Convex Analysis

Uncultured Tramp
May 26, 2022

Contents

1	Convex Functions	1
	1.1 Subject	1
	1.2 Convexity Preserving Operations	7
	1.3 Metric and Topological Properties	10
	1.4 Closures	
	1.5 Recession	16
2	Duality	17
	2.1 Conjugate Functions	17
	2.2 Affine Minorization	19
3	(Sub)differential Calculus	20
4	From Optimization to Convex Algebra	20

1 Convex Functions

1.1 Subject

```
\texttt{epigraph} \, :: \, \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \, . \, \prod D \subset V \, . \, \Big(D \to^\infty_\mathbb{R} \Big) \to ?(V \oplus \mathbb{R})
\operatorname{epigraph}(f) = \operatorname{epi} f := \{(x, \phi) | x \in D, \phi \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \ge f(x)\}
Convex :: \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \prod D \subset V . ?(D \to \mathbb{R})
f: \mathtt{Convex} \iff \mathtt{Convex}(V \oplus \mathbb{R}, \mathtt{epi}\ f)
\texttt{effectiveDomain} \, :: \, \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \, . \, \prod D \subset V \, . \, \texttt{Convex}(V,D) \to ?D
effectiveDomain (f) = \text{dom } f := \pi_1 \text{ epi } f
DomainIsConvex :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall D \subset V . \forall f : \text{Convex}(V, D) . \text{Convex}(V, \text{dom } f)
Proof =
 As a linear image of convex set.
ProperConvexFunction :: \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . ?\texttt{Convex}(V, V) .
f: \texttt{ProperConvexFunction} \iff \forall x \in V : f(x) > -\infty \& \exists x \in V : f(x) < +\infty
InterpolationProperty ::
    :: \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall C : \mathtt{Convex}(V) . \forall f : C \to (-\infty, +\infty] .
    . Convex(V, C, f) \iff \forall x, y \in C . \forall \lambda \in [0, 1].
    f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)
Proof =
 (\Rightarrow): assume that f is convex.
 Then f has convex epigraph.
 Take arbitrary x, y \in C and \lambda \in [0, 1].
 If f takes value +\infty either in x or y, then the inequality follows, so assume the contrary.
 Then (x, f(x)), (y, f(y)) trivially belong to the epigraph,
so by convexity (\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)) is also in epigraph.
 The definition of epigraph produces the inequality f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y).
 (\Leftarrow): now assume that inequality always hold.
 Assume (x, \phi), (y, \psi) belong to the epigraph and \lambda \in [0, 1].
 Then \lambda \phi + (1 - \lambda)\psi \ge \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \ge f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y).
 So \lambda(x,\phi) + (1-\lambda)(y,\psi) belong to the epigraph.
 Thus, epigraph is convex and f is convex.
```

```
JensensIneq ::
```

$$:: \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall C : \mathtt{Convex}(V) . \forall f : C \to (-\infty, +\infty] .$$

$$. \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ . \forall \aleph : \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1 . \forall v \in V^n . f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f(v_k)$$

Proof =

Iterate the interpolation property.

SecondDerivativeConvexityTest :: $\forall I$: OpenInterval (\mathbb{R}) . $\forall f \in C^2(I)$.

$$. \operatorname{Convex}(\mathbb{R}, I, f) \iff f'' \geq 0$$

Proof =

 (\Rightarrow) : assume there is a $t \in I$ such that f''(t) < 0.

As f'' must be continuous there is whole open interval (a, b) such that f''(j) < 0 for all $j \in (a, b)$.

Take some $x, y \in (a, b)$ with x < y and define $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ for siome $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Then
$$f(z) - f(x) = \int_x^z f'(t) dt > f'(z)(z - x)$$
 and $f(y) - f(z) = \int_z^y f'(t) dt < f'(z)(y - z)$.

Then from definiton of z we get $f(z) > f(x) - (1 - \lambda)f'(z)(y - x)$ and $f(z) > f(y) + \lambda f'(z)(y - x)$.

By adding two inequalities with multipliers λ and $(1 - \lambda)$ one gets $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) > \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$.

But this contradicts a convexity. .

 (\Rightarrow) : use same inequalities but with different sign to prove the convexity.

ExponentIsConvexity :: $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Convex $\Big(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R},\Lambda t \in \mathbb{R}$. $e^{\alpha t}\Big)$

Proof =

write
$$f(t) = e^{\alpha t}$$
.

Then
$$f''(t) = \alpha^2 e^{\alpha t} > 0$$
.

 ${\tt MonomialConvexity1} \,::\, \forall p \in [1,+\infty) \;.\; {\tt Convex} \Big(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}_{++},\Lambda t \in \mathbb{R} \;.\; t^p\Big)$

Proof =

Write
$$f(t) = t^p$$
.

Then
$$f''(t) = p(p-1)t^{p-2} \ge 0$$
 for $t > 0$.

MonomialConvexity2 :: $\forall p \in [0,1)$. $\mathtt{Convex}\Big(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}_{++},\Lambda t \in \mathbb{R}$. $-t^p\Big)$

Proof =

Write
$$f(t) = t^p$$
.

