

Leandro Vendramin

# Rings and modules

Notes

Saturday 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2021



Versión compilada el Saturday 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2021 a las 22:35.

Leandro Vendramin  
Brussels, Belgium



# **Part I**

## **Rings**



# Chapter 1

## Rings and ideals

**Definition 1.1.** A **ring** is a set  $R$  with two binary operations, the addition  $R \times R \rightarrow R$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ , and the multiplication  $R \times R \rightarrow R$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ , such that the following properties hold:

- 1)  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group.
- 2)  $(xy)z = x(yz)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .
- 3)  $x(y + z) = xy + xz$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .
- 4)  $(x + y)z = xz + yz$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .
- 5) There exists  $1_R \in R$  such that  $x1_R = 1_Rx = x$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Our definition of a ring is that of a ring with identity. In general one writes the identity element  $1_R$  as 1 if there is no risk of confusion.

**Definition 1.2.** A ring  $R$  is said to be **commutative** if  $xy = yx$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

**Example 1.3.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are commutative rings.

**Example 1.4.** The set

$$\mathbb{R}[X] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i : n \in \mathbb{N}_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of real polynomials in one variable is a commutative ring with the usual operations.

More generally, if  $R$  is a commutative ring, then  $R[X]$  is a commutative ring. This construction allows us to define the polynomial ring  $R[X, Y]$  in two commuting variables  $X$  and  $Y$  and coefficients in  $R$  as  $R[X, Y] = (R[X])[Y]$ . One can also define the ring  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  of real polynomials in  $n$  commuting variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with coefficients in  $R$  as  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n] = (R[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}])[X_n]$ .

**Example 1.5.** If  $A$  is an abelian group, then  $\text{End}(A)$  is a ring with

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad (fg)(x) = f(g(x)), \quad f, g \in \text{End}(A) \text{ and } x \in A.$$

Let  $R$  be a ring. Some facts:

- 1)  $x0 = 0x = x$  for all  $x \in R$ .
- 2)  $x(-y) = -xy$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .
- 3) If  $1 = 0$ , then  $|R| = 1$ .

**Example 1.6.** The real vector space  $H(\mathbb{R}) = \{a1 + bi + cj + dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$  with basis  $\{1, i, j, k\}$  is a ring with the multiplication induced by the formulas

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad ij = k, \quad jk = i, \quad ki = j.$$

As an example, let us perform a calculation in  $H(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$(1 + i + j)(i + k) = i + k - 1 + ik + ji + jk = i + k - 1 - j - k + i = -1 + 2i - j,$$

as  $ij = i(ij) = -j$ . This is the ring of real **quaternions**.

**Example 1.7.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . The abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}/n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  of integers modulo  $n$  is a ring with the usual multiplication modulo  $n$ .

**Example 1.8.** Let  $n \geq 1$ . The set  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  of real  $n \times n$  matrices is a ring with the usual matrix operations. Recall that if  $a = (a_{ij})$  and  $b = (b_{ij})$ , the multiplication  $ab$  is given by

$$(ab)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj}.$$

Similarly, for any ring  $R$  one defines the ring  $M_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients in  $R$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A **subring**  $S$  of  $R$  is a subset  $S$  such that  $(S, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$  such that  $1 \in S$  and if  $x, y \in S$ , then  $xy \in S$ .

Clearly,  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a chain of subrings.

**Example 1.10.**  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . This is known as the ring of **Gauss integers**.

**Example 1.11.**  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 1.12.** If  $R$  is a ring, then the **center**  $Z(R) = \{x \in R : xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in R\}$  is a subring of  $R$ .

If  $S$  is a subring of a ring  $R$ , then the zero element of  $S$  is the zero element of  $R$ , i.e.  $0_R = 0_S$ . Moreover, the additive inverse of an element  $s \in S$  is the additive inverse of  $s$  as an element of  $R$ .

**Exercise 1.13.**

- 1) If  $S$  and  $T$  are subrings of  $R$ , then  $S \cap T$  is a subring of  $R$ .
- 2) If  $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \dots$  is a sequence of subrings of  $R$ , then  $\cup_{i \geq 1} R_i$  is a subring of  $R$ .



**Definition 1.14.** Let  $R$  be a ring. An element  $x \in R$  is a **unit** if there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $xy = yx = 1$ .

The set  $\mathcal{U}(R)$  of units of a ring  $R$  form a group with the multiplication. For example,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}/8) = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ .

**Definition 1.15.** A **division ring** is a ring  $R$  such that  $\mathcal{U}(R) = R \setminus \{0\}$ .

The ring  $H(\mathbb{R})$  real quaternions is a non-commutative division ring. Find the inverse of an arbitrary element  $a1 + bi + cj + dk \in H(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 1.16.** A **field** is a commutative division ring with  $1 \neq 0$ .

Clearly,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are fields. If  $p$  is a prime number, then  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is a field.

**Exercise 1.17.**  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a field. Find the multiplicative inverse of  $x + y\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

More challenging: Prove that

$$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}] = \{x + y\sqrt[3]{2} + z\sqrt[3]{4} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

is a field. What is the inverse of  $x + y\sqrt[3]{2} + z\sqrt[3]{4}$ ?

**Definition 1.18.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A **left ideal** of  $R$  is a subset  $I$  such that  $(I, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +)$  and such that  $RI \subseteq I$ , i.e.  $ry \in I$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $y \in I$ .

Similarly one defines right ideals, one needs to replace the condition  $RI \subseteq I$  by the inclusion  $IR \subseteq I$ .

**Example 1.19.** Let  $R = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{R} & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is a right ideal  $R$  that is not a left ideal.

Can you find an example of a right ideal that is not a left ideal?

**Definition 1.20.** Let  $R$  be a ring. An ideal of  $R$  is a subset that is both a left and a right ideal of  $R$ .

If  $R$  is a ring, then  $\{0\}$  and  $R$  are both ideals of  $R$ .

**Exercise 1.21.** Let  $R$  be a ring.

- 1) If  $\{I_\alpha : \alpha\}$  is a collection of ideals of  $R$ , then  $\cap_\alpha I_\alpha$  is an ideal of  $R$ .
- 2) If  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$  is a sequence of ideals of  $R$ , then  $\cup_{i \geq 1} I_i$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

**Example 1.22.** Let  $R = \mathbb{R}[X]$ . If  $f(X) \in R$ , then the set

$$(f(X)) = \{f(X)g(X) : g(X) \in R\}$$

of multiples of  $f(X)$  is an ideal of  $R$ . One can prove that this is the smallest ideal of  $R$  containing  $f(X)$ .

If  $R$  is a ring and  $X$  is a subset of  $R$ , one defines the ideal generated by  $X$  as the smallest ideal of  $R$  containing  $X$ , that is

$$(X) = \bigcap \{I : I \text{ ideal of } R \text{ such that } X \subseteq I\}.$$

One proves that

$$(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m r_i x_i s_i : m \in \mathbb{N}_0, r_1, \dots, r_m, s_1, \dots, s_m \in R \right\},$$

where by convention the empty sum is equal to zero. If  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a finite set, then we write  $(X) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

xca:ideals\_Z

**Exercise 1.23.** Prove that every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is of the form  $n\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

**Exercise 1.24.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . Find the ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ .

**Exercise 1.25.** Find the ideals of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1.26.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is **principal** if  $I = (x)$  for some  $x \in R$ .

The division algorithm shows that every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is principal, see Exercise 1.23.

**Exercise 1.27.** Prove that every ideal of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  is principal.

If  $K$  is a field, there is a division algorithm in the polynomial ring  $K[X]$ . Then one proves that every ideal of  $K[X]$  is principal.

**Exercise 1.28.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $x \in R$ . Prove that  $x \in \mathcal{U}(R)$  if and only if  $(x) = R$ .

One proves that a field has only two ideals.

**Definition 1.29.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. A map  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is a **ring homomorphism** if  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Our definition of a ring is that of a ring with identity. This means that the identity element 1 of a ring  $R$  is part of the structure. For that reason, in the definition of a ring homomorphism  $f$  one needs  $f(1) = 1$ .

**Example 1.30.** The map  $f: \mathbb{Z}/6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6$ ,  $x \mapsto 3x$ , is not a ring homomorphism because  $f(1) = 3$ .

