# Something Something

#### **Fmoc**

### November 12, 2024

There are something I should have learnt back in my first two years as an undergraduate.

### 1 Polynomials

### 1.1 Resultant and Discriminant

Let K be a field. We want to know when are two polynomials  $f,g\in K[X]$  coprime.

*Proof.* If 
$$(f,g) \neq 1$$
, then put  $u = g/(f,g)$ ,  $v = f/(f,g)$ .  
 If  $(f,g) = 1$  and  $fu = gv$ , then  $u \mid g, v \mid f$ , so  $g/u = f/v$  divides  $(f,g) = 1$ , meaning  $u = g, v = f$ .

Now assume fu=gv for some  $u,v\in K[X]$  with  $\deg u<\deg g,\deg v<\deg f$ . Lemma 1.1 shows that,  $(f,g)\neq 1$  iff fu=gv has nonzero solution. This is a linear equation in the K-vector space  $K\oplus KX\oplus\cdots\oplus KX^{m+n-1}$ , and it has a nonzero solution iff and only if the discriminant is zero.

**Definition 1.** Let A be a commutative ring,  $f,g \in A[X]$ . We define the **resultant** of  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i X^i$  and  $g = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i X^j$  to be<sup>1</sup>

$$\operatorname{res}_X(f,g) := \begin{vmatrix} a_n & \cdots & a_0 \\ & a_n & \cdots & a_0 \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & a_n & \cdots & a_0 \\ \\ b_m & \cdots & b_0 \\ & b_m & \cdots & b_0 \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & b_m & \cdots & b_0 \end{vmatrix},$$

a determinant of an  $(n+m) \times (n+m)$ -matrix over A.

So we can rephrase Lemma 1.1 into:  $f,g \in K[X]$  are coprime if and only if their resultant  $\operatorname{res}_X(f,g) \neq 0$ . Now assume that both f and g split in K. Then  $(f,g) \neq 1 \iff f$  and g share at least one same root. This suggests that  $\operatorname{res}_X(f,g)$  should be divided by all x-y, where x is a root of f and g is a root of g; multiplicity are considered here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Of course, we require deg f = n and deg g = m.

**Theorem 1.** If  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - x_i)$  and  $g = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j X^j = \prod_{j=1}^m (X - y_j)$ , are polynomials that splits in K, then

$$\operatorname{res}_X(f,g) = a_n^m b_m^n \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (x_i - y_j).$$

In particular, we can study if a polynomial has multiple roots (in its splitting field) using resultant.

**Definition 2.** Let A be a commutative ring and  $f(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_0 \in A[X]$ . The **discriminant** of f is

$$\operatorname{disc}(f) := \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}}{a_n}\operatorname{res}_X(f,f') \in A,$$

where  $f'(X) = na_n X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1$  is the derivative of f.

Note that  $\operatorname{res}_X(f,f')$  is a multiple of  $a_n$ , because its first column is  ${}^t(a_n\ 0\ \cdots\ 0\ na_n\ 0\ \cdots\ 0)$ , and we require  $a_n\neq 0$ . Thus  $\operatorname{disc}(f)$  is well-defined.

So f has multiple roots iff disc(f) = 0.

**Example 1.** (1) If  $f(X) = aX^2 + bX + c$ , then  $disc(f) = -\frac{res_X(f, f')}{a} = b^2 - 4ac$ .

(2) If 
$$f(X) = X^3 + pX + q$$
, then  $\operatorname{disc}(f) = -\operatorname{res}_X(f, f') = -(4p^3 + 27q^2)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $f(X) = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_0 \in K[X]$ , then

$$\operatorname{disc}(f) = a_n^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_i - x_j)^2,$$

where  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are all the roots of f in a fixed splitting field with multiplicity counted.

Proof. By Theorem 1,

$$\operatorname{res}_X(f,g) = a_n^m \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i).$$

Use this to compute.

## 2 Elementary Number Theory

### 2.1 Valuation of Binomial Coefficients

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ , then

$$v_p(n!) = \sum_{i>1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor.$$

*Proof.* Think and you'll find it trivial.

Corollary 2.1. Let  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , then

$$v_p\left(\binom{a+b}{b}\right) = \sum_{i>1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{a+b}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{b}{p^i} \right\rfloor \right). \quad \Box$$

**Corollary 2.2** (Kummer). Expand  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$  in base p, then

$$v_p\left(\binom{a+b}{b}\right)=$$
 # of carries when compute  $a+b$  in base  $p$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $n = \sum_{i \geq 0} n_i p^i$  for  $0 \leq n_i \leq p-1$ , then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \frac{n - (n_0 + n_1 p + \dots + n_{i-1} p^{i-1})}{p^i}.$$

By definition, there is a carry at  $p^i$  in a+b means that

$$(a_0 + a_1p + \dots + a_{i-1}p^{i-1}) + (b_0 + b_1p + \dots + b_{i-1}p^{i-1}) \ge p^i.$$

So Proposition 2.1 gives the result.