Then
$$f''(t) = p(1-p)t^{p-2} \ge 0$$
 for $t > 0$.

```
MonomialConvexity3 :: \forall p \in (-\infty,0] . \mathtt{Convex}\Big(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}_{++},\Lambda t \in \mathbb{R} . t^p\Big)
Proof =
 write f(t) = t^p.
 Then f''(t) = p(p-1)t^{p-2} \ge 0 for t > 0.
 GeneralizedArcsinDerivativeIsConvex :: \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . Convex \left(\mathbb{R}, (-\alpha, \alpha), \Lambda t \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - t^2}}\right)
Proof =
Write f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - t^2}}.
 Then f'(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - t^2}^3}.
And f''(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - t^2}^3} + \frac{3t^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - t^2}^5} > 0 for t \in (-\alpha, \alpha).
NegativeLogIsConvex :: Convex (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{++}, \Lambda t \in \mathbb{R} . - \ln(t))
Proof =
 Write f(t) = -\ln(t).
 Then f''(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} > 0 for t > 0.
NegativeEntropyIsConvex :: Convex (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{++}, \Lambda t \in \mathbb{R} \cdot t \ln(t))
Proof =
 Write f(t) = t \ln(t).
 Then f'(t) = \ln(t) + 1.
 And f''(t) = \frac{1}{t} > 0 for t > 0.
Concave :: \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \prod D \subset V . ?(D \to \mathbb{R}^{\infty})
f: \mathtt{Concave} \iff \mathtt{Convex}(V, D, -f)
SecondDerivativeConvexityTest2 :: \forall V : EucledeanSpace . \forall U : Open & Convex(V) . \forall f \in C^2(U) .
    . Convex(\mathbb{R}, U, f) \iff \mathbf{D}^2 f \geq 0
For x \in U and v \in V \setminus \{0\} define \phi_{x,v}(t) = f(x+tv) with a domain I_{x,v} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} | x+tv \in C\}.
 Then f is convex iff every \phi_{x,v} does.
 But \phi''_{x,v}(t) = \langle v, \mathbf{D}^2 f | yv \rangle, where y = x + tv.
```

So f is convex iff $\mathbf{D}^2 f$ is positive-semidefinite.

GeometricMeanIsConcave ::

$$:: \forall V : \mathtt{EucledeanSpace}$$
 . Concave $\left(V, V_{++}, \Lambda x \in V : \prod_{k=1}^n \sqrt[n]{x_k}\right)$ where $n = \dim V$

Proof =

write
$$f(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt[n]{x_k}$$
.

Then
$$\nabla f|_x = \left(\frac{1}{n\sqrt[n]{x_i}^{n-1}} \prod_{j \neq i}^n \sqrt[n]{x_j}\right)_{i=1}^n$$
.

And
$$\mathbf{D}_{i,j}^2 f|_x = \frac{1}{n^2 \sqrt[n]{x_i x_j}^{n-1}} \prod_{k \neq i, j}^n \sqrt[n]{x_k}$$
 when $i \neq j$, and $\mathbf{D}_{i,i}^2 f|_x = -\frac{n-1}{n^2 \sqrt[n]{x_i}^{2n-1}} \prod_{j \neq i}^n \sqrt[n]{x_j}$.

So,
$$\mathbf{D}^2 f|_x(v,v) = -\frac{n-1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i^2}{\sqrt[n]{x_i}^{2n-1}} \prod_{j \neq i}^n \sqrt[n]{x_j} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{\sqrt[n]{x_i x_j}^{n-1}} \prod_{k \neq i,j}^n \sqrt[n]{x_k} = 0$$

$$= f(x) \left(-\frac{n-1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{x_i x_j} \right) = -\frac{f(x)}{n^2} \left(n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{v_i}{x_i} \right)^2 \right) \le 0.$$

This follows from obvious matching schema.

 $\mathtt{NormsAreConvex} :: \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall \eta : \mathtt{Norm}(V)\mathtt{Convex}(V,V,\eta)$

Proof =

Write $\eta(v) = ||v||$.

Just use triangle inequality $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \le \|\lambda x\| + \|(1 - \lambda)y\| = \lambda \|x\| + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{convexIndicator} :: \ \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \operatorname{Convex}(V) \to \operatorname{Convex}(V,V) \\ \operatorname{convexIndicator}(C) = \Lambda x \in V \ . \ \chi(x|C) := \Lambda x \in V \ . \ \infty \big[x \in C^\complement \big] \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} & \text{supportFunction} \, :: \, \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-HIL} \, . \, \text{Convex}(V) \to \text{Convex}(V,V) \\ & \text{supportFunction} \, (C) = \Lambda x \in V \, . \, \chi^*(x|C) := \sup_{y \in C} \langle x,y \rangle \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{gauge} \ :: \ \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \text{Convex}(V) \to \text{Convex}(V,V) \\ & \text{gauge} \ (C) = \Lambda x \in V \ . \ \gamma(x|C) := \Lambda x \in V \ . \ \text{inf} \ \Big\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \, \Big| \, x \in \lambda C \Big\} \end{split}$$

ConvexFunctionHasConvexLevelSets ::

 $:: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \forall f: \mathtt{Convex}(V,V) \ . \ \forall \alpha \in \stackrel{\infty}{\mathbb{R}} \ . \ \mathtt{Convex}\Big(V,\{v \in V: f(v) \geq \alpha\}\Big)$

Proof =

ConvexFunctionHasConvexStrictLevelSets ::

$$:: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \ \forall f: \mathtt{Convex}(V,V) \ . \ \forall \alpha \in \overset{\infty}{\mathbb{R}} \ . \ \mathtt{Convex}\Big(V,\{v \in V: f(v) > \alpha\}\Big)$$

Proof =

... 4

```
ConvexlyBoundedRegionIsConvex ::
```

$$:: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \; . \; \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} \; . \; \forall \alpha: I \to \overset{\infty}{\mathbb{R}} \; . \; \forall f: I \to \mathsf{Convex}(V,V) \; . \; \mathsf{Convex}\Big(V, \{v \in V: \forall i \in I \; . \; f_i(v) > \alpha_i\}\Big)$$

Proof =

 $\texttt{GeneralizedAMGMIneq} \, :: \, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \, . \, \forall \lambda : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \, . \, \forall x : \mathbb{R}^n_{++} \, . \, \forall \aleph : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_i}$

Proof =

By Jensen inequality for natural logarithm $\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i \right) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \ln(x_i)$.

Then by exponentiating both parts $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i \ge \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\lambda_i}$.

PositivelyHomogeneous :: $\prod V: \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . ?\Big(V \to (-\infty, +\infty]\Big)$

f: PositivelyHomogeneous $\iff \forall v \in V : \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++} : f(\alpha v) = \alpha f(v)$

 ${\tt Positive Homogeneous Zero Positivity} :: \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \forall f : {\tt Positive ly Homogeneous}(V) \ . \ f(0) \geq 0$

Proof =

Note that f(0) = f(t0) = tf(0) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$.

This means that f(0) is either 0 or $+\infty$.

PositiveHomogeneousConvexity :: $\forall V : \mathbb{R} ext{-VS} . \ \forall f : \texttt{PositiveLyHomogeneous}(V)$.

. $Convex(V, V, f) \iff \forall x, y \in V . f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$

Proof =

 (\Rightarrow) : assume f is convex.

Then $f(x+y) = f\left(\frac{2}{2}x + \frac{2}{2}y\right) \le \frac{1}{2}f(2x) + \frac{1}{2}f(2y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for any $x, y \in V$.

 $(\Leftarrow):$ assume the inequality holds

Then $f(\lambda x + (1+\lambda)y) \le f(\lambda x) + f((1-\lambda)y) = \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y)$ when $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $x,y \in V$.

Otherwise, when $\lambda = 0, 1$, convexity condition holds trivially.

Conic := $\lambda V \in \mathbb{R}$ -VS . Convex $(V, V) \times \text{PositivelyHomogeneous}(V) : \mathbb{R}$ -VS $\rightarrow \text{Type}$;

 $\texttt{ConicIneq} :: \forall V : \mathbb{R} \text{-VS} . \ \forall f : \texttt{Convex}(V,V) \ \& \ \texttt{PositivelyHomogeneous}(V) . \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \ \forall x \in V^n \ .$

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++} : f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i)$$

Proof =

Iterate previous theorem.

```
\texttt{ConicEpigraph} :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-VS} . \forall f : V \to (-\infty, +\infty) . \texttt{Conic}(V, f) \iff \texttt{ConvexCone}(V, \text{epi}\, f)
Proof =
  . . .
  ConicIsSupersymmetric :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall f \in \text{Conic}(f) . \forall v \in V . f(v) \geq -f(-v)
 Proof =
   Write f(x) + f(-x) \ge f(x - x) = f(x) \ge 0.
   So f(x) \ge -f(-x).
   Proof =
   (\Rightarrow): this is trival.
   (\Leftarrow): assume that the property holds.
   Let x, y \in V.
   Then f(x) + f(y) \ge f(x+y) \ge -f(-x-y) \ge -f(-x) - f(-y) = f(x) + f(y).
   This mean f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y).
   But as x and y were arbitrary f must be additive and hence linear.
   {\tt StrictlyConvexFunction} :: \prod V : \mathbb{R}\text{-}{\sf VS} \ . \ ?{\tt ProperConvexFunction}(V)
 f: StrictlyConvexFunction \iff
               \iff \forall \lambda \in [0,1] \ . \ \forall x,y \in V \ . \ \forall \aleph : x \neq y \ . \ f\Big(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\Big) < \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y)
{\tt SquareNoremIsStrictlyConvex} :: \forall V : {\tt NormedSpace}(\mathbb{R}) \ . \ {\tt StrictConvexFunction}\Big(V, \| \bullet \|^2\Big)
Proof =
  \forall x, y \in V, \lambda \in (0,1) . \left\| \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \right\|^2 \le \left( \lambda \|x\| + (1-\lambda)\|y\| \right)^2 < \lambda^2 \|x\|^2 + (1-\lambda)^2 \|y\|^2 < \lambda^2 \|y\|^2 + (1-\lambda)^2 \|y\|^2 + (1
  <\lambda ||x||^2 + (1-\lambda)||y||^2.
```

1.2 Convexity Preserving Operations

 ${\tt ConvexComposition} \, :: \, \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-VS} \, . \, \forall D \subset V \forall f : {\tt Convex}(V,D) \, . \, \forall \phi : {\tt Convex} \, \& \, {\tt Increasing}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \, . \, {\tt Convex}(V,D) \, . \, \forall \phi : {\tt Convex}(V,D) \, . \, \, \forall \phi : {\tt Convex}(V,D$

Proof =

Assume $x, y \in \text{dom } f, \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Then $\phi\Big(f\big(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\big)\Big) \le \phi\Big(\lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y)\Big) \le \lambda \phi \circ f(x) + (1-\lambda)\phi \circ f(y).$

 ${\tt ConvexFunctionFromSet} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-VS} \ . \ \forall C : {\tt Convex}(V \oplus \mathbb{R}) \ . \ {\tt Convex}\Big(V, V, \Lambda v \in V \ . \ \inf\big\{t | (v, t) \in C\big\}\Big)$

Proof =

This is function has cinvex epigraph.

