} \mu(d) = \sup_{c: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C} \mu\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n\right) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, c: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow C} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(c_k) = \sum_{c \in C} \mu(c).$$

□

InfimumExistance ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall C : \text{DownwaedDirected}(A) . \forall \mathbb{N} : \inf_{c \in C} \mu(c) < \infty . \exists a \in A : a = \inf C$$

Proof =

1 There exists some $a \in C$ such that $\mu(a) < \infty$.

2 Construct another set $D = a \setminus C$.

3 Then D is upwards directed and $\sup_{d \in D} \mu(d) \leq \mu(a) < \infty$.

4 So there is $d = \sup d$.

5 Define $f = a \setminus d$.

6 $f \leq c$ for any $c \in C$ as $a \setminus f \geq a \setminus c$.

7 if some g has property (6) then $a \setminus g \geq d$ and so $g \leq f$.

□

LowerContinuity ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall C : \text{DownwardsDirected}(A) . \forall \mathbb{N} : \exists a \in A : a = \inf C . \\ . \forall \sqsupset : \inf_{c \in C} \mu(c) < \infty . \inf_{c \in C} \mu(c) = \mu(\inf C)$$

Proof =

Use the construction in the previous theorem.

□

1.1.2 Measure Algebras Generated by Measure Spaces

measureAlgebra :: MEAS \rightarrow MeasureAlgebra

$$\text{measureAlgebra}(X, \Sigma, \mu) = (A_\mu, \bar{\mu}) := \left(\frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma \cap \mathcal{N}_\mu}, [E] \mapsto \mu(E) \right)$$

This is obviously well defined as $[E] = [F]$ iff $\mu(E \triangle F) = 0$.

canonicalProjection :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \sigma\text{-BOOL}(\Sigma, A_\mu)$

$$\text{canonicalProjection}(E) = \pi_\mu(E) := [E]$$

1 The algebraic properties are obvious as $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{N}_\mu$ is an ideal.

2 In order to prove sigma-continuity assume $E : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma$.

2.1 Let $Z : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma \cap \mathcal{N}_\mu$.

$$2.2 \text{ Then } F_Z = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n \triangle Z_n) = \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) \triangle \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n \right).$$

$$2.3 \text{ Note that } \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(Z_n) = 0.$$

$$2.4 \text{ So } \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n \in \Sigma \cap \mathcal{N}_\mu \text{ as } \mu \geq 0.$$

$$2.5 \text{ Thus } [F_Z] = \left[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right] \text{ for any selection of } Z.$$

$$2.6 \text{ This means that } \pi_\mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_\mu(E_n).$$

□

MeasureAlgebraMonotonicity :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall T \subset_\sigma \Sigma . \pi_\mu(T) \subset_\sigma A_\mu$

Proof =

1 Clearly $B = \pi_\mu(T) \subset A_\mu$.

2 Also as T is σ -algebra and $\pi - \mu$ is a σ -continuous homomorphism B is again.

□

MeasureAlgebraInverseMonotonicity :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall B \subset_\sigma A_\mu . \pi_\mu^{-1}(B) \subset_\sigma \Sigma$

Proof =

1 Clearly $T = \pi_\mu^{-1}(B) \subset \Sigma$.

2 Assume F is a set constructed by applying σ -algebra operations to sets $E_1, E_2, \dots \in T$.

3 Then $\pi_\mu(F)$ can be constructed by applying same operations to $\pi(E_1), \pi(E_2), \dots$

4 This implies that $\pi_\mu(F) \in B$ and reciprocally $F \in T$.

5 Thus T is a σ -algebra.

□

1.1.3 Stone Representation Theorem

StoneRepresentationTheorem :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \exists (X, \Sigma, \nu) \in \text{MEAS} . (A, \mu) = (A_\nu, \bar{\nu})$

Proof =

1 By Loomis-Sikorski representation there exists a set X with a sigma-algebra Σ and

sigma-ideal I such that $\frac{\Sigma}{I} \cong_{\text{BOOL}} A$.

2 Then there is a canonical projection $\pi_I \in \text{BOOL}(\Sigma, A)$.

3 Define $\nu = \pi_I \mu$.

4 ν is measure on Σ .

4.1 $\nu(\emptyset) = \mu(0) = 0$.

4.2 Assume E is a disjoint sequence in Σ .

4.3 Then $\pi_I(E_n)\pi_I(E_m) = \pi_I(E_n \cap E_m) = \pi_I(\emptyset) = 0$, so $\pi_I(E)$ is disjoint in A .

4.4 Thus, $\nu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \pi_I \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_I(E_n)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_I \mu(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_n)$.

5 Also by consytruction $\mathcal{N}_\nu \cap \Sigma = I$, so $(A, \mu) = (A_\nu, \bar{\nu})$.

□

spaceOfStone :: $\text{MeasureAlgebra} \rightarrow \text{MEAS}$

SpaceOfStone $(A, \mu) = (Z_A, \dot{\Sigma}_\mu, \dot{\mu}) := \text{StoneRepresentationTheorem}(A, \mu)$

1.1.4 Ideals

PrincipleIdealRestriction :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a \in A . \text{MeasureAlgebra}((a), \mu|_{(a)})$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

measureQuotient ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall I : \text{Ideal}(A) . \forall [a] \in \frac{A}{I} . \exists \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{\infty} . \gamma = \min\{\mu(b) | b \in A, \pi_I(b) = [a]\}$

Proof =

1 $\gamma = \inf\{\mu(b) | b \in A, \pi_I(b) = [a]\}$ exists as a set is bounded by below by 0.

2 If $\gamma = \infty$ then the result is obvious.

3 Otherwise there is a decreasing sequence $b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi_I(b_n) = [a]$ for any n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(b_n) = \gamma$.

4 Then $c = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ is such that $\mu(c) = \gamma$ and $\pi_I(c) = a$.

4.1 Clearly $\pi_I\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n\right) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_I(b_n) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} [a] = [a]$.

5 So the infimum is attained.

□

measureQuotient :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \prod I : \text{Ideal}(A) . \frac{A}{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}$

measureQuotient (a) = $\mu_I(a) := \min\{\mu(b) | b \in A, \pi_I(b) = a\}$

finiteElementsIdeal :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{Ideal}(A)$

finiteElementsIdeal () = $A^f := \{a \in A | \mu(a) < \infty\}$

MeasureIdealQuotient :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall I : \text{Ideal}(A) . \text{MeasureAlgebra} \left(\frac{A}{I}, \mu_I \right)$

Proof =

1 Clearly $\mu_I(0) = 0$.

2 Assume that $[a] \neq 0$.

2.1 Then there exists $b \in A$ such that $\pi_I(a) = [a]$ and $\mu(b) = \mu_I[a]$.

2.2 As $[a] \neq 0$, then $b \neq 0$, and henceforth $\mu(b) \neq 0$.

2.3 Thus, $\mu_I[a] \neq 0$.

3 Assume $[a] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \frac{A}{I}$ is disjoint.

3.1 It is possible to select representatives b_n for each $[a_n]$ such that $\mu(b_n) = \mu_I[a_n]$.

3.2 Then $b_n b_m \in I$ if $n \neq m$.

3.3 Construct a new sequence $c_n = b_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_n b_k$ is a disjoint representative sequence for $[a_n]$.

3.3.1 In fact $c = b$.

3.4 $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$ is the minimal representative of $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n]$.

3.4.1 Assume d is a representative for $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

3.4.2 If $\mu(d) < \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \right)$ then we may construct $c_n \wedge d$ which is smaller then c .

3.4.3 But this is a contradiction.

3.5 So $\mu_I \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n] \right) = \mu \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(c_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_I[a_n]$.

□

1.1.5 Measure Properties

ProbabilityAlgebra :: ?MeasureAlgebra

$(A, \pi) : \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} \iff \pi(e) = 1$

FiniteMeasureAlgebra :: ?MeasureAlgebra

$(A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} \iff \mu(e) < \infty$

σ -FiniteMeasureAlgebra :: ?MeasureAlgebra

$(A, \mu) : \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra} \iff \exists a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \mu(a_n) < \infty \ \& \ \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = e$

SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra :: ?MeasureAlgebra

$(A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} \iff \forall a \in A . \mu(a) = \infty \Rightarrow \exists b \in A . b < a \ \& \ 0 < \mu(b) < \infty$

LocalizableMeasureAlgebra := OrderDedekindComplete & SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra : Type;

ProbabilityConstruction :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Probability}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

FiniteConstruction :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Finite}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

SigmaFiniteConstruction :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \sigma\text{-Finite}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

SemifiniteConstruction ::

$\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Semifinite}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

LocalizableConstruction ::

$\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Localizable}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

AtomInConstruction ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall E \in \Sigma . E \in \text{Atom}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff [E] \in \text{Atom}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

AtomlessConstruction ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall E \in \Sigma . E \in \text{Atomless}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff [E] \in \text{Atomless}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

PurelyAtomicConstruction ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall E \in \Sigma . E \in \text{PurelyAtomic}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff [E] \in \text{PurelyAtomic}(A_\mu, \bar{\mu})$$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

FinitenessPropertiesIerarchy ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{PobabilityAlgebra}(A, \mu) \Rightarrow \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \Rightarrow \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \Rightarrow \text{Semifinite}(A, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

1 Most implications here are obvious expect the one deriving Localizability from σ -finiteness.

2 So assume that (A, μ) is σ -finite .

2.1 Then the corresponding Stone space $(ZA, \Sigma_\mu, \bar{\mu})$ is σ -finite.

2.2 But then $(ZA, \Sigma_\mu, \bar{\mu})$ is localizable .

2.3 So (A, μ) is also localizable.

□

MeasureAlgebraOfCompletion :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . A_\mu \cong_{\text{BOOL}} A_{\hat{\mu}}$

Proof =

This is basically follows from definitions.

□

MeasureAlgebraOfLocallyDeterminedCompletion ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \exists A_\mu \xrightarrow{\phi} A_{\bar{\mu}} : \text{BOOL} . \forall a \in A_{\bar{\mu}} . \hat{\mu}(a) < \infty \Rightarrow \exists b \in A_\mu . \phi(b) = a \ \& \\ &\ \& \forall b \in A_\mu . \hat{\mu}(b) < \infty \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}(\phi(b)) = \hat{\mu}(b) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

...

□

localDeterminationMorphism :: $\prod (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{BOOL}(A_\mu, A_{\bar{\mu}})$

localDeterminationMorphism () = $\phi_\mu := \text{MeasureAlgebraOfLocallyDeterminedCompletion}$

localDeterminationMorhismInjectivity ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Semifinite}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \text{Injective}(A_\mu, A_{\bar{\mu}}, \phi_\mu)$$

Proof =

...

□

localDeterminationMorhismBijectivity ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Localizable}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \iff \text{Bijective}(A_\mu, A_{\bar{\mu}}, \phi_\mu)$$

Proof =

...

□

SemifinitenessCriterion :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} .$

$$. \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \iff \exists P : \text{PartitionOfUnity}(A) . \forall p \in P . \mu(p) < \infty$$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) assume first that (A, μ) is semifinite.

1.1 Then A^f is order dense in A .

1.2 By order density theorem there is a desired partition of unity.

2 (\Leftarrow) Let P be the partition of unity.

2.1 Assume $a \in A$ is such that $\mu(a) = \infty$.

2.2 Then there exists $p \in P$ such that $pa \neq 0$.

2.3 Note that this means that $\mu(pa) > 0$.

2.4 Also it is clear that $\mu(pa) \leq \mu(p) < \infty$.

□

SemifiniteneSupElementExpression ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) . \forall a \in A . a = \bigvee \{b \in A : b \leq a, \mu(b) < \infty\}$$

Proof =

This follows from the previous theorem.

□

SemifiniteneSupMeasureComputation ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) . \forall a \in A . \mu(a) = \bigvee \{\mu(b) \in A : b \leq a, \mu(b) < \infty\}$$

Proof =

This follows from the previous theorem.

□

1.1.6 Connections with other Boolean Properties

SemifiniteIsWeaklyDistributive ::

:: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) . (\sigma, \infty)\text{-WeaklyDistributive}(A, \mu)$

Proof =

- 1 Assume $X : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^A$ is a sequence of downwards selected sets with $\inf X_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - 2 Let $C = \{a \in A : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \exists x \in X_n . a \geq x\}$.
 - 3 Assume $d \in A$ is such that $d \neq 0$.
 - 4 Then there is an element $d' \leq d$ such that $0 < \mu(d') < \mu(d)$.
 - 5 $\inf_{x \in X} d'x = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - 6 Select a sequence $x : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ such that $\mu(d'x_n) \leq 2^{-n-2}\mu(d')$.
 - 7 Define $c = \sup_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in C$.
 - 8 Then $\mu(d'c) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(dx_n) < \mu(d')$.
 - 9 This means that $d \not\leq c$.
 - 10 And as d was arbitrary $\inf C = 0$.
-

SemifiniteIffCCC :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) .$

$. \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \iff \text{WithCountableChainCondition}(A)$

Proof =

- 1 (\Leftarrow) assume that A has ccc.
 - 1.1 Then there is a partition of unity P in A consisting of finite elements as A is semifinite.
 - 1.2 But as A has ccc P must be atmost countable.
 - 1.3 This proves that A is σ -finite.
 - 2 (\Rightarrow) assume that (A, μ) is σ -finite .
 - 2.1 Then there exists a countable partition of unity P of A with finite elements.
 - 2.2 If A is not ccc, then there exists an uncountable refinement Q of A with finite elements.
 - 2.3 Then by pigeonhole principle there exists $p \in P$
 - such that set $Q' = \{q \in Q : q \subset p\}$ such that Q' is uncountable.
 - 2.4 as for $\mu(q) > 0$ for any $q \in Q'$ by pigeonhole principle there exists some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
 - such that there are an infinite number of $q \in Q'$ with $\mu(q) \in [2^{-n-1}, 2^{-n}]$.
 - 2.5 So $\mu(p) \geq \sum_{q \in Q'} \mu(q) = \infty$, but this is a contradiction.
-

SemifiniteIffProbabilityRenormalizationExists ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) . A \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \exists \pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\infty . \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}(A, \pi) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

1 Corresponding Stone space is σ -finite.

2 So there exists a proper renormalization of $\bar{\mu}$ to a probability π with the same sets of measure zero.

3 Then the measure algebra of (ZA, π) is a probability algebra and $A_\pi \cong_{\text{BOOL}} A$.

□

1.1.7 Subspace Measures and Indefinite Integrals

MeasurableEnvelopePrincipleIdealIsomorphism ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall Y \subset X . \forall E : \text{MeasurableEnvelope}(X, \Sigma, \mu, Y) . (A_{\mu|_Y}, \widehat{\mu|_Y}) \cong_{\text{MA}} \left(([E]), \hat{\mu}|_{([E])} \right)$$

Proof =

This result is technically convoluted but actually is pretty intuitive.

□

PrincipleIdealIsomorphism ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall E \in \Sigma . (A_{\mu|_E}, \widehat{\mu|_E}) \cong_{\text{MA}} \left(([E]), \hat{\mu}|_{([E])} \right)$$

Proof =

A straightforward application of a previous theorem.

□

ThickEquivalence ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall Y : \text{Thick}(X, \Sigma, \mu) . (A_{\mu|_Y}, \widehat{\mu|_Y}) \cong_{\text{MA}} (X, \hat{\mu})$$

Proof =

A straightforward application of a previous theorem.

□

IndefiniteIntegralPrincipleIdealIsomorphism ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall f \in \mathcal{I}_+(X, \Sigma, \mu) . \exists E \in \Sigma . A_{f \, d\mu} \cong_{\text{BOOL}} ([E])$$

Proof =

We may assume that $\text{supp } f$ has a measurable envelope E .

Then the result is obvious as $\mathcal{N}_\mu \subset \mathcal{N}_{f \, d\mu}$.

□

1.1.8 Simple Products

`simpleProduct` :: $\prod_{I \in \text{SET}} (I \rightarrow \text{MeasureAlgebra}) \rightarrow \text{MeasureAlgebra}$

$$\text{simpleProduct}(A, \mu) = \prod_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i) := \left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i, \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \right)$$

Obviously $\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i(0) = \sum_{i \in I} 0 = 0$.

Also assume $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is disjoint.

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(a_{n,i}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i(a_{n,i}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i(a_n).$$

□

`PrincipleIdealsInMeasureAlgebras` ::

$$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{MeasureAlgebra} . (A_i, \mu_i) \cong_{\text{MA}} \left((e_i), \left(\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \right)_{|(e_i)} \right)$$

`Proof` =

This is pretty obvious.

□

`SimpleProductCoproductCorrespondance` ::

$$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{MEAS} . \prod_{i \in I} (A_{\mu_i}, \hat{\mu}_i) \cong \text{measureAlgebra} \prod_{i \in I} (X_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$$

`Proof` =

Obvious by Stone Theory.

□

`SimpleProductOfSemifinite` ::

$$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} \left(\prod_{i \in I} (A, \mu) \right)$$

`Proof` =

Assume a has infinite measure in (A, μ) .

Then there exists $i \in I$ such that $a_i \neq 0$.

As (A_i, μ_i) is semifinite there is $b \leq a_i$ such that $0 < \mu_i(b) < \infty$.

Then $be_i \leq a$ and $0 < \sum_{j \in I} \mu_j(be_i) = \mu_i(b) < \infty$.

□

SimpleProductOfLocalizable ::

$$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} \left(\prod_{i \in I} (A, \mu) \right)$$

Proof =

Let J be a set and $a : J \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i)$.

Then $\sup_{j \in J} a_j = (\sup_{j \in J} a_{j,i})_{i \in I}$.

□

PoUProductRepresentation ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall (e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \text{PartitionOfUnity}(A) . (A, \mu) \cong_{\text{MA}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} ((e_n), \mu|_{(e_n)})$$

Proof =

This is pretty obvious.

□

PoUProductRepresentation ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \exists I \in \text{SET} . \exists (B, \nu) : I \rightarrow \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \\ . (A, \mu) \cong_{\text{MA}} \prod_{i \in I} (B_i, \nu_i)$$

Proof =

It is possible to select a partition of unity P of A consisting of finite elements.

Then by previous theorem $(A, \mu) \cong \prod_{p \in P} ((p), \mu|_{(p)})$.

And each $((p), \mu|_{(p)})$ are obviously finite.

□

LocalizableMeasureAlgebrasHasLocallyDeterminedRepresentations ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \exists (X, \Sigma, \nu) : \text{LocallyDetermined} . (A, \mu) \cong_{\text{MA}} (A_\nu, \hat{\nu})$$

Proof =

Represent $(A, \mu) \cong_{\text{MA}} \prod_{i \in I} (B_i, \nu_i)$.

Then Stone's spaces $\mathbb{Z} B_i$ correspond to finite measure spaces.

And Stone's space of product correspond to a disjoint union of $\mathbb{Z} B_i$.

But such spaces are trivially locally determined.

□

1.1.9 Strictly Localizable Spaces

StrictlyLocalizableSpacePoU ::
 :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) : \text{StrictlyLocalizable} . \forall P : \text{PartitionOfUnity}(A_\mu) .$
 . $\exists E : P \rightarrow \Sigma . \forall p \in P . [E_p] = p \ \& \ \text{Decomposition}(X, \Sigma, \mu, \text{Im } E)$
Proof =
 ...
 □

1.1.10 Subalgebras

SubalgebraMeasureAlgebra :: $\forall(A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\sigma} A . \text{MeasureAlgebra}(B, \mu|_B)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

SubalgebraFinifteMeasureAlgebra ::

$:: \forall(A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\sigma} A . \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \mu|_B)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

SigmaFiniteSubalgebraMeasureAlgebra ::

$:: \forall(A, \mu) : \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\sigma} A .$

$. \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \mu|_B) \Rightarrow \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \mu|_B)$

Proof =

1 The set B^f is order-dense in B .

2 But then B^f is also order-dense in A .

3 Select a finite-measured countable partition of unity P in A .

4 If B is not σ -finite, then there is a subordinate uncountable partition of unity Q .

5 Then there would exist a uncountable refinement of P subordinate to Q .

6 Then P must contain an infinite element, but this is impossible!.

7 So Q must be countable, and so $(B, \mu|_B)$ must be countable.

□

FinifteMeasureAlgebraBySubalgebra ::

$:: \forall(A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\sigma} A . \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \mu|_B) \Rightarrow \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

ProbabilityAlgebraBySubalgebra ::

$:: \forall(A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\sigma} A .$

$. \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}(B, \mu|_B) \Rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}(A, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

$\text{SigmaFiniteAlgebraBySubalgebra} ::$
 $:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_\sigma A .$
 $. \sigma\text{-Finite}(B, \mu|_B) \Rightarrow \sigma\text{-Finite}(A, \mu)$
 $\text{Proof} =$
 This is obvious.
 \square

1.1.11 Localization

MeasureAlgebraCompletion ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \exists ! \hat{\mu} : \tau(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}^{\infty} . \\ & . \hat{\mu}|_A = \mu \ \& \ \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra}(\tau(A), \hat{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

- 1 Define $\hat{\mu}(t) = \sup\{\mu(a) \mid a \in A, a \leq t\}$.
 - 2 As A is order dense in $\tau(A)$, it holds that $\hat{\mu}(a) = 0 \iff a = 0$ for any $a \in \tau(A)$.
 - 3 If $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \tau(A)$ is disjoint then $\hat{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(t_n)$.
 - 3.1 Write $S = \{a \in A : \exists c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A . a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \ \& \ c \leq t\}$.
 - 3.2 Then there is $s = \sup S \in \tau(A)$.
 - 3.3 We write $\hat{\mu}(s) = \sup_{c \leq t} \mu\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n\right) = \sup_{c \leq t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(c_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{c \leq t_n} \mu(c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(t_n)$.
 - 4 Obviously $(\tau(A), \hat{\mu})$ is semifinite and order-complete, and hence Localizable.
-

localization :: **SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra** \rightarrow **LocalizableMeasureAlgebra**

$$\text{localization}(A, \mu) = \left(\tau(A), \tau(\mu)\right) := \text{MeasureAlgebraCompletion}$$

LocalizationFiniteEmbedding ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \iota_{\tau}(A^f) = \tau^f(A)$$

Proof =

- 1 Assume $t \in \tau(A)$ such that $\hat{\mu}(t) < \infty$.
 - 2 Note, $\hat{\mu}(t) = \sup_{a \leq t} \mu(a)$.
 - 3 So we may select an increasing $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_n) = \hat{\mu}(t)$.
 - 4 Then $b = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in A$ and $\hat{\mu}(b) = \mu(b) = \hat{\mu}(t)$.
 - 5 So $\mu(t \setminus b) = 0$, and so $t = b \in A$ as clearly $b < t$.
-

1.1.12 Stone Spaces

LocalizableMeasureAlgebraHasStrictlyLocalizableStoneSpace ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \text{StrictlyLocalizable}(\mathbb{Z} A, \Sigma_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

Proof =

- 1 We already proved that $\bar{\mu}$ is locally determined.
- 2 As (A, μ) is semifinite there is a partition of unity P consisting of finite elements.
- 3 Use Stone representation $S_A(P)$ to construct a corresponding set in $\mathbb{Z} A$.
- 4 Assume $E \in \Sigma_\mu$ such that $\bar{\mu}(E) > 0$.
- 5 By definition of Stone's Space there is a clopen set $F \in \mathbb{Z} A$ such that $E \triangle F$ is meager.
- 6 And there is a Stone representation $a \in A$ such that $F = S_A(a)$.
- 7 Then $\mu(a) = \nu(S_A(a)) = \nu(E) > 0$.
- 8 So, there exists $p \in P$ such that $ap \neq 0$.
- 9 This means that $\nu(E \cap S_A(p)) > 0$.
- 10 As E was arbitrary this means that $S_A(P)$ provides a strict localization for $\bar{\mu}$.

□

MeagerSetsAreNowhereDense ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall M \in \mathbf{MGR}(\mathbb{Z} A) . \text{NowhereDense}(\mathbb{Z} A, M)$

Proof =

- 1 As it was shown A is (σ, ∞) -WeaklyDistributive boolean algebra.
- 2 And this is a property of (σ, ∞) -WeaklyDistributive boolean algebra.

□

StoneSpaceMeasurableExpression ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall E \in \Sigma_\mu .$
 $. \exists U : \text{Clopen}(\mathbb{Z} A) . \exists F : \text{NowhereDense}(\mathbb{Z} A) . E = U \cap F$

Proof =

- 1 This is clear from the previous theorem.

□

StoneSpaceMeasureComputation ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall E \in \Sigma_\mu .$
 $. \bar{\mu}(E) = \sup \left\{ \mu(U) \mid U : \text{Clopen}(\mathbb{Z} A), U \subset E \right\}$

Proof =

- 1 This is clear from the previous theorem.

□

StoneSpaceCLDIsStrictlyLocalizable ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \text{StrictlyLocalizable}(\mathbb{Z} A, \bar{\Sigma}_\mu, \bar{\bar{\mu}})$

Proof =

...

□

StoneSpaceCLDZeroSets ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\mu}} = \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\mu}}$

Proof =

...

□

FiniteStoneSpaceMeasureComputation ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall E \in \Sigma_{\mu} .$

$\bar{\mu}(E) = \inf \left\{ \mu(U) \mid U : \text{Clopen}(Z A), E \subset U \right\}$

Proof =

1 This is clear from the previous theorem.

□

1.1.13 Purely Infinite Elements

`purelyInfiniteElements` :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \sigma\text{-Ideal}(A)$

`purelyInfiniteElements` () = $I_\infty(\mu := \{a \in A : \forall b \in A . b \leq a \ \& \ \mu(b) < \infty \Rightarrow b = 0\})$

`semifiniteMeasure` :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \frac{A}{I_\infty(\mu)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\infty$

`semifiniteMeasure` ([a]) = $\mu_{\text{sf}} := \sup\{\mu(b) | b \in A : b \leq a \ \& \ \mu(b) < \infty\}$

If [a] = [b], then $a \triangle b \in I_\infty(\mu)$.

So μ_{sf} is well-defined.

`SemifiniteMeasureIsMeasure` ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} \left(\frac{A}{I}, \mu_{\text{sf}} \right)$

Proof =

1 If $\mu_{\text{sf}}[a] = 0$, then clearly $a \in I_\infty$.

2 Assume $[a] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is disjoint.

2.1 Then $a_n a_m \in I_\infty$ if $n \neq m$.

2.2 Select increasing $b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^f$ such that $b_n \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^\infty a_k$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(b_n) = \mu_{\text{sf}} \left[\bigvee_{k=1}^\infty a_k \right] = \mu_{\text{sf}} \bigvee_{k=1}^\infty [a_k]$.

2.3 By (2.1) we may assert that $a b_n$ is disjoint and then $\bigvee_{k=1}^\infty a_k b_n = b_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.4 So $\mu(b) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu(a_k b_n)$.

2.5 By taking limits and using monotonic convergence theorem

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu_{\text{sf}}[a_k] = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(a_k b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(b_n) = \mu_{\text{sf}} \bigvee_{k=1}^\infty [a_k].$$

3 Clearly $\mu_{\text{sf}}[a] < \mu(a)$.

3.1 If $\mu_{\text{sf}}[a] = \infty$, then $a \notin I_\infty$.

3.2 So it is possible to select $b \in A$ such that $b \leq a$ and $0 < \mu(b) \leq a$.

3.3 $0 < \mu_{\text{sf}}[b] \leq \mu(b) < \infty$.

3.4 This proves that $\left(\frac{A}{I}, \mu_{\text{sf}} \right)$ is semifinite.

□

1.2 Topology

1.2.1 Subject

`measureAlgebraAsTopologicalSpace` :: `MeasureAlgebra` → `TOP`
`measureAlgebraAsTopologicalSpace` $((A, \mu)) = (A, \mu) :=$
 $:= \left(A, \mathcal{W}(A^f \times A^f, \mathbb{R}, \wedge a \in A^f . \wedge b \in A^f . \wedge c \in A . \mu(ac + ab)) \right)$

`measureAlgebraAsUniformlSpace` :: `MeasureAlgebra` → `UNI`
`measureAlgebraAsUniformSpace` $((A, \mu)) = (A, \mu) :=$
 $:= \left(A, \mathcal{I}(A^f \times A^f, \mathbb{R}, \wedge a \in A^f . \wedge b \in A^f . \wedge c \in A . \mu(ac \triangle ab)) \right)$

`metricOfFrechetNikodym` :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{Metric}(A^f)$
`metricOfFrechetNikodym` $() = \rho_\mu := \wedge a, b \in A^f . \mu(a \triangle b)$

`BooleanOperationsAreUniformlyContinuous` ::
:: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . (*), (\setminus), (\vee), (\wedge) \in \text{UNI}(A \times A, A)$

`Proof` =

1 Let \circ stay for any binary operation above.

2 Select $c, d \in A$.

3 Then $\mu(a(c \circ d) + b) \leq \mu(a(c \vee d) + b) \leq \mu(ac + d) + \mu(ad + b)$.

4 So μ is bounded by the sum of uniform functions and is uniformly continuous.

□

`FiniteElementsAreDense` ::

:: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{Dense}(A, A^f)$

`Proof` =

1 Select $c \in A$.

2 Then c has a base of neighborhoods of form $U = \{u \in A : \mu(au + ac) \leq r\}$ with $a \in A^f, r \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.

3 But then $ac \in U$ and $ac \in A^f$.

□

`FiniteMeasureAlgebraHasUniformlyContinuousMeasure` ::

$\forall (A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \mu \in \text{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R}_{++})$

`Proof` =

This is pretty obvious as $\mu = \rho_\mu(0, a)$.

□

FiniteMeasureAlgebraHasUniformlyContinuousMeasure ::

$$\forall(A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \mu \in \text{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R}_{++})$$

Proof =

This is pretty obvious as $\mu = \rho_\mu(0, a)$.

□

SemifinitMeasureAlgebraHasLowerSemicontinuousMeasure ::

$$\forall(A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \mu \in \text{LowerSemicontinuous}(A, \mathbb{R}_{++}^\infty)$$

Proof =

1 Assume $a \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mu(a) > \alpha$.

2 As A is semifinite there exists $b \leq a$ such that $\infty > \mu(b) > \alpha$.

3 Assume $c \in A$ is such that $\mu(b + cb) < \mu(b) - \alpha$.

4 Then $\mu(c) \geq \mu(cb) = \mu(b) - \mu(b(a \setminus c)) = \mu(b) - \mu(b + cb) > \alpha$.

□

MeasureAlgebraHasUniformlyContinuousFinitisedMeasure ::

$$\forall(A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a \in A^f . (\Lambda c \in A . \mu(ac)) \in \text{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R}_{++})$$

Proof =

This is similar to the case of finite measure space.

□

$$\text{finiteElementMetric} :: \prod A : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . A^f \rightarrow \text{Semimetric}(A)$$

$$\text{finiteElementMetric}(a) = \rho_a := \Lambda x, y \in A . \mu(ax + ay)$$

MeasurAlgebraProductTopology ::

$$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall(A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \prod_{i \in I} (A, \mu) =_{\text{TOP}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i, \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \right)$$

Proof =

...

□

1.2.2 Relations with an Order Structure

`upwardDirectedFilter` ::

$$:: \prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{UpwardsDirected}(A) \rightarrow \text{CauchyFilerbase}(A)$$

`upwardDirectedFilter` $(D) = \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D) := \left\{ \{c \in D : d \leq c\} \mid d \in D \right\}$

1 Write $F_d = \{c \in D : d \leq c\}$.

2 $\mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$ is a filter.

2.1 As D is non empty, $\mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$ is also non-empty.

2.2 $d \in F_d$, so $F_d \neq \emptyset$ and henceforth $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$.

2.3 Assume $F_d, F_f \in \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$.

2.3.1 Then there is an element $g \in D$ such that $g \geq f \vee d$.

2.3.2 Note, that $F_g \subset F_d \cap F_f$ and $F_g \in \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$.

3 $\mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$ is Cauchy.

3.1 Assume U is some measure connector for (A, μ) .

3.2 then there is an element $a \in A^f$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $\{(f, g) \in A \times A : \mu(af + ag) < r\} \subset U$.

3.3 The set $\{\mu(ad) \mid d \in D\}$ is bounded by $\mu(a)$, so supremum is attained.

3.4 So there is $f \in D$, so $\mu(ad) < \mu(af) + r/2$ for any $d \in D$.

3.5 Assume $g, h \in F_f$.

3.5 Then $\mu(ag + ah) \leq \mu(ag \setminus af) + \mu(ah \setminus af) = (\mu(ag) - \mu(af)) + (\mu(ah) - \mu(af)) < r$.

3.6 Thus, $(g, h) \in U$ and $F_f \times F_f \subset U$.

□

`UpwardsDirectedSup` ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall D : \text{UpwardsDirected}(A) \rightarrow \text{CauchyFilerbase}(A) . \forall a \in A .$$

$$. a = \sup D \Rightarrow a = \lim \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$$

Proof =

1 Assume $a = \sup D$.

2 Assume U is an uniformity fo (A, μ) .

3 then there is an element $c \in A^f$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that $\{g \in A \times A : \mu(ca + cg) < r\} \subset U(a)$.

4 Consider set $M = \{\mu(cd) \mid d \in D\}$.

5 Then $\sup M = \mu(ca)$.

6 So there is $d \in D$ such that $\mu(ca + cd) < r$.

7 But $d \leq f \leq a$ for any $f \in F_d$.

8 Thus $\mu(cf + cd) < r$ and $F_d \subset U(a)$.

9 Thus, $da = \lim \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$.

□

UpwardsDirectedLimit ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall D : \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{UpwardsDirected}(A) . \forall a \in A .$
 $. a = \sup D \Rightarrow a \in \text{cl}_A D$

Proof =

...

□

UpwardsDirectedFilterLimit ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall D : \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{UpwardsDirected}(A) . \forall a \in A .$
 $. a = \lim \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D) \iff a = \sup D$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) $a = \lim \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$.

1.1 Then for any connector U of (A, μ) There is some $F \in \mathcal{F}(\uparrow F)$ such that $F \subset U(a)$.

1.2 Assume $d \in D$.

1.3 Assume $d \not\leq a$.

1.4 Then there is $f \in A$ such that $f \leq d \setminus a$ and $0 < \mu(f) < \infty$.

1.5 Thus $\mu(fh + fa) \geq \mu(f)$ for every $h \in F_s$.

1.6 But $G \cap F_d \neq \emptyset$ for any $G \in \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$ so this contradicts (1.1).

□

lowerDirectedFilter ::

$:: \prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{LowerDirected}(A) \rightarrow \text{CauchyFilerbase}(A)$

$\text{loweDirectedFilter}(D) = \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D) := \left\{ \{c \in D : d \geq c\} \mid d \in D \right\}$

LowerDirectedInf ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall D : \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{LowerDirected}(A) . \forall a \in A .$
 $. a = \inf D \Rightarrow a = \lim \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$

Proof =

By duality.

□

UpwardsDirectedLimit ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall D : \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{LowerDirected}(A) . \forall a \in A .$
 $. a = \inf D \Rightarrow a \in \text{cl}_A D$

Proof =

By duality.

□

UpwardsDirectedFilterLimit ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall D : \text{NonEmpty} \ \& \ \text{LowerDirected}(A) . \forall a \in A .$
 $. a = \lim \mathcal{F}(\uparrow D) \iff a = \inf D$

Proof =

By duality.

□

ClosedSetsAreOrderClosed :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall F : \text{Closed}(A) . \text{OrderClosed}(A, F)$

Proof =

Follows from previous theorems in this chapter.

□

DenseSetsAreOrderDense :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall \text{Dense}(A, D) . \text{OrderDense}(A, D) .$

Proof =

Follows from previous theorems in this chapter.

□

ClosedRays :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall a \in A . \text{Closed}\left(A, \{c \in A : c \leq a\} \ \& \ \{c \in A : c \geq a\}\right)$

Proof =

1 Let $F = \{c \in A : c \leq a\}$.

2 Assume $d \in F^c$.

3 Then $d \setminus a \neq 0$.

4 As A is semifinite there is an $g \in A^f$ such that $g \leq d \setminus a$ and $0 < \mu(g)$.

5 $\rho_g(d, f) \geq \mu(g)$ for any $f \in F^c$.

6 And this means that F^c and F is closed.

□

SupremumConvergence :: $\forall A : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \uparrow A . \forall s \in A . s = \sup_{n=1} a_n \Rightarrow s = \lim_{n=1} a_n$

Proof =

This is obvious now.

□

InfimumConvergence :: $\forall A : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \downarrow A . \forall s \in A . s = \inf_{n=1} a_n \Rightarrow s = \lim_{n=1} a_n$

Proof =

This is obvious now.

□

SummableIncrements :: $\prod A : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . ?(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A)$

$a : \text{SummableIncrements} \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n + a_{n+1}) < \infty$

SummableIncrementsLimSupLimInfEq ::

$$:: \forall A : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \text{SummableIncrements}(A) . \inf_{n=1} \sup_{m=n} a_n = \sup_{n=1} \inf_{m=n} a_n$$

Proof =

$$1 \text{ Let } \alpha_n = \mu(a_n + a_{n+1}), \beta_n = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \alpha_m.$$

2 As a has summable increments this means $\beta \downarrow 0$.

$$3 \text{ Let } b_n = \sup_{m \geq n} a_m + a_{m+1} = \bigvee_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m + a_{m+1}.$$

$$4 \text{ Then } \mu(b_n) \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mu(c_m + c_{m+1}) = \beta_n.$$

5 Assume $m \leq n$.

$$6 \text{ And also } a_m + a_n \leq \sup_{m \leq k \leq n} a_k + a_{k+1} \leq b_n.$$

$$7 \text{ So } a_n \setminus b_n \leq a_m \leq a_n \vee b_n.$$

$$8 \text{ Thus } a_n \setminus b_n \leq \inf_{k \geq m} a_k \leq \sup_{k \geq m} a_k \leq a_n \vee b_n.$$

$$9 \text{ By taking limits in } m \text{ one gets } a_n \setminus b_n \leq \inf_{m=1} \sup_{k=n} a_k \leq \sup_{m=1} \inf_{k=m} a_k \leq a_n \vee b_n.$$

$$10 \ a_n + \inf_{m=1} \sup_{k=m} a_k \leq b_n.$$

$$11 \ a_n + \sup_{m=1} \inf_{k=m} a_k \leq b_n.$$

$$12 \text{ From (10) and (11) } \inf_{m=1} \sup_{k=m} a_k \setminus \sup_{m=1} \inf_{k=m} a_k \leq b_n.$$

$$13 \text{ But } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0.$$

$$14 \text{ So } \inf_{m=1} \sup_{k=m} a_k = \sup_{m=1} \inf_{k=m} a_k.$$

□

SummableIncrementsLim ::

$$:: \forall A : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a : \text{SummableIncrements}(A) . \forall x \in A .$$

$$. x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \Rightarrow \inf_{n=1} \sup_{m=n} a_n = x = \sup_{n=1} \inf_{m=n} a_n$$

Proof =

This follows from the previous proof.