If  $R$  is a ring, then the identity map  $\text{id}: R \rightarrow R$ ,  $x \mapsto x$ , is a ring homomorphism.

**Example 1.31.** The inclusions  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are ring homomorphisms.

More generally, if  $S$  is a subring of a ring  $R$ , then the inclusion map  $S \hookrightarrow R$  is a ring homomorphism.

**Example 1.32.** Let  $R$  be a ring. The map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R, k \mapsto k1$ , is a ring homomorphism.

**Example 1.33.** Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . The evaluation map  $\mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \mapsto f(x_0)$ , is a ring homomorphism.

The **kernel** of a ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is the subset

$$\ker f = \{x \in R : f(x) = 0\}.$$

One proves that the kernel of  $f$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Moreover,  $\ker f = \{0\}$  if and only if  $f$  is injective. The image

$$f(R) = \{f(x) : x \in R\}$$

is a subring of  $S$ . In general,  $f(R)$  is not an ideal of  $S$ .

**Example 1.34.** The map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}), a + bi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , is an injective ring homomorphism.

**Example 1.35.** The map  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/5, a + bi \mapsto a + 2b \bmod 5$ , is a ring homomorphism with  $\ker f = \{a + bi : a + 2b \equiv 0 \bmod 5\}$ .

**Exercise 1.36.** There is no ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}/6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/15$ . Why?

**Exercise 1.37.** If  $f: \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a ring homomorphism such that the restriction  $f|_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $f$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$  is the identity, then there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f$  is the evaluation map at  $x_0$ .

We now define ring quotients. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/I$  is an abelian group with

$$(x + I) + (y + I) = (x + y) + I$$

and the **canonical map**  $R \rightarrow R/I, x \mapsto x + I$ , is a surjective group homomorphism. Recall that  $R/I$  is the set of cosets  $x + I$ , where  $x + I = y + I$  if and only if  $x - y \in I$ . Note that here we only used that  $I$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$ . We need an ideal to put a ring structure on the set  $R/I$  of cosets modulo  $I$ . As in the case of integers, we use the following notation. For  $x, y \in R$  we write

$$x \equiv y \bmod I \iff x - y \in I.$$

How can we put a ring structure on  $R/I$ ? It makes sense to define a multiplication on  $R/I$  in such a way that the canonical map  $R \rightarrow R/I$  is a surjective ring homomorphism. For that purpose, we define

$$(x + I)(y + I) = (xy) + I.$$

Since  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , this multiplication is well-defined. In fact, let  $x + I = x_1 + I$  and  $y + I = y_1 + I$ . We want to show that  $xy + I = x_1y_1 + I$ . Since  $x - x_1 \in I$ ,

$$xy - x_1y = (x - x_1)y \in I$$

because  $I$  is a right ideal. Similarly, since  $y - y_1 \in I$ , it follows that

$$x_1y - x_1y_1 = x_1(y - y_1) \in I,$$

as  $I$  is a left ideal. Thus

$$xy - x_1y_1 = xy - x_1y + x_1y - x_1y_1 = (x - x_1)y + x_1(y - y_1) \in I.$$

**Theorem 1.38.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/I$  with*

$$(x + I) + (y + I) = (x + y) + I, \quad (x + I)(y + I) = (xy) + I,$$

*is a ring and the canonical map  $R \rightarrow R/I$ ,  $x \mapsto x + I$ , is a surjective ring homomorphism with kernel  $I$ .*

We have already seen that the multiplication is well-defined. The rest of the proof is left as an exercise.

**Example 1.39.** Let  $R = (\mathbb{Z}/3)[X]$  and  $I = (2X^2 + X + 2)$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by the polynomial  $2X^2 + X + 2$ . If  $f(X) \in R$ , the division algorithm allows us to write

$$f(X) = (2X^2 + X + 2)q(X) + r(X),$$

for some  $q(X), r(X) \in R$ , where either  $r(X) = 0$  or  $\deg r(X) < 2$ . This means that  $r(X) = aX + b$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . Note that  $f(X) \equiv aX + b \pmod{(2X^2 + X + 2)}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/3$ , so the quotient ring  $R/I$  has nine elements.

As it happens in the case of groups, to understand quotient rings one has the first isomorphism theorem.