 $\textbf{InfimalConvolutionIsConvex} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \textbf{-VS} \ . \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ . \ \forall f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \textbf{ProperConvexFunction}(V) \ .$

. Convex
$$\left(V,V,\Lambda x\in V \text{ . inf }\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n f_k(v_k)\middle|v\in V^n,\sum_{k=1}^n v_k=x\right\}\right)$$

Proof =

Let
$$g = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k(v_k) \middle| v \in V^n, \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k = x \right\}.$$

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{epi} f_k$$
 is convex.

A tuple $(x, \phi) \in C$ if there is a sequence $(v, \psi) \in (V \oplus \mathbb{R})^n$ such that $x = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k, \phi = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k$

and $f(v_k) \leq \psi_k$ for every $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Thus
$$\phi = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_k \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(v_k) \ge g(x)$$
, so $(x, \phi) \in \text{epi } g$.

Then g can be constructed from set C.

$$\mathbf{infimalConvolution}\left(f\right) = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n f_i := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(v_k) \middle| v \in V^n, \sum_{k=1}^n v_k = x \right\}$$

 $\texttt{ConvexDelta} :: \prod_{V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}} V \to \texttt{ProperConvexFunction}(V)$

 ${\tt convexDelta}\,(a) = \delta_a := \Lambda x \in V \;.\; {\tt if}\; x = a\; {\tt then}\; 0\; {\tt else}\; + \infty$

```
\texttt{GraphTranslationByInfimalConvolution} :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}	ext{-VS} . \ \forall f : \texttt{ProperConvexFunction}(V) . \ \forall a,v \in V . \ (\delta_a\Box_f)
 Clearly (\delta_a \Box f)(v) = \min \{ f(v-a), +\infty \}.
InfimalConvolutionDomain :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}. \forall f: ProperConvexFunction(V). \forall a, v \in V. \text{dom}(f \Box g) = \text{dom } f
Proof =
 Obvious .
Proof =
InfimalConvolutionDefinesCommutativeMonoid ::
    :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} .
   . \ \mathtt{CommutativeMonoid}\Big(\mathtt{Convex}(V,V), \Lambda f, g \in \mathtt{Convex}(V,V) \ . \ \Lambda x \in V \ . \ \inf\Big\{\phi\Big|(v,\phi) \in (\operatorname{epi} f + \operatorname{epi} g)\Big\}\Big)
Proof =
 \delta_0 is a neutral element, comutativity and associativity is almost obvious.
\texttt{rightScalarMultiplication} :: \prod_{V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}} \text{.} \texttt{Convex}(V, V) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \to \texttt{Convex}(V, V)
rightScalarMultiplication (f, \lambda) = f\lambda := \text{ConvexFunctionFromSet}(V, \lambda \text{ epi } f)
RightScalarMultiplicationExpression ::
    \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall f : \mathtt{Convex}(V, V) . \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++} . \forall x \in X . f\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda^{-1}x)
Proof =
 Obvious.
 RightScalarMultiplicationByZero :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} . \forall f : \texttt{Convex}(V, V) . f0(x) = \delta(0)
Proof =
 Obvious.
\texttt{ConicityByRightMultiplication} :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R} - \mathsf{VS} . \ \forall f : \texttt{Convex}(V, V) \ . \ \texttt{Conic}(V, f) \iff \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \ . \ f\lambda = f
Proof =
 Follows from the expression for right multiplication.
```

```
\operatorname{conicClosure} :: \prod_{V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}} \operatorname{ConvexFunction}(V) \to \operatorname{Conic}(V)
\texttt{conicClosure} \ (\texttt{cone} \ f) := \texttt{ConvexFunctionFromSet} \Big( V. \ \texttt{cone} \ \texttt{epi} \ f \Big)
\texttt{GaugeExpression} \, :: \, \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-VS} \, . \, \forall C : \texttt{Convex \& NonEmpy}(V) \, . \, \gamma(\bullet|C) = \mathrm{cone}\left(\chi(\bullet|C) + 1\right)
Proof =
 (x,\phi) \in \operatorname{epi} \gamma(\bullet|C) \text{ iff } x \in \lambda C \text{ and } 0 < \lambda < \phi.
This means that (x, \lambda) \in \operatorname{cone} C \times \{1\} \subset \operatorname{cone} \operatorname{epi} \left(\chi(\bullet|C) + 1\right).
 So (x, \phi) \in \text{cone } C \times \{\phi/\lambda\} \subset \text{cone epi } (\chi(\bullet|C) + 1) = \text{epi cone } (\chi(\bullet|C) + 1).
 On the other hand id (x, \psi) \in \text{epi cone } \left(\chi(\bullet|C) + 1 \text{ then there exists } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \text{ such that } \lambda x \in C \text{ and } \lambda \psi \geq 1 \right).
 But this means that \psi \geq \lambda^{-1} \geq \gamma(x|C).
 Thus (x, \psi) \in \gamma(\bullet|C).
 And both functions are equal by equality of epigraphs.
 \texttt{SupremumIsConvex} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} \ . \ \forall f : I \to \mathsf{ConvexFunction}(V) \ . \ \mathsf{ConvexFunction}(V, \sup f_i)
Proof =
 \operatorname{epi} \sup_{i \in I} f_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{epi} f_i \text{ is convex.}
\texttt{convexHull} :: \prod_{V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}} \prod_{I \in \mathsf{SET}} \Big( I \to V \to_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \big) \to \mathsf{ConvexFunction}(V)
\mathtt{convexHull}\left(f
ight) = \mathtt{conv}_{i \in I} \, f_i := \mathtt{ConvexFunctionFromSet}\left(V, \mathtt{conv} \, \bigcup \mathtt{epi} \, f_i \right)
. \operatorname{conv}_{i \in I} f_i(x) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(v_i) \middle| \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\oplus I}, v : I \to V, \sum_i \lambda_i = 1, \sum_i \lambda_i v_i = x \right\}
Proof =
 This follows from the thorough examination of the definition.
\texttt{convexPullback} \ :: \ \prod V, W \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}(V,W) \to \texttt{ConvexFunction}(W) \to \texttt{ConvexFunction}(V)
\mathtt{convexPullback}\,(f,T) = fT := f \circ T
```

 $\texttt{convexPushforward} \ :: \ \prod V, W \in \mathbb{R}\text{-VS} \ . \ \mathbb{R}\text{-VS}(V,W) \to \texttt{ConvexFunction}(V) \to \texttt{ConvexFunction}(W)$

 $\mathtt{convexPullback}\,(f,T) = T_*f := \Lambda w \in W \ . \ \inf\{f(v)|w = Tv\}$

1.3 Metric and Topological Properties

SphericalBound ::

$$\begin{split} &:: \forall V \in \mathsf{BAN}(\mathbb{R}) \;.\; \forall f: \mathsf{ProperConvexFunction}(V) \;.\; \forall c \in \mathrm{dom} \; f \;.\; \forall \rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \;.\; \forall \aleph: \eta < +\infty \;.\; \forall \alpha \in (0,1) \;. \\ &: \forall x \in \mathbb{B}_V(c,\alpha\rho) \;.\; \Big| f(x) - f(c) \Big| \leq \alpha \Big(\eta - f(c) \Big) \\ &\quad \text{where} \quad \eta = \sup f\Big(\mathbb{B}_V(c,\rho)\Big) \end{split}$$

Proof =

By convexity
$$f(x) - f(c) = f\left((1 - \alpha)c + \alpha\left(\frac{x - (1 - \alpha)c}{\alpha}\right)\right) - f(c) \le \alpha\left(f\left(c + \frac{x - c}{\alpha}\right) - f(c)\right).$$

If $x \in \mathbb{B}_V(c, \alpha\rho)$ then $c + \frac{x - c}{\alpha} \in \mathbb{B}_V(c, \rho)$.

So
$$f(x) - f(c) \le \alpha \left(\eta - f(c) \right)$$
.

On the other hand
$$f(c) - f(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{1+\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{(1+\alpha)c - x}{\alpha}\right) - f(x) \le$$

$$\le \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \left(f\left(c + \frac{c-x}{\alpha}\right) - f(x)\right) \le \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} (\eta - f(x)) = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \left(\eta - f(c)\right) + \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \left(f(c) - f(x)\right).$$

So by rearanging inequalities one gets $f(c) - f(x) \le \alpha (\eta - f(x))$.

LocalLipschitzContinuity ::

 $:: \forall V \in \mathsf{BAN}(\mathbb{R}) \ . \ \forall f : \mathsf{ProperConvexFunction}(V) \ . \ \forall c \in \mathrm{dom} \ f \ . \ \forall \rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \ . \ \forall \aleph : \delta < +\infty \ .$

$$. \left(\frac{\delta}{\rho}\right) \text{-Lip}\Big(\mathbb{B}(c,\rho), \mathbb{R}, f_{|\mathbb{B}(c,\rho)}\Big)$$

where
$$\eta = \operatorname{diam} f\Big(\mathbb{B}_V(c,
ho)\Big)$$

Proof =

Assume $x, y \in \mathbb{B}_V(c, \rho)$ sych that $x \neq y$.

Let
$$\alpha = \frac{\|x-y\|}{\|x-y\|+\rho} < \frac{\|x-y\|}{\rho}$$
 and $z = x + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}(x-y)$).

Then,
$$||z - c|| \le ||z - x|| + ||x - c|| \le \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} ||x - y|| + \rho \le 2\rho$$
, so $z \in \mathbb{B}_V(c, 2\rho)$.

Thus, by convexity
$$f(x) = f\left(\alpha z + (1 - \alpha)y\right) \le f(y) + \alpha(f(z) - f(y)) \le f(y) + \alpha\delta \le f(y) + \frac{\delta}{\rho}||x - y||$$
.