□

1.2.3 Classification Theorems

SemifiniteIffHausdorff :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \iff \text{T2}(A)$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) assume that (A, μ) is semifinite.

1.1 Take $x, y \in A$ such that $x \neq y$.

1.2 Then $x + y \neq 0$ so there is $a \in A^f$ such that $\mu(a) > 0$ and $a < x + y$.

1.3 So $\rho_a(x, y) = \mu(a) > 0$.

1.4 And cells of form $\mathbb{B}_{\rho_a}(x, \mu(a)/2)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\rho_a}(y, \mu(a)/2)$ produce the separation.

2 (\Leftarrow) assume that A is Hausdorff in the topology of (A, μ) .

2.1 Assume $x \in A$ such that $\mu(x) = \infty$.

2.2 Then $x \neq 0$.

2.3 Assume $a \in A^f$.

2.4 If $xa = 0$ then $\rho_a(x, 0) = 0$.

2.5 So, as A is Hausdorff there must be some $a \in A^f$ such that $xa \neq 0$.

2.6 But this means that (A, μ) is semifinite.

□

SigmaFiniteIffMetrizable ::

$\forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \iff \text{Metrizable}(A)$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) assume that (A, μ) is σ -finite.

1.1 Then there is a countable partition of unity a with finite elements.

1.2 define $\sigma : A^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}$ as $\sigma(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{\rho_{a_n}(x, y)}{\mu(a_n)}$.

1.3 Then σ is a metric for A .

1.4 So the topology of (A, μ) is metrizable.

2 (\Leftarrow) assume that (A, μ) is metrizable.

2.1 Let σ be an metrizing metric.

2.2 Then there exists a system of elements $k : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, a : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, k_n\} \rightarrow A^f$ and $\delta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}$

such that $\rho_{a_{n,i}}(b, e)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k_n$ imply that $\sigma(b, e) < 2^{-n}$ for any $b \in A$.

2.3 Then $e = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{k_n} a_{n,i}$.

2.4 So (A, μ) is σ -finite.

□

LocalizableIffComplete ::

$$:: \forall(A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \iff \text{T2} \ \& \ \text{Complete}(A)$$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) Assume (A, μ) is localizable measure algebra.

1.2 Then A is Hausdorff as (A, μ) is semifinite.

1.3 Assume \mathcal{F} is a Cauchy filter in A .

1.4 Take $a \in A^f$.

1.5 Then there is $d_a \leq a$ and a cauchy sequence c_a subordinate to \mathcal{F} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_a(d_a, c_{a,n}) = 0$.

1.5.1 select a sequence $F_a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that $\rho_a(x, y) \leq 2^{-n}$ for $x, y \in F_{a,n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.5.2 Then select a sequence $c_{a,n} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n F_{a,k}$.

1.5.3 Then $\rho(c_{a,n}, c_{a,n+1}) \leq 2^{-n}$.

1.5.4 Construct $d_a = \liminf a c_a$.

1.5.5 Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_a(d_a, c_{a,n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(d_a + a c_a) = 0$.

1.6 Assume $a, b \in A^f$ are such that $a \leq b$.

1.7 Then $d_a = a d_b$.

1.7.1 $F_{n,a} \cap F_{n,b} \neq \emptyset$.

1.7.2 So select $f \in F_{n,a} \cap F_{n,b}$.

1.7.3 Then $\rho_a(d_a, d_b) \leq \rho_a(d_a, c_{a,n}) + \rho_a(c_{a,n}, f) + \rho_a(f, c_{b,n}) + \rho_a(c_{b,n}, d_b) \leq$
 $\leq \rho_a(d_a, c_{a,n}) + 2^{-n} + 2^{-n} + \rho_a(c_{b,n}, d_b) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1.8 Let $f = \bigvee_{a \in A^f} d_a$.

1.9 Then $\lim \mathcal{F} = f$.

1.9.1 $a d_a = a f$ for any $a \in A^f$.

1.9.2 and there is a \mathcal{F} subordinate Cauchy sequence c_a such that $\rho_a(f, c_a) = \rho_a(d_a, c_a) \rightarrow 0$.

1.9.3 Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho_a(d_a, c_{a,n}) + 2^{-n} < \varepsilon$.

1.9.4 Take any $g \in F_{a,n}$.

1.9.5 But $\rho_a(f, g) \leq \rho_a(f, c_{a,n}) + \rho_{c_{a,n}} \leq \rho_a(d_a, c_{a,n}) + 2^{-n} < \varepsilon$.

1.9.6 This $F_{a,n} \subset \mathbb{B}_{\rho_a}(f, \varepsilon)$.

2 (\Leftarrow) now Assume that A is Hausdorff and complete.

2.1 As A is Hausdorff (A, μ) must be semifinite.

2.2 As A is complete (A, μ) is order complete and hence localizable.

2.2.1 Think about order filters $\mathcal{F}(\uparrow D)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\downarrow D)$.

□

LessRelationIsClosed :: $\forall(A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \text{Closed}(A^2, \{(a, b) \in A^2 : a \leq b\})$

Proof =

1 As (A, μ) is a semifinite measure algebra A must be Hausdorff.

2 So singleton $\{0\}$ is closed.

3 Then $\{(a, b) \in A^2 : a \leq b\} = (\backslash)^{-1}\{0\}$ is closed.

□

1.2.4 Closed Subalgebras

ClosedSubalgebraTHM ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\text{RING}} A . \text{Closed}(A, B) \iff \text{OrderClosed}(A, B)$$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) follows from the general theory.

2 (\Leftarrow) Assume now that B is order-closed.

2.1 Assume $g \in \text{cl}_A B$.

2.2 Assume $a \in A^f$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.3 Then there exists a sequence $c_a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$ such that $\rho_a(c_{a,n}, g) < 2^{-n}$.

2.4 Note, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(ac_{a,n} + ac_{a,n+1}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(ac_{a,n} + ag) + \mu(ag + ac_{a,n+1}) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} + 2^{-n-1} = \frac{3}{2}$.

2.5 So, sequence ac_a has summable increments .

2.6 Define $d_a = \liminf c_a$.

2.7 As ac_a has finite increments $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_a(c_{a,n}, d_n) = 0$.

2.8 Furthermore, $\rho_a(d_a, g) = 0$, so $ag = d_a$.

2.9 As B is order-closed $d_a \in B$ for each $a \in A^f$.

2.10 Set $d'_a = \inf \{d_b : b \in A^f, a \leq b\} \in B$.

2.11 $d'_a a = \bigwedge_{a \leq b} d_b a = \bigwedge_{a \leq b} d_b b a = \bigwedge_{a \leq b} g b a = g a$.

2.12 Let $D = \{d'_a | a \in A\}$.

2.13 Clearly D is upwards directed as $d'_a \vee d'_b = d'_{a \wedge b}$.

2.14 Then $\sup D = \{ad'_a | a \in A\} = \{ag | a \in A\} = g$ as (A, μ) is semifinite.

2.15 so $g \in B$ as B is order-closed.

2.16 Thus B is closed.

□

SubalgebraClosure :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\text{RING}} A . \overline{B} = \tau(B)$

Proof =

1 Note that \overline{B} is a subgroup of A .

2 Also it must be order-closed as \overline{B} is closed.

3 Also $\tau(B)$ is an order-closed subalgebra, and hence a closed subalgebra.

4 So both objects can be realized as intersections of closed subalgebras containing B , and hence they are equal.

□

ClosedMeasureSubalgebra :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{Subalgebra}(A)$

$B : \text{ClosedMeasureSubalgebra} \iff B \subset_{\text{MA}} A \iff \text{Closed}(A, B)$

OrderClosedExtension ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\text{MA}} A . \forall a \in A . \langle B \cup \{a\} \rangle_{\text{BOOL}} \subset_{\text{MA}} A$$

Proof =

This follows from order-closed subalgebra extension theorem for boolean algebras.

□

SigmaFiniteSigmaSubalgebraIsClosed :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) : \sigma\text{-Finite} . \forall T \subset_{\sigma} \Sigma . \pi_{\mu}(T) \subset_{\text{MA}} A_{\mu}$

Proof =

- 1 As (X, Σ, μ) is σ -finite A_{μ} is also σ -finite.
- 2 So A_{μ} is actually metrizable with a metric σ .
- 3 In a metric space set is closed iff it is sequence-closed.
- 4 Consider a sequence $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \pi_{\mu}(T)$ with a limit x .
- 5 Then there is a sequence $E : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow T$ such that $a = [E]$.
- 7 Then $\limsup E = \liminf E \in T$, but also $[\limsup E] = x$.
- 8 Thus $x \in \pi_{\mu}(T)$.

□

SigmaFiniteSigmaSubalgebraIsClosed2 :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) : \sigma\text{-Finite} . \forall B \subset_{\text{MA}} A_{\mu} . \pi_{\mu}^{-1}(B) \subset_{\sigma} A_{\mu}$

Proof =

Inverse argument.

□

OrderClosedSetsAreClosedInLocalizableAlgebra ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} . \forall C : \text{OrderClosed}(A) . \text{Closed}(A, C)$$

Proof =

- 1 Same proof as with closed algebras.

□

SubalgebraClosureIsSubalgebra ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall B \subset_{\text{RING}} A . \overline{B} \subset_{\text{RING}} A$$

Proof =

- 1 B is a topological subgroup of A .
- 2 So by general theory of topological groups \overline{B} is a subgroup of A again.
- 3 So \overline{B} is closed under operation $(+)$.
- 4 Also \overline{B} is closed and hence order-closed.
- 5 But then it is closed under operations $(\vee), (\wedge)$.
- 6 And being closed under operations $(\vee), (\wedge), (+)$ is enough to be a boolean algebra.

□

1.2.5 Metric Space of Finite Elements

BooleanOperationsAreUniformlyContinuous ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . (*), (\setminus), (\vee), (\wedge) \in \text{UNI}(A^f \times A^f, A^f)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

MeasureIs1Lip ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \mu|_{A^f} \in 1\text{-Lip}(A^f)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

FiniteElementsAreComplete ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \text{Complete}(A^f)$

Proof =

1 Assume a is a cauchy sequence in A^f .

2 without loss of generality we may assume that a has summable differences .

2.1 Just select a subsequence.

3 Define $x = \liminf a \in A$.

4 Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = x$.

5 So, there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(x \setminus a_n) < \infty$.

6 Thus $\mu(x) < \infty$ and $x \in A^f$.

□

1.2.6 Relation with Convergence In Measure

indicatorFunctionRepresentation :: $\prod (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . A_\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^0(X, \Sigma, \mu)$
indicatorFunctionRepresentation (a) = $\chi_a := [\chi_E]$ where $a = [E]$

- 1 This is well defined.
 - 2 Assume that $a = [E] = [F]$ for some $E, F \in \Sigma$.
 - 3 Then $\mu(E \triangle F) = 0$.
 - 4 Hence, $\chi_E =_\mu \chi_F$ and $[\chi_E] = [\chi_F]$.
-

IndicatorFunctionRepresentationIsHomeo ::
 :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Homeomorphism}(A_\mu, \chi_{A_\mu}, \chi_\bullet)$

Proof =

- 1 Here we always assume that $\mathbf{L}^0(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is equipped with a convergenve in measure topology.
 - 2 Clearly χ_\bullet is injective.
 - 2.1 Assume $\chi_a = \chi_b$.
 - 2.2 Then there is common representative $E \in \Sigma$ such that $a = [E] = b$.
 - 3 Also χ_\bullet is trivially sirjective.
 - 4 χ_\bullet is homeomorphism.
 - 4.1 This can be seen by direct corespondence between semimetrics ρ_a
 - 4.2 and $\rho_E = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} t + \mu\{x \in E : |f(x) - g(x)| > t\}$.
 - 4.3 where corespondence is between finite $a \in A_\mu^f$ and $E \in \Sigma^f$ such that $a = [E]$.
-

FiniteIndicatorEmbeddingL1Isometri ::
 :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{Isometry}(A_\mu, \chi_{A_\mu}, \chi_\bullet)$

Proof =

This is obvious as difference of indicators are measure of difference of sets.

□

1.2.7 Localization

LocalizationIsCompletion :: $\forall(A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \text{Completion}(A, \tau(A), \iota_\tau)$

Proof =

1 $\iota_\tau(A)$ is order dense in $\tau(A)$.

2 So its order-closure is $\tau(A)$.

3 $\tau(A)$ is localizable and $\iota_\tau(A)$ is a subalgebra, so the closure of $\iota_\tau(A)$ is equal to the order closure.

□

1.2.8 Metric Space of Probability Subalgebras

`metricSpaceOfProbabilitySubalgebra` :: `ProbabilityAlgebra` → `CompleteMetricSpace`

`metricSpaceOfProbabilitySubalgebra` $(A, \pi) = \mathbf{FB}(A, \pi) :=$

$$:= \left(\mathbf{Closed} \ \& \ \mathbf{Subring}(A), \Lambda B, C \subset_{\mathbf{MA}} A . \max \left(\sup_{b \in B} \inf_{c \in C} \rho_{\pi}(b, c), \sup_{c \in C} \inf_{b \in B} \rho_{\pi}(b, c) \right) \right)$$

1 Note, that indicator representation maps such closed subalgebras into closed uniformly integrable subsets of $\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{Z} A, \Sigma_{\pi}, \bar{\pi})$.

2 Then there is a natural isometric inclusion $\chi(\mathbf{FB}(A, \pi)) \subset \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{Z} A, \Sigma_{\pi}, \bar{\pi}))$,

which can be equipped with a Hausdorff metric d .

3 Now consider an boolean binary operation \circ .

4 Assume $C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{FB}(A, \pi)$ is a converging sequence with a limit L .

5 Then clearly $e, 0 \in L$ as $e, 0 \in C_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

6 Now assume $x, y \in L$.

7 Then there exists a sequences $u, v : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ and $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n$.

8 But Then $u_n \circ v_n \in C_n$ and $x \circ y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \circ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \circ v_n \in L$.

9 So $L \in \mathbf{FB}(A, \pi)$.

10 As C and L were arbitraty $\chi(\mathbf{FB}(A, \pi))$ must be a closed subset of $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{Z} A, \Sigma_{\pi}, \bar{\pi}))$.

But $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{Z} A, \Sigma_{\pi}, \bar{\pi}))$ as complete $\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{Z} A, \Sigma_{\pi}, \bar{\pi})$ is complete, so $\mathbf{FB}(A, \pi)$ is complete.

□

1.2.9 Topology of the Lebesgue Algebra

`algebraOfLebesgue` :: σ -Finite
`algebraOfLebesgue` () = $\Lambda := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})_\lambda$

`LebesgueAlgebraIsSeparable` :: `Separable`(Λ)

`Proof` =

1 consider \mathcal{A} to be an algebra generated by open intervals with rational endpoints.

2 Then $|\mathcal{A}| = \aleph_0$ as \mathbb{Q} are countable.

3 As Λ is localizable $\Lambda = \pi_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) = \pi_\lambda(\tau_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{A})) = \tau(\pi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})) = \overline{\pi_\lambda(\mathcal{A})}$.

4 So Λ is separable.

□

1.3 Category

1.3.1 Measure Algebra Functor

NullIdealPreservingMapToHomomorphism ::

$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu), (Y, T, \nu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall D : \text{Thick}(X, \Sigma, \mu) . \forall f : D \rightarrow Y .$
 $. \forall \mathbb{N} : \forall E \in T . f^{-1}(E) \in (\hat{\Sigma}|D) . \forall \sqsupset : \forall E \in \mathcal{N}_\nu \cap T f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{N}_\mu .$
 $. \exists \phi \in \text{MA} \ \& \ \text{SequentiallyOrderClosed}(A_\nu, A_\mu) . \forall E \in T . \forall F \in \Sigma .$
 $. \phi[E] = [F] \iff f^{-1}(F) \triangle (E \cap D) \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$

Proof =

1 Define $\phi[E] = [f^{-1}(E)]$.

2 ϕ is well defined.

2.1 Assume $E, F \in T$ are such that $[E] = [F]$.

2.2 Then $\nu(E \triangle F) = 0$.

2.3 So $\mu(f^{-1}(E \triangle F)) = 0$.

2.4 Write $\phi[E] = [f^{-1}(E)] = [f^{-1}(E \triangle F \triangle F)] = [f^{-1}(F)] + [f^{-1}(E \triangle F)] = [f^{-1}(F)] = \phi[F]$.

3 ϕ is a boolean morphism.

3.1 $\phi(1) = [f^{-1}(X)] = [f^{-1}(Y)] = 1$.

3.2 The rest is obvious from properties of $f^{-1} : 2^Y \rightarrow 2^D$.

3.3 As measures are σ -additive the σ -continuity follows by similar arguments.

4 The final property is also obvious by construction.

□

measureAlgebraFunctor :: **Contravariant**(**BOR**₀, **MeasureAlgebra**)

measureAlgebraFunctor $((X, \Sigma, \mu)) = \text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu) := (A_\mu, \hat{\mu})$

measureAlgebraFunctor $(X, Y, f) = \text{MA}_{X,Y}(f) := \text{NullIdealPreservingMapToHomomorphism}$

1.3.2 Stone Space Functor

`spaceOfStoneFunctor` :: `Contravariant`(`MeasureAlgebra`, `BOR0`)

`spaceOfStoneFunctor` $((A, \mu)) = Z(A, \mu) := (ZA, \Sigma_\mu, \bar{\mu})$

`spaceOfStoneFunctor` $(X, Y, f) = Z_{X,Y}(f) := Z_{X,Y}(f)$

1 Assume E is nowhere dense in ZX .

1.2 Then $\left(Z_{X,Y}(f)\right)^{-1}(E)$ is nowhere dense in ZY .

1.3 But this means that $\left(Z_{X,Y}(f)\right)^{-1}(E)$ is meager and has measure zero.

2 Now assume E has $\bar{\mu}$ -measure zero.

2.1 Then E must be meager.

2.2 So write $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n$, where each N is nowhere dense.

2.3 By elementary set theory $\left(Z_{X,Y}(f)\right)^{-1}(E) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(Z_{X,Y}(f)\right)^{-1}(N_n)$.

2.3 As each $\left(Z_{X,Y}(f)\right)^{-1}(N_n)$ has measure 0, $\left(Z_{X,Y}(f)\right)^{-1}(E)$ also has measure 0.

□

1.3.3 Order Continuous Homomorphism

OrderContinuousByCodomain ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall (B, \nu) : \mathbf{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall \phi \in \mathbf{MA} \left((A, \mu), (B, \nu) \right) . \\ & . \phi \in \mathbf{TOP}(A, B) \Rightarrow \mathbf{OrderContinuous}(A, B, \phi) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

1 Assume D is downwards directed subset of A such that $\inf D = 0$.

2 Then $0 \in \overline{D}$.

3 As ϕ is continuous $0 \in \overline{\phi(D)}$.

4 $\inf \phi(D) = 0$.

4.1 Assume $\inf \phi(D) = b > 0$.

4.2 As ν is semifinite, there is $c \in B^f$ such that $c \leq b$ and $\nu(b) > 0$.

4.3 Then $\rho_c(\phi(a), 0) = \nu(\phi(a)c) = \nu(c) > 0$ for any $a \in D$.

4.4 So $0 \notin \overline{\phi(D)}$, a contradiction!

5 Then ϕ must be order-continuous.

□

ContinuousByDomain ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (B, \nu) \in \text{MA} . \forall \phi \in \text{MA} \left((A, \mu), (B, \nu) \right) . \\ & . \text{OrderContinuous}(A, B, \phi) \Rightarrow \phi \in \text{TOP}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

- 1 It is enough to prove that ϕ is continuous at zero.
 - 2 Assume $b \in B^f$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.
 - 2.1 Assume that for any $a \in A^f$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ where is some $c \in A$ such that $\rho_a(c, 0) < \delta$ but $\rho_b(\phi(c), 0) \geq \varepsilon$.
 - 2.1.1 Then it is possible to construct a system of elements $c : A^f \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that $\rho_a(c_{a,n}, 0) < 2^{-n}$ and $\rho_b(\phi(c_{a,n}), 0) \geq \varepsilon$.
 - 2.1.2 Set $d_a = \liminf c_a$.
 - 2.1.3 Then $\rho_a(d_a, 0) = 0$.
 - 2.1.4 Thus, $d_a a = 0$.
 - 2.1.5 As ϕ is order continuous $\phi(d_a) = \limsup \phi(c_a)$.
 - 2.1.6 So, $\rho_b(\phi(d_a), 0) \geq \varepsilon$.
 - 2.1.7 This implies that $\rho_b(\phi(\bar{a}), 0) \geq \varepsilon$.
 - 2.1.8 Now consider set $D = \{\bar{a} | a \in A^f\}$.
 - 2.1.8.1 Then D is downwards directed.
 - 2.1.8.1.1 If $c, d \in A^f$ then $c \vee d \in A^f$ also.
 - 2.1.8.1.2 So by De Muavre law if $\bar{c}, \bar{d} \in D$, then $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} = \overline{a \vee b} \in D$.
 - 2.1.8.2 As μ is semifinite $\inf D = 0$.
 - 2.1.8.2.1 There is dense subset consisting of elements of A^f .
 - 2.1.9 So $0 \in \bar{D}$.
 - 2.1.10 But (2.1.9) is in contradiction with (2.1.7)!
 - 2.2 So we showed that there is always some δ and $a \in A^f$ such that $\rho_b(\phi(c), 0) < \varepsilon$ for any $c \in \mathbb{B}_a(0, \delta)$.
 - 3 But as b and ε were arbitrary, the homomorphism ϕ must be continuous.
-

ContinuoutyEquivalence ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall \phi \in \text{MA} \left((A, \mu), (B, \nu) \right) . \\ & . \text{OrderContinuous}(A, B, \phi) \iff \phi \in \text{TOP}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

Combine two previous results.

□

UniformEquivalencse ::

$$:: \forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall \mu, \nu : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A) . \mathcal{U}_\nu = \mathcal{U}_\mu$$

Proof =

- 1 Identity mapping is always order-continuous.
- 2 But by previous theorem it must be a homeomorphism.
- 3 A homomorphism whis is also a homeomorphism must be a unimorphism.

□

1.3.4 Measure Preserving Homomorphism

MeasurePreservingHomomorphism :: $\prod (A, \mu), (B, \nu) \in \mathbf{MA} . ?\mathbf{BOOL}(A, B)$

$\phi : \mathbf{MeasurePreservingHomomorphism} \iff \forall a \in A . \mu(a) = \nu(\phi(a))$

measurePreservingMeasureAlgebraCategory :: **LSCAT**

measurePreservingMeasureAlgebraCategory () = $\mathbf{MA}_{\#} := (\mathbf{MA}, \mathbf{MeasurePreservingHomomorphism}, \circ, \text{id})$

MPHIsInjective :: $\forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall \phi \in \mathbf{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) . \forall \phi \in \mathbf{Injective}(A, B)$

Proof =

- 1 If ϕ is not injective then it has nontrivial kernel.
- 2 Select $a \in \ker \phi$ such that $a \neq 0$.
- 3 Then $\mu(a) > 0$ but $\nu(\phi(a)) = \nu(0) = 0$, a contradicton!

□

MPHFiniteness ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall \phi \in \mathbf{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) .$

$. \mathbf{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(A, \mu) \iff \mathbf{FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \nu)$

Proof =

- 1 For any boolean homomorphism $\phi(e_A) = e_B$.
- 2 So finiteness follows by measure preservation.

□

FiniteMPHIsContinuous ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) : \mathbf{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall \phi \in \mathbf{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) . \phi \in \mathbf{TOP}(A, B)$

Proof =

ϕ is an isometry with respect to natural metrics ρ_{μ} and ρ_{ν} .

□

FiniteMPHIsOrderContinuous ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) : \mathbf{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall \phi \in \mathbf{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) .$

$. \mathbf{OrderContinuous}(A, B, \phi)$

Proof =

This follows from the previous chapter and previous theorem.

□

SigmaFiniteMPH1 :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (B, \nu) : \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra} .$
 $. \forall \phi \in \text{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) . \sigma\text{-Finite}(A, \mu)$

Proof =

- 1 As μ is semifinite there is a partition of unity of finite elements D .
- 2 $|\phi(D)| = |D|$ as ϕ is injective.
- 3 $\phi(D)$ is disjoint.
- 3 As ν is σ -finite $\phi(D)$ can be embedded into a countable partition of unity, so $|D| \leq \aleph_0$.
- 4 This means that μ is σ -finite.

□

SigmaFiniteMPH2 :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (B, \nu) \in \text{MA} .$
 $. \forall \phi \in \text{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) \ \& \ \sigma\text{-Continuous}(A, B) . \sigma\text{-FiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \nu)$

Proof =

- 1 There is countable partition of unity P consisting of finite measure elements in A .
- 2 Then $\phi(P)$ is a countable disjoint subset of B consisting of finite measure elements.
- 3 But $\sup \phi(P) = \phi(\sup P) = \phi(e_A) = e_B$.
- 4 Thus, $\phi(P)$ is also a countable partition of unity in (B, ν) consisting of finite measure elements.
- 5 So (B, ν) is σ -finite.

□

SemifiniteMPH :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (B, \nu) \in \text{MA} .$
 $. \forall \phi \in \text{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) \ \& \ \text{OrderContinuous}(A, B) . \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra}(B, \nu)$

Proof =

- 1 There is partition of unity P consisting of finite measure elements in A .
- 2 Then $\phi(P)$ is a disjoint subset of B consisting of finite measure elements.
- 3 But $\sup \phi(P) = \phi(\sup P) = \phi(e_A) = e_B$.
- 4 Thus, $\phi(P)$ is also a partition of unity in (B, ν) consisting of finite measure elements.
- 5 So (B, ν) is semifinite.

□

AtomlessMPH :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} \ \& \ \text{Atomless} . \forall (B, \nu) \in \text{MA} .$
 $. \forall \phi \in \text{MA}_{\#}((A, \mu), (B, \nu)) \ \& \ \text{OrderContinuous}(A, B) . \text{Atomless}(B)$

Proof =

- 1 There is partition of unity P consisting of finite measure elements in A .
- 2 Then $\phi(P)$ is a disjoint subset of B consisting of finite measure elements.
- 3 But $\sup \phi(P) = \phi(\sup P) = \phi(e_A) = e_B$.
- 4 Thus, $\phi(P)$ is also a partition of unity in (B, ν) consisting of finite measure elements.
- 5 Now assume b is an atom in B .
- 5.1 Then there is an element $a \in P$ such that $\phi(a)b \neq 0$.
- 5.2 But as b is an atom this means that $b = \phi(a)$.
- 5.3 A is atomless so there are some c such that $0 < c < a$.
- 5.4 So $0 < \phi(c) < \phi(a) = b$.
- 5.5 But this means that b is not an atom, a contradiction!!

□

PurelyAtomicMPH ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (B, \nu) : \text{PurelyAtomicMeasureAlgebra} . \\ & . \forall \phi \in \text{MA}_{\#} \left((A, \mu), (B, \nu) \right) . \text{PurelyAtomic}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

1 Assume $a \in A$ is such that $a \neq 0$.

1.1 Assume that a do not contain any atoms.

1.2 As A is semifinite there is a $c \in A^f$ such that $0 < c \leq a$.

1.3 Then there exist a sequence of partitions $d : \mathbb{B}^* \rightarrow A^f$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{such that } c = \bigvee_{t \in \mathbb{B}^n}^{2^n} d_t \text{ and } d_t \neq 0 \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{B}^* \text{ and } d_t d_s = d_s \text{ iff } t \sqsubset s \text{ and } d_t d_s = 0 \text{ iff } |s| = |t| \text{ and } t \neq s \\ &\text{and } \mu(d_t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |t| \rightarrow \infty . \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Then $\phi(d)$ has all same properties .

$$1.4 \text{ Moreover } \nu(\phi(c)) = \nu \phi \left(\bigvee_{t \in \mathbb{B}^n}^{2^n} d_t \right) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{B}^n}^{2^n} \nu(\phi(d_t)).$$

$$1.5 \text{ So } \phi(c) = \bigvee_{t \in \mathbb{B}^n}^{2^n} \phi(d_t) \text{ as } \phi(d_t) \text{ must be disjoint.}$$

1.6 So $\phi(c)$ can't contain atoms.

1.7 But B is purely atomic, so we have a contradiction!

□

GeneratedSigmaSubalgebraImage ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall \phi \in \text{MA}_{\#} \left((A, \mu), (B, \nu) \right) . \forall C \subset A . \phi \langle C \rangle_{\sigma} = \langle \phi(C) \rangle_{\sigma}$$

Proof =

This follows from previous theorems about finite measure algebras.

□

MeasurePreservingMeasureAlgebra ::

$$:: \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu), (Y, T, \nu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall f \in \text{MEAS}^{\#} \left((X, \Sigma, \mu), (Y, T, \nu) \right) . \text{MA}_{X,Y}(f) \in \text{MA}_{\#}(T_{\nu}, \Sigma_{\mu})$$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

MeasurePreservingZeroSpace ::

$$\begin{aligned} &:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) \in \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall f \in \text{MA}_{\#} \left((A, \mu), (B, \nu) \right) . \\ & . \text{Z}_{A,B}(f) \in \text{MEAS}^{\#} \left((Z \ B, \Sigma_{\nu}, \bar{\nu}), (Z \ A, \Sigma_{\mu}, \bar{\mu}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

MeasurePresevingHomomorphismExtensionFromSubalgebra ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall C \subseteq_{\text{BOOL}} A . \forall \aleph : \text{Dense}(A, C) .$
 $. \forall \phi \in \text{MeasureAlgebra}_{\#}(C, B) . \exists \Phi \in \text{MeasureAlgebra}_{\#}(A, B) . \Phi|_C = \phi$

Proof =

1 obviously ϕ is an isometry.

2 So there exists a unique isometry extension Φ of ϕ by \aleph .

3 Φ is a homomorphism.

3.1 This holds as boolean operations are continuous and ϕ is also continuous.

3.2 Let \circ be some binary boolean operation and $u, v \in A$.

3.3 Then there are sequences $x, y : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C$ such that $u = \lim x$ and $v = \lim y$.

3.4 $\Phi(v) \circ \Phi(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n) \circ \phi(y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n \circ y_n) = \Phi(v \circ u) .$

4 Φ is measure preserving.

4.1 Assume $a \in A$.

4.2 just note $\nu(\Phi(a)) = \rho_{\nu}(\Phi(a), 0) = \rho_{\nu}(\Phi(a), \Phi(0)) = \rho_{\mu}(a, 0) = \mu(a)$.

□

MeasurePresevingHomomorphismExtensionFromSubset ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu), (B, \nu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall C \subseteq B . \forall f : C \rightarrow A .$
 $. \forall \aleph : \forall c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C . \nu(\inf f(c)) = \mu(\inf c) . \exists \Phi \in \text{MA}_{\#}(\langle C \rangle_{\text{MA}}, B) . \Phi|_C = f$

Proof =

...

□

1.3.5 Example

Let $A = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\mu = \#$.

The elements of A can be identified with sequences $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{BOOL}$.

Let $\phi(a)$ be defined as right shift padded by 0 if a is finite.

Let $\phi(a)$ be defined as right shift padded by 1 if a is cofinite.

Otherwis let $\phi(a) = a$.

Then as finite sets form an and $0 + 0 = 0$ and $0 \wedge t = 0$ it is clear ϕ that preserves their structure.

Also as cofinite sets are their complement and $1 + 1 = 0$ and $1 \wedge t = t$

it is clear that ϕ is an algebra morphism.

Clearly ϕ preserves cardinality.

On the other hand consider a sequence $f_n = \{2, \dots, 2n\}$.

Then $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n = 2\mathbb{N}$.

But $2\mathbb{N} = \phi(2\mathbb{N}) = \phi\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n\right) \neq \bigvee_n^{\infty} 1\phi(f_n) = 2\mathbb{N} + 1$.

□

1.3.6 Tensor Products

$\text{measureAlgebraTensorProduct} :: \prod I : \mathbf{Finite} . (I \rightarrow \mathbf{MA}) \rightarrow \mathbf{MA}$

$$\text{measureAlgebraTensorProduct}(A, \mu) = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i, \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i \right) := \mathbf{MA} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} Z(A_i, \mu_i) \right)$$

$\text{measureAlgebraTensorProductEmbedding} ::$

$$:: \prod I : \mathbf{Finite} . \prod (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{MA} . \prod_{i \in I} \text{OrderContinuous} \left(A_i, \bigotimes_{j \in I} A_j \right)$$

$$\text{measureAlgebraTensorProductEmbedding}() = \iota_i := \mathbf{MA}_{Z(A_i, \mu_i), \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z(A_i, \mu_i)}(\pi_i)$$

1 ι_i is well defined.

1.1 Assume $E \in \sigma_{\mu_i}$ is such that $\bar{\mu}_i(E) = 0$.

1.2 Then $\bigotimes_{j \in I} \bar{\mu}_j(\pi_i^{-1}(E)) = \bigotimes_{j \in I} \bar{\mu}_j \prod_{k \in I} (\widehat{Z A_i}(E))_k = \sup \left\{ \prod_{j \in I} \bar{\mu}_j(F) \mid F : \prod_{j \in I} \Sigma_{\mu_j}, F_i \subset E \right\} = 0$.

1.3 So $\pi_i \in \text{BOR}_0 \left(\bigotimes_{j \in I} Z(A_j, \mu_j), Z(A_i, \mu_i) \right)$.

2 ι_i is order-continuous.

2.1 Assume $D \subset A_i$ is downwards closed with $\inf D = 0$.

2.2 Also assume $0 \neq u = \inf \iota_i(D)$.

2.3 Then $\prod_{i \in I} \mu_i(u) > 0$.

2.4 By definition there is $E : \prod_{i \in I} \Sigma_{\mu_i}^f$ and $F \in \bigotimes_{j \in I} \Sigma_{\bar{\mu}_j}$ such that $u = [F]$ and $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \bar{\mu}_i \left(F \cap \prod_{j \in I} E_j \right) > 0$.

2.5 But $\inf_{d \in D} d[E_i] = 0$, so $\inf_{d \in D} \mu_i(d[E_i]) = 0$.

2.6 So there exists $d \in D$ such that $\mu_i(d[E_i]) \prod_{j \in \{i\}^c} \bar{\mu}_j(E_j) < \bigotimes_{i \in I} \bar{\mu}_i \left(F \cap \prod_{j \in I} E_j \right)$.

2.7 Also there is $G \in \Sigma$ such that $d = [G]$.

2.8 Thus, $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \bar{\mu}_i \left(F \setminus \prod_{j \in I} (\widehat{E_i}(G))_j \right) = 0$.

2.9 Then $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \bar{\mu}_i \left(F \cap \prod_{j \in I} E_j \right) \leq \bigotimes_{j \in J} \bar{\mu}_i \left(\prod_{j \in I} (\widehat{E_i}(G \cap E_i))_j \right) = \bar{\mu}_i(G \cap E_i) \prod_{j \in \{i\}^c} \mu_j(E_j) = \mu_i(d[E_i]) \prod_{j \in \{i\}^c} \mu_j(E_j)$.

2.10 A contradiction with (2.5)!

□

measureAlgebraTensorRepresentation ::

$$:: \prod I : \mathbf{Finite} . \prod (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{MA} . \mathbf{BOOL} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i) \right)$$

$$\mathbf{measureAlgebraTensorRepresentation} () = \Psi_{A, \mu} := \mathbf{tensor} \left(\Lambda[E] \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i . \left[\prod_{i \in I} E_i \right] \right)$$

$$\mathbf{TensorRepresentationsAreDense} :: \forall I : \mathbf{Finite} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{MA} . \mathbf{Dense} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (A, \mu_i), \Psi_{A, \mu} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i \right) \right)$$

Proof =

1 Assume $s \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i)$ and $f \in \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i) \right)^f$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.

2 Then there is $S, F \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z(A_i, \mu_i)$ such that $s = [E]$ and $f = [F]$.

3 We show that there is $t \in \Psi_{A, \mu} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i \right)$ such that $\rho_f(t, s) < \varepsilon$.

3.1 As sf is finite there must exist a natural number n and a system $E : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \Sigma_\mu$

such that $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \hat{\mu}_i \left(S \cap F \triangle \bigcup_{k=1}^n \prod_{i \in I} E_i \right) < \varepsilon$.

3.2 But then $\rho_f \left(s, \bigvee_{k=1}^n \Psi_{A, \mu} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} [E_i] \right) \right) < \varepsilon$.

□

Write just $\bigotimes_{i \in I} a_i$ for $\Psi_{A, \mu} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} a_i \right)$.

$$\mathbf{TensorMeasureComputation} :: \forall I : \mathbf{Finite} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{MA} . \forall t \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} (A, \mu) .$$

$$. \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i(t) = \sup \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i \left(t \bigotimes_{i \in I} a_i \right) \mid a \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i^f \right\}$$

Proof =

This follos by the definition of the cld product.

□

TensorRepresentationComputation :: $\forall I : \text{Finite} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} .$

$$. \forall a \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i . \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} a_i \right) = \prod_{i \in I} \mu(a_i)$$

Proof =

This is pretty obvious.

□

TensorRepresentationUniqueness ::

$\forall I : \text{Finite} \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} .$

$$. \text{Injective} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i), \Psi_{A, \mu} \right)$$

Proof =

This follows from the previous result.

□

MeasureSpaceCLDProductUniversalProperty ::

$\forall I : \text{Finite} . \forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{Semifinite} . \forall (A, \nu) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} .$

$\forall \phi : \prod_{i \in I} \text{OrderContinuous} \ \& \ \text{BOOL} (\text{MA}(X_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i), A) .$

$$. \forall \mathbb{N} : \forall x \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{MA}(X_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i) . \nu \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i(x_i) \right) = \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i(x_i) .$$

$$. \exists ! \psi : \text{MeasurePreservingHomomorphism} \left(\text{MA} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (X_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i) \right), (A, \nu) \right) . \psi \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} x_i \right) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i(x_i)$$

Proof =

...

□

LocalizableTensorProductUniversalProperty ::

$\forall I : \text{Finite} . \forall (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{SemifiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (B, \eta) : \text{LocalizableMeasureAlgebra} .$

$\forall \phi : \prod_{i \in I} \text{OrderContinuous} \ \& \ \text{BOOL}(A_i, B) . \forall \mathbb{N} : \forall a : \prod_{i \in I} (A_i) . \eta \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \phi_i(a_i) \right) = \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i(a_i) .$

$$. \exists ! \psi : \text{MeasurePreservingHomomorphism} \ \& \ \text{OrderContinuous} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i), B \right) . \nu \psi = \phi$$

Proof =

...

□

1.3.7 Independent Process Algebra

`independentProcessAlgebra` :: $\prod_{I \in \text{SET}} (I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}) \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}$

`randomProcessAlgebra` $(A, p) = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i, \prod_{i \in I} p_i \right) := \text{MA} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} Z(A_i, p_i) \right)$

`independentAlgebraTensorProductEmbedding` ::

$:: \prod_{I \in \text{SET}} \prod (A, \mu) : I \rightarrow \text{MA} . \prod_{i \in I} \text{OrderContinuous} \left(A_i, \bigotimes_{j \in I} A_j \right)$

`independentAlgebraTensorProductEmbedding` $() = \iota_i := \text{MA}_{Z(A_i, p_i), \bigotimes_{i \in I} Z(A_i, p_i)}(\pi_i)$

`independentProcessUniversalProperty` ::

$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (A, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \forall (B, q) : \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} .$

$. \forall \phi : \prod_{i \in I} \text{OrderContinuous} \ \& \ \text{BOOL} \left(A_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i) \right) .$

$. \forall \eta : \forall J : \text{Finite}(I) . \forall a : \prod_{j \in J} (A_j) . \eta \left(\bigvee_{j \in J} \phi_j(a_j) \right) = \prod_{j \in J} \mu_j(a_j) .$

$. \exists ! \psi : \text{MeasurePreservingHomomorphism} \ \& \ \text{OrderContinuous} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i), B \right) . \iota \psi = \phi$

`Proof` =

...
□

`measureAlgebraTensorRepresentation` ::

$:: \prod I \in \text{SET} . \prod (A, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \text{BOOL} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i, \bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i) \right)$

`measureAlgebraTensorRepresentation` $() = \Psi_{A, \mu} := \text{tensor} \left(\Lambda[E] \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i . \left[\prod_{i \in I} E_i \right] \right)$

`TensorRepresentationsAreDense` ::

$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (A, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \text{Dense} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (A, p_i), \Psi_{A, \mu} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i \right) \right)$

`Proof` =

...
□

1.3.8 independent Subalgebras

$\text{StochasticallyIndependent} :: \prod (A, p) : \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \prod I \in \text{SET} . ?(I \rightarrow \text{Subring}(A))$

$C : \text{StochasticallyIndependent} \iff \forall J : \text{Finite}(I) . \forall c : \prod_{j \in J} A_j . p \left(\bigvee_{j \in J} c_j \right) = \prod_{j \in J} p(c_j)$

$\text{StochasticallyIndependentGeneration} ::$

$:: \forall (A, p) : \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall C : \text{StochasticallyIndependent}(A, p, I) .$

$. \forall \mathbb{N} : \forall i \in I . C_i \subset_{\text{MA}} (A, p) . \bigotimes_{i \in I} (C_i, p) \cong_{\text{MA}} \left\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} C_i \right\rangle_{\text{MA}} \subset_{\text{MA}} (A, p)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

$\text{StochasticallyIndependentInProcessAlgebra} ::$

$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (A, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \text{StochasticallyIndependent} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i), I, (A, p) \right)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

1.3.9 Coordinate Determination

$$\text{coordinateSubalgebra} :: \prod_{I \in \text{SET}} (I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}) \rightarrow ?I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}$$

$$\text{coordinateSubalgebra}((C, p), J) = C_J := \bigvee_{j \in J} \iota_j(C_j)$$

ProcessAlgebraRepresentation ::

$$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (C, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \forall J \subset I . C_J \cong_{\text{MA}} \bigotimes_{j \in J} (C_j, p_j)$$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

CoordinateDeterminationExists ::

$$:: \forall i \in \text{SET} \forall (C, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \forall c \in C . \exists ! \min \left\{ J : \text{Countable}(I) \mid c \in C_J \right\}$$

Proof =

1 Let $\mathcal{J} = \left\{ J : \text{Countable}(I) \mid c \in C_J \right\}$.

2 $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$.

2.1 Note that $\bigotimes_{i \in I} C_i$ is dense in $\bigotimes_{i \in I} (C_i, p_i)$.

2.2 So there exists a sequence of natural numbers $n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$,

a system of finite subsets $i \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, n_k\} \times \{1, \dots, n_k\} \rightarrow I$ and $t \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{l=1}^k \prod_{h=1}^{n_k} C_{i_{k,l,h}}$

such that $c = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=1}^k \bigotimes_{h=1}^{n_k} t_{k,l,h}$, where all missing slots are filled by e .

2.3 Then $J = \text{Im } i \in \mathcal{J}$, so $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$.

3 \mathcal{J} has a minimal element.

3.1 Assume \mathcal{C} is a chain in \mathcal{J} .

3.2 Then $c \in C_J$ for any $J \in \mathcal{C}$.

3.3 So $c \in \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{C}} C_J = C_{\bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{C}} J}$.

3.3.1 Here we used the fact that \mathcal{C} is decreasing.

3.3.2 C_J Form a sequence of decreasing closed subalgebras.

3.4 So $\bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{C}} J \in \mathcal{J}$ and the lower bound is attained.

4 The minimum Is unique.

4.1 Assume that $I, J \in \mathcal{J}$.

4.2 Then $c \in C_I \cap C_J$.

...

□

$\text{coordinateDetermination} :: \prod_{I \in \text{SET}} \prod (C, p) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \bigotimes_{i \in I} (C_i, p_i) \rightarrow \text{Countable}(I)$

$\text{coordinateDetermination}(c) = J_c := \text{CoordinateDeterminationExists}$

$\text{MidElementCoordinatesDetermination} ::$

$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (C, p) : I \rightarrow \text{PurelyAtomic} . \forall a, c \in \bigotimes_I (C_i, p_i) . \forall \aleph : a \leq c . \exists b \in C_{J_a \cap J_c} . a \leq b \leq c$

$\text{Proof} =$

This follows from Fubini Theorem!

...

□

$\text{MidElementCoordinatesDetermination} ::$

$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (C, p) : I \rightarrow \text{PurelyAtomic} . \forall a, c \in \bigotimes_I (C_i, p_i) . \forall \aleph : a \leq c . \exists b \in C_{J_a \cap J_c} . a \leq b \leq c$

$\text{Proof} =$

This follows from Fubini Theorem!

...

□

$\text{CoordinatesDetermination} ::$

$:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall (C, p) : I \rightarrow \text{PurelyAtomic} . \forall \mathcal{J} : ??I . \bigcap C_{\mathcal{J}} = C_{\bigcap \mathcal{J}}$

$\text{Proof} =$

Part of the previous Theorem.

...

□

Note: It may be interesting to prove this results independently of abstract measure theory, and then prove Fubini therorem and related results from coordinate Determination.

1.4 Radon-Nikodym Parallels

1.4.1 Finitely Additive Functionals

`finitelyAdditiveFunctionals` :: `Contravariant`(`BOOL`, \mathbb{R} -VS)

`finitelyAdditiveFunctionals` (A) = $\mathbf{a}(A) :=$

$$:= \left\{ f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \forall (a, b) : \text{DisjointPair}(A) . f(a \vee b) = f(a) + f(b) \right\}$$

`finitelyAdditiveFunctionals` (A, B, ϕ) = $\mathbf{a}_{A,B}(\phi) := \phi_*$

`boundedAdditiveFunctionals` :: `Contravariant`(`BOOL`, \mathbb{R} -VS)

`boundedAdditiveFunctionals` (A) = $\mathbf{ba}(A) := \left\{ f \in \mathbf{a}(A) : \exists r \in \mathbb{R}_+ . \forall a \in A . |f(a)| < r \right\}$

`boundedAdditiveFunctionals` (A, B, ϕ) = $\mathbf{ba}_{A,B}(\phi) := \phi_*$

Zero :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . f(0) = 0$

Proof =

- 1 $(0, 0)$ is a disjoint pair as $0 \cdot 0 = 0$.
 - 2 So $f(0) = f(0 \vee 0) = f(0) + f(0)$.
 - 3 Which can be rewritten as $f(0) = 0$.
-

Restriction :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . \forall a \in A . \wedge c \in A . f(ac) \in \mathbf{a}(A)$

Proof =

- 1 Defin $g(c) = f(ab)$.
 - 2 Assume (c, d) is a disjoint pair.
 - 3 Then $(ac)(ad) = acd = 0$.
 - 4 (ac, ad) is a disjoint pair also.
 - 5 So $g(c \vee d) = f(a(c \vee d)) = f(ac \vee ad) = f(ac) + f(ad) = g(c) + g(d)$.
 - 6 Thus, $g \in \mathbf{a}(A)$.
-

PositiveIffMonotonic :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . f \geq 0 \iff \text{Monotonic}(A, \mathbb{R}, f)$

Proof =

- 1 Assume $f > 0$.
 - 1.1 Assume $a, b \in A$ is such that $a > b$.
 - 1.2 Then $f(a) = f(ab \vee b \setminus a) = f(ab) + f(b \setminus a) = f(a) + f(b \setminus a) \geq f(a)$.
 - 2 Assume that f is monotonic.
 - 2.1 Assume $a \in A$.
 - 2.2 Note, that $f(0) = 0$.
 - 2.3 So, as $a \geq 0$ then $f(a) \geq 0$.
-

JordanDecomposition ::

$$:: \forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . f \in \mathbf{ba}(A) \iff \exists g, h \in \mathbf{a}(A) . g, h \geq 0 \ \& \ f = g - h$$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) Assume f is bounded.

1.1 Define $g(a) = \sup\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a\}$.

1.2 g is finitely additive.

1.2.1 Assume $a, b \in A$ are such that $ab = 0$.

1.2.2 Then $g(a \vee b) = \sup\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a \vee b\} = \sup\left\{f\left(c(a \vee b)\right) \mid c \in A, c \leq a \vee b\right\} =$
 $= \sup\{f(ca \vee cb) \mid c \in A, c \leq a \vee b\} = \sup\{f(ca) + f(cb) \mid c \in A, c \leq a \vee b\} =$
 $= \sup\{f(c) + f(d) \mid c, d \in A, c \leq a, d \leq b\} = \sup\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a\} + \sup\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq b\} = g(a) + g(b).$

1.3 Then h can be defined in a similar manner but for $-f$.

1.4 $f = g - h$.

1.4.1 $g(a) - h(a) = \sup\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a\} - \sup\{-f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a\} =$
 $= \sup\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a\} + \inf\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq a\}.$

1.4.2 Then we may select some $c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (a)$ such that $g(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n)$.

1.4.3 Then $-h(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a \setminus c_n)$ from (1.4.1).

1.4.4 Thus $g(a) - h(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a \setminus c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) + f(a \setminus c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a) = f(a).$

2 (\Leftarrow) Assume there are $g, h \in \mathbf{a}_+(A)$ such that $f = g - h$.

2.1 Assume $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is a disjoint sequence.

2.2 Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(a_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k g(a_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^k a_n\right) \leq g(a) < \infty.$

2.3 g is bounded.

2.3.1 Assume now that g is unbounded.

2.3.2 Then there exists a sequence c such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(c_n) = 0$.

2.3.3 Define $a_n = c_n \setminus \bigvee_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k$.

2.3.4 Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(a_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n c_k\right) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(c_k) = \infty.$

2.3.5 But this contradicts (2.2)!

2.4 The same is true about h .

2.5 So f is bounded as linear combination of bounded functionals.

□

decompositionOfJordan :: $\prod A \in \mathbf{BOOLba}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{ba}_+^2(A)$

decompositionOfJordan (f) = $(f_+, f_-) := \mathbf{JordanDecomposition}(A, f)$

$\text{cylindersElements} :: \prod I \in \text{SET} . (I \rightarrow \text{BOOL}) \rightarrow \text{Monoid}$

$\text{cylindersElements} (A) = C(I, A) := \left\{ \bigwedge_{j \in J} \iota_j(a_j) \mid J : \text{Finite}(I), a \in \prod_{j \in J} A_j \right\}$

$\text{CoproductExtension} :: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall A : I \rightarrow \text{BOOL} . \forall \theta : C(I, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} .$

$. \forall \mathfrak{N} : \forall c \in C(I, A) . \forall i \in I . \forall a \in A_i . \theta(c) = \theta\left(c\iota_i(a)\right) + \theta\left(\overline{c\iota_i(a)}\right) . \exists f \in \mathfrak{a}\left(\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i\right) . f|_C = \theta$

$\text{Proof} =$

...

□

1.4.2 Properly Atomless Functionals

ProperlyAtomless :: $\prod_{A \in \text{BOOL}} ?a(A)$

$f : \text{ProperlyAtomless} \iff$

$\iff \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . \exists P : \text{PartitionOfUnity}(A) . |P| < \infty \ \& \ \forall p \in P . \forall a \in (p) . |f(a)| \leq \varepsilon$

VectorSubspace :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \text{ProperlyAtomless}(A) \subset_{\mathbb{R}\text{-vs}} \text{ba}(A)$

Proof =

1 Assume f is properly Atomless.

2 Then f is bounded.

2.1 There is a finite partition of unity P such that $|f(a)| < 1$ for any $p \in P$ and $a \in (p)$.

2.2 Then $|f(a)| = \left| f\left(a \bigvee P\right) \right| = \left| \sum_{p \in P} f(ap) \right| \leq \sum_{p \in P} |f(ap)| \leq |P| < \infty$.

3 Then αP may use simmilar partitions as P fo $\frac{\varepsilon}{|\alpha|}$.

4 And a sum $f + g$ may use intermeshes of f and g .

□

ContinuousPartitioningTHM1 ::

:: $\forall A : \sigma\text{-Algebra} . \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall f : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_+(A) .$

. $\forall \aleph : \forall a \in A . \exists \alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right] . \exists a' \in (a) . \forall i \in I . \alpha f_i(a) = f_i(a') .$

. $\forall a \in A . \exists u : [0, 1] \uparrow (a) . u_0 = 0 \ \& \ u_1 = a \ \& \ \forall \tau \in [0, 1] . \forall i \in I . f_i(u_\tau) = \tau f_i(a)$

Proof =

1 Assume that there is $k \in I$ such that $f_k(a) > 0$.

1.1 Otherwise set $u_1 = a$ and $u_\tau = 0$.

2 Define $\gamma_i = \frac{f_i(a)}{f_k(a)}$.

3 Define sets $D : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow 2^{(a)}$ recursevely in a such way that D is increasing, and each D_n is finite and ordered with $a, 0 \in D_n$ and $f_i(d) = \gamma_i f_k(d)$ for every $i \in I$.

3.1 Let $D_0 = \{0, a\}$.

3.2 Then assume $m = |D_n|$ and let $d : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow D_n$ be an an order-preserving enumeration.

3.3 Then by \aleph there is $c : \{1, \dots, m-1\} \rightarrow (a)$ such that $c_l \leq d_{l+1} \setminus d_l$

And a sequence $\alpha : \{1, \dots, m-1\} \rightarrow \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right]$ such that $f_i(c_l) = \alpha_l f_i(d_{l+1} \setminus d_l)$ for any $i \in I$.

3.4 Define $D_{n+1} = D_n \cup \left\{ d_l \vee c_l \mid l \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \right\}$.

3.5 The it is obvious that D_{n+1} is finite and ordered.

3.6 So we constructed and increasing D with a property $f_i(d_{l+1} \setminus d_l) \leq \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^n f_i(a)$

for any $i \in I$ and d being enumeration of D_n as above.

4 Set $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$.

5 Then C is countale totally ordered set with $0, a \in C$ and $f_k(C)$ is dense in $[0, f_k(a)]$.

6 Define $u_\tau = \sup\{c \in C, f_k(c) \leq \tau f_k(a)\}$.

6.1 This supremum has to exists.

6.2 As $f_k(C)$ is dense in $[0, f_k(a)]$ there is a sequence $c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \tau f_k(a)$.

6.3 Without loss of generality we may assume that c is non-decreasing.

6.4 And we may define $u_\tau = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$.

7 Then $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = a$ and $f_i(u_\tau) = \tau f_i(a)$ for any $i \in I$.

□

ContinuousPartitioningTHM2 ::

:: $\forall A : \sigma\text{-Algebra} . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \forall f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \text{ProperlyAtomless}(A) .$
 $. \forall \mathbb{N} : \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} . 0 \leq f_i \leq f_1 .$
 $. \forall a \in A . \exists u : [0, 1] \uparrow (a) . u_0 = 0 \ \& \ u_1 = a \ \& \ \forall \tau \in [0, 1] . \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} . f_i(u_\tau) = \tau f_i(a)$

Proof =

1 We prove that conditions of Previous theorem are satisfied with $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

2 At first consider the case $I = \{1\}$.

2.1 We seek to prove $a \in A$ there is an $\alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ and $a' \in (a)$ such that $f_1(a') = \alpha f_1(a)$.

2.2 Then there is finite partition of unity P such that $|f_1(c)| < \frac{1}{3} f_1(a)$ for any $p \in P$ and for any $c \leq p$.

2.3 Then it must be possible to sample $Q \subset P$ in such a way that $a' = a \bigvee_{p \in Q} p$ and $\frac{f_1(a')}{f_1(a)} \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$.

2.3.1 We know that $a = a \bigvee_{p \in P} p$ and $|f_1(ap)| \leq \frac{f_1(a)}{3}$.

2.3.2 So if $f_1(ap) < \frac{f_1(a)}{3}$ for some $p \in P$ there is also some $q \in P$ such that $f_1(a(p \vee q)) \leq \frac{2f_1(a)}{3}$.

2.3.3 This Process must stop as $f_1(a) = f_1\left(a \bigvee_{p \in P} p\right) = \sum_{p \in P} f_1(ap)$.

3 We follow by induction.

3.1 Assume the theorem holds for all $i \in I$ with $i < m$ and we have corresponding u for $\{1, \dots, m-1\}$.

3.2 $|f_m(u_t) - f_m(u_s)| = f_m(u_t \setminus u_s) \leq f_0(u_t \setminus u_s) = (t - s)f_0(a)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$.

3.3 So $\phi(t) = f_m(u_t)$ is continuous.

3.4 and the function $\psi : \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $\psi(t) = f_m(u_{t+\frac{1}{2}}) - f_m(u_t)$ is continuous.

3.5 Note that $\psi(0) + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = f_m(a)$.

3.6 So by the intermediate value theorem there must be some $t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ such that $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} f_m(a)$.

3.7 Define $u' = u_{t+\frac{1}{2}} \setminus u_t$.

3.8 Then $f_i(u') = \frac{1}{2} f_i(a)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

3.9 But this means that that the assertion holds fo $\{1, \dots, m\}$ And we can use the previous theorem.

□

1.4.3 Liapounoff's Convexity Theorem

$\text{vectorValuedFinitelyAdditiveFunctionals} :: \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-BAN} . \text{Contravariant}(\text{BOOL}, \mathbb{R}\text{-VS})$
 $\text{finitlyAdditiveFunctionals}(A) = \mathbf{a}(A, V) :=$
 $:= \left\{ f : A \rightarrow V : \forall (a, b) : \text{DisjointPair}(A) . f(a \vee b) = f(a) + f(b) \right\}$
 $\text{finitelyAdditiveFunctionals}(A, B, \phi) = \mathbf{a}_{A,B}(\phi) := \phi_*$

$\text{vectorValuedBoundedAdditiveFunctionals} :: \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-BAN} . \text{Contravariant}(\text{BOOL}, \mathbb{R}\text{-VS})$
 $\text{boundedAdditiveFunctionals}(A) = \mathbf{ba}(A, V) := \left\{ f \in \mathbf{a}(A) : \exists r \in \mathbb{R}_+ . \forall a \in a . \|f(a)\| < r \right\}$
 $\text{boundedAdditiveFunctionals}(A, B, \phi) = \mathbf{ba}_{A,B}(\phi) := \phi_*$

$\text{ProperlyAtomless} :: \prod_{A \in \text{BOOL}} \prod_{V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-BAN}} ?\mathbf{a}(A)$
 $f : \text{ProperlyAtomless} \iff$
 $\iff \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . \exists P : \text{PartitionOfUnity}(A) . |P| < \infty \ \& \ \forall p \in P . \forall a \in (p) . \|f(a)\| \leq \varepsilon$

$\text{LiapounoffsConvexityTHM} ::$
 $:: \forall A : \sigma\text{-Algebra} . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \forall f : \text{ProperlyAtomless}(A, \mathbb{R}^n) . \text{Convex}(\mathbb{R}^n, f(A))$

Proof =

This is an application of continuous decomposition theorems.

...

□

Note this is an additional problem then this theorem hold for infinite-dimensional vector spaces.

1.4.4 Countably Additive Functionals

`countablyAdditiveFunctionals` :: `Contravariant`(`BOOLσ`, `ℝ-VS`)

`countablyAdditiveFunctionals` (A) = $\mathbf{ca}(A) :=$

$$:= \left\{ f \in \mathbf{a}(A) : \forall a : \mathbf{DisjointSequence}(A) \exists \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Rightarrow f \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(a_n) \right\}$$

`countablyAdditiveFunctionals` (A, B, φ) = $\mathbf{ca}_{A,B}(\varphi) := \varphi_*$

IncreasingExpression ::

$$:: \forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{ca}(A) . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \uparrow A . \exists \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Rightarrow f \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n)$$

Proof =

1 Note that $a_n \setminus a_{n-1}$ is a disjoint sequence with $a_0 = 0$.

$$2 \text{ Then } f \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = f \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \setminus a_{n-1} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(a_n \setminus a_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f(a_k \setminus a_{k-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n).$$

□

DecreasingExpression ::

$$:: \forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{ca}(A) . \forall a : \mathbb{N} \downarrow A . \exists \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Rightarrow f \left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n)$$

Proof =

1 Note that $a_1 \setminus a_n$ is increasing.

$$2 \text{ Then } f \left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) = f \left(a_1 \setminus \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 \setminus a_n) \right) = f(a_1) - f \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 \setminus a_n) \right) = f(a_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_1 \setminus a_n) = \\ = f(a_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_1) - f(a_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n).$$

□

Restriction :: $\forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{ca}(A) . \forall a \in A . \wedge c \in A . f(ac) \in \mathbf{ca}(A)$

Proof =

...

□

CAFByLimits :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . \forall \aleph : \forall a : \mathbb{N} \downarrow A . \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = 0 . f \in \mathbf{ca}(A)$

Proof =

1 Assume $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is a disjoint sequence with $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ existing.

2 Then $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m = 0$.

3 So, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\bigvee_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m\right) = 0$ by \aleph .

4 Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a rewrite $f\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right) = \sum_{k=1}^m f(a_k) + f\left(\bigvee_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n\right)$.

5 Taking a limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ produces the desired result $f\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(a_n)$.

□

DominatedCAF :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . \forall g \in \mathbf{ca}(A) . |f| \leq g \Rightarrow f \in \mathbf{ca}(A)$

Proof =

1 Assume $a : \mathbb{N} \downarrow A$ such that $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 0$.

2 $g \in \mathbf{ca}(A)$ imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(a_n) = 0$.

3 But then domination imply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = 0$.

4 By previous theorem this means that $f \in \mathbf{ca}(A)$.

□

JordanDecomposition :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{ca}(A) . f \in \mathbf{ba}(A) \iff \exists g, h \in \mathbf{ca}_+(A) . f = g - h$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) Assume f is bounded.

1.1 Then $f = f_+ - f_-$ by simple Jordan's decomposition for finitely additive functionals.

1.2 We may write $f_+(a) = \sup\{f(c) | c \in A, c \leq a\}$.

1.3 Assume $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is a disjoint sequence such that $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ exists.

1.4 Then $f_+\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right) = \sup\left\{f(c) \mid c \in A, c \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right\} = \sup\left\{f\left(c \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right) \mid c \in A, c \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right\} =$
 $= \sup\left\{f\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} ca_n\right) \mid c \in A, c \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right\} = \sup\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(ca_n) \mid c \in A, c \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right\} =$
 $= \sup\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(c_n) \mid c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . c_n \leq a_n\right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{f(c) | c \in A, c \leq a_n\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_+(a_n)$

1.4.1 the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(c_n)$ must exist as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(c_n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_+(c_n)$.

1.4.2 And if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_+(c_n)$ diverges then the sequence $\phi_n = f_+\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n c_k\right)$ must be unbounded.

1.4.3 But f_+ must be bounded by basic Jordan decomposition theorem, a contradiction!

1.4.4 So $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(c_n)$ exists as absolutely converging series.

2 (\Leftarrow) This direction follows from basic Jordan Decomposition.

□

HahnDecomposition1 :: $\forall A \in \sigma\text{-Algebra} . \text{ca}(A) \subset_{\mathbb{R}\text{-vs}} \text{ba}(A)$

Proof =

1 Assume $f \in \text{ba}(A)$.

2 Let $\gamma = \sup_{a \in A} f(a)$.

3 Then there is a disjoint sequence $a : \mathbb{N} \uparrow A$ such that $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = f\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right) < \infty$.

3.1 Clearly there is a sequence $c : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) = \gamma$.

3.2 Without loss of generality it may be assumed that $f(c_n) > 0$.

3.2.1 Otherwise $\sup_{a \in A} f(a) = f(0) = 0$.

3.3 So $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f(c_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n c_k\right) \leq \gamma$.

3.4 So $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^n c_k\right)$.

3.5 Just define $a_n = \bigvee_{k=1}^n c_k$.

□

HahnDecomposition2 :: $\forall A \in \sigma\text{-Algebra} . \forall f \in \text{ca}(A) . \exists d \in A . \forall c \in A . f(c\bar{d}) \leq 0 \ \& \ f(cd) \geq 0$

Proof =

1 Let γ and a be as above.

2 Let $d = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

3 Assume $c \in (d)$.

4 If $f(c) \geq 0$ then $f(c \vee d) > \gamma$ but this is impossible.

5 Otherwise if $c \leq d$ and $f(c) < 0$ then $f(d \setminus c) > \gamma$ which is impossible.

□

1.4.5 Completely Additive Functionals

completelyAdditiveFunctionals :: **Contravariant**(**BOOL** _{τ} , **\mathbb{R} -VS**)

completelyAdditiveFunctionals (A) = τ -ca(A) :=

$$:= \left\{ f \in \mathbf{a}(A) : \forall D : \mathbf{DownwardsDirected}(A) . \bigvee_{d \in D} d = 0 \Rightarrow \inf_{d \in D} |f(d)| = 0 \right\}$$

CountableAdditivity :: $\forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \tau$ -ca(A) $\subset_{\mathbb{R}\text{-VS}}$ ca(A)

Proof =

1 Assume $f \in \tau$ -ca(A).

2 Also Assume $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is a decreasing with $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 0$.

3 Then $\lim_{n=1} f(a_1) = 0$.

4 Hence f is countably additive.

□

InfimumLocalization ::

:: $\forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \tau$ -ca(A) . $\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . \forall D : \mathbf{DownwardsDirected}(A) . \forall \mathbb{N} : \inf D = 0 .$
 $. \exists d \in D . \forall c \in (d) . |f(c)| < \varepsilon$

Proof =

1 Assume otherwise.

2 Let $C = \{c \in A : |f(c)| \geq \varepsilon, \exists d : d \leq c\}$.

3 Every member of A includes some member of C .

3.1 Assume $d \in D$.

3.2 Then by (1) there is $c \in A$ such that $c \leq d$ and $|f(c)| \geq \varepsilon$.

3.3 Let $D'_d = \{d' \setminus c \mid d' \in D, d' \leq d\}$.

3.4 Then D'_d is downwards directed and $\lim D'_d = 0$.

3.5 So there is a d' such that $|f(d' \setminus c)| < |f(c)| - \varepsilon$.

3.6 Let $c' = d' \vee c$.

3.7 Then $c' \leq d$.

3.8 Also $|f(c')| = |f(d' \setminus c) + f(c)| \geq |f(c)| - |f(d' \setminus c)| \geq \varepsilon$.

3.9 So $c' \in C$.

4 Since every member of C includes a member A it must be the case that C is downwards directed and $\lim C$

5 On the other hand $\lim_{c \in C} |f(c)| \geq \varepsilon$.

6 And this contradicts the fact of $f \in \tau$ -ca(A).

□

Continuity :: $\forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \tau$ -ca₊(A) . **OrderContinuous**(A, \mathbb{R}, f)

Proof =

...

□

Restriction :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) . \forall a \in A . \Lambda c \in A . f(ac) \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$

Proof =

...

□

DominatedCAF :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . \forall g \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) . |f| \leq g \Rightarrow f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$

Proof =

...

□

CCCUUpgrade :: $\forall A : \text{WithCountableChainCondition} . \text{ca}(A) = \tau\text{-ca}(A)$

Proof =

1 Take $f \in \text{ca}(A)$.

2 Assume D is downwards directed in A with $\inf D = 0$.

3 Then there is a countable $C \subset D$ with $\inf C = 0$ as A is CCC.

4 Let c be an enumeration of C with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$.

5 Then it is possible to construct a sequence $d \in D$ such that $d_n \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^n c_k$.

6 Thus $\inf f(D) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d_n) = 0$.

7 and so $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.

□

BoundedBySup :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . \forall \aleph : \forall d : \text{DisjointSequence}(A) . \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |f(d_m)| < \infty . f \in \mathbf{ba}(A)$

Proof =

1 Assume f is not bounded.

2 Then we can construct recursively a countable partition of unity such that $\sup |f(p)| > \infty$.

2.1 Select $p_{0,1} = e$.

2.2 On the step n there can be we seek element a with $|f(a)| \geq n + |f(p_{n,n})|$.

2.3 Then we can assert that $a \leq p_{n,n}$.

2.3.1 Define $p_{n+1,k} = p_{n,k}$ for $k < n$.

2.3.2 Define $p_{n+1,n} = p_{n,n} \setminus a$ and $p_{n+1,n+1} = a$.

2.3.3 Then $\bigvee_{k=1}^{n+1} p_{n+1,k} = \bigvee_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{n,k} \vee (p_{n,n} \setminus a) \vee (a) = \bigvee_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}$.

2.3.4 Also $|f(p_{n+1,n})| \geq n > n - 1$.

2.3.5 Then either $\sup |f(p_{n+1,n})| = \infty$ or $\sup |f(p_{n+1,n+1})| = \infty$.

2.3.6 In the first case swap $p_{n+1,n}$ and $p_{n+1,n+1}$.

2.4 As every element $p_{\bullet,k}$ of the fixed index k changes at most 2 times we can construct an infinite disjoint sequence.

2.5 Then $|f(d_n)| \geq n - 1$, so $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |f(d_m)| = \infty$.

3 This contradicts (\aleph) .

□

JordanDecomposition1 :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \tau\text{-ca}(A) \subset_{\mathbb{R}\text{-vs}} \text{ba}(A)$

Proof =

- 1 Assume that d is a disjoint sequence in A .
 - 2 Define $D = \{a \in A : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . n \geq N \Rightarrow d_n \leq a\}$.
 - 3 Then D is downwards directed.
 - 4 Also as $d_n^c \in D$ and d is disjoint it follows that $\bigwedge D = 0$.
 - 5 So it follows that there is $a \in D$ such that $|f(c)| \leq 1$ for all $c \leq a$.
 - 6 But this means that $|f(d_n)| \leq 1$ for a cofinite set of indexes.
 - 7 Thus, d is bounded.
 - 8 So, as d was arbitrary, by the previous theorem f is also bounded.
-

JordanDecomposition2 :: $\forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) . f_+, f_- \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$

Proof =

- 1 Write $f_+(a) = \sup\{f(c) | c \in A, c \leq a\}$.
 - 2 Assume D is a downwards directed set with $\bigwedge D = 0$.
 - 3 Also assume $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.
 - 4 We know that there is $d \in D$ such that $|f(c)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $c \leq d$.
 - 5 So $\inf_{u \in D} f_+(u) \leq f_+(d) \leq \varepsilon$.
 - 6 Thus, $\inf_{d \in D} f_+(d) = 0$ and $f_+ \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.
 - 7 The same argument holds for f_- .
-

UnitySummability ::

$$:: \forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} . f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) \iff \forall P : \mathbf{PartitionOfUnity}(A) . f(e) = \sum_{p \in P} f(p)$$

Proof =

1 (\Rightarrow) Assume $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.

1.1 Transfinite induction on $|J|$ with trivial base $f(e) = f(e)$.

1.1.1 Assume that the result holds for some non-limit ordinal κ .

1.1.2 Consider an ordering p of P with cardinality equivalent to $\kappa + 1$.

1.1.3 Let $f' \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$ be a restriction of f to $p_{\kappa+1}^c$.

1.1.4 Also define Q to be equal to P but with $p_{\kappa+1}$ and p_κ replaced by $p_\kappa \vee p_{\kappa+1}$.

1.1.5 Then by induction hypothesis

$$f(e) = f'(e) + f(p_{\kappa+1}) = f(p_{\kappa+1}) + \sum_{q \in Q} f'(q) = f(p_{\kappa+1}) + \sum_{\tau \leq \kappa} f(p_\tau) = \sum_{q \in P} f(q).$$

1.2 Now let κ be a limit cardinal and that induction hypothesis holds for all $\tau < \kappa$.

1.2.1 Note that $\sum_{p \in P} f(p)$ converges unconditionally to $f(e)$ iff for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ there is finite $F \subset P$

$$\text{such that } \left| f(e) - \sum_{p \in G} f(p) \right| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for any finite } G \text{ with } F \subset G.$$

1.2.2 Consider a set $D = \left\{ e \setminus \bigvee_{p \in F} p \mid F : \mathbf{Finite}(P) \right\}$.

1.2.3 Then, as P is a partition of unity $\bigwedge D = 0$.

1.2.4 Also D is downwards directed as $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} = \overline{a \vee b}$.

1.2.5 So there is $d \in D$ such that $|f(c)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $c \leq d$.

1.2.6 Represent $d = e \setminus \bigvee_{p \in F} p$ for some finite $F \subset P$.

1.2.7 Take some finite $G \subset P$ such that $F \subset G$.

1.2.8 Then $\left| f(e) - \sum_{p \in G} f(p) \right| = \left| f(e \setminus \bigvee_{p \in G} p) \right| < \varepsilon$ as $e \setminus \bigvee_{p \in G} p \leq e \setminus \bigvee_{p \in F} p$.

2 (\Leftarrow) Now consider the case then the second condition holds.

2.1 for any disjoint $D \subset A$ with $\bigvee D = a$ it holds that $f(a) = \sum_{d \in D} f(d)$.

2.1.1 Consider a a partiotion of unity $P = D \cup \{\bar{a}\}$.

2.1.2 Then $\sum_{p \in P} f(p) = f(e) = f(a) + f(\bar{a})$.

2.1.3 By substraction $f(\bar{a})$ one gets $\sum_{d \in D} f(d) = f(a)$.

2.2 $f \in \mathbf{a}(A)$.

2.2.1 Consider $a, c \in A$ such that $ac = 0$.

2.2.2 Then $f(a \vee c) = f(a) + f(c)$ by (2.1).

2.3 $f \in \mathbf{ba}(A)$.

2.3.1 Assume $d : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ is disjoint.

2.3.2 Let \mathcal{D} be a set of all disjoint sets D with $\text{Im } d \subset D$.

2.3.3 Then By Zorn Lemma there is an upper bound P which must be a partition of unity.

2.3.4 Then $f(e) = \sum_{p \in P} f(p)$.

2.3.5 But this means the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d) = 0$.

2.3.6 As d was arbitrary $f(d)$ is bounded.

2.4 Now it is possible to write $f = f_+ - f_-$.

2.5 Then $\sup_{d \in D} f_+(d) = f_+(a)$ for a disjoint set D with $\bigwedge D = a$.

2.5.1 Assume D is such disjoint set.

2.5.2 Then $f(b) = \sum_{d \in D} f(bd) \leq \sum_{d \in D} f_+(d)$ for any $b \leq a$.

2.5.3 So by taking supremum $f_+(a) \leq \sum_{d \in D} f_+(d)$.

2.5.4 But also $\sum_{d \in D} f_+(d) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{d \in F} f(d) \mid F : \mathbf{Finite}(D) \right\} = \sup \left\{ f \left(\bigvee F \right) \mid F : \mathbf{Finite}(D) \right\} \leq f_+(a)$.