**Theorem 1.40 (first isomorphism theorem).** *If  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $R/\ker f \simeq f(R)$ .*

This is somewhat similar to the result one knows from group theory. One needs to show that the map  $R/I \rightarrow f(R)$ ,  $x + I \mapsto f(x)$ , is a well-defined bijective ring homomorphism.

**Example 1.41.** The evaluation map  $\mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f(X) \mapsto f(i)$ , is a surjective ring homomorphism with kernel  $(X^2 + 1)$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

by the first isomorphism theorem. In practice, this is how it works. Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . The division algorithm on  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  allows us to write

$$f(X) = (X^2 + 1)q(X) + r(X)$$

for some  $q(X), r(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , where  $r(X) = 0$  or  $\deg r(X) < 2$ . Thus  $r(X) = aX + b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that

$$f(X) \equiv aX + b \pmod{(X^2 + 1)}.$$

It is quite easy to describe the ring operation of  $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + 1)$ . Clearly

$$(aX + b) + (cX + d) \equiv (a + c)X + (b + d) \pmod{(X^2 + 1)},$$

Since  $X^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{(X^2 + 1)}$ ,

$$(aX + b)(cX + d) \equiv X(ad + bc) + (bd - ac),$$

which reminds us the usual multiplication rule of the field of complex numbers.

**Example 1.42.** Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

A direct calculation shows that the map  $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a$ , is a surjective ring homomorphism with  $\ker f = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$ . Thus  $R/\ker f \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Exercise 1.43.** Let  $R$  be the ring of continuous maps  $[0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that the set  $I = \{f \in R : f(1) = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  and that  $R/I \simeq \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 1.44.** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Prove that  $M_n(I)$  is an ideal of  $M_n(R)$  and that  $M_n(R)/M_n(I) \simeq M_n(R/I)$ .

**Exercise 1.45.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$  and  $I = (2, \sqrt{10})$ . Prove that  $R/I \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

**Exercise 1.46.** Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1 + 3i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/10$ .

**Exercise 1.47.** Prove that there is no ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I \simeq \mathbb{Z}/15$ .

As it happens in group theory, one has the following important result.

**Theorem 1.48 (correspondence theorem).** *Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a surjective ring homomorphism. There exists a bijective correspondence between the set of ideals of  $R$  containing  $\ker f$  and the set of ideals of  $S$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  containing  $\ker f$  and let  $J$  be an ideal of  $S$ . We need to prove the following facts:

- 1)  $f(I)$  is an ideal of  $S$ .
- 2)  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing  $\ker f$ .
- 3)  $f(f^{-1}(J)) = J$  and  $f^{-1}(f(I)) = I$ .
- 4) If  $f(I) = J$ , then  $R/I \simeq S/J$ .

We only prove the fourth statement, the others are left as exercises. Note that the third claim implies that  $f(I) = J$  if and only if  $I = f^{-1}(J)$ . Let  $\pi: S \rightarrow S/J$  be the canonical map. The composition  $g = \pi \circ f: R \rightarrow S/J$  is a ring homomorphism and

$$\ker g = \{x \in R : g(x) = 0\} = \{x \in R : f(x) \in J\} = \{x \in R : x \in f^{-1}(J) = I\} = I.$$

Since  $g(R) = S/J$ , the first isomorphism theorem implies that  $R/I \simeq S/J$ .  $\square$

## Chapter 2

### Chinese remainder theorem

Note that if  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals of  $R$ , then

$$I + J = \{u + v : u \in I, v \in J\}$$

is an ideal of  $R$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. The ideals  $I$  and  $J$  of  $R$  are said to be **coprime** if  $R = I + J$ .

The terminology is motivated by the following example. If  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $I = (a)$  and  $J = (b)$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$a$  and  $b$  are coprime  $\iff 1 = ra + sb$  for some  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \iff I$  and  $J$  are coprime.

