

We again will be dealing with divide-and-conquer algorithms.

When we left off last time, we were discussing two divide-and-conquer algorithms for multiplying  $n \times n$  matrices:

- Straightforward approach with 8 recursive multiplications:

$$- \underline{T(n) = 8T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)}$$

- Strassen's approach with 7 recursive multiplications:

$$- \underline{T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)}$$

The recursion trees of these algorithms are more complicated to analyze than in other examples we looked at last time.

$$T(n) = 7T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n^2)$$

levels

0

1

2

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

Steps

$n^2$

$$7 \cdot \frac{n^2}{4} = \frac{7}{4} n^2$$

$$7^2 \cdot \frac{n^2}{4^2} = \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^2 n^2$$

$$\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^i n^2$$



Sum this column.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^i n^2 = n^2 \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^i}_{\text{geometric series}}$$

$$= n^2 \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\log_2 n + 1} - 1}{\frac{7}{4} - 1} \right]$$

$O(n)$   
↑  
little oh

$$O(n^3)$$

↑  
little oh

Another approach which can help us to analyze the running time of a divide-and-conquer algorithm is known as the **master method**:

Suppose the running time of an algorithm is of the form  $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$  where  $a \geq 1$  and  $b > 1$  are constants and  $f(n)$  is an asymptotically positive function.

Intuitively, we will compare the asymptotic growths of the functions  $f(n)$  and  $n^{\log_b a}$ , and in many cases we will be able to directly determine the asymptotic growth of  $T(n)$ .

$f(n) \approx \# \text{ of steps in one subproblem}$

$n^{\log_b a} \approx \# \text{ of subproblems}$

Suppose  $T(n) = a \cdot T(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$  as defined above.

Then  $T(n)$  has the following asymptotic bounds,

1) If  $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$   
 *$f(n)$  is smaller than  $n^{\log_b a}$*

2) If  $f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ , then  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \cdot \log n)$ .  
 *$f(n)$  is same as  $n^{\log_b a}$*   $\uparrow$  don't forget the log factor.

3) If  $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and if  
 $a \cdot f(\frac{n}{b}) \leq c \cdot f(n)$  for a  $c < 1$ , then  $T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$ .  
 *$f(n)$  is bigger*  $\uparrow$  regularity condition

Ex:  $T(n) = 4T(\frac{n}{2}) + n$   
 $a=4, b=2, n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 4} = n^2, f(n) \in n^1$

$n^1 \in O(n^{2-\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon=1$ . We could have picked any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

Case 1 holds  $\Rightarrow$   $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$ .

Merge Sort:  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$

- We showed via recursion tree and proof by induction that the running time of merge sort is  $\Theta(n \log n)$ .
- By master method:

$$a=2, b=2, n^{\log_2 2} = n^1$$

$$f(n) = n^1$$

$$\text{Case 2 holds} \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$$

Computing  $n$ th power of  $a$ :  $T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$

- We showed via recursion tree that the running time of ~~merge sort~~ is  $\Theta(\log n)$ .
- By master method:

$$a=1, b=2, n^{\log_2 1} = n^0$$

$$f(n) = n^0$$

$$\text{Case 2 holds} \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(\log n)$$

## Multiplying Matrices:

- Straightforward approach with 8 recursive multiplications:

$$- T(n) = 8T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$

$$a=8, b=2, n^{\log_2 8} = n^3$$

$$f(n) = n^2$$

$$n^2 \in O(n^{3-\epsilon}) \text{ for } \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}. \text{ Any } \epsilon \in (0, 1] \text{ works.}$$

$$\text{Case 1 holds} \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^3).$$

- Strassen's approach with 7 recursive multiplications:

$$- T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$

$$a=7, b=2, n^{\log_2 7}$$

$$f(n) = n^2$$

$$n^2 \in O(n^{\log_2 7 - \epsilon}) \text{ for } \epsilon = 0.8$$

$$\text{Case 1 holds} \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_2 7})$$

$$T(n) = 4T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n^3$$

$$a=4, b=2, n^{\log_2 4} = n^2$$

$$f(n) = n^3$$

$$n^3 \in \Omega(n^{2+\epsilon}) \text{ for } \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Regularity Condition:  $a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \leq c \cdot f(n)$  for  $c < 1$ .  
↑ strict.

$$4\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^3 = 4\frac{n^3}{8} = \frac{1}{2}n^3$$

↑  
c

Case 3 holds,  
 $T(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$ .

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \log n.$$

$$a=2, b=2, n^{\log_2 2} = n^1.$$

$$f(n) = n \log n$$

$n \log n \in \Omega(n^{1+\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Does an  $\epsilon$  exist?

Note:  $n^{1+\epsilon} = n^1 \cdot n^\epsilon \Rightarrow n \log n$  vs  $n^1 \cdot n^\epsilon \Rightarrow \log n$  vs  $n^\epsilon$   
 $\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   
 $\log$  polynomial

No  $\epsilon$  exists! Master Theorem does not apply.

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n^2 \log n$$

$$a=2, b=2, n^{\log_2 2} = n^1$$

$$f(n) = n^2 \log n$$

$n^2 \log n \in \Omega(n^{1+\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Does an  $\epsilon$  exist?

$n^1 \cdot n^1 \log n$  vs  $n^1 \cdot n^\epsilon \Rightarrow n^1 \log n$  vs  $n^\epsilon$ . We want  $n^1 \log n$  to be bigger than  $n^\epsilon$ . What  $\epsilon$  can I pick?  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$

$\epsilon = 1$  works.

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{n^2}{\log n}$$

$$n^{\log_2 2} = n^1$$

$$f(n) = \frac{n^2}{\log n}$$

$$\frac{n^2}{\log n} \in \Omega(n^{1+\epsilon})$$

Is there an  $\epsilon > 0$ ?

$\frac{n^2}{\log n}$  vs  $n^1 \cdot n^\epsilon = \frac{n}{\log n}$  vs  $n^\epsilon$   
 We want  $\epsilon$  so that  $\frac{n}{\log n}$  is bigger than  $n^\epsilon$ .

We can pick any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ .