Ring Theory

Uncultured Trump

December 13, 2021

Contents

1	Ring	g Structure Theory	3
	1.1	Rings	3
	1.2	Multiplicative Identities	6
	1.3	Elements of The Ring	7
	1.4		11
	1.5		17
	1.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22
2	Bas	sic Taxonomy of Commutative Rings	25
	2.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25
	2.2		29
	2.3	1	31
	2.4	1	33
	2.5		35
	2.0		55
3	Poly	ynomials Over a Ring	38
	3.1	Algebra of Formal Polinomials	38
	3.2		42
	3.3		44
	3.4		47
	3.5		50
	3.6		52
	0.0		_
4	Cat	egorical Ring Theory[!!]	57
	4.1	RNG and Adjoining of Unity	57
	4.2	Limits in RNG, RING and ANN	57
	4.3	Adjoints of Forgetful Functors	57

1 Ring Structure Theory

1.1 Rings

```
Ring ::? \prod R \in \mathsf{SET} . (R \times R \to R) \times (R \times R \to R)
(R,+,\cdot): \mathtt{Ring} \iff (R,+): \mathtt{Abelean} \ \& \ (R,\cdot): \mathtt{Monoid} \ \& \ (R,+,\cdot): \mathtt{Distributive}
addition :: \prod (R,+,\cdot) : Ring . R\times R\to R
addition(A) = (+_A) := (+)
multiplication :: \prod (R,+,\cdot): \mathtt{Ring}: R \times R \to R
\texttt{multiplication}\left(A\right) = \left(\cdot_A\right) := \left(\cdot\right)
{\tt RingGroup} \, :: \, \prod (R,+,\cdot) : {\tt Ring} \, . \, {\tt ABEL}
RingGroup(A) = A := (R, +)
\verb|zero| :: \prod R : \verb|Ring|. R
zero(R) = 0_R := neutral(+_R)
{\tt identity} \, :: \, \prod R : {\tt Ring} \, . \, R
identity(R) = 1_R := neutral(\cdot_R)
CommutativeRing ::?Ring
(R,+,\cdot): CommutativeRing \iff (\cdot): Commutative(R)
Division :: ?Ring
(R,+,\cdot): Division \iff (\cdot): Invertible(R)
Field ::?(Division & CommutativeRing)
k: \texttt{Field} \iff 0_k \neq 1_k
\texttt{RingHomo} \; :: \; \prod A, B : \texttt{Ring} \; . \; A \xrightarrow{\texttt{ABEL}} B
f: \mathtt{RingHomo} \iff \forall x,y \in A : f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \& f(1) = 1
IdIsHomo :: \forall R : \text{Ring . id}_R : \text{RingHomo}
Proof =
Assume a, b : R,
(*) := \eth \operatorname{id} : \operatorname{id}(ab) = ab = \operatorname{id}(a)\operatorname{id}(b);
```

```
RingHomoCompos :: \forall A, B, C : Ring . \forall f : RingHomo(A, B) . \forall g : RingHomo(B, C) . g \circ f : RingHomo(A, C)
Proof =
Assume x, y : R,
(*) := \delta \text{RingHomo}(f) \delta \text{RingHomo}(g) : g \circ f(xx') = g(f(x)f(x')) = f(g(x))f(g(x'));
RingCat :: CAT
\texttt{RingCat}\left(\right) = \mathsf{RING} := \Big(\mathtt{Ring}, \mathtt{HomoRing}, \circ, \mathrm{id}\,\Big)
CommRingCat :: CAT
\texttt{CommRingCat}\left(\right) = \mathsf{ANN} := \left(\texttt{CommutativeRing}, \texttt{HomoRing}, \circ, \mathrm{id}\right)
Subring :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ??R
A: \mathtt{Subring} \iff A \subset_{\mathsf{RING}} R \iff (A, +_{R|A}, \cdot_{R|A}) \in \mathsf{RING}
TrivialRing :: ANN
\mathtt{TrivialRing}\left(\right) = \star := \left(\{\star\}, (\star, \star) \mapsto \star, (\star, \star) \mapsto \star\right)
MultZero :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall a \in R : 0 = a = 0
Proof =
(1) := \eth Identity(1) \eth Distrivutive(R, +, \cdot) \eth Identity(0) \eth Identity(1) : 0a + a = (0 + 1)a = a,
(2) := \eth Identity(1) \eth Distrivutive(R, +, \cdot) \eth Identity(0) \eth Identity(1) : a0 + a = a(0+1) = a,
(*) := IdentityIsUnique(1)(2) : a0 = 0 = 0a;
MultNeg :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall a \in R : (-1)a = -a = a(-1)
Proof =
(1) := \eth Identity \eth Distributive(R) \eth Inverse(1) : a + (-1)a = (1-1)a = 0,
(2) := \eth Identity \eth Distributive(R) \eth Inverse(1) : a + a(-1) = a(1-1) = 0,
(*) := InverseIsUnique(1)(2) : (-1)a = -a = a(-1);
Proof =
(1) := \delta Subring(S) \delta RingHomo(f) \delta^{-1}image : f(1) = 1 \in f(S),
(2) := \eth Subring(S) \eth Homo(f) \eth^{-1}image : f(0) = 0 \in f(S),
Assume x, y : S,
(3) := \eth Subgroup(A)(S)(x, y) : x + y \in S,
(4) := \eth Homo(A, B)(f) \eth^{-1}image(3) : f(x) + f(y) = f(x+y) \in f(S),
(5) := \eth Subgroup(A)(S)(x) : -x \in S,
(6) := \operatorname{HomoInverse}(5)\eth^{-1}\operatorname{image}: -f(x) = f(-x) \in f(S),
(7) := \eth Sybring(A)(S) : xy \in S,
(*) := \eth RingHomo(A, B)(f)\eth^{-1}image(7) : f(x)f(y) = f(xy) \in f(S);
```

```
Proof =
(1) := \eth Subring(S) \eth RingHomo(f) \eth^{-1} preimage : 1 \in f^{-1}(S),
(2) := \eth Subring(S) \eth Homo(f) \eth^{-1}image : 0 \in f^{-1}(S),
Assume x, y : f^{-1}(S),
(3) := \eth \mathrm{Homo}(A,B)(f) \mathrm{Subgroup}(A)(S)(x,y) : f(x+y) = f(x) + (y) \in S,
(4) := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{preimage}(3) : x + y \in f^{-1}(S),
(5) := {\tt HomoInverse}(f)(x) \eth {\tt Subgroup}(A)(S)(x) : f(-x) = -f(x) \in S,
(6) := (5)\eth^{-1}Preimagemage : -x \in f(S),
(7) := \eth \texttt{RingHomo}(A, B)(f)(x, y) \eth \texttt{Subring}(A)(S) : f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \in S,
(*) := \eth^{-1} image(7) : xy \in f^{-1}(S);
 RingOfAbeleanMorphism :: \forall A \in \mathsf{ABEL} \ . \ \left( \mathrm{End}_{\mathsf{ABEL}}(A), +, \circ \right) \in \mathsf{RING}
Proof =
 . . .
 RingOfFunctions :: \forall X \in \mathsf{SET} . \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} . \left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{SET}}(X,R),+,\cdot\right) \in \mathsf{RING}
Proof =
. . .
 \mathtt{productRing} :: \prod I \in \mathsf{SET} . (I \to \mathsf{RING}) \to \mathsf{RING}
\operatorname{\mathtt{productRing}}(R) = \prod_{i \in I} R_i := \left(\prod i \in I \; . \; R_i, a, b \mapsto \Lambda i \in I \; . \; a_i + b_i, a, b \mapsto \Lambda i \in I \; . \; a_i b_i\right)
\texttt{projection} \, :: \, \prod I \in \mathsf{SET} \, . \, \prod R : I \to \mathsf{RING} \, . \, \prod i \in I \, . \, \prod_{i \in I} R_i \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}} R_i
projection(a) = \pi_i(a) := a_i
\texttt{rightMultiplication} :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} : R \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}} \mathrm{End}_{\mathsf{RING}}(R)
rightMultiplication (a) = \rho_a := \Lambda b \in R. ab
```

1.2 Multiplicative Identities

Proof =

 $z:=\Lambda i\in\{0,1\}$. if i==0 then a else $b:\{0,1\}\to R,$

(1) :=
$$\eth \mathsf{RING}(R) : (a+b)^n = \sum_{i:n\to\{1,0\}} \prod_{j=1}^n z(i_j),$$

$$(2) := \eth z \eth \texttt{Commutes}(R, \cdot) : \forall i : n \to \{0, 1\} \; . \; \forall k \in n \; . \; |i^{-1}\{0\}| = k \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} z(i_{j}) = a^{k}b^{n-k},$$

$$(3) := \eth \mathtt{binom} : \forall k \in n \; . \; \left| \left\{ i : n \to \{0,1\} : |i^{-1}\{0\}| = k \right\} \right| = C_n^k,$$

$$(*) := (1)(2)(3) : (a+b)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C_n^i a^i b^{n-i};$$

MultinomialSum :: $\forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall a : \mathsf{Commuting}(m, R, \cdot)$.

$$. \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \right)^n = \sum_{i: m \to \mathbb{Z}_+: \sum_{i=1}^{m} i_i = n}^n C_n^i \prod_{j=1}^m a_j^{i_j}$$

Proof =

Proof =

$$(*) := \eth \mathsf{RING}(R) \eth \mathsf{Commutes}(R, \cdot) \eth \mathsf{inverse}(R, +) : (a - b) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a^i b^{n-1-i} = \\ = a \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a^i b^{n-1-i} - b \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a^i b^{n-1-i} = a^n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a^i b^{n-i} - a^i b^{n-i} \right) - b^n = a^n + b^n;$$

1.3 Elements of The Ring

```
LeftUnit :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ?R
u: \texttt{LeftUnit} \iff \exists a \in R: au = 1
{\tt RightUnit} :: \prod R \in {\tt Ring} \:.\: ?R
u: \mathtt{RightUnit} \iff \exists a \in R: ua = 1
\texttt{LeftZeroDivisor} :: \prod R \in \texttt{Ring} \:.\: ?R
x: \texttt{LeftZeroDivizor} \iff \exists a \in R : xa = 0 \& x \neq 0
RightZeroDivisor :: \prod R \in \text{Ring} .?R
x: \mathtt{RightZeroDivizor} \iff \exists a \in R \ . \ ax = 0 \ \& \ x \neq 0
ZeroDivisor := \Lambda R \in \mathsf{RING} . RightZeroDivisor | LeftZeroDivisor(R) : RING \to Type;
Regular := \Lambda R \in \mathsf{RING} . !ZeroDivisor : \mathsf{RING} \to \mathsf{Type};
Unit := \Lambda R \in \mathsf{RING}. LeftUnit & RightUnit(R): \mathsf{RING} \to \mathsf{Type};
UnitsAreRegular :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} . \forall u : \mathsf{Unit}(R) . u : \mathsf{Regular}(R)
Proof =
Assume a:R,
Assume (1): ua = 0,
Assume (2): a \neq 0,
(3,v) := \eth \mathtt{LeftUnit}(u) : \sum v \in R \:.\: vu = 1,
(4) := \eth \mathsf{Identity}(1)(a)(3)(vua)(1)\mathsf{ZeroMult}(v) : a = 1a = vua = v0 = 0,
() := (2)(4) : \bot;
\sim (1) := \eth^{-1}RightZeroDivisorE(\bot) : [u ! RightZeroDivisor(R)],
Assume a:R,
Assume (2): au = 0,
Assume (3): a \neq 0,
(4,v):=\eth \mathtt{LeftUnit}(u): \sum v \in R \:.\: uv=1,
(4) := \eth \mathsf{Identity}(1)(a)(3)(auv)(1)\mathsf{ZeroMult}(v) : a = 1a = auv = 0v = 0,
() := (2)(4) : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow (2) := \eth^{-1}LeftZeroDivisorE(\bot) : [u ! LeftZeroDivisor(R)],
(3) := \eth^{-1} \operatorname{Regualar}(1)(2) : [u : \operatorname{Regular}];
groupOfUnits :: RING → GRP
groupOfUnits(R) = R^* := (Unit(R), \cdot_R)
```

```
RationalIdentity :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall x, y \in R : (1+xy) \in R^* \Rightarrow (1+yx) \in R^*
Proof =
z := 1 - y(1 + xy)^{-1}x : R,
(1) := (1 + yx) \eth z \eth Associative(\cdot_R) \eth Inverse \cdot_R (1 + xy) \eth Inverse +_R (yx) :
   : (1+yx)z = 1 + yx - (1+yx)y(1+xy)^{-1}x = 1 + yx - y(1+xy)(1+xy)^{-1}x = 1 + yx - yx = 1,
(2) := \eth z(1+yx) \eth Associative(\cdot_R) \eth Iverse \cdot_R (1+xy) \eth Inverse +_R (yx) :
   : z(1+yx) = 1 + yx - y(1+xy)^{-1}x(1+yx) = 1 + yx - y(1+xy)^{-1}(1+xy)x = 1 + yx - yx = 1,
(*) := \eth^{-1} \operatorname{Unit}(R)(1)(2) : (1 + yx) \in R^*;
Nillpotent :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ ?R
a: \mathtt{Nillpotent} \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: a^n = 0
Unipotent :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ?R
a: \mathtt{Unipotent} \iff a-1: \mathtt{Nillpotent}(R)
{\tt Idempotent} \, :: \, \prod R \in {\sf RING} \, . \, ?R
a: \mathtt{Idempotent} \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: a^2 = a
Involution :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} .?
a: Involution \iff a^2 = 1
NillpotentProduct :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \forall a : \mathsf{Nillpotent}(R) \ . \ \forall b : \mathsf{Commutes}(R, \cdot_R)(a) \ . \ ab : \mathsf{Nillpotent}(R)
Proof =
(1,n):=\eth {\tt Nillpotent}(a): \sum n \in \mathbb{N} \;.\; a^n=0,
(2) := \eth \texttt{Commutes}(b)(ab)^n (1) \texttt{ZeroMult}(R)(b^n) : (ab)^n = a^n b^n = 0b^n = 0,
() := \eth^{-1} Nillpotent(2) : [ab : NillPotent(R)];
NillpotentSum :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall a, b : \mathsf{Nillpotent}(R) : \mathsf{Commutes}(R, \cdot_R)(a, b) \Rightarrow a + b : \mathsf{Nillpotent}(R)
Proof =
(1,n):=\eth \mathtt{Nillpotent}(a): \sum n \in \mathbb{N} \;.\; a^n=0,
(2,m):=\eth \mathtt{Nillpotent}(b): \sum m \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ b^m=0,
(3) := \underline{{\tt BinomialSum}}(b,m,n+m)(1)(2:(a+b)^{n+m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} C^i_{n+m} a^i b^{n+m-i} = 0,
() := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{Nillpotent}(3) : [a+b:\mathtt{NillPotent}(R)];
```

```
Proof =
(n,1):=\eth \mathtt{Nillpotent}(b): \sum n \in \mathbb{N} . b^n = 0,
(2) := {\tt SumOfPowers}(a,b,n)(1) \\ \eth {\tt Inverse} : (a-b) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a^i b^{n-1-i} \right) a^{-n} = (a^n-b^n) a^{-n} = a^n a^{-n} = 1,
(*) := \eth^{-1}R^*(2) : a - b \in R^*;
IntegralDomain ::?RING
R: \mathtt{IntgralDomain} \iff R \neq \star \& \forall a: \mathtt{ZeroDivisor}(R) . a = 0
multiplicative Monoid :: Integral Domain \rightarrow Commutative Monoid
\mathtt{multiplicativeMonoid}\left(R\right) = R^{\times} := (R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot_R)
RightCancelation :: \forall R: IntegralDomain . \forall x, y \in R . \forall a \in R^{\times} . \forall (0): xa = ya . x = ya
Proof =
(1) := (0) - ya) \partial Distributive(R) : 0 = xa - ya = (x - y)a,
(2) := \eth Integral Domain(R)(1) \eth R^{\times}(a) : x - y = 0,
(*) := (2) + y : x = y;
LeftCancelation :: \forall R : IntegralDomain . \forall x, y \in R . \forall a \in R^{\times} . \forall (0) : ax = ay . x = y
Proof =
. . .
Divides :: \prod R: IntegralDomain . ?R^2
a, b : \texttt{Divides} \iff a | b \iff \exists x \in R : ax = b
Associates :: \prod R: IntegralDomain . ?R^2
a, b : Associates \iff (a|b) \& (b|a)
a: \mathtt{Irreducible Element} \iff \forall x,y \in R \ . \ a = xy \Rightarrow \left(x \in R^* \middle| y \in R^*\right)
PrimeElement :: \prod R : IntegralDomain . ?(R^{\times} \setminus R^{*})
a: \texttt{PrimeElement} \iff \forall x,y \in R \ . \ a|xy \Rightarrow \Big(a|x\Big|a|y\Big)
```

```
PropertyOfAssociates :: \forall R : IntegralDomain . \forall (a,b) : Associates(R) . \exists u \in R^* . a = ub
Proof =
\Big(x,(1)\Big) := \eth \mathtt{Divides}(a,b) \eth \mathtt{Associates}(a,b) : \sum x \in R : b = xa,
\Big(y,(2)\Big) := \eth \mathtt{Divides}(b,a) \eth \mathtt{Associates}(a,b) : \sum y \in R : a = yb,
(3) := (1)(2) : a = yxa,
(4) := RightCancelation(3) : 1 = yx,
(5) := \eth R^*(4) : y \in R^*,
(*) := I(\exists)(2)(5) : \exists y \in R^* . a = yb;
PrimeElementIsIrreducible :: \forall R : IntegralDomain . \forall p : PrimeElement(R) . p : IrreducibleElement(R)
Proof =
Assume x, y : R,
Assume (1): p = xy,
(2) := \eth^{-1}Divides(p, xy)(1, (1)) : p|xy,
(3) := \eth \texttt{PrimeElement}(p)(2) : p|x|p|y,
Assume (4): p|x,
\Big(z,5\Big):=\eth \mathtt{Divides}(4): \sum z \in R \;.\; x=zp,
(6) := (1)(5) : p = pzy,
(7) := LeftCancelation(6) : 1 = zy,
(8) := \eth^{-1}R^*(7) : y \in R^*,
() := I(|)(8) : x \in R^* | y \in R^*;
\rightsquigarrow (4) := I(\Rightarrow) : p|x \Rightarrow (x \in R^*|y \in R^*),
Assume (5): p|y,
\Big(z,6\Big):=\eth {	t Divides}(4):\sum z\in R \ . \ y=zp,
(7) := (1)(6) : p = xzp,
(8) := RightCancelation(7) : 1 = xz,
(9) := \eth^{-1}R^*(8) : x \in R^*,
() := I(|)(9) : x \in R^* | y \in R^*;
\rightsquigarrow (5) := I(\Rightarrow) : p|y \Rightarrow (x \in R^*|y \in R^*),
() := E(|)(3)(4)(5) : x \in R^* | y \in R^*;
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}IrreducibleElement : [p : Irreducible];
```