From symmetry $|f(x) - f(y)| \le \frac{\delta}{\rho} ||x - y||$.

```
ContinuityByBound ::
          :: \forall V \in \mathsf{BAN}(\mathbb{R}) . \forall f : \mathsf{ProperConvexFunction}(V) . \forall x \in V . \forall U \in \mathcal{U}(x) .
          . \forall \aleph : \mathtt{Bounded}(V, U, f_{|U}) . int dom f \xrightarrow{f_{|\inf \operatorname{dom} f}} \mathbb{R} : \mathsf{TOP}
Proof =
  Assume v \in U \cap \text{rel int dom } f.
  As v belongs to relative intrior there are w \in \text{dom } f, \rho \in Reals_+, \lambda \in (0,1)
  such that v \in \lambda \mathbb{B}(x, \rho) + (1 - \lambda)w and \mathbb{B}(x, \rho) \subset U.
  Then f(x) \le \lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)f(w) \le \lambda \beta + (1 - \lambda)f(w),
  where x \in \lambda \mathbb{B}(x, \rho) + (1 - \lambda)w, y \in \mathbb{B}(y, \rho) and \beta is the bound for U.
  So by the previous theorem f is locally Lipshitz and continuous on relint dom f
  and so f is actually continuous on relint dom f.
  ContinuityByFiniteDimension ::
          :: \forall V \in \texttt{EucledeanSpace} \;. \; \forall f : \texttt{ProperConvexFunction}(V) \;. \; \text{int dom} \; f \xrightarrow{f_{|\inf \text{dom} \; f}} \mathbb{R} : \mathsf{TOP}(F) = \mathsf{TOP}(F) =
Proof =
  Let n = \dim V.
  Assume x \in V and \rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++} such that \mathbb{B}(x, \rho) \subset \text{dom } f.
  Then there exists a simplicital set \{v_1,\ldots,v_{n+1}\} such that \mathbb{B}(x,\rho)\subset\operatorname{conv}(v_1,\ldots,v_{n+1})\subset\operatorname{dom} f.
  But this means that \sup f(\mathbb{B}(x,\rho)) \leq \max_{i=1,\dots,n+1} f(v_i).
  So by the previous theorem f is locally Lipshitz and continuous on relint dom f
  and so f is actually continuous on relint dom f.
  NonEmptyInteriorCondition :: \forall V \in \mathsf{BAN}(\mathbb{R}) . \forall f : \mathsf{ProperConvexFunction}(V) . \forall x \in V . \forall U \in \mathcal{U}(x) .
          . \forall \aleph: Bounded(V, U, f_{|U}). intepi f \neq \emptyset
  Select \delta \in (2\beta \rho, +\infty) and set \gamma = \min(\rho, \delta/2) > 0.
  And let (y, \phi) \in V \oplus \mathbb{R} such that \|(y, \phi) - (x, f(x) + \rho)\|^2 \le \gamma^2.
```

Proof =

We can find radius ρ Lipschitz constant β such that $|f(v) - f(w)| \le \beta ||v - w|| \le \beta \rho$ for every $v, w \in \mathbb{B}(x, \rho)$.

Then $||y - x|| \le \gamma \le \rho$ and $|\phi - (f(x) + \delta)| \le \gamma \le \delta/2$.

So, $f(y) < f(x) + \delta/2 = f(x) + \delta - \delta/2 \le f(x) + \delta - \gamma \le \phi$.

Thus $(y, \phi) \in \text{epi } f$.

As (y, ϕ) was arbitrary, the whole sphere is a subset of epi f.

So int epi $f \neq \emptyset$.

```
InteriorLevelSet ::
    :: \forall V: \mathbb{R}\text{-BAN} \ . \ \forall f: \texttt{LowerSemicontinuous}\Big(V, (-\infty, +\infty]\Big) \ . \ \forall x \in V \ . \ \forall \aleph: f(x) < 0 \ . \ x \in \text{int} \ f^{-1}(-\infty, 0]
Proof =
. . .
{\tt ConvexLevelSetInterior} \, :: \, \forall V : \mathbb{R} \text{-} \\ {\tt BAN} \, . \, \forall f : {\tt ConvexFunction}(V) \, . \, \forall x \in V \, . \, \forall \aleph : f(x) < 0 \, .
    . int f^{-1}(-\infty,0] \subset f^{-1}(-\infty,0)
Proof =
. . .
ConvexUsCLevelSetInteriorEq ::
    . \ \forall V : \mathbb{R}\text{-}\mathsf{BAN} \ . \ \forall f : \mathsf{ConvexFunction}(V) \ . \ \forall \aleph : \mathsf{LowerSemicontinuous}\Big(f_{|f^{-1}(-\infty,0)}, (-\infty,0)\Big) \ .
    . int f^{-1}(-\infty, 0] = \text{int } f^{-1}(-\infty, 0)
Proof =
. . .
ConvexEucLevelSetInteriorEq ::
    \forall V \in \texttt{EucledeanSpace} : \forall f : \texttt{ConvexFunction}(V) : \forall \aleph : \text{dom } f \in \mathcal{T}(V) : \text{int } f^{-1}(-\infty, 0] = \text{int } f^{-1}(-\infty, 0)
Proof =
. . .
```