So $\sum_{d \in D} f_+(d) = f_+(a)$.

2.6 $f_+ \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.

2.6.1 Assume D is a downwards directed set with $\bigwedge D = 0$.

2.6.2 Let $C = \{a \in A : \exists d \in D : da = 0\}$.

2.6.3 Then C is order dense.

2.6.4 So it is possible to extract a partition of Unity $P \subset C$.

2.6.5 $\sum_{p \in P} f_+(p) = f_+(e)$ by (2.5).

2.6.6 So for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ there is some finite $F \subset C$ such that $f_+ \left(e \setminus \bigvee F \right) = f_+(e) - \sum_{p \in F} f_+(p) < \varepsilon$.

2.6.7 By construction of C there is a $d \in D$ such that $d \leq e \setminus \bigvee F$.

2.6.8 Therefore $f_+(d) < \varepsilon$.

2.6.9 $\inf_{d \in D} f_+(d) = 0$ as ε was arbitrary.

2.7 $f_- \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$ by simmilar arguments.

2.8 So $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.

□

Summability ::

$$:: \forall A \in \mathbf{BOOL} . \forall f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) . \forall D : \mathbf{Disjoint}(A) . \forall a \in A \ a = \bigvee D \Rightarrow f(a) = \sum_{d \in D} f(d)$$

Proof =

This is a part of the previous theorem.

□

StrictHahnDecomposition ::

$$:: \forall A \in \text{BOOL} . \forall f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) . \exists ! q \in A . \forall c \in C . 0 < c \leq q \Rightarrow f(c) > 0 \ \& \ c \leq \bar{q} \Rightarrow f(c) \leq 0$$

Proof =

1 Define $C_+ = \{a \in A : 0 < c \leq a \Rightarrow f(c) > 0\}$ and $C_- = \{a \in A : c \leq a \Rightarrow f(c) \leq 0\}$.

2 $C_+ \cup C_-$ is order dense.

2.1 By ordinary Hahn decomposition there is $a' \in A$ such that $f(c) \geq 0$ for all $c \leq a'$ and $f(c) \leq 0$ for all $c \leq \bar{a}'$.

2.2 $a\bar{a}' \in C_-$ for any $a \in A$ such that $a \neq 0$.

2.3 In case $a\bar{a}' = 0$ it must be the case that $a \leq a'$.

2.4 If $a \notin C_+$ there must be some $d \leq a$ such that $f(d) \leq 0$.

2.5 But $d \leq a'$, so $f(d) = 0$ and $f(c) = 0$ for any $c \leq d$.

2.6 So $d \in C_-$ and $ad \neq 0$.

3 So there is a partition of unity $P \subset C_+ \cup C_-$.

4 $P \cap C_+$ is countable.

4.1 The series $\sum_{p \in P} f(p)$ must be absolutely convergent.

4.2 So any subseries of $\sum_{p \in P} f(p)$ must be strictly convergent.

4.3 This includes $\sum_{p \in P \cap C_+} f(p)$.

4.4 But $f(p) > 0$ any element $P \cap C_+$, so there can be atmost countable number of such elements.

5 Element $q = \bigvee (P \cap C_+)$ exists.

6 Clearly $f(a) = f\left(\bigvee_{p \in P \cap C_+} ap\right) = \sum_{p \in P \cap C_+} f(ap) > 0$ for any $a \leq q$ such that $a \neq 0$.

7 Then $f(a) \leq 0$ if $a \leq \bar{q}$.

8 q is unique.

8.1 Assume p has same properties as q .

8.2 But then $f(p \setminus q) \leq 0$ and $f(q \setminus p) = 0$ meaning that $p \setminus q = q \setminus p = 0$.

8.3 Thus $p = q$.

□

saturation :: $\prod_{A \in \text{BOOL}} \tau\text{-ca}^2(A) \rightarrow A$

saturation $(f, g) = [f > g]_A := \text{StrictHahnDecomposition}(A, f - g)$

1.4.6 Absolutely Continuous Additive Functionals

AbsolutelyContinuousAdditiveFunctional ::

$$:: \prod (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . ?\mathbf{a}(A)$$

$$f : \mathbf{AbsolutelyContinuousAdditiveFunctional} \iff f \in \mathbf{ac}(A, \mu) \iff \\ \iff \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . \forall a \in A . \mu(a) \leq \delta \Rightarrow |f(a)| \leq \varepsilon$$

ContinuousIsCompletelyAdditive :: $\forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . f \in C_0(A) \Rightarrow f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$

Proof =

1 Assume D is a downwards directed in A with $\bigwedge D = 0$.

2 Then $\lim_{d \in D} d = 0$ in a measure topology of A .

3 So by continuity $\lim_{d \in D} |f(d)| = 0$ so $\inf_{d \in D} |f(d)| = 0$ also.

4 But this means that $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.

□

CountablyAdditive :: $\forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \mathbf{ca}(A) \subset \mathbf{ac}(A, \mu)$

Proof =

1 Take $f \in \mathbf{ca}(A) \setminus \mathbf{ac}(A, \mu)$.

2 Then there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ such that for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ there is an element $a \in A$ with $\mu(a) \leq \delta$ and $|f(a)| \geq \varepsilon$.

3 Select a sequence $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ with $|f(a_n)| \geq \varepsilon$ and $\mu(a_n) \leq 2^{-n}$.

4 Define a decreasing sequence $c_n = \bigvee_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k$.

5 Then $\mu(c_n) = 2^{1-n} \rightarrow 0$.

6 So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$ and $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = 0$.

7 Thus, $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(c_n)| = 0$.

8 on the other hand $|f(c_n)| \geq \varepsilon$ which leads to a contradiction.

□

QuasiSemifinite :: $\forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . ?(A \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$

$$\varphi : \mathbf{QuasiSemifinite} \iff \forall a \in A . \varphi(a) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists c \in A^f . \varphi(ac) \neq 0$$

ContinuousIsQuasiSemifinite ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) .$

$. f \in C_0(A) \Rightarrow f \in \mathbf{ca} \ \& \ \mathbf{QuasiSemifinite}(A)$

Proof =

1 We know that $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$, so $f \in \mathbf{ca}(A)$.

2 Assume $a \in A$ such that $f(a) \neq 0$.

3 Then $a \neq 0$ and $\mu(a) \neq 0$.

4 Assume $\mu(a) = \infty$.

5 If $\mu(c) = \infty$ for any $c \in A$ such that $c \leq a$ and $c \neq 0$ Then $\lim a = 0$.

6 And so $f(a) = \lim f(a) = 0$, which is impossible.

7 Therefore, $\{0\} \subsetneq C = A^f \cap (a)$.

8 Let $D = \{d \in A : d \leq a \ \& \ \forall u \in A . 0 < u \leq d \Rightarrow \mu(u) = \infty\}$.

9 Then $C \cup D$ is dense in (a) .

10 Let $P \subset C \cup D$ be a partition of unity.

11 Note that $f(d) = 0$ by arguments simmilar to (5) and (6) for any $d \in D$.

12 Thus, $0 \neq f(a) = \sum_{p \in P} f(p) = \sum_{p \in P \cap C} f(p)$.

13 Therefore, there exists $c \in C$ such that $f(ac) = f(c) \neq 0$.

□

SigmaAdditiveAndQuasiSemifiniteIsUniformlyContinuous ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . f \in \mathbf{ca} \ \& \ \mathbf{QuasiSemifinite}(A) \Rightarrow f \in \mathbf{UNI}\left((A, \mu), \mathbb{R}\right)$$

Proof =

1 f is bounded, so there is a Jordan decomposition $f = f_+ - f_-$.

2 Define $g = f_+ + f_-$ and $\gamma = \sup\{g(a) | a \in A^f\}$.

3 Then there is a sequence of elements $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A^f$ such that $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(a_n)$.

4 Let $a^* = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

5 If $d \in A$ and $a^*d = 0$ then $f(d) = 0$.

5.1 Assume $d \in A$ is such that $a^*d = 0$ and $c \in A^f$.

5.2 Then $|f(cd)| \leq g(cd) \leq g(c \setminus a_n) = g(a_n \vee c) - g(a_n) \leq \gamma - g(a_n)$.

5.3 By taking the limit we see that $|f(cd)| = 0$ and hence $f(cd) = 0$.

5.4 As c was arbitrary as f is quasi-semifinite $f(d) = 0$.

6 Construct the sequence $c_n^* = \bigvee_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k$.

7 Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(a^* \setminus c_n^*) = 0$.

8 As f is countably additive it must be absolutely continuous.

9 Assume $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, then there is δ such that $|f(a)| \leq \varepsilon$ having $\mu(a) < \delta$ for all $a \in A$.

10 Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $|g(a^* \setminus c_n^*)| < \varepsilon$.

11 Then $|f(a)| \leq |f(ac_n^*)| + |f(a(a^* \setminus c_n^*))| + |f(a \setminus a^*)| \leq |f(ac_n^*)| + g(a^* \setminus c_n^*) \leq |f(ac_n^*)| + \varepsilon$ for any $a \in A$.

12 Assume $a, c \in A$ such that $\mu((b+c)c_n^*) < \delta$.

13 Then $|f(a) - f(c)| \leq |f(a \setminus c)| + |f(c \setminus a)| \leq |f((a \setminus c)c_n^*)| + |f((a \setminus d)c_n^*)| + 2\varepsilon \leq 4\varepsilon$.

14 But this means that f is uniformly continuous.

□

AdditiveFunctionalContinuity :: $\forall (A, \mu) \in \mathbf{MA} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(A) . f \in C_0(A) \iff f \in \mathbf{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R})$

Proof =

This follows from the previous theorems.

□

SemifiniteAdditiveFunctionalContinuity ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{Semifinite} . \forall f \in \mathfrak{a}(A) . f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) \iff f \in \text{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R})$$

Proof =

1 (\Leftarrow) is obvious.

1.1 f is continuous in zero and hence completely additive.

2 (\Rightarrow) consider $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$.

2.1 I will show that f is quasi-Semifinite.

2.1.1 Assume $a \in A$ is such that $f(a) \neq 0$.

2.1.2 Then $a \neq 0$.

2.1.3 $\{0\} \neq C = (a) \cap A^f$ is dense in (a) as μ is semifinite.

2.1.4 Let $P \subset C$ be a partition of unity for (a) .

2.1.5 Then $0 \neq f(a) = \sum_{p \in P} f(p)$.

2.1.6 So there must be $c \in C$ such that $f(c) \neq 0$.

2.2 As f is also countably additive it must be uniformly continuous.

□

SigmaFiniteAdditiveFunctionalContinuity ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \sigma\text{-Finite} . \forall f \in \mathfrak{a}(A) . f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) \iff f \in \text{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R}) \iff f \in \text{ca}(A)$$

Proof =

1 σ -finite measure algebras are CCC.

2 So every countably additive functional must be completely additive.

□

FiniteAdditiveFunctionalContinuity ::

$$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{Finite} . \forall f \in \mathfrak{a}(A) .$$

$$. f \in \tau\text{-ca}(A) \iff f \in \text{UNI}(A, \mathbb{R}) \iff f \in \text{ca}(A) \iff f \in \text{ac}(A, \mu)$$

Proof =

1 Assume f is absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

2 Also assume D is downwards directed in A with $\bigvee D = 0$.

3 So $\inf_{d \in D} \mu(d) = 0$.

3.1 This argument requires μ to be finite.

4 By absolute continuity $\inf_{d \in D} |f(d)| = 0$.

5 As D was arbitrary this means that f is completely continuous.

□

zeroIdealRespectingAdditiveFunctionals :: $\prod (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \text{VectorSubspace}(\mathfrak{a}(X, \Sigma, \mu))$
zeroIdealRespectingAdditiveFunctionals () = $\mathfrak{a}_0(X, \Sigma, \mu) := \{f \in \mathfrak{a}(X, \Sigma, \mu) : \forall Z \in \mathcal{N}_\mu . f(Z) = 0\}$

canonicalAdditiveFunctionalsIsomorphism :: $\prod (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} .$

. **Isomorphism** $(\mathbb{R}\text{-VS}, \mathbf{a}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)), \mathbf{a}_0(X, \Sigma, \mu))$

canonicalAdditiveFunctionalsIsomorphism $(f) = \varphi(f) := f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{N}_\mu}$

1 The Mapping φ is clearly injective.

2 It is also bijective.

2.1 Assume $f \in \mathbf{a}_0(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.

2.2 Then there is an auxiliary functional \bar{f} defined by $\bar{f}[E] = E$.

2.3 This is well defined as f respects the ideal of zero sets.

2.4 Then obviously $\varphi(\bar{f}) = f$.

□

Isomorphism :: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) . f \in \mathbf{ac}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) \iff \varphi(f) \in \mathbf{ac}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious .

□

IsomorphismCountablyAdditive ::

:: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) . f \in \mathbf{ca}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) \iff \varphi(f) \in \mathbf{ca}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious .

□

IsomorphismCountablyAdditive ::

:: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) . f \in \mathbf{ca}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) \iff \varphi(f) \in \mathbf{ac}(X, \Sigma, \mu) \cap \mathbf{ca}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious.

□

IsomorphismTruelyContinuous ::

:: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) \in \text{MEAS} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) . f \in C(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) \iff \varphi(f) \in \mathbf{tc}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious .

□

SemifiniteIsomorphismTruelyContinuous ::

:: $\forall (X, \Sigma, \mu) : \text{Semifinite} . \forall f \in \mathbf{a}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) . f \in \tau\text{-ca}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)) \iff \varphi(f) \in \mathbf{tc}(X, \Sigma, \mu)$

Proof =

This is obvious .

□

1.4.7 Radon-Nikodym's Isomorphism

`isomorphismOfRadonNikodym` :: $\prod (X, \Sigma, \mu) : \text{Semifinite}$.

. `Isomorphism` $(\text{OVS}, \mathbf{L}^1(X, \Sigma, \mu), \tau\text{-ca}(\text{MA}(X, \Sigma, \mu)))$

`isomorphismOfRadonNikodym` $([f]) = \rho\nu[f] := \Lambda[E] \in \Sigma_\mu . \int_E f d\mu$

1 The expression $\int_E f d\mu$ above is clearly well defined for an integrable f as $[E]$

is defined up to a set of the measure zero.

2 $[f]$ Is also defined up to function g equal to 0 almost everywhere μ
so the whole operator $\rho\nu$ is well defined.

3 $\rho\nu$ is invertible.

3.1 Assume $f \in \tau\text{-ca}(\Sigma_\mu, \bar{\mu})$.

3.2 Then $\varphi(f)$ is truly continuous additive functional on (X, Σ, μ) as this space is semifinite.

3.3 So by classical Radon-Nikodym's theorem there is $\frac{d\varphi(f)}{d\mu} \in L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$

such that $\varphi(f)(E) = \int_E \frac{d\varphi(f)}{d\mu} d\mu$ for any $E \in \Sigma$.

3.4 So define $(\rho\nu)^{-1}(f) = \left[\frac{d\varphi(f)}{d\mu} \right] \in \mathbf{L}^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.

4 The linearity and order preservation is pretty obvious for $\rho\nu$.

□

Question: Is this a natural equivalence of functors?

1.4.8 Standard Extension

CompleteAdditiveRestriction ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{MeasureAlgebra} . \forall a \in A^f . (\bigwedge c \in A . \mu(ac)) \in \tau\text{-ca}(A)$

Proof =

1 As μ is additive its restriction is also additive.

2 Assume D is a downwards directed in A with $\bigwedge D = 0$.

3 Then aD is still downwards directed in A^f and $\bigwedge aD = 0$.

4 Note, that by choice of a the restriction is finitely additive.

5 Then $\inf_{d \in D} \mu(ad) = \inf_{d \in aD} \mu(d) = 0$.

□

StandardExtensionLemma ::

:: $\forall (A, \mu) \in \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (C, \nu) \subset_{\text{MA}} (A, \mu) . \exists ! \text{ca}(C, \nu) \xrightarrow{R} \text{ca}(A, \mu) : \text{OVS} .$
 $. \forall f \in \text{ca}(C, \nu) . \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} . R(f)|_C = f \ \& \ [R(f) > \alpha\mu] = [f > \alpha\nu]$

Proof =

- 1 Represent (A, μ) as a measure algebra of the measure space $(X, \Sigma, \hat{\mu})$.
- 2 Then (C, ν) can be seen as a measure algebra of the measure space $(X, T, \hat{\nu})$ with $T \subset \Sigma$ and $\hat{\nu} = \hat{\mu}|_T$.
- 3 $\varphi(f) \in \text{tc}(X, T, \hat{\nu})$ for any $f \in \text{ca}(C, \nu)$.
- 4 So there is a Radon-Nikodym presentation $\phi = \rho\nu^{-1}(f)$ such that $f[E] = \int_E \phi \, d\hat{\nu}$ for any $E \in T$.
- 5 Define $R(f)[E] = \int_E \phi \, d\hat{\mu}$ for any $E \in \Sigma$.
- 6 $[R(f) > \alpha\mu] \in C$.
- 6.1 Define level sets $H_\alpha = \{x \in X : \phi(x) > \alpha\} \in T$.
- 6.2 $\int_E \phi \, d\mu > \alpha\hat{\mu}(E)$ if $E \subset H_\alpha$ and $\hat{\mu}(E) > 0$ for any $E \in \Sigma$.
- 6.3 $\int_E \phi \, d\mu \leq \alpha\hat{\mu}(E)$ if $E \cap H_\alpha = \emptyset$ for any $E \in \Sigma$.
- 6.4 This can be rewritten in terms of measure algebras.
- 6.5 $R(f)(a) > \alpha\mu(a)$ if $a \leq [H_\alpha]$ and $a \neq 0$ for any $a \in A$.
- 6.6 And $R(f)(a) \leq \alpha\mu(a)$ if $a[H_\alpha] = 0$ for any $a \in A$.
- 6.7 Thus, $[R(f) > \alpha\mu] = [H_\alpha] \in C$.
- 7 Clearly $R(f)|_C = f$.
- 8 Note, that $\mu|_C = \nu$.
- 9 Therefore, $[R(f) > \alpha\mu] = [f > \alpha\nu]$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 10 R is uniquely determined.
- 10.1 Assume g has all required properties.
- 10.2 Then $[g > \alpha\mu] = [f > \alpha\nu] = [R(f) > \alpha\mu]$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 10.3 Then there is a measurable function $\gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_E \gamma \, d\mu = g[E]$ for any $E \in \Sigma$.
- 10.4 But then level sets of γ equal to level sets of ϕ modulo μ -measure zero.
- 10.5 So $\gamma = \phi$ μ -almost everywhere.
- 10.6 Thus $R(f) = g$.

□

standardExtension :: $\prod (A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \prod (C, \nu) \subset_{\text{MA}} (A, \mu) . \text{OVS}(\text{ca}(C, \nu), \text{ca}(A, \mu))$
standardExtension(f) = $R(f) := \text{StandardExtensionLemma}((A, \mu), (C, \nu), f)$

Measure :: $\forall (A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (C, \nu) \subset_{\text{MA}} (A, \mu) . R(\nu) = \mu$

Proof =

In this case $\phi(x) = 1$.