1.4 Ideals and Quotients

```
LeftIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ?Subgroup(R)
I: \texttt{LeftIdeal} \iff \forall a \in I . \forall b \in R . ba \in I
RightIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ?Subgroup(R)
I: \mathtt{RightIdeal} \iff \forall b \in I . \forall b \in R . ab \in I
{\tt TwoSidedIdeal} := \prod R \in {\sf RING} \; . \; {\tt LeftIdeal}(R) \; \& \; {\tt RightIdeal}(R) : {\tt RING} \to {\tt Type};
CommutativeIdeal :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall I : \mathsf{LeftIdeal}(R) \ . \ I : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(R)
Proof =
. . .
 {\tt Ideal} := \prod R \in {\tt CommutativeRing} \; . \; {\tt LeftIdeal}(R) : {\tt CommutativeRing} \to {\tt Type};
quotMult :: \prod R : \mathsf{RING} : \prod I : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal} : \frac{R}{I} \to \frac{R}{I} \to \frac{R}{I}
quatMult([a], [b]) = [a][b] := [ab]
Assume x, y: I,
(1) := \eth RightIdeal(a, y) : ay \in I,
(2) := \eth LeftIdeal(b, x) : xb \in I,
(3) := \eth RightIdeal(x, y) : xy \in I,
(*) :=: [a+x][b+y] = [ab+xb+ay+xy] = [ab];
 \texttt{quotientRing} :: \prod R : \mathsf{RING} \; . \; \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal} \to \mathsf{GRP}
quotientRing(I) = \frac{R}{I} := \left(\frac{R}{I}, +, quatMult\right)
Proof =
(1) := SubgroupPreimage(I, f) : f^{-1}(I) \subset_{\mathsf{GRP}} A,
Assume x: f^{-1}(I),
(2) := \eth preimage(f, I)(x) : f(x) \in I,
Assume a:A,
(3) := \mathfrak{F}_{n} \operatorname{EngHom}(A, B)(f)(a, x) \mathfrak{F}_{n} \operatorname{Ideal}(B)(I)(2) : f(ax) = f(a)f(x) \in I,
() := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{preimage}(f, I)(3) : ax \in I;
\leadsto (*) := I(\forall) \eth^{-1} \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(A)(1) : \left(f^{-1}(I) : \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(A)\right);
```

```
Proof =
 . . .
 TwoSidedIdealPreimage :: \forall A, B \in \mathsf{RING} . \forall f : A \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}} B . \forall I : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(B).
    f^{-1}(I): TwoSidedIdeal(A)
Proof =
 . . .
 Proof =
 . . .
  \textbf{LeftIdealIntersection} :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall \mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall I : \mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{LeftIdeal}(R) : \bigcap I_{\alpha} : \mathsf{LeftIdeal}(R) 
Proof =
(1) := {\tt SubgroupIntersection}(\mathcal{A},I) : \bigcap : \subset_{{\sf GRP}} R,
Assume x: \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha},
(2) := \eth Itersect(A)(I)(x) : \forall \alpha \in A . x \in I_{\alpha},
Assume a:R,
Assume \alpha: \mathcal{A},
() := \eth^{-1} \mathbf{Ideal}(I_{\alpha})(2)(x)(a) : ax \in I_{\alpha};
 \rightsquigarrow (3) := I(\forall) : \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A} . ax \in I_{\alpha},
() := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{intersect}(\mathcal{A})(I)(3) : ax \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha}; \leadsto (*) := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(R)(1) : \left[\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha} : \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(R)\right];
 RightIdealIntersection :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall A \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall I : A \to \mathsf{RightIdeal}(R) : \bigcap I_{\alpha} : \mathsf{RightIdeal}(R)
Proof =
 {\tt TwoSidedtIdealIntersection} :: \forall R \in {\sf RING} . \ \forall \mathcal{A} \in {\sf SET} . \ \forall I : \mathcal{A} \to {\sf TwoSidedIdeal}(R) \ .
    I_{\alpha}: \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(R)
       \alpha \in \mathcal{A}
Proof =
```

```
{\tt IdealIntersection} \, :: \, \forall R \in {\sf ANN} \, . \, \forall \mathcal{A} \in {\sf SET} \, . \, \forall I : \mathcal{A} \to {\tt Ideal}(R) \, . \, \, \bigcap \, I_\alpha : {\tt Ideal}(R)
Proof =
Proof =
. . .
Proof =
{\tt SumOfTwoSidedIdeals} :: \forall R \in {\sf RING} . \forall \mathcal{A} \in {\sf SET} . \forall I : \mathcal{A} \to {\sf TwoSidedIdeal}(R) .
   \sum I_{lpha}: {	t TwoSidedIdeal}(R)
Proof =
Proof =
\texttt{compositeIdeal} :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} \; . \; \mathsf{LeftIdeal}(R) \times \mathsf{RightIdeal}(R) \to \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(R)
\texttt{compositeIdeal}\left(I,J\right) = IJ := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{\alpha}b_{\alpha} | n \in \mathbb{N}, a: n \to I, b: n \to J \right\}
\texttt{compositeIdeal2} \, :: \, \prod R \in \texttt{CommutativeRing} \, . \, \prod n \in \mathbb{N} \, . \, n \to \texttt{Ideal}(R) \to \texttt{Ideak}(R)
\texttt{compositeIdeal2}\left(I\right) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n I_\alpha := \left\{ \sum_{\beta=1}^m \prod_{\alpha=1}^n a_{\alpha,\beta} | m \in \mathbb{N}, a : \prod \alpha \in n \; . \; m \to I_\alpha \right\}
```

```
ProperByUnityLeft :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} . \forall I : \mathsf{LeftIdeal} . I = R \iff 1 \in I
Proof =
   . . .
   ProperByUnityRight :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \forall I : \mathtt{RightIdeal} \ . \ I = R \iff 1 \in I
Proof =
   . . .
   ProperByUnityTwoSided :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \forall I : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal} \ . \ I = R \iff 1 \in I
Proof =
  . . .
   ProperByUnity :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall I : \mathsf{Ideal} \ . \ I = R \iff 1 \in I
Proof =
   . . .
   UnionOfLeftIdeals :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall \mathcal{A} : \mathsf{TotallyOrdered} \& \mathsf{NonEmpty}.
              . \ \forall I : \texttt{Nondecreasing} \Big( \texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{LeftIdeal}(R) \Big) \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} : \texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{LeftIdeal}(R) \Big) = I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} : \texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{LeftIdeal}(R) I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} : \texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{LeftIdeal}(R) I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} : \texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{LeftIdeal}(R) I_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}
Proof =
(\alpha,2):=\ethunion: \sum \alpha \in A. 1 \in I_{\alpha},
(3) := ProperByUnityLeft(2) : I_{\alpha} = R,
(4) := \eth Proper(I_{\alpha}) : I_{\alpha} \neq R,
(5) := I(\bot)(3)(4) : \bot,
 \rightsquigarrow (1) := E(\bot) : 1 \notin \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha},
(2) := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{Proper}(1) : \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} I_{\alpha},
   . . .
   UnionOfRightIdeals :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \forall \mathcal{A} : \mathsf{TotallyOrdered} \ \& \ \mathsf{NonEmpty} \ .
               . \ \forall I : \texttt{Nondecreasing}\Big(\texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{RightIdeal}(R)\Big) \ . \ \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha}I_{\alpha} : \texttt{Proper} \ \& \ \texttt{RightIdeal}(R)\Big)
Proof =
   . . .
```

```
UnionOfTwoSidedIdeals :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \forall \mathcal{A} : \mathsf{TotallyOrdered} \ \& \ \mathsf{NonEmpty} \ .
    . \ \forall I : \texttt{Nondecreasing} \Big( \texttt{Proper \& TwoSidedIdeal}(R) \Big) \ . \ \bigcup_{\cdot} I_{\alpha}I_{\alpha} : \texttt{Proper \& TwoSidedIdeal}(R) \Big)
Proof =
. . .
 {\tt UnionOfIdeals} :: \forall R \in {\sf ANN} \ . \ \forall \mathcal{A} : {\tt TotallyOrdered} \ \& \ {\tt NonEmpty} \ .
    . \forall I : \mathtt{Nondecreasing}\Big(\mathtt{Proper} \ \& \ \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(R)\Big) \ . \ \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_{\alpha}I_{\alpha} : \mathtt{Proper} \ \& \ \mathtt{Ideal}(R)\Big)
Proof =
 . . .
 MaximalLeftIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ?Proper & LeftIdeal(R)
I: \mathtt{MaximalLeftIdeal} \iff \forall J: \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(R) \ . \ I \subset J \Leftarrow J = R
{\tt MaximalRightIdeal} \, :: \, \prod R \in {\sf RING} \, . \, ? {\tt Proper} \, \& \, {\tt RightIdeal}(R)
I: \mathtt{MaximalRightIdeal} \iff \forall J: \mathtt{RightIdeal}(R) . I \subset J \Leftarrow J = R
MaximalTwoSidedIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} . ?Proper & TwoSidedIdeal(R)
I: \mathtt{MaximalTwoSidedIdeal} \iff \forall J: \mathtt{TwoSidedIdeal}(R) \ . \ I \subset J \Leftarrow J = R
MaximalLeftIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{ANN} . ?Proper & Ideal(R)
I: \mathtt{MaximalLeftIdeal} \iff \forall J: \mathtt{Ideal}(R) . I \subset J \Leftarrow J = R
MaximalLeftIdealExists :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall I : \mathsf{Proper} \ \& \ \mathsf{LeftIdeal}(R) \ .
    \exists M : \mathtt{MaximalLeftIdeal}(R) : I \subset M
Proof =
Use UnionOfLeftIdeals and ZornLemma
 MaximalRightIdealExists :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} . \forall I : \mathsf{Proper} \& \mathsf{RightIdeal}(R).
    \exists M : \texttt{MaximalRightIdeal}(R) : I \subset M
Proof =
 . . .
 MaximalTwoSidedIdealExists :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall I : \mathsf{Proper} \ \& \ \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(R) \ .
    \exists M : \texttt{MaximalTwoSidedIdeal}(R) : I \subset M
Proof =
 . . .
```

```
MaximalIdealExists :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall I : \mathsf{Proper} \ \& \ \mathsf{Ideal}(R) \ .
    \exists M : \mathtt{MaximalIdeal}(R) : I \subset M
Proof =
. . .
genLeftIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} : ?R \to \mathsf{LeftIdeal}
\mathtt{genLeftIdeal}\left(S\right) := \bigcap \{I : \mathtt{LeftIdeal}(R) : S \subset R\}
genRightIdeal :: \prod R \in RING . ?R \rightarrow RightIdeal
\texttt{genRightIdeal}\left(S\right) := \bigcap \{I : \texttt{RightIdeal}(R) : S \subset R\}
{\tt genTwoSidedIdeal} \, :: \, \prod R \in {\sf RING} \, . \, ?R \to {\tt TwoSidedIdeal}
\texttt{genTwoSidedIdeal}\left(S\right) := \bigcap \{I : \texttt{TwoSidedIdeal}(R) : S \subset R\}
genIdeal :: \prod R \in \mathsf{ANN} \cdot ?R \to \mathsf{Ideal}
\mathtt{genIdeal}\,(S) := \bigcap \{I : \mathtt{Ideal}(R) : S \subset R\}
\texttt{kernelIdeal} :: \forall A, B \in \mathsf{RING} . \forall \varphi : A \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}} B . \ker \varphi : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(A)
Proof =
(1) := NormalKernel(R, +)(\varphi) : \ker \varphi \subset_{\mathsf{GRP}} A,
Assume x : \ker \varphi,
Assume a:A,
(2) := \eth \ker \varphi(x) : \varphi(x) = 0,
(3) := \eth \texttt{RingHomo}(A, B)(\varphi)(a, x)(2) \texttt{ZeroMult}(B)(\varphi(a)) : \varphi(ax) = \varphi(a)\varphi(x) = \varphi(a)0 = 0,
()_1 := \eth^{-1} \ker \varphi : ax \in \ker \varphi;
(4) := \eth \texttt{RingHomo}(A, B)(\varphi)(x, a)(2) \\ \texttt{ZeroMult}(B)(\varphi(a)) : \varphi(xa) = \varphi(x)\varphi(a) = \varphi(a)0 = 0,
()_2 := \eth^{-1} \ker \varphi : xa \in \ker \varphi;
\sim (*) := \eth^{-1} \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}((1), I(\forall)) : \Big[ \ker \varphi : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(A) \Big],
 Proof =
(1) := \eth \pi_I(1) : \pi_I(1) = [1],
Assume a, b : R,
() := \eth \pi_I(ab) \eth \mathsf{quotMult}([a], [b]) \eth^{-1} \pi_I(a) \eth^{-1} \pi_I : \pi_I(ab) = [ab] = [a][b] = \pi_I(a) \pi_I(b);
EveryIdealIsRHKernel :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} \cdot \forall I : \mathsf{TwoSidedIdeal}(R) \cdot I = \ker \pi_I
Proof =
. . .
```