1.4 Closures

```
\texttt{LowerSemicontinuous}(V, \overset{\infty}{\mathbb{R}}, f) \iff \texttt{Closed}(V \oplus \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{epi} f)
Proof =
 If f is lower semicontinuous then \lim \inf_{x \to u} f(x) = f(v).
 So the epigraph must be closed.
 Thus, result is basically obvious.
                  \prod ConvexFunction(V) 	o \Big( 	ext{ConvexFunction}(V) \ \& \ 	ext{LowerSemicontinuous}(V,\mathbb{R}) \Big)
closure (f) = \operatorname{cl} f := \operatorname{if} f > -\infty then FunctionFromSet(V,\operatorname{cl}\operatorname{epi} f) else -\infty
{\tt ClosedFunction} \, :: \, \prod V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-}{\tt TOPVS} \, . \, ?{\tt ConvexFunction}(V)
f: ClosedFunction \iff cl f = f
ImproperDomain :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}-TOPVS . \forall f : \texttt{ConvexFunction}(V) .
    . \forall \aleph : -\infty \in \text{Im } f. \forall x \in \text{rel int dom } f. f(x) = -\infty
Proof =
 If there is a point p \in V such that f(p) = -\infty then p \in \text{dom } f.
 Also assume that u \in \text{rel int dom } f.
 By properties of relative interiot there exists x \in \text{dom } f such that u \in (p, x).
 So there is \lambda \in (0,1) suxh that u = \lambda u + (1-\lambda)x.
 But (p, \alpha) \in \text{epi } f for any arbitrary \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.
 Thus (u, \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)f(x)) \in \text{epi } f \text{ fo any } \alpha.
 And by taking the limit \alpha \to -\infty we see that it must be the case that f(u) = -\infty.
 ContinuityByClosedness ::
    :: \forall V \in \mathsf{BAN}(\mathbb{R}) \ . \ \forall f : \texttt{ClosedFunction}(V) \ . \ \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f \xrightarrow{f_{|\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f}} \mathbb{R} : \mathsf{TOP}
Proof =
. . .
 ConvexLsCLevelSetInteriorEq ::
    \forall V \in \texttt{EucledeanSpace} : \forall f : \texttt{ClosedFunction}(V) : \forall \aleph : \text{dom } f \in \mathcal{T}(V) .
    . int f^{-1}(-\infty,0] = \inf f^{-1}(-\infty,0)
Proof =
```

```
{\tt ClosedFunctionSupremum} :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-} {\tt TOPVS} \ . \ \forall I \in {\tt SET} \ . \ \forall f : I \to {\tt ClosedFunction}(V) \ .
           \sup f \in \texttt{ClosedFunction}(V)
Proof =
   The epigraph of the supremum is the intersection of epigraphs.
   Then use the fact that intersection of closed sets is closed.
  ClosedFunctionSum ::
           \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-TOPVS} : \forall f, g \in \mathsf{ClosedFunction} \& \mathsf{ProperConvexFunction}(V) .
           f + g \in ClosedFunction \& ProperConvexFunction(V)
Proof =
  . . .
  ClosedFunctionSum2 ::
           \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-TOPVS} : \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{ClosedFunction}(V) : \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{ClosedFunction}(V) : \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{ClosedFunction}(V) : \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{ClosedFunction}(V) : \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{ClosedFunction}(V) : \forall I \in \mathsf{SET} : \forall f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{ClosedFunction}(V) : \mathsf{ClosedFuncti
           . \forall \aleph: \inf_{i \in I} f_i \geq 0 . \sum_{i \in I} f_i \in \mathtt{ClosedFunction}(V)
Proof =
  DegenerateClosedForm ::
           . \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-TOPVS} . \forall f : \mathtt{ClosedFunction}(V) . \forall \aleph : -\infty \in \mathrm{Im}\, f . \mathrm{Im}\, f = \{-\infty, +\infty\}
Proof =
  . . .
  ClosureLevelSets ::
           \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-TOPVS} \ . \ \forall f : \texttt{ConvexFunction}(V) \ . \ \mathrm{cl}\left(f^{-1}(-\infty,0)\right) = \mathrm{cl}\left(f^{-1}(-\infty,0]\right) = \left(\mathrm{cl}\,f\right)^{-1}(-\infty,0)
Proof =
  . . .
  ProperClosedFunction := ProperConvexFunction & ClosedFunction : \mathbb{R}-TOPVS \rightarrow Type;
 \textbf{ConvexLimit} :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \textbf{-TOPVS} \ . \ \forall f : \texttt{ProperClosedFunction}(V) \ . \ \forall x \in \text{dom} \ f \ . \ \forall y \in V \ . \ \lim_{N \to 0} g(\lambda) = f(y) 
                where g = \Lambda \lambda \in [0,1] . f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)
Proof =
  In f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) the majorant is continuous in lambda.
  So in f(x) \le \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le f(x) holds.
```