□

PartitionOfUnity ::

$:: \forall (A, \mu) : \text{FiniteMeasureAlgebra} . \forall (C, \nu) \subset_{\text{MA}} (A, \mu) . \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{ca}_+(C, \nu) .$

$. \forall \mathbb{N} : \forall c \in C . \nu(c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(c) . \forall a \in A . \mu(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(f_n)(a)$

Proof =

1 Let $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow L^1(X, T, \hat{\nu})$ be functional representations for f_n as in the previous theorem .

2 Define $\gamma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \phi_k$.

3 Then γ is an increasing sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 1$ almost everywhere μ .

4 But then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(f_n)[E] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_E \phi_n d\hat{\mu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \gamma_n d\hat{\mu} = \int_E \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n d\hat{\mu} = \int_E d\hat{\mu} = \mu[E]$.

4.1 Here we used monotonic convergence theorem.

□

1.5 Category of Probability Algebras

1.5.1 Reduced Products

ReducedProductExists ::

$\forall I \in \mathbf{SET} . \forall (A, p) \rightarrow \mathbf{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \forall \mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Ultrafilter}(I) .$

$\exists P \in \mathbf{a} \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in I} A_i}{J} \right) . \forall a \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i . P[a] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i)$

where $J = \left\{ a_i \in \prod_{i \in I} : \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) = 0 \right\}$

Proof =

1 Clearly J is ideal.

1.1 If $a, b \in J$ then $a + b \in J$.

1.1.1 $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i + b_i) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) + p_i(b_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(b_i) = 0$.

1.1.2 So $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i + b_i) = 0$ as each $p_i \geq 0$.

1.2 if $a \in J$ and $b \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ then $ab \in J$.

1.2.1 $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i b_i) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) = 0$.

1.2.2 So $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i + b_i) = 0$ as each $p_i \geq 0$.

2 $P[a] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i)$ is well defined.

2.1 The system $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i)$ must be convergent $p_i(a_i) \in [0, 1]$ which is compact and \mathcal{F} is an ultrafilter.

2.2 Clearly $[a]$ is defined up to a $j \in J$.

2.3 And $\lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(j_i) = 0$.

2.4 Thus, $\lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) - \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(j_i) = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) - p_i(j_i) \leq \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i + j_i) \leq$
 $\leq \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) + p_i(j_i) = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) + \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(j_i) = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i)$.

2.5 Showing that $\lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i + j_i) = \lim_{i \in \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i)$.

□

reducedProduct :: $\prod_{I \in \text{SET}} (I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}) \rightarrow \text{Ultrafilter}(I) \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}$

reducedProduct $((A, p), \mathcal{F}) = \left(\prod_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i) | \mathcal{F}, p_{\mathcal{F}} \right) := \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in I} A_i}{J}, \text{ReducedProductExists}(I, (A, \mu), \mathcal{F}) \right)$

where $J = \left\{ a_i \in \prod_{i \in I} : \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) = 0 \right\}$

1 Clearly $\prod_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i) | \mathcal{F}$ is an algebra and $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-negative additive.

2 $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ is countably additive.

2.1 Assume $[a] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{i \in I} A_i}{J}$ is disjoint.

2.2 Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_{n,i} a_{m,i}) = 0$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \neq m$.

2.3 Define $b_{n,i} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n a_{k,i}$.

2.4 Then $[b_n] = \bigvee_{k=1}^n [a_k]$.

2.5 Define $\gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\mathcal{F}}[a_n] = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\mathcal{F}}[b_n] = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(b_{n,i})$.

2.6 Define $R_n = \{i \in I : p_i(b_{n,i}) \leq \gamma + 2^{-n}\}$.

2.7 Then R_n is non-decreasing in I and $R_1 = I$.

2.8 Each $R_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.8.1 Not that by 2.5 there is an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subset R_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.8.2 But \mathcal{F} is upwards closed.

2.9 Define $c \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ by setting $c_i = b_{n-1,i}$ if $i \in R_{n-1} \setminus R_n$ and $c_i = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n,i}$ otherwise.

2.10 Then $[a_n] \leq [c]$.

2.10.1 $a_n, i \leq c$ on $R_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.10.2 But this means that $p_{\mathcal{F}}([a_n] \setminus [c]) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_{n,i} \setminus c_i) = 0$.

2.11 Also $p_{\mathcal{F}}[c] \leq \gamma$.

2.11.1 This follows from the definition of R_n .

2.12 Thus, by the standard argument $c = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

3 $\prod_{i \in I} (A_i, \mu_i) | \mathcal{F}$ is σ -algebra.

3.1 Proof of (2) tells as how to construct a supremum.

□

reducedPower :: $\prod_{I \in \text{SET}} \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} \rightarrow \text{Ultrafilter}(I) \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra}$

reducedProduct $((A, p), \mathcal{F}) = ((A, p)^I | \mathcal{F}, p_{\mathcal{F}}) := \left(\prod_{i \in I} (A, p) | \mathcal{F}, p_{\mathcal{F}} \right)$

Morphisms ::

$$\begin{aligned}
&:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall \mathcal{F} : \text{Ultrafilter}(I) . \forall (A, p), (B, q) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \\
& . \forall \phi \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{MA}_{\#} \left((A_i, p_i), (B_i, q_i) \right) . \exists ! \Phi \in \text{MA}_{\#} \left(\left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i | \mathcal{F}, p_{\mathcal{F}} \right), \left(\prod_{i \in I} B_i | \mathcal{F}, q_{\mathcal{F}} \right) \right) . \\
& . \forall a \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i . \Phi[a] = \left[(\phi_i(a_i))_{i \in I} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof =

1 $\Phi[a]$ is well defined by relation above.

$$1.1 \ a \text{ is determined modulo } j \in J_A = \left\{ a_i \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i : \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(a_i) = 0 \right\}.$$

$$1.2 \text{ As } \phi \text{ are measure preserving } \prod_{i \in I} \phi_i(j) \in J_B = \left\{ b_i \in \prod_{i \in I} B_i : \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} q_i(b_i) = 0 \right\}$$

$$\text{having } \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} q_i(\phi_i(j_i)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_i(j_i) = 0 .$$

2 Obviously Φ is unique.

□

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{morphismReducedProduct} &:: \prod_{I \in \text{SET}} \prod \mathcal{F} : \text{Ultrafilter}(I) . \prod (A, p), (B, q) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \\
& . \prod_{i \in I} \text{MA}_{\#} \left((A_i, p_i), (B_i, q_i) \right) \rightarrow \text{MA}_{\#} \left(\left(\prod_{i \in I} A_i | \mathcal{F}, p_{\mathcal{F}} \right), \left(\prod_{i \in I} B_i | \mathcal{F}, q_{\mathcal{F}} \right) \right) \\
\text{morphismReducedProduct}(\phi) &= \phi_{\mathcal{F}} := \Lambda[a] \in \prod_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i) | \mathcal{F} . \left[(\phi_i(a_i))_{i \in I} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Functoriality ::

$$\begin{aligned}
&:: \forall I \in \text{SET} . \forall \mathcal{F} : \text{Ultrafilter}(I) . \forall (A, p), (B, q), (C, u) : I \rightarrow \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \\
& . \forall \phi \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{MA}_{\#} \left((A_i, p_i), (B_i, q_i) \right) . \forall \psi \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{MA}_{\#} \left((B_i, p_i), (C_i, u_i) \right) . \phi_{\mathcal{F}} \psi_{\mathcal{F}} = (\phi \psi)_{\mathcal{F}}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof =

This is obvious by the expression.

□

1.5.2 Filtered Colimits

`measurePreservingMeasureAlgebraCategory` :: LSCAT

`measurePreservingMeasureAlgebraCategory` () = $\text{PA}_{\#}$:=

:= $\left(\text{ProbabilityAlgebra}, \text{MeasurePreservingHomomorphism}, \circ, \text{id} \right)$

`FilteredDiagram` :: $\prod_{\mathcal{C} \in \text{CAT}} ? \sum I : \text{Preorder} . \sum X : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C} . \sum \prod_{(i,j) \in \prec_I} \mathcal{C}(X_i, X_j) \ \& \ \text{Ultrafilter}(I)$

$(I, X, \phi, \mathcal{F}) : \text{FilteredDiagram} \iff \forall (i, j), (j, k) \in \prec_I . \phi_{i,j} \phi_{j,k} = \phi_{i,k} \forall i \in I . \{j \in I : j \preceq i\} \in \mathcal{F}$

`CofilteredCoconeConstruction` ::

:= $\forall (I, (A, p), \phi, \mathcal{F}) : \text{FilteredDiagram}(\text{PA}_{\#}) . \exists \text{Cocone}(\text{PA}_{\#}, (A, p), \phi)$

`Proof` =

1 The limit is $(B, P) = \prod_{i \in I} (A_i, p_i) | \mathcal{F}$.

2 There are $\psi_i \in \text{PA}_{\#}((A_i, p_i), (B, P))$ such that $\psi_i = \phi_{i,j} \psi_j$.

2.1 Assume $a \in A_i$.

2.2 Take $a' \in \prod_{j \in I} A_j$ such that $a'_j = \phi_{j,i}(a)$ if $i \prec j$ and otherwise $a'_j = x_j$ is arbitrary.

2.3 Then $[a']$ do not depend on the choice of x by the property of \mathcal{F} .

2.3.1 Consider a structure $u \in \prod_{j \in I} A_j$ such that $u_j = 0$ then $i \preceq j$.

2.3.2 Assume $j \in I$.

2.3.3 Then $\{k \in I : j \preceq k\}, \{k \in I : i \preceq k\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.3.4 As \mathcal{F} an ultrafilter $\{k \in I : j \preceq k\} \cap \{k \in I : i \preceq k\} \neq \emptyset$.

2.3.5 Thus $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} p_j(u_j) = 0$.

2.4 Define $\psi_i(a) = [a']$.

2.5 Then $\psi_i(a)$ is a measure preserving homomorphism as $\phi_{i,j}$ is.

2.6 And Property $\psi_i = \phi_{i,j} \psi_j$ follows by construction and properties of cofiltered diagrams.

□

`cofilteredCoconeConstruction` ::

:= $\prod (I, (A, p), \phi, \mathcal{F}) : \text{CofilteredDiagram}(\text{PA}_{\#}) . \sum \text{Cocone}(\text{PA}_{\#}, (A, p), \phi)$

`cofilteredCoconeConstruction` () = $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} ((A_i, p_i), \phi_{i,j}) :=$

:= `CofilteredCoconeConstruction` $(I, (A, p), \phi, \mathcal{F})$

CofiltratedCoconeElementsRepresentation ::

:: $\forall (I, (A, p), \phi, \mathcal{F}) : \text{FilteredDiagram}(\text{PA}_{\#}) . \forall a \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i .$

$\cdot [a]_B \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \psi_i(a_i) \quad \text{where} \quad ((B, P), \psi) = \lim_{i, j \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} ((A_i, p_i), \phi_{i,j})$

Proof =

This is intuitively clear bu requires an eloborate proof.

Assume we have operation $b|i$ for b such that $[a]|i = a_i$.

Then $[a]|i = a_i \leq a_i \vee \bigvee_{j \preceq i} \phi_{i,j}(a_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \psi_i(a_i)|i$.

...

□

DirectedLimits :: **HasFilteredLimits**(PA_#)

Proof =

1 Consider a filtered diagram $(I, (A, p), \phi, \mathcal{F})$.

2 Let $((B, P), \psi) = \lim_{i,j \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} ((A_i, p_i), \phi_{i,j})$.

3 Let $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} \psi_i(A_i)$.

5 Assume (D, Q) is another probability algebra and $\xi_i \in \mathbf{MA}_\#((A_i, p_i), (D, Q))$ is such that $\phi_{i,j}\xi_i = \xi_j$.

6 Define mapping $\eta : C \rightarrow D$ as $\eta(\psi_i(a)) = \xi_i(a)$.

6.1 Locally η is defined for each A_i as measure preserving map ξ_i must be injective.

6.2 And in the case $\psi_i(a) = \psi_j(b)$ for $i \neq j$ this is still the case that $\xi_i(a) = \xi_j(b)$.

6.2.1 There are $k \in I$ such that $i \prec k$ and $j \prec k$.

6.2.2 So there are $\phi_{k,i}$ and $\phi_{k,j}$.

6.2.3 Also $\phi_{i,k}\xi_k = \xi_i$ and $\phi_{j,k}\xi_k = \xi_j$.

6.2.4 Measure preserving ξ_k is injective, so it must be the case that $\phi_{i,k}(a) = \phi_{j,k}(b)$.

6.2.5 But $\phi_{i,k}\xi_k = \xi_i$ and $\phi_{j,k}\xi_k = \xi_j$.

6.2.6 Thus, $\xi_i(a) = \xi_k(\phi_{i,k}(a)) = \xi_k(\phi_{j,k}(b)) = \xi_j(b)$.

6.3 So the mapping η is well defined.

7 $\inf_{c \in C} Q(\eta(c)) = \inf_{c \in C} P(c)$.

7.1 For each $c \in C$ where is $i \in I$ and $a \in A_i$ such that $c = \phi_i(a)$.

7.2 Then by construction $\eta(c) = \xi_i(a)$.

7.3 But both ξ_i and ϕ_i are measure preserving so $Q(\eta(c)) = Q(\xi_i(a)) = p_i(a) = P(\psi_i(a))$.

8 Then we can apply a subset extension theorem to η and get $H \in \mathbf{MA}((\langle C \rangle_{\mathbf{MA}}, P_{|\dots}), (D, Q))$.

9 Set $(\langle C \rangle_{\mathbf{MA}}, P_{|\dots})$ to be our colimit.

10 From construction it follows that $\phi_i H = \xi_i$.

11 If H' is any other measure preserving morphism with this property it must be the case that $H|_C = H'|_C$.

12 But $\langle C \rangle_{\mathbf{MA}}$ is the domain, so $H = H'$.

□

ProjectiveLimits :: **HasFilteredLimits**(PA_#)

Proof =

Consider a filtered diagram $(I, (A, p), \phi, \mathcal{F})$.

The limit can be defined as $\left\{ a \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i : \forall (i, j) \in (\prec_I) . a_j = \phi_{i,j}(a_i) \right\}$.

□

1.5.3 Commuting endomorphism

Augmentation :: $\forall (A, p) \in \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \forall \Phi \subset_{\text{MONO}} \text{End}_{\text{PA}_{\#}}(A, p) . \forall \mathcal{N} : \forall \phi, \phi' \in \Phi . \phi\phi' = \phi'\phi .$
 $. \exists (B, q) \in \text{ProbabilityAlgebra} . \exists \eta \in \text{PA}_{\#}((A, p), (B, q)) . \exists \Phi \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} \text{Aut}_{\text{PA}_{\#}}(B, q) : \text{MONO} .$
 $\forall \phi \in \Phi . \phi\eta = \eta\mathcal{H}(\phi)$

Proof =

- 1 Introduce preorder on Φ by $\phi \preceq \psi$ if there is $\theta \in \Phi$ such that $\psi = \theta\phi$.
- 2 As these endomorphisms are injective there can be atmost one such θ .
- 3 So we may define structure $\theta_{\phi, \psi}$.
- 4 Then construction (A, θ) is a diagram.
- 5 If $\phi, \psi \in \Phi$, then $\phi \prec \psi\phi$ and $\psi \prec \phi\psi = \psi\phi$.
- 6 So by ultrafilter Lemma we can define an ultrafilter \mathcal{F} on Φ making $(\Phi, A, \theta, \mathcal{F})$ into filtered diagram.
- 7 Define $(B, q) = (A, p)^{\Phi} | \mathcal{F}$.
- 8 Define $\eta(a) = \left[\phi(a) \right]_{\phi \in \Phi} \in \text{PA}_{\#}((A, p), (B, q))$.
- 9 Define $\mathcal{H}(\phi)[a] = [\phi(a_{\psi})]_{\psi \in \Phi}$.
- 9.1 $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$ is well defined as ϕ is measure preserving.
- 9.2 $\phi\eta a = [\phi\psi(a)]_{\psi \in \Phi} = [\psi\phi(a)]_{\psi \in \Phi} = \eta\mathcal{H}(\phi)[a]$.
- 9.3 Each $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$ is invertible.
- 9.3.1 Define $\alpha_{\phi}(a) = (\theta_{\psi, \phi}(a))_{\phi \prec \psi}$.
- 9.3.2 Each α_{ϕ} is well defined by the argument simmilar to the previous chapter.
- 9.3.3 Also $\alpha_{\phi}\mathcal{H}(\psi) = \alpha_{\phi\psi} = \theta_{\phi, \phi\psi}\alpha_{\phi} = \psi\alpha_{\phi}$.
- 9.3.4 Also $\phi\alpha_{\phi\psi} = \alpha_{\psi}$.
- 9.3.5 $\alpha_{\phi}(A) \cup \alpha_{\psi}(A) = \alpha_{\phi\psi}(\psi(A)) \cup \alpha_{\phi\psi}(\phi(A)) \subset \alpha_{\phi\psi}(A)$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \Phi$.
- 9.3.6 So $D = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Phi} \alpha_{\phi}(A)$ is a subalgebra of B .
- 9.3.7 Define probability algebra $C = \overline{D}$ with probability $P|C$.
- 9.3.8 Then $\eta = \alpha_{\text{id}}$ has its image contained in C .
- 9.3.9 $\mathcal{H}(\psi)(D) = D$ for any $\psi \in \Phi$.
- 9.3.9.1 $\mathcal{H}(\psi)(D) = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Phi} \alpha_{\phi}\mathcal{H}(\psi)(A) = \bigcup_{\phi \in \Phi} \psi\alpha_{\phi}(A) \subset D$.
- 9.3.9.2 Assume $d \in D$.
- 9.3.9.3 Then there is $\psi \in \Phi$ such that $a = \alpha_{\psi}(a)$.
- 9.3.9.4 Define $b = (\theta_{\psi, \xi}a)_{\psi \preceq \xi}$, and $b' = (\theta_{\psi\phi, \xi}a)_{\psi\phi \preceq \xi}$.
- 9.3.9.5 Then $\phi\psi b' = (\xi(a))_{\xi \in \Phi}$ and $\psi b = (\xi(a))_{\xi \in \Phi}$.
- 9.3.9.6 So by injectivity and commutativity $\phi b' = b$.
- 9.3.9.7 Then $\mathcal{H}(\phi)[b'] = [b] = a$.
- 9.3.10 Thus, each $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$ is surjective.
- 9.3.11 As $\mathcal{H}(\phi)$ is injective as a measure preserving map it must be an isomorphism.

□

2 Maharam's Theory

2.1 Types

2.1.1 Relative Atoms

2.1.2 Subject

2.2 Classification Theorem

2.3 Closed Subalgebras

2.4 Classification of Products

2.5 Von Neuman's Lifting Theorem

3 Abstract Ergodic Theory

4 Measurable Algebras

Sources

1. O. Vladimirskaia — Classes of Banach spaces connected with the Lyapunov convexity 1999
2. D. H. Fremlin — Measure Theory Volume 3 (32,33,34,37,38,39) 2016