1.5 Prime Ideals of Commutative Ring

```
Prime :: \prod R \in \mathsf{ANN} . ?ProperIdeal(R)
I: \mathtt{Prime} \iff \forall a, b \in R \ . \ ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I | b \in I
\texttt{Coprime} \, :: \, \prod R \in \mathsf{ANN} \, . \, ? \texttt{ProperIdeal}^2(R)
I, J: \mathtt{Coprime} \iff I+J=R
Proof =
Assume [a]: ZeroDividor \frac{R}{r},
\left([b],(1)\right) := \eth^{-1} \mathsf{ZeroDivisor} \, \frac{R}{I} : \sum [b] \in \frac{R}{I} \, . \, [b] \neq 0 \, \& \, [b][a] = 0,
(2) := \eth \frac{R}{I}(1)_2 : ab \in I,
(3) := \eth Prime(R)(I)(2) : a \in I | b \in I,
Assume (4): a \in I,
() := \eth \frac{R}{I}(4) : [a] = 0;
\rightsquigarrow (4) := I(\rightarrow) : a \in I \Rightarrow [a] = 0,
Assume (5): b \in I,
(6) := \eth \frac{R}{I}(5) : [b] = 0,
(7) := (6)(1)_1 : \bot.
() := E(\bot)([a] = 0) : [a] = 0;
 \rightsquigarrow (5) := I(\Rightarrow) : b \in I \Rightarrow [a] = 0.
() := E(|)(3)(4)(5) : [a] = 0;
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}IntegralDomain : \left\lceil \frac{R}{I} : \text{IntegralDomain} \right\rceil;
 Proof =
(0) := \eth^{-1}\mathtt{RingHomo}(\varphi)\eth\mathtt{ProperIdeal}(B)(I) : 1 \not\in \varphi^{-1}(I),
Assume x, y : A,
Assume (1): xy \in \varphi^{-1}(I),
(2) := \eth preimage(A, B)(\varphi)(I)(1) : \varphi(xy) \in I,
(3) := \eth \mathtt{RingHomo}(A,B)(\varphi)(a,b)(2) : \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \in I.
(4) := \eth Prime(B)(I)(3) : \varphi(x) \in I | \varphi(y) \in I,
() := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{preimage}(A, B)(\varphi)(4) : x \in \varphi^{-1}(I) | y \in \varphi^{-1}(I);
\leadsto (*) := \eth^{-1} \mathrm{Prime}(I)(1) : \left\lceil \varphi^{-1}(I) : \mathrm{Prime}(A) \right\rceil;
```

```
MaximalIdealIsPrime :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall I : \mathtt{Maximal}(R) \ . \ I : \mathtt{Prime}(R)
Proof =
Assume a, b : R,
Assume (1): ab \in I,
Assume (2): a \notin I \& b \notin I,
(3) := \eth \mathsf{Maximal}(I)(2) : I + \left\langle \{a\} \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RING}} = R,
(4) := \eth \mathtt{Ring}(R) : 1 \in R,
(i, v, 5) := (3)(4) : \sum i \in I . \sum v \in R . 1 = i + va,
(6) := b(5) : b = bi + vab,
(7) := \eth Ideal(R)(I)(1)(6) : b \in I,
() := (2)(7) : \bot;
\rightsquigarrow () := E(\bot) : a \in I | b \in I;
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}Ideal : [I : Prime(R)];
 {\tt IdealsProductInIntersection} :: \forall R \in {\sf ANN} \ . \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ \forall I : n \to {\tt Ideal}(R) \ . \ \prod_{i=1}^n I_k \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_k
Proof =
\texttt{Assume}\ x:\prod^n I_k,
(m,a,1) := \eth \texttt{ringProfuct}(n,I) : \sum m \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ \sum a : \prod k \in n \ . \ m \to I_k \ . \ x = \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n a_{i,j},
Assume j:m,
Assume k:n,
():=\eth^{-1}\mathbf{Ideal}(R)(I_k)(a_{k,j}):\prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,j}\in I_k;
\rightsquigarrow (2) := I^2(\forall)) : \forall j \in m : \forall k \in n : \prod_{k=1}^n a_{i,j} \in I_k,
(3) := \eth^{-1} \mathbf{intersect}(n, I)(2) : \forall j \in m . \prod_{I=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{n} I_k,
(*) := \eth \operatorname{Subgroup}(R) \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}\right) (1) : x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{n} I_{k};
```

```
. \forall (0): \prod_{k=1} I_k \subset P . \exists k \in n: I_k \subset P
Proof =
Assume a: \prod k \in n . I_k,
Assume (1): \forall k \in . a_k \notin I_k,
(2) := \eth Prime(R)(P)(1) : \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_i \not\in P,
() := (2)(0) : \bot;
\rightsquigarrow (1) := I(\forall)E(\bot) : \forall a : \prod k \in n : I_k : \exists k \in n : a_k \in P,
(*) := FiniteChoice(1) : \exists k \in n : I_k \subset P;
{\tt IntersectInsidePrime} \, :: \, \forall R \in {\tt ANN} \, . \, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \, . \, \forall I : n \to {\tt Ideal} \, . \, \forall P : {\tt Prime}(R) \, .
    . \ \forall (0): \bigcap_{k=1} I_k \subset P \ . \ \exists k \in n: I_k \subset P \ .
Proof =
 . . .
 CoprimeFamily :: \prod R \in \mathsf{ANN} . \sum n \in \mathbb{N} . ?(n \to \mathsf{Ideal}(R))
(n,I): \texttt{CoprimeFamily} \iff \forall i,j \in n : i \neq j \Rightarrow (I_i,I_j): \texttt{Coprime}(R)
CoprimeProdIsCoprime :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} : \forall J : \mathsf{Ideal}(R) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall I : n \to \mathsf{Ideal}(n).
    \forall (0) : \forall k \in n : (J, I_k) : \mathtt{Coprime}(R) : \left(J, \prod_{k=1}^n I_k\right) : \mathtt{Coprime}(R)
Proof =
(a,b,1) := \eth \texttt{Coprime}(0) \texttt{ProperbyUnity} : \sum a : n \to J \; . \; \sum b : \prod k \in n \; . \; I_k \; . \; \forall k \in n \; . \; 1 = a_k + b_k,
(2) := \eth \mathsf{ANN}(R) \mathsf{Iterate}(n)(1) : 1 = \prod_{k=1}^n b_k + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i+1} \prod_{k=1}^i b_k,
(3) := \eth Ideal(R)(J)(...) : \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i+1} \prod_{k=1}^{i} b_k \in J,
(4) := \eth idealProduct(n, I)(b) : \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{n} I_k,
(5) := \eth idealSum(2)(3)(4) : 1 \in J + \prod_{k=1}^{n} I_k,
(6) := \texttt{ProperByUnity}(5) : R = J + \prod^{m} I_K,
(*) := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{Coprime}(6) : \left| \left( J, \prod_{k=1}^{n} I_{k} \right) : \mathtt{Coprime}(n) \right|;
```

```
 \texttt{CoprimeIntersectIsCoprime} \ :: \ \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall J : \mathtt{Ideal}(R) \ . \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ \forall I : n \to \mathtt{Ideal}(n) \ . 
   .\;\forall (0): \forall k \in n\;.\; (J,I_k): \mathtt{Coprime}(R)\;.\; \left(J,\bigcap_{k=1}^n I_k\right): \mathtt{Coprime}(R)
Proof =
. . .
CoprimeProductLemma1 :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall (J,I) : \mathsf{Coprime}(R) \ . \ JI = J \cap I
Proof =
(a,b,1) := \eth \texttt{Coprime}(J,I) \texttt{ProperByUnity} : \sum a \in J \; . \; \sum b \in I \; . \; 1 = a+b,
Assume x: J \cap I,
(2) := \eth Intersect(J, I)(x) : x \in J,
(3) := \eth Intersect(J, I)(x) : x \in I,
(4) := \eth idealProdut(J, I)(ax) : ax \in JI,
(5) := \eth idealProduct(J, I)(xb)) : xb \in JI,
() := \eth Identity(1_R)(x)(1) \eth ANN(R) : x = (a+b)x = ax + bx \in JI;
\sim (*) := \eth^{-1} \text{SetEq} \Big( \eth^{-1} \text{Subset}, \text{IdealsProducitInIntersection} \Big) : JI = I \cap J;
Proof =
. . .
Proof =
Assume [a]: \frac{R}{M},
Assume (1): [a] \neq 0,
(2) := \eth \frac{R}{M}(1) : a \notin M,
(u,r,3):=\eth \texttt{MaximaIdeal}(2):\sum u\in M\;.\;\sum r\in R:\;.\;1=u+ra,
() := \eth \frac{R}{M}(3)\eth^{-1}\frac{R}{m} : 1 = [1] = [u + ra] = [ra] = [r][a];
\sim (*) := \eth^{-1} \text{Field} : \left| \frac{R}{M} : \text{Field} \right|;
```

```
Proof =
Assume ([a],[b]): \frac{RR}{I}
(u,v,1):=\eth {\tt Coprime}(-a+b): \sum u \in I\;.\; \sum v \in J\;.\; -a+b=u+v,
(2) := (1) + a - v : b - v = a + u,
x := b - v : R,
\varphi\Big([a],[b]\Big) := \pi_{IJ}(x) : \frac{R}{II},
(3) := \eth x(2) \eth \pi_I : \pi_I(x) = \pi_I(a+u) = [a],
(4) := \eth x \eth \pi_J : \pi_J(x) = \pi_I(b-v) = [b],
Assume y:R,
Assume (5): \pi_I(y) = [a],
Assume (6): \pi_{J}(y) = [b],
(u',7) := (5)\eth \pi_I : \sum u' \in I : y = a + u,
(v',8) := (8) \eth \pi_J : \sum v' \in J : y = b + v,
(9) := (7) \eth x(2) : x - y = u - u' \in I,
(10) := (8) \eth x : x - y = u - u' \in J,
() := CoprimeProductLemma2\eth^{-1}Intersect(9)(10) : x - y \in IJ;
\sim \varphi := I(\rightarrow) : \frac{R}{I} \frac{R}{I} \xrightarrow{\text{RING}} \frac{R}{II},
Assume ([a], [b]) : \frac{R}{I} \frac{R}{J}
Assume (1): \varphi([a], [b]) = 0,
(2) := \eth \varphi(1) : a \in I \& b \in J,
() := \eth \mathbf{quotRing}(2) : ([a], [b]) = 0;
\sim (2) := HomoInj : \left[\varphi: \frac{R}{I} \frac{R}{J} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{RING} \frac{\mathsf{RING}}{IJ}\right],
(3) := \eth \varphi \eth \operatorname{Surj} : \left[ \varphi : \frac{R}{I} \frac{R}{J} \longleftrightarrow \frac{R}{IJ} \right],
(*) := \eth Isomorphic : \frac{R}{I} \frac{R}{I} \cong \frac{R}{II};
   \text{ChineseReminderTheorem2} :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} \:.\: \forall (n,I) : \mathsf{CoprimeFamily}(R) \:.\: \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{R}{I_k} \cong_{\mathsf{RING}} \frac{R}{\prod_{i=1}^n I_k}
```

Proof =

1.6 Localization

```
{\tt MultiplivativeSubset} \ :: \ \prod R \in {\sf RING} \ . \ ?R
S: \mathtt{MultiplivativeSubset} \iff (S, \cdot_R): \mathtt{Submonoid}(R, \cdot_R) \iff
localization :: \prod R \in \mathsf{ANN} . \mathsf{MiltiplicativeSubset}(R) \to \mathsf{RING}
\mathbf{localization}\left(S\right) = \frac{R}{S} := \left(\frac{R \times S}{\left\{\left((r,s),(r',s')\right): \exists z \in S: z(s'r-sr) = 0 \middle| r \in R, s, z \in S\right\}},\right.
   ,\Lambda[a,b],[c,d]\in\frac{R}{S}. [ad+bc,bd],\Lambda[a,b],[c,d]\in\frac{R}{S}. [ac,bd]
\texttt{fraction} :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \:. \: \prod S : \texttt{MultiplicativeSubset}(A) \:. \: A \times S \to \frac{A}{S}
fraction (a, s) = \frac{a}{s} := [a, s]
Local :: ?ANN
A: Local \iff \exists !M: MaximalIdeal(A)
localize :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \cdot \mathsf{Prime}(A) \to \mathsf{Local}
localize (P) = A_P := \frac{A}{P^{\complement}}
maximalIdeal :: \prod A : Local . maximalIdeal(A)
maximalIdeal() = \mathfrak{m}(A) := \eth Local(A)
LocalInversion :: \forall A : \texttt{Local} . \forall a \in \mathfrak{m}^{\complement}(A) . a \in A^*
Proof =
Assume (1): a \notin A^*,
(2) := \eth genIdeal\{a\}(1) : genIdeal\{a\} \neq A,
(M,3) := \texttt{MaximalIdealExists}(2) : \sum M : \texttt{MaximalIdeal}(A) \; . \; a \in M,
(4) := SetIneq\eth a(3) : m(A) \neq M,
() := \eth Local(4) : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow (*) := E(\bot) : a \in A^*;
```

```
Proof =
(1) := \eth^{-1} \texttt{MultiplicativeSet} \eth \texttt{Prime}(A)(P) : [P^{\complement} : \texttt{MultiplicativeSet}(A)],
M := \left\{ \frac{p}{a} | p \in P, a \in P^{\complement} \right\} : ? \frac{A}{D^{\complement}},
(2) := \eth \mathsf{Ideal}(A)(P) : \left[ M : \mathsf{Ideal} \; \frac{A}{S} \right],
(3) := \eth M \eth \frac{A}{P} : M \neq \frac{A}{PC},
Assume M': MacimalIdeal \frac{A}{D\mathbb{C}},
Assume \frac{a}{b}: M',
(4) := \eth ProperIdeal \frac{A}{DC}(M') : 1 \notin M',
Assume (5): a \in P^{\complement},
(6) := \eth \frac{A}{D_b^c} : \frac{b}{a} \frac{a}{b} = 1,
(7) := \eth \mathbf{Ideal} \ \frac{A}{P^{\complement}}(M')(6) : 1 \in M',
() := (7)(4) : \bot;
\rightsquigarrow (5) := \eth compliment E(\bot) : a \in P,
() := \eth M(5) : \frac{a}{b} \in M;
\rightsquigarrow (4) := \eth^{-1}Subset : M' \subset M,
() := \eth MaximalIdeal(A)(M')(3)(4) : M' = M;
\rightsquigarrow () := \eth^{-1} \text{Local} : \left| \frac{A}{P^{\complement}} : \text{Local} \right| ;
{\tt invCategoryOfMS} \ :: \ \prod R \in {\sf ANN} \ . \ {\tt MultiplicativeSet}(A) \to {\sf CAT}
invCategotyOfMS(S) = C_R(S) :=
    = \left( \ \left\{ (B, \psi) : \sum B \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ R \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ANN}} B : \forall s \in S \ . \ \psi(s) \in B^* \right\},
   (B, \psi), (B', \psi') \mapsto \{\varphi : B \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}} B' : \psi = \varphi \psi'\}, \circ, \mathrm{id}
```

LocalizationUniversalProperty :: $\forall A \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall S : \mathsf{MultiplicativeSet}(A)$. $\left(\frac{A}{S}, a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}\right) : \mathbf{Initial}\left(\mathcal{C}_A(S)\right)$ Proof = Assume $(B, \psi) : \mathcal{C}_A(S)$, $\varphi := \Lambda \frac{a}{b} \in \frac{A}{S} \cdot \psi(a)\psi^{-1}(b) : \frac{A}{S} \to B,$ (1) := $\eth \text{RingHomo}(\psi) : \varphi(1) = \psi(1)\psi^{-1}(1) = 1$, Assume $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d} : \frac{A}{S}$ $(2) := \eth \frac{A}{\varsigma} \eth \mathsf{RingHomo}(psi) \eth \mathsf{ANN}(B) \eth^{-1} \varphi :$ $:\varphi\left(\frac{a}{b}\frac{c}{d}\right)=\varphi\left(\frac{ac}{bd}\right)=\psi(ac)\psi^{-1}(bd)=\psi(a)\psi(c)\psi^{-1}(b)\psi^{-1}(d)=\psi(a)\psi^{-1}(b)\psi(c)\psi^{-1}(d)=\varphi\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)\varphi\left(\frac{c}{d}\right),$ $(3) := \eth \frac{A}{\varsigma} \eth \varphi \eth \mathtt{RingHomo}(\psi) \eth \mathsf{ANN}(B) \eth^{-1} \varphi :$ $: \varphi\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{ad + bc}{bd}\right) = \psi(ad + bc)\psi^{-1}(bd) = \psi(ad)\psi^{-1}(bd) + \psi(bc)\psi^{-1}(bd) = \psi(ad)\psi^{-1}(bd) = \psi(ad)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd) = \psi(ad)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{-1}(bd)\psi^{$ $= \psi(a)\psi(d)\psi^{-1}(b)\psi^{-1}(d) + \psi(b)\psi(c)\psi^{-1}(b)\psi^{-1}(d) = \psi(a)\psi^{-1}(b) + \psi(c)\psi^{-1}(d) = \varphi\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{c}{d}\right);$ $\leadsto (2) := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{RingHomo} : \left[\varphi : S^{-1} A \xrightarrow{\mathtt{RING}} B \right],$ $(3) := \forall a \in A \ . \ \eth \varphi \left(\frac{a}{1}\right) : \forall a \in A \ . \ \varphi \left(\frac{a}{1}\right) = \psi(a),$ $(4) := \eth \mathcal{C}_A(S)(2)(3) : \left[\varphi : \left(S^{-1}A, a \mapsto \frac{a}{1} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_A(S)} (B, \psi) \right],$ Assume $\varphi': \left(S^{-1}A, a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_A(S)} (B, \psi),$ $(5) := \forall a \in A \cdot \partial \mathcal{C}_A(S)(\varphi') \left(\frac{a}{1}\right) : \forall a \in A \cdot \varphi' \left(\frac{a}{1}\right) = \psi(a),$ $(6) := \forall s \in S \text{ . RingHomoInverse}(\varphi') \frac{1}{s} (5) : \forall s \in S \text{ . } \varphi'\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = (\varphi')^{-1}\left(\frac{s}{1}\right) = \psi^{-1}(s),$ $() := \eth \varphi(5)(6) : \varphi = \varphi';$ \rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1} Initial $\left(\mathcal{C}_A(S)\right)$: $\left[\left(S^{-1}A, a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}\right)$: Initial $\left(\mathcal{C}_A(S)\right)\right]$;

$$\label{eq:continuous} \begin{split} & \mathtt{idealTransfer} \, :: \, \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \, . \, \prod S : \mathtt{MultiplivativeSubset}(A) \, . \, \mathtt{Ideal}(A) \to \mathtt{Ideal}\Big(S^{-1}A\Big) \\ & \mathtt{idealTransfer} \, (I) = S^{-1}I := \Big\{\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}A | a \in I\Big\} \end{split}$$

П

2 Basic Taxonomy of Commutative Rings

2.1 Commutative Noetherian Rings

```
Noetherian :: ?ANN
A: \mathtt{Noetherian} \iff \forall I: \mathtt{Nondescsnding}(\mathbb{N}, \mathtt{Ideal}(A)) \ . \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall n: \mathtt{after}(N) \ . \ I_N = I_n
{\tt FinitelyGeneratedIdeal} \ :: \ \prod A \in {\tt ANN} \ . \ ?A
I: \texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal} \iff \exists F: \texttt{Finite}(A): I = \texttt{genIdeal}(F)
NoetherianMax :: \forall A : Noetherian . \forall \mathcal{I} :? Ideal(A) . \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \max \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset
Proof =
Use Zorn lemma.
NoetherianHasFinitelyGeneratedIdeals :: \forall A : Noetherian . \forall I : Ideal(A).
    . I : FinitelyGeneratedIdeal(A)
Proof =
\mathcal{F} := \{ \mathtt{genIdeal}(F) | F : \mathtt{Finite}(I) \} : ??I,
J := NotherianMax(\mathcal{F}) : max \mathcal{F},
(F,1) := \eth \mathcal{F}(J) : \sum F : \mathtt{Finite}(I) \mathrel{.} J = \mathtt{genIdeal}(F),
\mathtt{Assume}\;(2):J\neq I,
(a,3):=\eth \mathtt{StrictSubset}(2):\sum a\in I\;.\;a\not\in J,
(4) := FiniteUnion(F, \{a\}) : F \cup \{a\} : Finite(I),
(5) := \eth^{-1}(\mathcal{F})(F \cup \{a\}) : F \cup \{a\} \in \mathcal{F},
(6) := \eth genIdeal(F, F \cup \{a\}) \eth F \eth : J \subsetneq genIdeal(F \cap \{a\}),
() := \eth \max \eth J(6)(5) : \bot;
\rightsquigarrow (2) := E(\perp) : I = J,
():=(2)\eth^{-1}FinitelyGeneratedIdeal\eth\mathcal{F}:[I:FinitelyGeneratedIdeal(A)];
```

```
NoetherianByFiniteGeneration :: \forall A \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall (0) : \forall I : \mathsf{Ideal}(A) \ . \ I : \mathsf{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal}(A) .
     A: Noetherian
Proof =
Assume I: Nondecreasing(\mathbb{N}, Ideal(A)),
(1) := \underline{\mathtt{IdealUnion}}(I) : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n : \underline{\mathtt{Ideal}}(A),
(2):=(1)(0):\left\lceil\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}I_{n}:\texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal}(A)\right\rfloor,
(F,3) := \eth \texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal}(A) \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \right) : \sum F : \texttt{Finite}(A) \; . \; \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \texttt{GenIdeal}(F),
(n,a):=\mathtt{enumerate}(F):\sum n\in\mathbb{N}\:.\:n\twoheadrightarrow F,
(m,4):=\eth^{-1}union: \sum n	o \mathbb{N} . \forall i\in n . a_i\in I_{m_i},
M:=\max_{i\in n}m(i):\mathbb{N},
(5) := \eth M \eth \texttt{NonDecreasing}(I) \eth \texttt{genIdeal}(3) : \bigcap^{\infty} I_n = I_M,
() := \eth NonDecreasing(I) \eth union(5) : \forall n : after(M) . I_M = I_n;
 \rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}Noetherian : [A : Noetherian];
 NoetherianQuotient :: \forall A : Noetherian . \forall I : Ideal(A) . \frac{A}{I} : Noetherian
Proof =
Assume J: \text{Ideal } \frac{A}{I},
(1) := {\tt IdealPreimage} : \Big[\pi_I^{-1}(J) : {\tt Ideal}(A)\Big],
(F,2) := \eth \mathtt{Noetherian}(1) : \sum F : \mathtt{Finite}(A) \mathrel{.} \pi_I^{-1}(J) : \mathtt{Ideal}(A),
(3) := FiniteImage(\pi_I, A) : [\pi_I(F) : Finite],
(4) := \eth Surjective \left(A, \frac{A}{I}\right) (1)(2) : J = genIdeal(\pi_I(F)),
() := \eth^{-1} \texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal}(3)(4) : \left[ J : \texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal} \; \frac{A}{I} \right];
\sim (*) := NoetherianByFiniteGeneration : \left| \frac{A}{I} \right| : Noetherian ;
  \text{Factorization} \, :: \, \prod R : \text{IntegralDomain} \, . \, \prod a \in R \, . \, \sum n \in \mathbb{N} \, . \, n \to \text{IrreducibleElement}(I) 
(n,p): Factorization \iff a = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i
```

```
FactorizationsExistInNoetherian :: \forall A: Noetherian & IntegralDomain . \forall a \in R^{\times} \setminus R^{*}.
     \existsFactorization(R, a)
Proof =
Assume a: A^{\times} \setminus A^*,
T_0 := \mathtt{root}(0) : \mathtt{Tree}(\prod n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \to \{0, 1\}),
q^0 := \Lambda 0 \in \mathtt{leaves}(T_1) \cdot a : \mathtt{leaves}(T_0) \to A^{\times} \setminus A^*,
U_0 := \eth q^0 \eth^{-1} \mathtt{Product} : \prod_{i \in \mathtt{leaves}(T_0)} q_i^0 = a,
Assume n: \mathbb{N},
T_n := T_{n-1} : \mathtt{Tree} \left( \prod n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \to \{0,1\} \right),
Assume i: leaves(T_{n-1}),
Assume (1): q_i^n: IrreducibleElement(A),
T_n := \mathtt{addLeave}(T_n, i, i \oplus 0) : \mathtt{Tree}(\prod n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \to \{0, 1\}),
q_{i \oplus 0}^n := q_i^{n-1} : A^{\times} \setminus A^*;
 \leadsto (1) := I(\Rightarrow) : q_i^{n-1} : \mathtt{IrreducibleElement}(A) \Rightarrow q_{i \oplus 0}^n = q_i^{n-1},
Assume (2): q_i^{n-1}! IrreducibleiElement(A),
(q^n_{i\oplus 0},q^n_{i\oplus 1},(3)):=\eth^{-1}\mathtt{IrreducibleElement}(A)(2):\sum q^n_{i\oplus 0},q^n_{i\oplus 1}\in A^\times\setminus A^*\;.\;q^n_{i\oplus 0}q^n_{i\oplus 1}=q^{n-1}_i\;\&\; q^n_{i\oplus 0},q^n_{i\oplus 1}\in A^\times\setminus A^*\;.
     \&\;(q^n_{i\oplus 0},q^{n-1}_i)\;!\;\texttt{Associates}(A)\;\&\;(q^n_{i\oplus 0},q^{n-1}_i)\;!\;\texttt{Associates}(A),
T_n := \mathtt{addLeaves}(T_n, i, (i \oplus 0, i \oplus 1)) : \mathtt{Tree}(\prod n \in \mathbb{Z} \, n \to \{0, 1\});
\rightsquigarrow (2) := I(\Rightarrow): q_i^{n-1} \Rightarrow q_i^{n-1} = q_{i \mapsto 0}^n q_{i \mapsto 1}^n;
\sim q^n := I\left(\sum\right) : \sum q^n : \mathtt{leaves}(T_n) 	o A^	imes \setminus A^* \ . \ orall i \in \mathtt{leaves}(T_{n-1}) \ . \ \ldots,
U_n := \eth q^n \eth U_{n-1} : a = \prod_{i:leaves(T_n)} q_i^n;
\leadsto (T,q,U) := I\left(\sum\right) : \sum T : \mathsf{Tree}\left(\prod n \in \mathbb{N} \mathrel{.} n \to \{0,1\}\right) \mathrel{.} q : \prod n \in \mathbb{N} \mathrel{.} \mathsf{layer}(n,T) \to A^{\times} \mathrel{\backslash} A^{*} \mathrel{.} 
     . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ a = \prod_{i \in \mathtt{layer}(n,T)} q_i^n,
(N,(1)):=\eth \mathtt{UniqueFactorizationDomain}(A)\eth(T,q,U):\sum N\in\mathbb{N}: \forall n:\mathtt{after}(N) .
```

 $||\operatorname{layer}(n,A)|| = ||\operatorname{layer}(N,A)||,$

 $(*) := \eth^{-1}$ Factorization $\eth(T, q, U)\eth(N, (1)) : [q^N : Factorization(a)];$

NoetherianContainsPrimeProduct :: $\forall A$: Noetherian . $\forall I$: Ideal(A) . . $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}: \exists P: n \rightarrow \mathtt{Prime}(A)$. $\prod_{i=1} P_i \subset I$ Proof = $\mathcal{I} := \left\{ I : \mathtt{Ideal}(A) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall P : n \to \mathtt{Prime}(A) : \prod_{i=1}^n P_i \neq \subset I \right\} : ?\mathtt{Ideal}(A),$ Assume $(1): \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$, $J := NotherianMax(A)(1) : max \mathcal{I},$ $(2) := \eth \mathcal{I}(J) : [J ! Prime(A)],$ $(a,b.3) := \eth \mathtt{Prime}(2) : \sum a,b \in J^{\complement} : ab \in J,$ $I := J + genIdeal\{a\} : Ideal(A),$ $(4) := \eth I(3) : J \subsetneq I,$ $(n,P,5):=\eth J\eth\mathcal{I}(4):\sum n\in\mathbb{N}\;.\;\sum P:n\to\operatorname{Prime}(A)\;.\;\prod_{i=1}^nP_i\subset I,$ $I' := J + genIdeal\{b\} : Ideal(A),$ $(6) := \eth I'(3) : J \subseteq I',$ $(m,P',7):=\eth J\eth\mathcal{I}(6):\sum m\in\mathbb{N}\;.\;\sum P':m\to \underline{\mathrm{Prime}}(A)\;.\;\prod_{i=1}^m P_i'\subset I',$

$$(8) := \eth I \eth I(3) \eth \mathtt{Ideal}(J) : II' = J^2 + aJ + bJ + abA = J,$$

$$(9) := (5)(7) : \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i \prod_{i=1}^{m} P'_i \subset II' = J,$$

$$() := \eth \mathcal{I}(J)(9) : \bot;$$

$$\rightsquigarrow (*) := E(\bot) : \mathcal{I} = \emptyset;$$

2.2 Unique Factorization Domains

```
EqFactorization :: \prod R : IntegralDomain . \prod a \in R . ?Factorization ^2(R,a)
\Big((n,p),(m,q)\Big) : EqFactorization \iff (n,p)\cong (m,q) \iff n=m \ \& \ \exists \sigma \in S^n: .
    \forall i \in n : (p_i, q_{\sigma(i)}) : Associates(R)
UniqueFactorizationDomain ::?IntegralDomain
R: \mathtt{UniqueFactorizationDomain} \iff \forall a \in R^{\times} \setminus R^{\times} . \exists \mathtt{Factorization}(a,n) \ \& 
    & \forall (n,p), (m,q) : \texttt{Factorization}(R,a) : (n,p) \cong (m,q)
factorization :: \prod R : UniqueFactorizationDomain . \prod a \in R^{\times} \setminus R^* . Factorization(R,a)
\texttt{factorization}\left(\right) = \Big(n(a), p(a)\Big) := \eth^{-1} \texttt{UFD}
length :: \prod R : UniqueFactorizationDomain . R \to \mathbb{Z}
length(a) = L(a) := if a == 0 then -1 else if a \in R^* then 0 else n(a)
IrreducibleIsPrimeInUFD :: \forall R : UniqueFactorizationDomain . \forall a : Irreducible(R) . a : Prime
Proof =
Assume x, y : R,
Assume (1): a|xy,
(v,2):=\eth \mathtt{Divides}(1): \sum v \in R . xy = av,
(3) := \eth^{-1} \texttt{Factorization} : \left\lceil (n(v) + 1, p(v) \oplus a) : \texttt{Factorization}(xy) \right\rceil,
(4) := \eth^{-1}Factorization : [(n(x) + n(y), p(x) \oplus p(y)) : Factorization(xy)],
(5) := \eth UniqueFactorizationDomain(R)(3)(4) : n(v) + 1 = n(x) + n(y) \& \dots,
(6) := (5)(n+1) : \exists i \in n(x) : (a,p_i(x)) : \texttt{Associates} \ \middle| \exists j \in n(y) : (a,p_j(y)) : \texttt{Associates},
():=\eth^{-1} \mathtt{Divisible} \eth \mathtt{Associates}(6):a|x|a|y;
\rightsquigarrow () := \eth^{-1}Prime : a : Prime(R);
```

```
{\tt CommonDivisor} \, :: \, \prod R : {\tt IntegralDomain} \, . \, prodn \in \mathbb{N} \, . \, \, \prod a : n \to R \, . \, ?R
x: \mathtt{CommonDivisor} \iff \forall i \in n . x | a_i
x: \mathtt{GreatestCommonDivisor} \iff x: \mathtt{GCD}(R,n,a) \iff \forall y: \mathtt{CommonDivisor}(R,n,a) \cdot y | x
{\tt greatestCommonDivisor} :: \prod R : {\tt UniqueFactorizationDomain} \; . \; \prod a,b \in R \; . \; {\tt GCD} \Big(R,2,[a,b]\Big)
greatestCommonDivisor() = gcd(a, b) := if a == 0 then b else if b == 0 then a else
   if a \in R^* then a else if b \in R^* then b else
  if I = \emptyset then 1 else p_i \gcd \left( \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{n(a)} p_k(a), \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{n(b)} k = 1, k \neq j p_k(a) \right)
  where I = \left\{ (i,j) \in n(a) \times n(b) : \left( p_i(a), p_j(b) \right) : \texttt{Associates} \right\}
  where (i, j) = \min I
greatestCommonDivisor2 ::
   :: \prod R : {\tt UniqueFactorizationDomain} \; . \; \prod n \in \mathbb{N} \; . \; \prod a : n \to R \; . \; {\tt GCD}\Big(R,n,a)\Big)
{\tt greatestCommonDivisor2}\,()=\gcd(n,a):={\tt if}\;n==1\;{\tt then}\;a\;{\tt else}\;{\tt if}\;n==2\;{\tt then}\;\gcd(a_1,a_2)
   else else \gcd(a_n,\gcd(n-1,a_{|n-1}))
CommonDenominator :: \prod R : IntegralDomain . \prod n \in \mathbb{N} . \prod a: n \to R . ?R
x: \texttt{CommonDenominator} \iff \forall i \in n . a_i | x
LeastCommonDenominator ::
   :: \prod R: {\tt Integral Domain} \; . \; \prod n \in \mathbb{N} \; . \; \prod a: n \to R \; . \; ?{\tt CommonDenominator}(R,n,a)
x: \mathtt{GreatestCommonDenominator} \iff x: \mathtt{LCD}(R,n,a) \iff \forall y: \mathtt{CommonDenominator}(R,n,a) \cdot x | y
\texttt{leastCommonDenominator} :: \prod R : \texttt{UniqueFactorizationDomain} \; . \; \prod a,b \in R \; . \; \texttt{LCD}\Big(R,2,[a,b]\Big)
leastCommonDenominator () = lcd(a,b) := if a == 0 then 0 else if b == 0 then 0 else \frac{ab}{\gcd(a,b)}
leastCommonDenominator2 ::
   ::\prod R: {	t UniqueFactorization Domain}:\prod n\in {\mathbb N}:\prod a:n	o R. {	t LCD}\Big(R,n,a)\Big)
leastCommonDivisor2() = gcd(n, a) := if n == 1 then a else if n == 2 then lcd(a_1, a_2) else
   else \operatorname{lcd}(a_n,\operatorname{lcd}\left(n-1,a_{|n-1}\right))
```

2.3 Principle Ideal Domains

```
\mathtt{principle} :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} : A \to \mathtt{Ideal}(A)
\mathtt{principle}\,(a) = \langle a \rangle := aA
\texttt{Principle} :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \:.\: ? \mathsf{Ideal}(A)
I: \mathtt{Principle} \iff \exists a \in A . I = \langle a \rangle
PrincipleIdealDomain ::?IntegralDomain
A: PrincipleIdealDomain \iff \forall I: Ideal(A) . I: Principle(A) .
PrincipalIdealsOfIrreduciblesAreMaximal :: \forall A: PrincipleIdealDomain . \forall p: Irreducible . \langle p \rangle: Maxim
Proof =
Assume a:(R^{\times}\setminus R^{*}),
Assume (-1): a \notin \langle p \rangle,
Assume (0): \langle a \rangle + \langle p \rangle \neq A,
(1) := \eth a \eth^{-1} \operatorname{genIdeal} \eth \operatorname{NotIn}(-1) : \langle p \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{genIdeal}\{a, p\},\
(b,2) := \eth \texttt{PrincipleIdealDomain}(A) \Big( \texttt{genIdeal}\{a,p\} \Big) : \sum d \in A \; . \; \langle b \rangle = \texttt{genIdeal}\{a,p\},
(3) := (2)(1) : \langle p \rangle \subsetneq \langle b \rangle,
(4) := \eth^2 \mathbf{principle}(p)(b)(3) : b|p,
(5) := \eth Irreducible Element(A)(p)(4) \eth Associates(A)(0) : p|b,
(6) := principle(5) : b \in \langle p \rangle,
(7) := (2)(6) : a \in \langle p \rangle,
() := \eth a(7) : \bot;
\rightsquigarrow (1) := I(\forall)I(\rightarrow) : \forall a \in R^{\times} \setminus R^{*} . a \notin \langle p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle + \langle p \rangle = A,
p := \eth^{-1}MaximalIdeal(A) : [p : MaximalIdeal(A)];
Proof =
Assume x, y : A,
Assume (1): a|xy,
Assume (2):a \not | y,
(3) := \delta MaximalIdealPrincipalIdealsOfIreduciblesAreMaximal(A)(a)(y)(2) : \langle a \rangle + \langle y \rangle = A,
(u,v,4):=\eth \texttt{principle}(3): \sum u,v \in A: ua+vy=1,
(5) := x(4) : uxa + vxy = x,
(6) := \eth pricnciple : uxa \in \langle a \rangle,
(7) := \eth Ideal(A)(\langle a \rangle) : uxa \in \langle a \rangle,
(9) := \eth Subgroup(A)(\langle a \rangle)(5)(6)(7) : x \in \langle a \rangle,
() := \eth^{-1} \mathsf{principle}(9) : a | x;
```

```
PIDIsUFD :: \forall A: PrincipleIdealDomain . A: UniqueFactorizationDomain
Proof =
(1) := \eth Principle Ideal Domain(A) \eth^{-1} Unique Factorization Domain(A) : [A : Noetherian],
Assume a: A^{\times} \setminus A^*,
(n,p) := FactorizationExistsInNoetherian(A,a)) : Factorization(A,a),
Assume (m, q): Factorization(A, a),
() := \eth^{-1} \text{EqFactorizations} \eth \text{PrimeIrreducibleArePrimeInUFD}(n, p) \eth^{2} \text{Factorization}(n, p)(m, q) :
       :(n,p)\cong(m,q);
 \sim () := \eth^{-1}UniqueFactorizationDomain : [A : UniqueFactorizationDomain];
DedikindHasseValuation :: \forall A : IntegralDomain . A \to \mathbb{Z}_+
v: \mathtt{DedikindHasseValuation} \iff \forall a,b \in A \;.\; a|b\Big| \exists r,u,v \in A \;.\; v(r) < v(a) \;\&\; ub = va + r
	extstyle 	ext
Proof =
Assume I: Ideal(A),
Assume (1): I \neq \{0\},\
a:=\arg\min_{a\in I\cap A^\times}v(a):I,
Assume b:I.
Assume (2):a \not b,
(r,s,3) := \eth \mathtt{DedikindHasseValuation}(b,a) : \sum r, u,v \in A \;.\; ub = va + r \;\&\; v(r) < v(a),
(4) := \eth Ideal(A)(I)(3)_1 : r \in I,
(5) := (4)(3)_2 \eth a : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow (2) := I(\forall)E(\bot): \forall b \in I . a|b,
(3) := \eth Ideal(A)\langle a \rangle(2) : I = \langle a \rangle,
() := \eth^{-1} Principle(I) : [I : Principle(A)];
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}PrincipleIdealDomain : [A : PrincipleIdealDomain];
 PIDAdmitsDHV :: \forall A: PrincipleIdealDomain . \exists v: DedikindHasseValuation(A)
Proof =
 . . .
 PrincipleProduct :: \forall A \in ANN : \forall a, b \in A : \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle = \langle ab \rangle
Proof =
 . . .
```

2.4 Euclidean Rings

```
Euclidean Valuation :: \prod A : Integral Domain . A \to \mathbb{Z}_+
v: \mathtt{EuclideanValuation} \iff \forall a \in R : \forall b \in R^{\times} : \exists s, r \in R : a = sb + r \ \& \ v(r) < v(b)
{\tt EuclideanRing} := \sum A : {\tt IntegralDomain} \;. \; {\tt EuclideanValuation} : {\tt Type};
euclideanRingAsRing :: EuclideanRing \rightarrow Ring
euclideanRingAsRing(A, v) = implicit := A
\mathtt{euclideanValuation} :: \prod (A,v) : \mathtt{EuclideanRing} . \mathtt{EuclideanValuation}(A)
\verb|euclideanValuation|(a) = |a| := v(a)
ERISPID :: \forall A: EuclideanRing . A: PrincipleIdealDomain
Proof =
Assume I: Ideal(A),
Assume (1): I \neq \{0\},\
a:=\arg\min_{a\in I\cap A^\times}|a|:I,
Assume b:I,
Assume (2): a \not b,
(r,s,3) := \eth \mathtt{EuclideanRing}(b,a) : \sum r,s \in A \;.\; b = as + r \;\&\; |r| < |a|,
(4) := \eth Ideal(A)(I)(3)_1 : r \in I,
(5) := (4)(3)_2 \eth a : \bot;
\rightsquigarrow (2) := I(\forall)E(\bot): \forall b \in I . a|b,
(3) := \eth Ideal(A)\langle a \rangle(2) : I = \langle a \rangle,
() := \eth^{-1} Principle(I) : [I : Principle(A)];
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}PrincipleIdealDomain : [A : PrincipleIdealDomain];
\Box
\mathtt{euclideanDivisionAlgorithm} :: \prod A : \mathtt{EuclideanRing} : A \times A \to \mathtt{List}(A \times A \times A)
euclideanDivisionAlgorithm(a, 0) = eda(a, 0) := []
\operatorname{euclideanDivisionAlgotithm}(a,b) = \operatorname{eda}(a,b) := \operatorname{eda}(b,r) : (b,s,r)
   where (s,r) = \eth \text{EuclideanRing}(A)(a,b)
EDATerminates :: \forall A: EuclideanRing . \forall a, b \in A. len eda(a, b) < \infty
Proof =
By definition of Euclidean Valuation and Well-orderedness of \mathbb{Z}_+.
```

```
 \mbox{DivisionWithReminderLemma} :: \prod A \in \mbox{ANN} \ . \ \forall a,b,u,r \in A \ . 
   a = ub + r \Rightarrow genIdeal\{a, b\} = genIdeal\{b, r\}
Proof =
. . .
{\tt GCDByDivisionWithReminder} :: \prod A : {\tt UniqueFactorizationDomain} \;. \; \forall a,b,u,r \in A \;.
   . a = ub + r \Rightarrow (\gcd(a, b), \gcd(r, b)) : Associates(A)
Proof =
. . .
EDADelieversGCD :: \forall A : \texttt{EuclideanRing} : \forall a, b \in R^{\times} : \gcd(a,b) = \texttt{first head eda}(a,b)
Proof =
. . .
Normlike :: \prod A: IntegralDomain . ?EucleadianValuation(A)
v: \mathtt{Normlike} \iff \forall a,b \in A^{\times} . \ v(ab) \geq v(b)
ERAdmitsNormlike :: \forall A : EuclideanRing . \existsNormlike(A)
Proof =
Set v(b) = \min \left\{ |ab| \mid a \in A^{\times} \right\}
v: \mathtt{DiscreteValuation} \iff \forall a,b \in k^* \ . \ a+b \in k^* \Rightarrow v(a+b) \geq \min \Big(v(a),v(b)\Big)
\texttt{DiscreteValuationRing}\,(v) = \mathbb{Z}_k(v) := \Big(\{a,b \in k | v(k) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}, +_k, \cdot_k\Big)
	t DVRIsER :: \forall k : {	t Field} . \forall v : {	t DiscreteValuation}(k) . \mathbb{Z}_k(v) : {	t EuclideanRing}
Proof =
. . .
```

2.5 Graded Rings

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GradedAbelean} ::? \sum G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \sum \Delta \in \mathsf{SET} . \Delta \to \mathsf{Subgroup}(G) \\ & (G, \Delta, H) : \mathsf{GradedAbelean} \iff G = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} H_{\delta} \\ & \text{Homogeneous} :: \prod (G, \Delta, H) : \mathsf{GradedAbelean} . ?G \\ & g : \mathsf{Homogeneous} \iff \exists \delta \in \Delta . g \in H_{\delta} \\ & \text{homogeneousElement} :: \prod (G, \Delta, H) : \mathsf{GradedAbelean} . G \to \Delta \to \mathsf{Homogeneous}(G, \Delta, H) \\ & \text{homogeneousElement} (g, \delta) = g_{\delta} := h_{\delta} \quad \text{where} \quad h = \partial \mathsf{DirectSum} \Big(\partial \mathsf{GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta, H) \Big) \Big(g \Big) \\ & \text{trivialGraduation} :: \prod \Delta \in \mathsf{SET} . \prod G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \Delta \to \mathsf{GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta) \\ & \text{trivialGraduation} (\delta) := \Lambda \alpha \in \delta . \text{if } \alpha == \delta \text{ then } G \text{ else } \{0\} \\ & \text{Multigraduation} :: ? \sum G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \sum \mathcal{I} \in \mathsf{SET} . \Delta : \mathcal{I} \to \mathsf{SET} . \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Delta_i \to \mathsf{Subgroup}(G) \\ & (G, \mathcal{I}, \Delta, H) : \mathsf{Multigrading} \iff \Big(G, \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \Big) : \mathsf{GradedAbelean} \\ & \text{partialGraduation} :: \prod (G, \mathcal{I}, \Delta, H) : \mathsf{Multigrading} . \prod \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I} . \mathsf{Multigrading} \\ & \text{partialGraduation} :: \prod (G, \mathcal{I}, \Delta, H, \mathcal{J}) := \left(G, \mathcal{J}, \lambda \delta' \in \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Delta_j . \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Pi_{i \in \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}} H_{\delta \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{J}} \right) \\ & \text{derivedGraduation} :: \prod G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \prod \Delta, \Delta' \in \mathsf{SET} . \mathsf{GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta) \to (\Delta \to \Delta') \to \mathsf{GradedAbelean} \\ & \text{derivedGraduation} :: \prod G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \prod \mathcal{I} \in \mathsf{Set} . \prod \Delta : \mathsf{CommutativeMonoid} . \\ & \text{. GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta^{\oplus I}) \to \mathsf{GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta) \\ & \text{totalGraduation} :: \prod G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \prod \mathcal{I} \in \mathsf{Set} . \prod \Delta : \mathsf{CommutativeMonoid} . \\ & \text{. GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta^{\oplus I}) \to \mathsf{GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta) \\ & \text{totalGraduation} :: \mathcal{I} G \in \mathsf{ABEL} . \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \in \mathsf{Set} . \mathcal{I} \Delta : \mathsf{CommutativeMonoid} . \\ & \text{GradedRing} :: ? \sum R : \mathsf{Ring} . \sum \Delta : \mathsf{CommutativeMonoid} . \Delta \to \mathsf{Subgroup}(R) \\ & \text{GradedRing} \iff \mathcal{I} R : \mathsf{Ring} . \mathcal{I} : \mathsf{GradedAbelean}(G, \Delta, H) \in \mathsf{M} . H_{\delta} H_{\delta} \in \mathsf{M} . H_{\delta} \in \mathsf{M} . H_{\delta} H_{\delta} \in \mathsf{M} . H_{\delta} \in \mathsf$$

```
The Zeroth Homogeneous Part :: \forall (R, \Delta, H) : \text{GraderRing} : \forall [0] : (\Delta : \text{Cancelable}) : H_0 \subset_{\text{RING}} R
Proof =
Assume a, b: H_0,
[(a,b).*] := \eth GradedRing(a,b) : ab \in H_0;
\sim [1] := I(\forall) : \forall a, b \in H_0 . ab \in H_0,
\left(n,\delta,h,[2]\right):=\eth \texttt{GradedAbelean}(e):\sum n\in \mathbb{N}\;.\;\sum \delta:n\hookrightarrow \Delta\;.\;h:\prod i\in n\;.\;H_{\delta_i}\;.\;e=\sum^n h_i,
Assume \alpha : \Delta,
Assume x: H_{\delta},
[3] := \eth e[2] : x = xe = xh_i,
(i,[4]) := \eth \mathtt{GradedRing}[3] : \sum i \in n \;.\; xh_i = x : \forall j \in n \setminus i \;.\; xh_j = 0,
[\delta.*] := [3] \eth Cancelable[0] : \delta^{-1}(0) = i = 0;
\rightsquigarrow [3] := I(\forall) : \forall \delta \in \Delta . \forall x \in H_{\delta} . xh_{\delta^{-1}}(1) = x,
[4] := \eth \mathsf{GradedRing}(R, \Delta, H)[1] : \forall x \in A . xh_{\delta^{-1}(1)} = x,
[5] := \eth^{-1} \mathbf{Identity}[4] : e = h_{\delta^{-1}(1)} \in H_{\delta^{-1}(q)},
[6] := [0][5] : e \in H_0,
[*] := \eth^{-1} \text{Ring}[6][1] : H_0 \subset_{RING} R;
CategoryOfGradedRings :: CommutativeRing → CAT
{\tt CategoryOfGradedRings}\left(\Delta\right) = {\sf GRING}(\Delta) := \Big(\{(R,\Delta,H): {\tt GradedRings}\},
   ,(R,\Delta,H),(S,\Delta,G)\mapsto\{f:R\xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}}S:\forall\delta\in\Delta\;.\;f(H_{\delta})\subset G_{\delta}\},\circ,\mathrm{id}\;\Big)
GradedSubring :: GRING(\Delta) \rightarrow ?GRING(\Delta)
(R',\Delta,H'): \texttt{GradedSubring} \iff (R',H') \subset_{\mathsf{RING}} (R,H) \iff \forall \delta \in \Delta \;.\; H'_{\delta} \subset H_{\delta}
{\tt HomogeneousCentralizersAreGradedSubring} \, :: \, \forall (R,H) : \mathsf{GRING}(\Delta) \; . \; \forall \delta \in \Delta \; .
    . \ \forall [0]: (\Delta: \texttt{Cancelable}) \ . \ \forall x \in H_{\delta} \ . \ \exists V: \delta \to \texttt{Subgroup}\Big(Z(x)\Big) \ . \ \Big(Z(x), V\Big) : \subset_{\texttt{GRING}} (R, H)
Proof =
V := \Lambda \delta \in \Delta : H_{\delta} \cap Z(x) : \operatorname{Subgroup}(R),
Assume y: Z(x),
[1] := \eth Z(x) : 0 = yx - xy = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} (y_{\delta}x - xy_{\delta}),
[2] := \eth GradedRing[0][1] : \forall \delta \in \Delta : y_{\delta}x - xy_{\delta} = 0,
[y.*] := \eth^{-1}Z(x)[3] : \forall \delta \in \Delta . V_{\delta};
\sim [1] := \eth^{-1}InnerDirectSum : Z(x) = \bigoplus V_{\delta},
[*] := \eth Z(x) \eth^{-1}GradedSubring : (Z(x), V) :\subset_{\mathsf{GRING}} (R, H);
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{GradedCentralizersAreGradedSubring} \ :: \ \forall (R,H) : \operatorname{GRING}(\Delta) \ . \ \forall [0] : (\Delta : \operatorname{Cancelable}) \ . \\ & . \ \forall (R',H') \subset_{\operatorname{GRING}(\Delta)} (R,H) \ . \ \left( Z(R'), Z(R') \cap H \right) \subset_{\operatorname{GRING}(\Delta)} (R,H) \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Proof} \ = \\ & \dots \\ & \square \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{GradedLeftIdeal} \ :: \ \prod (R,H) \in \operatorname{GRING}(\Delta) \ . \ ?\operatorname{LeftIdeal}(R) \\ & I : \operatorname{GradedLeftIdeal} \ \Longleftrightarrow \ \forall x \in I \ . \ \forall \delta \in \Delta \ . \ x_\delta \in I \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{GradedRightIdeal} \ :: \ \prod (R,H) \in \operatorname{GRING}(\Delta) \ . \ ?\operatorname{RightIdeal}(R) \\ & I : \operatorname{GradedLeftIdeal} \ \Longleftrightarrow \ \forall x \in I \ . \ \forall \delta \in \Delta \ . \ x_\delta \in I \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{GradedTwoSidedIdeal} \ :: \ \prod (R,H) \in \operatorname{GRING}(\Delta) \ . \ ?\operatorname{RightIdeal}(R) \\ & I : \operatorname{GradedTwoSidedIdeal} \ \Longleftrightarrow \ \forall x \in I \ . \ \forall \delta \in \Delta \ . \ x_\delta \in I \end{aligned}
```

3 Polynomials Over a Ring

3.1 Algebra of Formal Polinomials

 $\mathbf{eval}\left(f,x\right) = f(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i}x^{i}$

```
monoidRing :: RING × Monoid → RING
Assume f, g, h : R[M],
Assume m:M,
()_1 := \eth \mathtt{Monoid}(M) \eth \mathsf{RING}(R) : \Big( (fg)h \Big)(m) = \sum_{c,b} \sum_{c,d} f(c)g(d)h(b) = \sum_{c,b,m} f(c)g(d)h(b) = \sum_{c,d} f(c)g(d)h(b) = \sum_{c,d} f(c)g(d)h(c) = \sum
         = \sum \sum f(a)g(c)h(d) = \big((fg)h\big)(m);
()_2:=\eth \mathtt{Ring}(R): f(g+h)(m)= \ \sum \ f(a)\Big(g(b)+h(b)\Big)= \ \sum \ f(a)g(b)+ \ \sum \ f(a)h(b)=\Big(fg+fh\Big)(m);
()_3:=\eth \mathtt{Ring}(R):(g+h)f(m)=\\ \sum_{i=1}^n \Big(g(b)+h(b)\Big)f(a)=\\ \sum_{i=1}^n g(b)f(a)+\\ \sum_{i=1}^n h(b)f(a)=\Big(g+h\Big)f(m);
  \sim (1) := I(=, \to) \eth^{-1} \texttt{Associative} \eth^{-1} \texttt{Distributive} : \left| (\cdot_{R[M]}) : \texttt{Associative} \ \& \ \texttt{Distributive} \left( R[M] \right) \right|, 
u := \Lambda m \in M . if m == e then 1 else 0 : R[M],
Assume f:R[M],
{\tt Assume}\ m:M.
()_1 := \eth u : uf(m) = \sum u(a)f(b) = f(m);
()_2 := \eth u : fu(m) = \sum f(a)u(b) = f(m);
 \rightsquigarrow (2) := I(=, \rightarrow) \eth^{-1} \mathtt{Unity} : \Big[ u : \mathtt{Unity} \Big( R[M] \Big) \Big],
(3) := \eth^{-1}\mathsf{RING}\ R[M] : R[M] \in \mathsf{RING};
  \Box
 CommutativeMonoidRing :: \forall A \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall M : \mathsf{CommutativeMonoid} \ . \ A[M] \in \mathsf{ANN}
Proof =
Assume f, g: A[M],
Assume m:M,
() := \eth \texttt{CommutativeMonoid}(M) \eth \mathsf{ANN}(A) : fg(m) = \sum_{ab=m} f(a)g(b) = \sum_{ba=m} f(a)g(b) = \sum_{ba=m} g(b)f(a) = gf(m);
 \rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth \eth^{-1} \mathsf{ANN} : A[M] \in \mathsf{ANN};
polinomial :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \left(\prod n \in \mathbb{Z}_0 \ . \ n \to R\right) \to R[\mathbb{Z}_+]
polinomial (a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i := \Lambda i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . if i \in n then a_i else 0
eval :: R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \to R \to R
```

```
degree :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \cap \{-\infty\}
degree(0) = deg 0 := -\infty
\operatorname{degree}(f) = \operatorname{deg} f := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : f_i \neq 0\}
DegreeHomo :: \forall R : \text{IntegralDomain} . \forall f, g \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] . \deg fg = (\deg f) + (\deg g)
Proof =
Assume (0): f \neq 0 \neq g,
n := \deg f : \mathbb{Z}_+,
m := \deg g : \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume k, l : \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (1): k + l = m + n,
Assume (2): k < m,
(3) := (1)(2) : m + n = k + l < m + l,
() := (3) - m : n < l;
Assume (2): l < n,
(3) := (1)(2) : m + n = k + l < k + n,
() := (3) - m : m < k;
(1) := I(\forall) : \forall k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . k + l = m + n \Rightarrow (k < m \Rightarrow l > n) \& (l < n \Rightarrow k > m),
(2) := \eth R[\mathbb{Z}_+](1) \eth n \eth m \eth \deg \eth \mathbf{IntegralDomain}(R) : (fg)_n = f_n g_m \neq 0,
Assume N: \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (3): N > n + m,
Assume k, l : \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (4): N = k + l,
Assume (5): k \leq n,
(6) := (4)(5) : k + l > n + m > k + m,
(7) := (6) - K : l > m,
(8) := \eth \deg(7) : f_k q_l = 0;
Assume (6): k > n,
(9) := \eth \deg(6) : f_k g_l = 0;
\rightsquigarrow (4) := I(\forall) I(\Rightarrow) E(|) \texttt{Trichtomy} : \forall k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \; . \; k+l = N \Rightarrow f_k g_l = 0,
() := (4) \eth R[\mathbb{Z}_{=}] : (fg)_N = \sum_{k+l=N} f_k g_l = 0;
\rightsquigarrow (3) := I(\forall) : \forall N : after(n+m) . (fg)_N = 0,
(*) := \eth \deg fg(3)(2) : \deg fg = \deg g + \deg f;
IntegralPolinomials :: \forall R: IntegralDomain . R[\mathbb{Z}_+]: IntegralDomain
Proof =
Assume f, g : R[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (1): f \neq 0 \& q \neq 0,
(2) := \eth^2 \deg(f)(g)(1) : \deg f \neq -\infty \& \deg g \neq -\infty,
(3) := \mathsf{DegreeHomo}(f, g)(2) : \deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g) \neq -\infty,
() := \eth \deg(fq)(3) : fq \neq 0;
\sim (*) := \eth^{-1}IntegralDomain : R[\mathbb{Z}_+] : IntegralDomain;
```

```
MultivariatePolinomials :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : R[\mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}] \cong_{\mathsf{RING}} R[\mathbb{Z}_+^n][\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
. . .
MulivariatePolinomialsAreID :: \forall R : IntegralDomain . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . R[\mathbb{Z}^n_+] : IntegralDomain
Proof =
. . .
leadingCoefficient :: R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \to R
leadingCoefficient(0) = lc 0 := 0
leadingCoefficient (f) = \operatorname{lc} f := f_{\operatorname{deg} f}
Monic :: \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ ?R[\mathbb{Z}_+]
f: \mathtt{Monic} \iff f \neq 0 \& f_{\deg f} = 1
MonicMult :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} \cdot \forall f : \mathsf{Monic}(R) \cdot \forall g \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \cdot \deg fg = \deg f + \deg g
Proof =
MonicRegular :: \forall R \in \mathsf{RING} . \forall f : \mathsf{Monic}(R) . f : \mathsf{Regular} R[\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
. . .
DivisionWithReminder :: \forall R \in \text{Ring} . \forall f : \text{Monic}(R) . \forall g \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+].
     \exists s, r \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] : g = fs + r \& \deg r < \deg f
Proof =
\sigma := \Lambda N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \forall f : \mathtt{Monic}(R) : \forall g \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] : (0 \leq \deg f - \deg g \leq N) \Rightarrow 0
     \Rightarrow \exists s, r \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \ . \ g = fs + r \ \& \ \deg r < \deg f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type},
Assume f: Monic(R),
Assume g:R[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (1): \deg f - \deg g = 0,
s := \operatorname{lc} g : R,
r := g - sf : R[\mathbb{Z}_+],
(2) := \eth \operatorname{deg} \eth r(1) : \operatorname{deg} r < \operatorname{deg} f;
\rightsquigarrow (1) := \eth^{-1} \sigma : \sigma(0),
Assume N: \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (2): \mathcal{O}(N),
Assume f: Monic(R),
Assume g: R[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (3): \deg f - \deg g = N + 1,
```

```
a := \operatorname{lc} g : R,
g' := g - af : R,
(4) := \eth \operatorname{deg} \eth g'(2) : \operatorname{deg} g' - \operatorname{deg} f \le N,
(s,r,5) := (2)(4)(f,g') : \sum r, s \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \cdot g' = sf + r \& \deg r < \deg f,
() := \eth q(5) : q = (ax^{N+1} + s)f + r;
 \rightsquigarrow (2) := I(\forall)I(\Rightarrow) : \forall N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \sigma(N) \Rightarrow \sigma(N+1),
() := \eth InductiveSet(\mathbb{Z}_+)(\sigma) : This;
 MonicQuotientStructure :: \forall R \in \mathsf{ANN} : \forall f : \mathsf{Monic}(R) : \frac{R[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{f!} \cong_{\mathsf{GRP}} R^n
    where n = \deg f
Proof =
\varphi := \Lambda a \in \mathbb{R}^n \ . \ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{i-1} \right| : \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\mathsf{ABEL}} \frac{\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{\langle f \rangle},
Assume [g]: \frac{R[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{\langle g \rangle},
(r,s,1) := {\tt DivisionWithReminder}(g,f) : \sum r, s \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+] \; . \; g = fs + r \; \& \; \deg r < \deg f,
() := (2) : \varphi(r) = [g];
\rightsquigarrow (1) := \eth^{-1} \text{Surjective} : \left| \varphi : R^n \to \frac{R[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{\langle f \rangle} \right|,
Assume a: \mathbb{R}^n,
Assume (2): \varphi(a)=0,
(3) := \eth \varphi(2) : \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{i} x^{i-1} | f,
() := DegreeHomo(f) \eth Divides(3) : a = 0;
\sim (2) := \eth^{-1} {\tt IsoInjHomoByKer} : \left| f : R^n \overset{\sf GRP}{\longleftrightarrow} \frac{R[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{\langle f \rangle} \right|,
(*) := \eth Isomotphic(2) : R^n \cong_{\mathsf{GRP}} \frac{R[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{\langle f \rangle};
 eval2 :: \prod n \in \mathbb{N} . \prod R \in ANN . R^n \to R[\mathbb{Z}^n_+] \xrightarrow{RING} R
eval2(a, f) = f(a) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_m \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{m_i}
Polynomial :: \prod R \in ANN . \prod n \in \mathbb{N} . ?(R^n \to R)
F: \texttt{Polynomial} \iff \exists f \in R[\mathbb{Z}_+^n] . F = \Lambda a \in R^n . f(a)
EuclideanPolynomials :: \forall k: Field . k[\mathbb{Z}_+]: EuclideanRing
Proof =
 . . .
```

3.2 Hilbert Basis Theorem

```
HilbertBasisTheorem :: \forall A : Noetherian . A[\mathbb{Z}_+] : Northerian
Proof =
Assume I: Ideal A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
J := \{ \text{lc } f | f \in I \} : ?A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume a, b: J,
(f,1) := \eth J(a) : \sum f \in I \cdot \operatorname{lc} f = a,
(g,2):=\eth J(b):\sum g\in I\ .\ \operatorname{lc} g=b,
l := \text{if } \deg f > \deg g \text{ then } \deg f - \deg g \text{ else } 0 : \mathbb{Z}_+,
k:= if \deg g>\deg f then \deg f-\deg g else 0:\mathbb{Z}_+,
(3) := \eth Subgroup(I) : x^k f + x^l g \in I,
(4) := \eth k \eth l : \deg x^k f = \deg x^l g,
() := \eth^{-1}(J)(3)(4) : \operatorname{lc}\left(x^{k} f + x^{l} g\right) = a + b \in J;
\rightsquigarrow (1) := \eth^{-1}Subgroup(A) : [J : Subgroup(A)],
Assume a:J,
Assume b:A.
(f,2) := \eth J(a) : \sum f \in I. le f = a,
(3) := \eth Ideal(I) : bf \in I,
() := \eth J(2)(3) \eth \operatorname{lc} bf : \operatorname{lc} bf = ba \in J;
\rightsquigarrow (1) := \eth^{-1} \operatorname{Ideal}(A)(1) : [J : \operatorname{Ideal}(A)],
(F,2):=\eth {\tt Noetherian}(J):\sum F:{\tt Finite}:J={\tt genIdeal}(F),
(n,j) := \operatorname{enum}(F) : \sum n \in \mathbb{N} . \sum j : n \stackrel{\mathsf{SET}}{\longleftrightarrow} F,
f := \Lambda k \in n arg min deg\{f \in I : lc f = j_k\} : n \to I,
d := \max_{k \in n} \deg f_k : \mathbb{Z}_+,
M := \{ f \in I : \deg f < d \} : \mathtt{Module}(A),
(m,g,3) := \texttt{NotherianModuleTHM}(M) : \sum m \in \mathbb{N} \; . \; g : m \to M \; . \; M = \mathrm{span}(g_i)_{i=1}^m,
\mathbb{Q} := \Lambda k \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ \forall h \in I \ . \ \deg h < d + k \Rightarrow
    \Rightarrow \exists \alpha: n \to A[\mathbb{Z}_+]: \exists \beta: m \to A\mathbb{Z}_+: h = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i g_i: \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Type},
Assume h:I,
Assume (4): \deg h < d,
(5) := \eth M(4) : h \in M,
(a,6) := (3) \eth \text{ span} : \sum a : m \to A . h = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i g_i;
\rightsquigarrow (4) := I(\forall)I(\Rightarrow)I(\exists) : \Diamond(0),
```

```
Assume h:I,
Assume k: \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (4): Q(k),
Assume (5): \deg h = d + k,
k := \Lambda i \in n \cdot d - \deg f_i : n \to \mathbb{Z}_+,
(a,5) := (2)(\operatorname{lc} h) : \sum a : n \to A : \operatorname{lc} h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \operatorname{lc} f_i,
h' := h - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x^{k_i} f_i : I,
(6) := (5)\eth h' : \deg h' < d + K,
(\alpha, \beta, 7) := (4)(h') : \sum \alpha : n \to A[\mathbb{Z}_+] . \sum \beta : m \to A[\mathbb{Z}_+] . h' = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i h_i,
() := (7)\eth h' : h = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i + a_i x^{k_i}) f_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i g_i;
\rightsquigarrow (6) := I(\forall)I(\Rightarrow)\eth^{-1}: \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \ Q(k) \Rightarrow Q(k+1),
():=\eth^{-1} \texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal} \eth \texttt{InductiveSet}(\mathbb{Z}_+)(\lozenge)(6):I:\texttt{FinitelyGeneratedIdeal} \ \Big(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big);
 \leadsto (*) := \eth^{-1} \mathtt{Noetherian} : [R[\mathbb{Z}_+] : \mathtt{Noetherian}];
 MultivariatePolynomialsNoetherian :: \forall A : Noetherian . A[\mathbb{Z}^n_+] : Noetherian
Proof =
 . . .
```