1.5 Recession

2 Duality

2.1 Conjugate Functions

```
\texttt{conjugateFunction} \, :: \, \prod V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-TOPVS} \, . \, \left(V \to_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}\right) \to \left(V^* \to_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}\right)
\texttt{conjugateFunction}\left(\phi\right) = \phi^* := \Lambda f \in V^* \;.\; \sup_{x \in V} \; f(x) - \phi(x)
\texttt{ConjugationIneq} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R} - \texttt{TOPVS} \ . \ \forall \phi : V \to_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \ . \ \forall x \in V \ . \ \forall f \in V^* \ . \ \phi(x) + \phi^*(f) \geq f(x)
  Just note that \phi(x) + \phi^*(f) = \phi(x) + \sup_{y \in V} f(y) - \phi(y) \ge f(x).
 \texttt{DualOfIndicatorIsSupport} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-}\mathsf{TOPVS} \ . \ \forall C : \texttt{Convex}(V) \ . \ \left(\chi(\bullet|C)\right)^* = \chi^*(\bullet|C)
Proof =
  \left(\chi(\bullet|C)\right)^*(f) = \sup_{x \in V} f(x) - \chi(x|C) = \sup_{x \in C} f(x) = \chi^*(f|C).
 PolarBySupportExpression :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}\text{-TOPVS} . \forall C : \mathtt{Convex}(V) . C^{\wedge} = \{f \in V^* : \chi^*(f|C) \leq 1\}
Proof =
  See convex geometry or consider this a definition.
\texttt{ConjugateIsConvex} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-}\mathsf{TOPVS} \ . \ \forall \phi : V \to \stackrel{\infty}{\mathbb{R}} \ . \ \texttt{ClosedFunction}\Big((V, \mathbf{w}_V^*), \phi^*\Big)
Proof =
  \phi^*(f) = \sup_{x \in V} f(x) - \phi(x) which is supremum of affine functions in f.
  So, \phi^* must be continuous.
  Clearly, the weakest topology there each f(x) is continuous is weak-star topology.
 DoubleConjugateIneq :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R}-TOPVS . \forall \phi : V \to \mathbb{R}^{\infty} . \phi_{V}^{**} \leq \phi
Proof =
  Assume x \in V.
  \phi^{**}(x) = \sup_{f \in V^*} f(x) - \phi^*(x) = \sup_{f \in V^*} \inf_{y \in V} f(x) - f(y) + \phi(y) = \sup_{f \in V^*} \inf_{y \in V} f(x - y) + \phi(y) \leq f(x) + f(x
  \leq \sup_{f \in V^*} f(x - x) + \phi(x) = \sup_{f \in V^*} \phi(x) = \phi(x).
```

```
\texttt{ConjugateIneq} \ :: \ \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-TOPVS} \ . \ \forall \phi, \psi : V \to_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \ . \ \forall \aleph : \phi \leq \psi \ . \ \phi^* \geq \psi^*
\phi^*(f) = \sup_{x \in V} f(v) - \phi(x) \ge \sup_{x \in V} f(v) - \psi(x) = \psi^*(f).
Proof =
 Write n = 2m for m \in \mathbb{N}.
 From previous results f_{|V|}^{2m*} \leq f_{|V|}^{2(m-1)*} \leq \ldots \leq f_{|V|}^{**} \leq f.
 And also f_{|V^*}^{(2m-1)*} \le f_{|V^*}^{(2m-3)*} \le \dots \le f^*.
 But Taking the dual of the last inequality gives f_{|V|}^{2m*} \ge f^{**}.
 So the equality holds f_{|V|}^{2m*} = f^{**}.
{\tt OddConjugatePowerStability} :: \forall V \in \mathbb{R} \text{-}{\tt TOPVS} \; . \; \forall \phi : V \to \stackrel{\infty}{\mathbb{R}} \; . \; \forall n : {\tt Odd} \; . \; \phi_{|V}^{n*} = \phi^*
Proof =
 Write n = 2m - 1 for m \in \mathbb{N}.
 From previous results f_{|V|}^{2(m-1)*} \leq f_{|V|}^{2(m-2)*} \leq \ldots \leq f_{|V|}^{**} \leq f.
 And also f_{|V^*}^{(2m-1)*} \le f_{|V^*}^{(2m-3)*} \le \dots \le f^*.
 But Taking the dual of the first inequality gives f_{|V|}^{(2m-1)*} \geq f^*.
 So the equality holds f_{|V|}^{n*} = f^*.
```

2.2 Affine Minorization

AffineMinorization ::

 $\forall V : \texttt{LocallyConvexSpace}(\mathbb{R}) . \ \forall \phi : \texttt{ProperClosedFunction}(V) . \ \forall v \in V .$

$$. \ \phi(v) = \sup \left\{ A(v) | A \in \mathsf{TAFF}(\mathbb{R}, V, \mathbb{R}), A \le \phi \right\}$$

Proof =

As ϕ is proper we may assume that there is some $v \in V$ such that $\phi(v) \neq +\infty$.

So $v \in \text{dom } \phi$ so $(v, \phi(v) - \varepsilon) \notin \text{epi } \phi$, so we may apply Hahn-Banach theorem.

So there exists a support hyperplane H for epi ϕ at $(v,\phi(v))$ such that $H=\ker A$ and $A(x,\alpha)=B(x)+\alpha\beta$.

Then for any $x \in V$ it holds that $B(x) + \beta \phi(x) \ge 0$.

 β must be nonnegative, otherwise the affine hyperplane H will intersect epi f.

Thus,
$$-\frac{1}{\beta}B(x) \le \phi(x)$$
.

So $-\frac{1}{\beta}B(x)$ is the affine minorant .

3 (Sub)differential Calculus

4 From Optimization to Convex Algebra

Sources

- 1. Convex Analysis R. T. Rockaffeler 1972
- 2. Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability R. R. Phelps 1993
- 3. An Invitation to Convex Functions Theory C. P. Niculescu 2001
- 4. Fundamentals of Convex Analysis J-B Hiriar-Urruty, C. Lemarechal 2004
- 5. Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces H. H. Bauschke, P. L. Combetes 2010
- 6. Convexity and Optimization in Banach Spaces V. Barbu, T. Precupanu 2012