If  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals of  $R$ , then

$$IJ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m u_i v_i : m \in \mathbb{N}_0, u_1, \dots, u_m \in I, v_1, \dots, v_m \in J \right\}$$

is an ideal of  $R$ . Note that  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ . The equality does not hold in general. Take for example  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $I = J = (2)$ . Then  $IJ = (4) \subsetneq (2) = I \cap J$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $I$  and  $J$  are coprime ideals, then  $IJ = I \cap J$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in I \cap J$ . Since  $I$  and  $J$  are coprime,  $1 = u + v$  for some  $u \in I$  and  $v \in J$ ,  $x = x1 = x(u + v) = xu + xv \in IJ$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3 (chinese remainder theorem).** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $I$  and  $J$  be coprime ideals. If  $u, v \in R$ , then there exists  $x \in R$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv u \pmod{I}, \\ x \equiv v \pmod{J}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since the ideals  $I$  and  $J$  are coprime,  $1 = a + b$  for some  $a \in I$  and  $b \in J$ . Let  $x = av + bu$ . Then

$$x - u = av + (b - 1)u = av - au = a(v - u) \in I,$$

that is  $x \equiv u \pmod{I}$ . Similarly,  $x - v \in J$  and  $x \equiv v \pmod{J}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $I$  and  $J$  are coprime ideals of  $R$ , then  $R/(I \cap J) \simeq R/I \times R/J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_I: R \rightarrow R/I$  and  $\pi_J: R \rightarrow R/J$  be the canonical maps. A straightforward calculation shows that the map  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R/I \times R/J, x \mapsto (\pi_I(x), \pi_J(x))$ , is an injective ring homomorphism with  $\ker \varphi = I \cap J$ . The chinese remainder theorem implies that  $\varphi$  is surjective. If  $(u + I, v + J) \in R/I \times R/J$ , then there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $x - u \in I$  and  $x - v \in J$ . This translates into the surjectivity of  $\varphi$ . Now  $R/(I \cap J) \simeq R/I \times R/J$  by the first isomorphism theorem.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals of  $R$ . Then

$$I_1 \cdots I_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_n} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_n} \in I_{i_j} \right\}$$

is an ideal of  $R$ . If  $I_1$  and  $I_j$  are coprime for all  $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $I_1$  and  $I_2 \cdots I_n$  are coprime. If  $I_i$  and  $I_j$  are coprime whenever  $i \neq j$ , then

$$R/(I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_n) \simeq R/I_1 \times \cdots \times R/I_n.$$

**Exercise 2.5 (Lagrange's interpolation theorem).** The chinese remainder theorem proves the following well-known result. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $x_i \neq x_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists  $f(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that

$$\begin{cases} f(X) \equiv y_1 \pmod{(X - x_1)}, \\ f(X) \equiv y_2 \pmod{(X - x_2)}, \\ \vdots \\ f(X) \equiv y_k \pmod{(X - x_k)}. \end{cases}$$

The solution  $f(X)$  is unique modulo  $(X - x_1)(X - x_2) \cdots (X - x_n)$ .

xca:gather\_people

**Exercise 2.6.** Let us gather people in the following way. When I count by three, there are two persons left. When I count by four, there is one person left over and when I count by five there is one missing. How many persons are there?

xca:no\_solution

**Exercise 2.7.** Prove that

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 29 \pmod{52}, \\ x \equiv 19 \pmod{72}. \end{cases}$$

does not have solution.



`xca:consecutive`

**Exercise 2.8.** Find three consecutive integers such that the first one is divisible by a square, the second one is divisible by a cube and the third one is divisible by a fourth power.

`xca:perfect_square`

**Exercise 2.9.** Prove that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $n$  consecutive integers such that each integer is divisible by a perfect square  $\neq 1$ .



## Chapter 3

### Noetherian rings

In this chapter we will work with commutative rings.

**Definition 3.1.** A ring  $R$  is said to be **noetherian** if every (increasing) sequence  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$  of ideals of  $R$  stabilizes, that is  $I_n = I_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $n \geq m$ .

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers is noetherian.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $R = \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  with

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad (fg)(x) = f(x)g(x), \quad f, g \in R, x \in [0, 1].$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $I_n = \{f \in R : f|_{[0, 1/n]} = 0\}$ . Then each  $I_n$  is an ideal of  $R$  and the sequence  $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \cdots$  does not stabilize. Thus  $R$  is not noetherian.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $R$  be a ring. An ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be **finitely generated** if  $I = (X)$  for some finite subset  $X$  of  $R$ .