3.3 Primitivity, Content and Gauss Lemma

```
PolynomialIdeal :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} . \mathsf{Ideal}(A) \to \mathsf{Ideal}\left(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\right)
PolynomialIdealQuotient :: \forall A \in \mathsf{ANN} : \forall I : \mathsf{Ideal}(A) : \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{IA[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \cong_{\mathsf{RING}} \frac{A}{I}[\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
\varphi := \Lambda[f] \in \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{IA[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n [f_i] x^i : \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{IA[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \to \frac{A}{I}[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume f: \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{IA[\mathbb{Z}_+]},
Assume g: IA[\mathbb{Z}_+],
() := \eth \texttt{quotientRing}(A, I) : \varphi[f + g] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} [f_i + g_i] x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} [f_i] x^i;
\sim (2) := WellDefined : \left[\varphi: \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{IA[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RING}} \frac{A}{I}[\mathbb{Z}_+]\right],
(*) := \eth \texttt{PolinomialIdeal} \eth \varphi : \left[ \varphi : \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{IA[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \overset{\mathsf{RING}}{\longleftrightarrow} \frac{A}{I}[\mathbb{Z}_+] \right];
 {\tt PrimePolynomialIdeal} :: \forall A \in {\tt ANN} \ . \ \forall P : {\tt Prime}(A) \ . \ PA[\mathbb{Z}_+] : {\tt Prime}\Big(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big)
Proof =
(1) := \operatorname{{\tt PolynomialIdealQuotient}}(A,P) : \frac{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}{PA[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \cong_{\operatorname{{\tt RING}}} \frac{A}{P}[\mathbb{Z}_+],
(2) := \underline{\mathsf{PrimeQuotientIsID}}(A, P) : \left[\frac{A}{P} : \underline{\mathsf{IntegralDomain}}\right],
(*) := {\tt IntegralPolinomials}(2)(1) {\tt PrimeQuotientIsID}(A[\mathbb{Z}_+], PA[\mathbb{Z}_+]) : \left\lceil PA[\mathbb{Z}_+] : {\tt Prime}\Big(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big) \right\rceil;
 VeryPrimitive :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ ?A[\mathbb{Z}_+]
f: VeryPrimitive \iff \forall P: Prime . f \notin PA[\mathbb{Z}_+]
Primitive :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \cdot ?A[\mathbb{Z}_+]
f: \mathtt{Primitive} \iff \forall P: \mathtt{Prime} \ \& \ \mathtt{Principle} \ . \ f \not\in PA[\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
From properties of prime ideals
```

```
PrimitivePolinimialsLemma :: \forall A \in ANN : \forall f, g \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+].
    fg: VeryPrimitive(A) \iff f,g: VeryPrimitive(A)
Proof =
From properties of prime ideals
PropertyOfVeryPrimitive :: \forall A \in \mathsf{ANN} : \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] : f : \mathsf{VeryPrimitive}(A) \iff \mathsf{genIdeal}(\mathsf{Im}\,f) = A
Proof =
Assume (1):[f:VeryPrimitive(A)],
Assume (2): genIdeal(Im f) \neq A,
(M,1) := {\tt MaximalIdealExists}({\tt genIdeal}({\tt Im}\, f)) : \sum M : {\tt MaximalIdeal}(A) \ . \ {\tt genIdeal}({\tt Im}\, f) \subset M,
(2) := \mathtt{MaximalPrime}(M) : [M : \mathtt{Prime}(A)],
(3) := (1) \eth VeryPrimitive(f)(2)(3) : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow (4) := E(\perp) : genIdeal(Im, f) = A;
 . \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i : \text{Primitive}(A) \iff \gcd(a) = 1
Proof =
. . .
 content :: \prod A : UniqueFactorizationDomain . A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \to A
content \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x^i\right) = \operatorname{cont}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x^i\right) := \gcd(a)
ContentDecomposition :: \forall A : UniqueFactorizationDomain . \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] .
    \exists \bar{f} : \mathtt{Primitive}(A) : \langle f \rangle = \langle \mathtt{cont}(f) \rangle \langle \bar{f} \rangle
Proof =
\bar{f} := \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f_i}{\operatorname{cont}(f)} x^i : A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
(1) := \eth^{-1} \operatorname{Primitive} \eth \bar{f} \eth \operatorname{cont}(f) : [\bar{f} : \operatorname{Primitive}(A)],
(2) := \eth \bar{f} : f = \operatorname{cont}(f)\bar{f},
(*) := PrincipleProduct(2) : \langle f \rangle = \langle cont(f) \rangle \langle \bar{f} \rangle;
 \textbf{ContentRecomposition} :: \forall A : \texttt{UniqueFactorizationDomain} . \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] . \forall c \in A . \forall g : \texttt{Primitive}(A) . 
    \langle c \rangle \langle g \rangle = \langle f \rangle \Rightarrow \langle c \rangle = \langle \text{cont}(f) \rangle
Proof =
. . .
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} {\tt GaussLemma} :: \forall A : {\tt UniqueFactorizationDomain} \ . \ \forall f,g \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \ . \ \langle {\tt cont}(f,g) \rangle = \langle {\tt cont}(f) \, {\tt cont}(g) \rangle \\ {\tt Proof} = \\ (\bar{f},1) := {\tt ContentDecomposition}(f) : \sum \bar{f} : {\tt Primitive}(A) \ . \ \langle {\tt cont}(f) \rangle \langle \bar{f} \rangle, \\ (\bar{g},1) := {\tt ContentDecomposition}(f) : \sum \bar{g} : {\tt Primitive}(A) \ . \ \langle {\tt cont}(g) \rangle \langle \bar{g} \rangle, \\ (2) := {\tt principleProduct}(1)(2) {\tt principleProduct} : \\ : \langle fg \rangle = \langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle = \langle {\tt cont}(f) \rangle \langle \bar{f} \rangle \langle {\tt cont}(g) \rangle \langle \bar{g} \rangle = \langle {\tt cont}(f) \, {\tt cont}(g) \rangle \langle \bar{f} \bar{g} \rangle, \\ (*) := \eth^{-1} {\tt ContentRecomposition}(2) : \langle {\tt cont}(fg) \rangle = \langle {\tt cont}(f) \rangle \langle {\tt cont}(g) \rangle, \\ \square \\ \\ {\tt GaussLemmaCorollarly} :: \forall A : {\tt UniqueFactorizationDomain} \ . \ \forall f,g \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \ . \ \forall (0) : f|g \ . \ {\tt cont}(f)| \, {\tt cont}(g) \\ {\tt Proof} = \\ \Big(h,(1)\Big) := \eth {\tt Divides}(f,g) : \sum h \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \ . \ g = fh, \\ (2) := {\tt GaussLemma}(f,h)(1) : {\tt cont}(g) = {\tt cont}(f) \, {\tt cont}(h), \\ (*) := \eth^{-1} {\tt Divides}(2) : {\tt cont}(f)| \, {\tt cont}(g); \\ \square \\ \end{array}
```

3.4 Factorization Of Polynomials

```
DivisibilityInFieldsOfFractions :: \forall A: UniqueFactorizationDomain . \forall f, g \in A[\mathbb{Z}].
     . \ \forall (0) : \langle \operatorname{cont}(f) \rangle_A \subset \langle \operatorname{cont}(g) \rangle \ . \ \forall (00) : \langle f \rangle_{\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \subset \langle g \rangle_{\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \ . \ \langle f \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \subset \langle g \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}
Proof =
(h,(1)) := \eth Divides(00) : \sum h : Frac(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+] . g = hf,
 \left(n, \frac{a}{b}, (2)\right) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+](h) : \sum n \in \mathbb{N} . \sum (n+1) \to \frac{a}{b} . \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i+1}}{b_{i+1}} x^i = h(x),
(\tilde{h},(3)) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)(2) : \sum \tilde{h} \in A[\mathbb{Z}_n] . h = \frac{h}{\operatorname{lcd}(h)},
(\bar{h}, (4)) := \mathtt{ContentDecomposition}(\bar{h})(3) : \sum \bar{h} : \mathtt{Primitive}(A) : h = \frac{\mathrm{cont}(h)h}{\mathrm{lcd}(h)},
(5) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+](4)(1) : \operatorname{lcd}(b)g = \operatorname{cont}(h)\bar{h}f,
(6) := \operatorname{GaussLemma}(\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+])(5) : \operatorname{cont}(\operatorname{lcd}(b)g) = \operatorname{cont}(h)\operatorname{cont}(f),
(7) := \eth Divides(00)(6) : cont(\tilde{h}) cont(f) | lcd(b) cont(f),
(8) := DivisibleProduct(7) : cont(\tilde{h}) | lcd(b),
(9) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)(3)(2)(8) : h \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
(*) := (1)(9) : \langle f \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \subset \langle g \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]};
IrreducibilityInTheFieldOfFractions :: \forall A: UniqueFactorizationDomain.
      \forall f: \texttt{IrreducibleElement}\ A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \ .\ \forall (0): \deg f>0\ .\ f: \texttt{IrreducibleElement}\ \operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
Assume (1): [f ! IrreducibleElement Frac(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]],
\Big(g,h,(2)\Big):= \eth \mathtt{Irreducible Element}(f): \sum g,h: \mathrm{Frac}(A)^{	imes}[\mathbb{Z}_+] \setminus \mathrm{Frac}(A)^*[\mathbb{Z}_+] \ . \ f=gh,
 \left(n, \frac{a}{b}, (3)\right) := \Im \operatorname{Frac}(A)\mathbb{Z}_{+} : \sum n \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \sum \frac{a}{b} : (n+1) \to \operatorname{Frac}(A) \cdot g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i+1}}{b_{i+1}} x^{i},
 \left(m, \frac{c}{d}, (4)\right) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)\mathbb{Z}_{+} : \sum m \in \mathbb{N} . \sum \frac{c}{d} : (m+1) \to \operatorname{Frac}(A) . h = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{c_{i+1}}{d_{i+1}} x^{i},
(\tilde{g}, (4)) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+](3) : \sum \tilde{g} : A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \cdot g = \frac{\tilde{g}}{\operatorname{lcd}(b)},
(\tilde{h}, (5)) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+](4) : \sum \tilde{h} : A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \cdot h = \frac{h}{\operatorname{lcd}(d)},
\left(\bar{g},(6)\right) := \texttt{ContDecomposition}(\tilde{g}) : \sum \bar{g} : \texttt{Primitive}(A) \; . \; \operatorname{cont}(\tilde{g})\bar{g},
(7) := \eth Irreducible Element(A)(f) \eth^{-1} cont \eth^{-1} Primitive : cont(f) = 1 = cont(\bar{g}),
(8) := \eth A[\mathbb{Z}_+](6)(5) : f = \bar{g}\left(\frac{\operatorname{cont}(\tilde{g})(h)}{\operatorname{lcd}(b)\operatorname{lcd}(d)}\right),
(9) := \text{DivisibilityInFieldsOfFractions}(8) : (f)_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]} \subset (\bar{g})_{A\mathbb{Z}_+},
() := (9)(2) \eth Irreducible Element(A)(f) : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow (*) := E(\bot) : [f : IrreducibleElement Frac(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]],
```

```
IrreducibilityInTheFieldOfFractions2 :: \forall A: UniqueFactorizationDomain . \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+].
   \forall (0) : \deg f > 0 \cdot f : Irreducible Element Frac(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+] \iff f : Irreducible Element A[\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
. . .
 IrreduciblePolynomialsArePrime :: \forall A: UniqueFactorizationDomain.
    . orall f: IrreducibleElement\Big(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big) . f: PrimeElement\Big(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big)
Proof =
(1) := \texttt{EuclideanPolynomials}(\texttt{Frac}(A)) \\ \texttt{ERIdPID PIDIsUFD} : \Big[ \\ \texttt{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+] : \\ \texttt{UniqueFactorizationDomain} \Big],
Assume (2): \deg f > 0,
(3) := \texttt{IrreducibilityInTheFieldOfFractions}((0), f) : [f : \texttt{IrreducibileElement} \Big( \, \texttt{Frac}(A) \Big)],
(4) := \texttt{IrreducibleIsPrimeInUFD}((3), f) : [f : \texttt{PrimeElement}\Big(\operatorname{Frac}(A)\Big)],
(5) := \eth^{-1}\operatorname{cont}(f)\eth\operatorname{IrreducibleElement}\Big(A[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big)(f) : \operatorname{cont}(f) = 1,
Assume x, y : A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (6):(f|xy)_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]},
(7) := \eth \operatorname{Frac}(A)(6) : (f|xy)_{\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]},
(8) := \eth PrimeElement(7) : (f|x)_{Frac(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]} | (f|y)_{Frac(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]},
() := DivisibilityInFieldsOfFractions(5)(8): (f|x)_{A[\mathbb{Z}_{+}]}|(f|y)_{A[\mathbb{Z}_{+}]};
 \sim (6) := \eth^{-1}PrimeElement : f : PrimeElement A[\mathbb{Z}_+];
 \rightsquigarrow (2) := I(\Rightarrow) : deg f > 0 \Rightarrow f : PrimeElement A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (3): \deg f = 0,
(4) := \eth \operatorname{cont}(f)(3) : f = \operatorname{cont}(f),
Assume x, y : A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (5):(f|xy),
(6) := GaussLemmaCorollarly(5)(4) : (f | cont(xy))_A,
(7) := \mathbf{GaussLemma} : (f | \operatorname{cont}(x) \operatorname{cont}(y)),
(8) := IrreducibleIsPrimeInUFD(7) : f | \cot(x) | f | \cot(y),
() := \eth \cot(8)(3) : f|x|f|y;
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth \deg fE(|)I(\Rightarrow)\eth^{-1}PrimeElement A[\mathbb{Z}_+](2):[f: PrimeElement(A)];
```

```
PolynomialsUFD :: \forall A : UniqueFactorizationDomain . A[\mathbb{Z}_+] : UniqueFactorizationDomain
Proof =
Assume f: \mathbb{N} \to A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (1): \langle f \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]}: Nondescending(A),
(2) := GaussLemmaCorollarly(1) : [\langle cont f \rangle_A : Nondescending(A)],
(N,3) := \texttt{ACCByFactorization}(A)(2) : \sum N \in \mathbb{N} \; . \; \forall n \in \texttt{after}(N) \; .
    \langle \operatorname{cont}(f_N) \rangle_A = \langle \operatorname{cont}(f_n) \rangle_A,
(M,4) := \texttt{ACCByFactorization}\Big(\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big)(2) : \sum M \in \mathbb{N} \; . \; \forall n \in \texttt{after}(M) \; .
    \cdot \langle f_n \rangle_{\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]} = \langle f_M \rangle_{\operatorname{Frac}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]},
() := \eth \texttt{DivisibilityInFieldsOfFractions}(4.3) : \forall n \in \texttt{after}\Big(\max(M,N)\Big) \ . \ \langle f_n \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]} = \langle f_N \rangle_{A[\mathbb{Z}_+]};
\sim (*) := \eth^{-1}UniqueFactorizationDomain (IrreduciblePolynomialsArePrime):
   : [A[\mathbb{Z}_+] : UniqueFactorizationDomain];
 MultivariatePolynomialsUFD :: \forall A : UniqueFactorizationDomain . \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
    A[\mathbb{Z}^n_+]: UniqueFactorizationDomain
Proof =
. . .
```

3.5 Roots And Irreducibility Criterions

```
\textbf{RootDivides} \, :: \, \forall A : \texttt{IntegralDomain} \, . \, \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \, . \, \forall a \in A \, . \, f(a) = 0 \Rightarrow (x-a)|f(a)| = 0 \Rightarrow (
 Proof =
 Assume (0): f(a) = 0,
(1) := \eth^{-1} : \left\lceil (x - a) : \mathtt{Monic}[\mathbb{Z}_+] \right\rceil,
 (s,r,(2)) := \mathtt{DivisionWithReminder}(f,x-a) : \sum s \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \; . \; \sum r \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] : f = s(x-a) + r,
 (3) := \eth^{-1} eval(2) : f(a) = r,
 (4) := (0)(3) : r = 0,
 () := (4)(2) : f = s(x - a);
   \rightsquigarrow (1) := I(\Rightarrow) : f(a) = 0 \Rightarrow (x - a)|f,
 Assume (0): (x-a)|f,
 (s,(2)):=\eth \mathtt{Divides}(0): \sum s \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \;.\; f=s(x-a),
 () := \eth eval(a, f)(2) : f(a) = 0;
   \rightsquigarrow (*) := I(\iff) : f(a) = 0 \iff (x - a)|f;
roots :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \to ?A
 roots(f) = \rho(f) := \{a \in A : f(a) = 0\}
\texttt{multiplicity} :: \prod A : \texttt{IntegralDomain} \;. \; \prod f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \;.\; \rho(f) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}
multiplicity (a) = m_f(a) := \max \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \left( (x - a)^m \middle| f \right) \right\}
ZeroPolynomialTHM :: \prod A : IntegralDomain . \ \forall (0) : |A| = \infty .
              \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}] : \Lambda a \in A : f(a) = 0 \iff f = 0
 Proof =
 Assume (1): \Lambda a \in A. f(a) = 0,
 Assume (2): f \neq 0,
 Assume n:\mathbb{N},
 () := RootDivides(1)(2)\eth \deg(n) : \deg f > n;
 (2) := I(\forall) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \deg f > n,
 () := \eth \deg(2) : \bot;
   \sim (2) := E(\bot) : f = 0,
   . . .
```

```
\operatorname{polyMap} :: \ \prod A, B \in \operatorname{ANN} . \ (A \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ANN}} B) \to \left(A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ANN}} B[\mathbb{Z}_+]\right)
\operatorname{polyMap}(\varphi, f) = \varphi[f] := \Lambda n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cdot \varphi(f_n)
IrreduciblePolynomial :: \prod A: IntegralDomain . ?A[\mathbb{Z}_+]
f: \mathbf{IrreduciblePlynomial} \iff \exists g, h \in A[\mathbb{Z}] \ . \ f = gh \ \& \ \deg g, \deg h \in \mathbb{Z}
\forall (0) : a_n \notin P \cdot \forall (00) : \forall i \in (n-1) \cdot a^i \in P \cdot \forall (000) : a_0 \notin P^2.
   . \sum_{i=1}^n a^i x^i : \mathtt{IrreducibleElement} \left( A[\mathbb{Z}_+] 
ight)
Proof =
f := \sum_{i=0}^{n} a^i x^i : A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume (1): [f ! IrreduciblePolynomial(A[\mathbb{Z}_+])],
(h,g,2) := \eth \mathtt{IrreduciblePolynomial}(1)(f) : \sum h,g \in A^{\times}[\mathbb{Z}_+] \setminus A^*[\mathbb{Z}_+] \;. \; f = hg,
(m,b,3) := \eth A[\mathbb{Z}_+](h) : \sum m \in \mathbb{N} . \sum b : m \to A . h = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i,
(l,c,4) := \eth A[\mathbb{Z}_+](g) : \sum l \in \mathbb{N} . \sum c : l \to A . g = \sum_{i=1}^{l} c_i x^i,
(5) := \eth Prime(P)(3)(4) \eth A[\mathbb{Z}_+](000) : b_0 \notin P | c_0 \notin P,
(6) := \eth polyMap(0)(00) : \pi_P[f] = [a_n]x^n,
(7) := \eth Ideal(P)(3)(4) : b_m, c_l \notin P,
(8) := (6)(7) : \pi_P[h] = [b_m]x^m \& \pi_P[q] = [c_l]x^l,
(9) := \eth QuotienRing(5) : [b_0] \neq 0 | [c_0] \neq 0,
() := (8)(9) : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow () := E(\bot) : [f : IrreduciblePolynomial(A)],
  \textbf{ReductionCriterion} \, :: \, \forall A, B \in \textbf{IntegralDomain} \, . \, \forall \varphi : A \xrightarrow{\textbf{RING}} B \, . \, \forall f \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \, . 
    \forall (0) : \deg f > 0 \cdot \forall (00) : \deg g = \deg \varphi[f] \cdot \forall (000) : \varphi[f] : \mathbf{Irreducible Polynomial} \ \mathrm{Frac}(B).
    f: IrreduciblePolynomial(A)
Proof =
Assume (1): [f ! IrreduciblePolynomial (A[\mathbb{Z}_+])],
(h,g,2) := \eth \mathtt{IrreduciblePolynomial}(1)(f) : \sum h,g \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \;.\; f = hg \;\&\; \deg h,g \in \mathbb{N},
(3) := \eth \deg(0)(00)(2) : \deg h = \deg \varphi h \& \deg g = \deg \varphi h,
() := (000)(3) : \bot;
 \rightsquigarrow () := E(\bot) : [f : IrreduciblePolynomial(A)],
```

3.6 Algebra of Formal Power Serias

```
MonoidOfFiniteType ::?Monoid
M: \mathtt{MonoidOfFiniteType} \iff \forall m \in M : \left| (\cdot_M)^{-1} \{ m \} \right| < \infty
formalPowerSeriesAlgebra :: MonoidOfFiniteType \times RING \rightarrow RING
\texttt{formalPowerSeriesAlgebra}\left(R,M\right) = R\Big[[M]\Big] := \left(M \to R, +_{M \to R} . \ \Lambda a, b : M \to R \ . \ \Lambda m \in M \ . \ \sum \ a_k b_l\right)
\texttt{formalPowerSeria} :: \prod M : \texttt{MonoidOfFiniteType} : \prod R \in \mathsf{RING} : (M \to R) \to R \Big \lceil [M] \Big \rceil
formalPowerSeria(a) = \sum_{i \in M} a_i x^i := a
{\tt PositiveIntegersAreFiniteType} \ :: \ \mathbb{Z}_{+} : {\tt MonoidOfFiniteType}
Proof =
Assume m: \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume a, b : \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (1): m = a + b,
(2) := NondecreasingAddition(1) : a \le m \& b \le m,
() := \eth^{-1} \operatorname{prim}(m) : a, b \in \operatorname{prim}(\mathbb{Z}_+)(m);
\rightsquigarrow (1) := I(\forall)I(\Rightarrow) : \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . a+b=m \Rightarrow a, b \in \text{prim}(\mathbb{Z}_+)(m),
(2) := \eth^{-1} \operatorname{preimage}(+)(m)(1) : (+)^{-1}(m) \subset \operatorname{prim}^{2}(m),
(3) := SubsetCardinality(2) FiniteProductCard PrimitiveSubsetCardinality(\mathbb{Z}_+)(m):
    : \left| (+)_{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}^{-1}(m) \right| \le \left| m_{\mathbb{Z}_{+}} \right|^{2} = m^{2} + 2m + 1 < \infty;
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}MonoidOfFiniteType : [\mathbb{Z} : MonoidOfFiniteType];
PositiveLatticeIsFiniteType :: \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ \mathbb{Z}^n_+ : \texttt{MonoidOfFiniteType}
Proof =
Assume m: \mathbb{Z}_+^n,
(1) := \eth \mathbb{Z}_+^n \Big( (+)^{-1} (m) \Big) : (+)^{-1} (m) = \prod_{i=1}^n (+)^{-1} (m_i),
() := \texttt{ProductCard}(1) \forall i \in n \;. \; \eth \texttt{MonoidOfFiniteType}(\mathbb{Z}_+)(m_I) : \left| (+)^{-1}(m) \right| \leq \infty;
\rightsquigarrow (*) := \eth^{-1}MonoidOfFiniteType : [\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} : MonoidOfFiniteType];
{\tt Topological} \, :: \, \prod A \in {\sf ANN} \, . \, ?{\tt Ideal}(A)
I: \texttt{Topological} \iff \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n = \{0\}
\operatorname{iadicTopology} :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} . \operatorname{Topological}(A) \to \operatorname{Topology}(A)
{\tt iadicTopology}\,(I) = \tau_A(I) := {\tt genTop}\{a + I^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, a \in A\}
```

```
Cauchy :: \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} . \prod I : \mathsf{Ideal}(A) . ?(\mathbb{N} \to A)
a: \mathtt{Cauchy} \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \;.\; \exists M \in Nat \;.\; \forall m,m': \mathtt{after}(M) \;.\; a_m - a_{m'} \in I^n
 CompleteLocal :: ?Local
 A: \texttt{CompleteLocal} \iff \mathfrak{m}(A): \texttt{Toplogical} \ \& \ \forall a: \texttt{Cauchy}(A,\mathfrak{m}(A)) \ . \ a: \texttt{Convergent}\Big(A,\tau_A\big(\mathfrak{m}(A)\big)\Big)
\text{degree} \, :: \, \prod A \in \mathsf{ANN} \, . \, A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big] \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{ -\infty, +\infty \}
 degree(a) = deg a := max\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : a_i \neq 0\}
 \texttt{degreeOfWeierstrass} :: \prod A : \texttt{Local} \; . \; A \Big \lceil [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big \rceil \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}
 degreeOfWeierstrass(a) = deg_W a := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : a_i \notin \mathfrak{m}(A)\}\
 tail :: \prod A : \text{Local} . A \lceil [\mathbb{Z}_+] \rceil \to A \lceil [\mathbb{Z}_+] \rceil
\mathop{\rm tail}\nolimits\left(a\right) = t(a) := \mathop{\rm if}\nolimits \, \deg_W a = +\infty \, \mathop{\rm then}\nolimits \, 0 \, \mathop{\rm else}\nolimits \, \sum_{i = \deg_W a} a_i x^{i-n}
head :: \prod A : \text{Local} . A \lceil [\mathbb{Z}_+] \rceil \to \mathfrak{m}(A)[\mathbb{Z}_+]
\operatorname{head}\left(a\right) = h(a) := \operatorname{if} \ \operatorname{deg}_{W} a = +\infty \ \operatorname{then} \ a \ \operatorname{else} \ \sum_{i=1}^{\deg_{W} a-1} a_{i} x^{i}
tail2 :: \prod A \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big] \to \mathbb{N} \to A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big]
tail(a) = t_n(a) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^{i-n}
\texttt{head2} \, :: \, \prod A \in \mathsf{RING} \, . \, A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big] \to \mathbb{N} \to A[\mathbb{Z}_+]
\mathtt{head}\,(a) = h_n(a) := \sum^{n-1} a_i x^i
{\tt HeadTailDecomposition} \, :: \, \forall A \in {\sf RING} \, . \, \forall a \in A \Big [ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big] \, . \, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \, . \, a = h_n(a) + t_n(a) + t_n(
 Proof =
  . . .
```

```
CommutativePowerSeries :: \forall A \in \mathsf{ANN} \ . \ \forall M : \mathsf{CommutativeMonoid} \ \& \ \mathsf{MonoidOfFiniteType} \ .
    A \mid [M] \mid \in \mathsf{ANN}
Proof =
 . . .
 antidegree :: \prod A \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ A \big\lceil [M] \big\rceil \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup +\infty
antidegree (a) = antideg a := \min i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : a_i \neq 0
antidegHomo :: \forall A \in \mathsf{RING} : \forall f, g \in A \big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \big] . antideg f \in \mathsf{Antideg} (g)
Proof =
Assume n: antideg f + antideg g,
Assume k, l : \mathbb{Z}_+,
Assume (1): k + l = n - 1,
Assume (2): k \ge \text{antideg } f \& l \ge \text{antideg } g,
(3) := AddIneq(2) \delta nNextIsGreater(n-1) : k+l \ge antideg f + antideg g \ge n > n-1,
(4) := \eth StrictlyLess(3)(1) : \bot;
 \sim (2) := E(\perp) : k < \text{antideg } f | l < \text{antideg } q,
() := \eth antideg(2)ZeroMult(A) : f_l g_k = 0;
 \rightsquigarrow (1) := I(\forall)I(\Rightarrow) : \forall l, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . l + k = n \Rightarrow f_l g_k = 0,
() := \eth A \big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \big] : (fg)_n = 0;
 \rightsquigarrow (1) :=: \forall n \in \text{antideg } f + \text{antideg } g \cdot (fg)_n = 0,
(*) := \eth^{-1}(1) : \text{antideg } fg \ge \text{antideg } f + \text{antideg } g;
ZeroType :: \prod A \in \mathsf{RING} : ?A[\mathbb{Z}_+]
f: \mathsf{ZeroType} \iff f_0 = 0
{\tt powerSeriaOfPowerSeria} \, :: \, \prod A \in {\tt RING} \, . \, {\tt ZeroType}(A) \to A \Big\lceil [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big\rceil
	ext{powerSeriaOfPowerSeria}\left(f
ight) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{k} := \Lambda n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} . \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f^{i})_{n}
Proof =
\Big(g,(1)\Big):=\eth^{-1}ZегоТуре(f):\sum g: ZегоТуре(A):f=f_0+g,
u := f_0^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( -f_0^{-1} g \right)^k : A[[\mathbb{Z}_+]],
(*) := \eth u \eth A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big] \eth \mathsf{powerSeriaOfPowerSeria} : uf = 1 + \sum^{\infty} f_0^{-i} g^i - f_0^{-i} g^i = 1;
```

```
ManinDivision :: \forall A : \texttt{CompleteLocal} . \forall f, g \in A[[\mathbb{Z}_+]] . \forall (0) : \deg_W f < \infty.
     \exists ! q, r \in A[[\mathbb{Z}_+]] : \deg r < \deg_W f \& g = qf + r
Proof =
n := \deg_W f : \mathbb{N},
(1) := \eth \deg_W \texttt{HeadTailDecomposition}(n,f) : f = t_n(f) + h_n(f) = t(f)x^n + h(f),
(2) := \eth h_n(g) \eth n : \deg h_n(g) < \deg_W(f),
(3) := {\tt InvertiblePowerSeria}(A)(t(f)) {\tt InvertibleInLocal}(A)(f_n) : \left| t(f) : {\tt Invertible} \ A \Big[ \mathbb{Z}_+ \Big] \right|,
Assume q:A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big],
Assume (4): t_n(g) = t_n(qf),
(5) := (4)(1) : t_n(g) = t_n(qt(f)x^n) + t_n(qh(f)),
(6) := \eth t_n(5) : t_n(g) = qt(f) + t_n(qh(f)),
Z := qt(f) : A[\mathbb{Z}],
(7) := \eth^{-1} Z(6) : t_n(g) = Z + t_n \left( Z \frac{h(f)}{t(f)} \right),
(9) := \eth^{-1}\left(A\left[\mathbb{Z}_+\right]\right] \to A\left[\mathbb{Z}_+\right]\right) : t_n(g) = \left(E + t_n \circ \mu \frac{h(f)}{t(f)}E\right)Z,
T := t_n \circ \mu\left(\frac{h(f)}{t(f)}\right) : A\Big[\mathbb{Z}_+\Big] \xrightarrow{A-\mathsf{Mod}} A\Big[\mathbb{Z}_+\Big],
S:=\Lambda m\in\mathbb{N}\;.\;\sum_{i=0}^m (-T)^i:\mathbb{N}\to A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big]\xrightarrow{A\operatorname{-Mod}} A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big],
Assume x:A[\mathbb{Z}_+],
Assume p:\mathbb{N},
Assume k, l : after(m),
() := \eth h(f) \eth S_k \eth S_l \eth T : S_k x - S_l x \in \mathfrak{m}^p(A) \left| [\mathbb{Z}_+] \right|;
(V(x),11):=\eth^{-1}\mathtt{Complete}(A):\sum V(x)\in A\big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\big] . V(x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}S_nx;
 \sim (V,11) := I(\rightarrow) : \sum V : A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big] \xrightarrow{A\operatorname{-Mod}} A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big] \ . \ V = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n,
(12) := \eth S \eth V : V = \left(E + t_n \circ \frac{h(f)}{t(f)}E\right)^{-1},
(13) := (12) \left( E + t_n \circ \frac{h(f)}{t(f)} E \right)^{-1} : Z = \left( E + t_n \circ \frac{h(f)}{t(f)} E \right)^{-1} t_n(g),
() := \eth Z(11) : q = \frac{t_n(g) \left(1 - t_n \circ \frac{h(f)}{t(f)}\right)^{-1}}{t(f)};
 (4) := I(\forall)I(\iff) : \forall q \in A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big] . t_n(g) = t_n(qf) \iff q = \frac{\Big(E + t_n \circ \frac{h(f)}{t(f)}E\Big)^{-1} t_n(g)}{I(f)}, 
(*) := (4)(2)\eth q \eth r : This;
```

```
\texttt{WeierstrassPreparation} :: \forall A : \texttt{CompleteLocal} \; . \; \forall f \in A \Big\lceil [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big\rceil \; . \; \forall (0) : \deg_W f < \infty \; .
   \exists ! p : \mathtt{Monic}\ \mathfrak{m}(A) : \exists ! u \in \Big(A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big]\Big) : f = pu
Proof =
n := \deg_W f : \mathbb{Z}_+,
\Big(q,r,(1)\Big) := \mathtt{ManinDividion}(A,f,x^n) : \sum q \in A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big] \; . \; \sum r \in A[\mathbb{Z}_+] \; . \; \deg r < \deg_W f \; \& \; x^n = fq + r,
(2) := \eth A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}_+] \Big] (1) : 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n f_{n-i} q_i = f_n q_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n f_{n-i} q_i,
(3) := \eth \mathbf{Ideal}\Big(\mathfrak{m}(A)\Big) \eth \deg_W f : \sum_{i=1}^n f_{n-i}q_i \in \mathfrak{m}(A),
(5) := \eth A^*(4) : q_0 \in A^*,
(6) := \mathbf{InvertiblePowerSeria}(5) : q \in \left(A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}_+]\Big]\right)^{\uparrow},
(*) := ((1) - r)q^{-1} : (x^n + r)q^{-1} = f;
\texttt{MultivariatePowerSerias} :: \forall A \in \mathsf{RING} \ . \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ A\Big[[\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}_+]\Big] \cong_{\mathsf{RING}} A[\mathbb{Z}^n_+][\mathbb{Z}_+]
Proof =
. . .
NoetherianPowerSerias :: \forall A : Noetherian . A\left[\left[\mathbb{Z}_{+}\right]\right] : Noetherian
Proof =
\texttt{MultivariateNoetherianPowerSerias} :: \forall A : \texttt{Noetherian} . A \Big[ [\mathbb{Z}^n_+] \Big] : \texttt{Noetherian}
Proof =
```

- 4 Categorical Ring Theory[!!]
- 4.1 RNG and Adjoining of Unity
- 4.2 Limits in RNG, RING and ANN
- 4.3 Adjoints of Forgetful Functors