The zero ideal is always finitely generated.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is noetherian if and only if every ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Assume first that  $R$  is noetherian. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  that is not finitely generated. Thus  $I \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $x_1 \in I \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $I_1 = (x_1)$ . Since  $I$  is not finitely generated,  $I \neq I_1$  and hence  $\{0\} \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I$ . Once I have the ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_{k-1}$ , let  $x_k \in I \setminus I_{k-1}$  (such an element exists because  $I_{k-1}$  is finitely generated and  $I$  is not) and  $I_k = (I_{k-1}, x_k)$ . The sequence  $\{0\} \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \cdots$  does not stabilize.

Assume now that every ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated and let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$  be a sequence of ideals of  $R$ . Then  $I = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} I_i$  is an ideal of  $R$ , so it is finitely generated, say  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We may assume that  $x_j \in I_{j_j}$  for all  $j$ . Let  $N = \max\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$  and  $n \geq N$ . Then  $I_N \subseteq I \subseteq I_N$  and therefore the sequence stabilizes.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.5.** Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, \dots]$  be the ring of polynomial in an infinite number of commuting variables. Prove that the ideal  $I = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$  of polynomials with zero constant term is not finitely generated.

The correspondence theorem and the previous proposition allow us to prove easily the following result.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  is noetherian, then  $R/I$  is noetherian.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/I$  be the canonical surjection and let  $J$  be an ideal of  $R/I$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing  $I$ . Since  $R$  is noetherian,  $\pi^{-1}(J)$  is finitely generated, say  $\pi^{-1}(J) = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in R$ . Thus

$$J = \pi(\pi^{-1}(J)) = (\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_k))$$

and hence  $J$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is noetherian,  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is noetherian for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**Exercise 3.7.** Prove that  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  is noetherian.

**Theorem 3.8 (Hilbert).** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $R$  is noetherian ring, then  $R[X]$  is noetherian.*

*Proof.* We need to show that every ideal of  $R[X]$  is finitely generated. Assume that there is an ideal  $I$  of  $R[X]$  that is not finitely generated. In particular,  $I \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $f_1(X) \in I \setminus \{0\}$  be of minimal degree. For  $i > 1$  let  $f_i(X) \in I$  be of minimal degree such that  $f_i(X) \notin (f_1(X), \dots, f_{i-1}(X))$  (note that such an  $f_i(X)$  exists because  $I$  is not finitely generated). For each  $i$  let  $a_i$  be the leading coefficient of  $f_i(X)$ , that is

$$f_i(X) = a_i X^{n_i} + \dots,$$

where the dots denote lowest degree terms. Note that  $a_i \neq 0$ . Let  $J = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ . Since  $R$  is noetherian, the sequence

$$(a_1) \subseteq (a_1, a_2) \subseteq \dots (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \subseteq \dots$$

stabilizes, so  $J$  is finitely generated, say  $J = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exist  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in R$  such that

$$a_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i a_i.$$

Let

$$g(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(X) X^{n_{m+1}-n_i} \in (f_1(X), \dots, f_m(X)).$$

The leading coefficient of  $g(X)$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^m u_i a_i = a_{m+1}$  and, moreover, the degree of  $g(X)$  is  $n_{m+1}$ . Thus  $\deg(g(X)) < n_{m+1}$ . Since  $f_{m+1}(X) \notin (f_1(X), \dots, f_m(X))$ ,

$$g(X) - f_{m+1}(X) \notin (f_1(X), \dots, f_m(X)),$$

a contradiction to the minimality of the degree of  $f_{m+1}$ .  $\square$

Since  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n] = (R[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}])[X_n]$ , by induction one proves that if  $R$  is a commutative noetherian ring, then  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is noetherian.

**Example 3.9.** Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is noetherian, so is  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  by Hilbert's theorem. Now  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{N}]$  is noetherian, as  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{N}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^2 - N)$  and quotients of noetherian rings are noetherian.

**Example 3.10.** The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$  is noetherian, as  $\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(XY - 1)$ .

**Exercise 3.11.** Prove that  $R[[X]]$  is noetherian if  $R$  is noetherian.

**Exercise 3.12.** Let  $f: R \rightarrow R$  be surjective ring homomorphism. Prove that  $f$  is an isomorphism if  $R$  is noetherian.



## Chapter 4

### Factorization

**Definition 4.1.** A commutative ring  $R$  is said to be an **integral domain** if  $xy = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .

The rings  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are both integral domains. More generally, if  $N$  is a square-free integer, then the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{N}]$  is an integral domain. The ring  $\mathbb{Z}/4$  of integers modulo 4 is not an integral domain.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $x$  **divides**  $y$  if  $y = xz$  for some  $z \in R$ . Notation:  $x \mid y$  if and only if  $x$  divides  $y$ . If  $x$  does not divide  $y$  one writes  $x \nmid y$ .

Note that  $x \mid y$  if and only if  $(y) \subseteq (x)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $x$  and  $y$  are **associate** in  $R$  if  $x = yu$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ .

Note that  $x$  and  $y$  are associate if and only if  $(x) = (y)$ .

**Example 4.4.** The integers 2 and  $-2$  are associate in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 4.5.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

- 1) Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a + ib \in R$ . Then  $d \mid a + ib$  in  $R$  if and only if  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 2) 2 and  $-2i$  are associate in  $R$ .

**Example 4.6.** Let  $R = \mathbb{R}[X]$  and  $f(X) \in R$ . Then  $f(X)$  and  $\lambda f(X)$  are associate in  $R$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $x$  is **irreducible** if and only if  $x \notin \mathcal{U}(R)$  and  $x = ab$  with  $a, b \in R$  implies that  $a \in \mathcal{U}(R)$  or  $b \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ .

Note that  $x$  is irreducible if and only if  $(x) \neq R$  and there is no principal ideal  $(y)$  such that  $(x) \subsetneq (y) \subsetneq R$ .

**Example 4.8.** Let  $R = \mathbb{R}[X]$  and  $f(X) \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $f(X)$  is irreducible if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  or  $\lambda f(X)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  are the only divisors of  $f(X)$ .

The irreducibles of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the prime numbers.

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $p \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $p$  is **prime** if  $p \notin \mathcal{U}(R)$  and  $yz \in (p)$  implies that  $y \in (p)$  or  $z \in (p)$ .

In  $\mathbb{Z}$  primes and irreducible coincide. This does not happen in full generality. However, the following result holds.

**Proposition 4.10.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $x \in R$ . If  $x$  is prime, then  $x$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime. Then  $p \neq 0$  and  $p \notin \mathcal{U}(R)$ . Let  $x$  be such that  $x \mid p$ . Then  $p = xy$  for some  $y \in R$ . This means  $xy \in (p)$ , so  $x \in (p)$  or  $y \in (p)$  because  $p$  is prime. If  $x \in (p)$ , then  $x = pz$  for some  $z \in R$  and hence

$$p = xy = (pz)y.$$

Since  $p - pzy = p(1 - zy)$  and  $R$  is an integral domain, it follows that  $1 - zy = 0$ . Thus  $y \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ . Similarly, if  $y \in (p)$ , then  $x \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ .  $\square$

To show that there rings where some irreducibles are not prime, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a square-free integer and  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{N}]$ . The map

$$N: R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad a + b\sqrt{N} \mapsto |a^2 - Nb^2|,$$

satisfies the following properties:

- 1)  $N(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .
- 2)  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ .
- 3)  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{N}])$  if and only if  $N(\alpha) = 1$ .
- 4) If  $N(\alpha)$  is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $R$ .

*Proof.* The first three items are left as an exercises. Let us prove 4). If  $\alpha = \beta\gamma$  for some  $\beta, \gamma \in R$ , then  $N(\alpha) = N(\beta)N(\gamma)$ . Since  $N(\alpha)$  is a prime number, it follows that  $N(\alpha) = 1$  or  $N(\beta) = 1$ . Thus  $\beta \in \mathcal{U}(R)$  or  $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.12.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

- 1)  $\mathcal{U}(R) = \{-1, 1, i, -i\}$ .
- 2) 3 is irreducible in  $R$ . In fact, if  $3 = \alpha\beta$ , then  $9 = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ . This implies that  $N(\alpha) \in \{1, 3, 9\}$ . Write  $\alpha = a + bi$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $N(\alpha) = 1$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(R)$  by the lemma. If  $N(\alpha) = 9$ , then  $N(\beta) = 1$  and hence  $\beta \in \mathcal{U}(R)$  by the lemma. Finally, if  $N(\alpha) = 3$ , then  $a^2 + b^2 = 3$ , which is a contradiction since  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 3) 2 is not irreducible in  $R$ . In fact,  $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$  and since

$$N(1 + i) = N(1 - i) = 2,$$

it follows that  $1 + i \notin \mathcal{U}(R)$  and  $1 - i \notin \mathcal{U}(R)$ .



**Exercise 4.13.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ .

- 1) Prove that  $1 + \sqrt{-5}$  and  $1 - \sqrt{-5}$  are irreducible in  $R$ .
- 2) Prove that  $2, 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}$  and  $1 - \sqrt{-5}$  are not associate in  $R$ .

**Example 4.14.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  and  $x = 1 + \sqrt{-3}$ .

- 1)  $x$  is irreducible. If  $x = \alpha\beta$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ , then  $4 = N(x) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ . Write  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-3}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $N(\alpha) = a^2 + 3b^2 \neq 2$ . If  $N(\alpha) = 2$ , then  $a^2 + 3b^2 = 2$  and then  $a$  and  $b$  both have the same parity. If both  $a$  and  $b$  are even, say  $a = 2k$  and  $b = 2l$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$2 = a^2 + 3b^2 = 4k^2 + 12l^2$$

is divisible by 4, a contradiction.

If both  $a$  and  $b$  are odd, say  $a = 2k + 1$  and  $b = 2l + 1$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$2 = a^2 + 3b^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 12l^2 + 12l + 4$$

is divisible by 4, a contradiction.

- 2)  $x$  is not prime. Note that  $(1 + \sqrt{-3})(1 - \sqrt{-3}) = 4$ , then  $x$  divides  $4 = 2 \cdot 2$ . But  $1 + \sqrt{-3} \nmid 2$ , as

$$(a - 3b) + (a + b)\sqrt{3} = (1 + \sqrt{-3})(a + b\sqrt{-3}) = 2$$

implies that  $a - 3b = 2$  and  $a + b = 0$ , which yields  $a = 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , a contradiction.

**Exercise 4.15.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ . Prove that  $1 + \sqrt{5}$  is irreducible and not prime in  $R$ .

**Definition 4.16.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then  $R$  is **principal** (or a principal domain) if every ideal of  $R$  is principal.

The rings  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  are both principal.

**Example 4.17.** The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not principal. For example, the ideal  $I = (2, X)$  is not principal.

First note that  $I \neq \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . In fact, if  $I = \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , then  $1 = 2f(X) + Xg(X)$  for some  $f(X), g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then

$$0 = \deg(1) = \deg(2f(X) + Xg(X)) = \deg(f(X)) + \deg(g(X)) + 1 > 0,$$

a contradiction.

If  $I = (h(X))$  for some  $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , then  $2 = h(X)g(X)$  for some  $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . This implies that  $\deg(h(X)) = 0$ , so  $h(X) = h(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $2 = h(1)g(1)$  and hence  $h(1) \in \{-1, 1, 2, 2\}$ . Since  $I \neq \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , it follows that  $h(X) = h(1) \notin \{-1, 1\}$ . Now  $X = h(X)f(X)$  for some  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . In particular,  $\deg(f(X)) = 1$ , so we may assume that  $f(X) = a_0 + a_1X$  for  $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$X = \pm 2f(X) = \pm 2(a_0 + a_1X)$$

and therefore  $\pm 1/2 = a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a contradiction.

**Example 4.18.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ . The ideal  $I = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$  is not principal, so  $R$  is not principal.

## **Chapter 5**

### **Zorn 's lemma**



## **Chapter 6**

### **Some solutions**

**Rings and ideals**

**Chinese remainder theorem**

**Noetherian rings**

**Factorization**

**Zorn's lemma**



## References





# Index

- Center
  - of ring, 4
- Chinese remainder theorem, 11
- Field, 5
- Gauss integers, 4
- Ideal, 5
  - left, 5
- Integral domain, 19
- Ring, 3
  - commutative, 3
  - division, 5
  - homomorphism, 6
- Subring, 4
- Units, 5