

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I AM WRITING IN REGARD TO THE ISSUSE OF DIRECT COMMENT TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ON THE SUBJECT OF FOR HIRE VEHICLES CASH OR CROP SHARE LEASES.

UNDER A CROP SHARE LEASE THE TITLE OF THE CROP BELONGS TO THE TENANT UNTIL THE LANDORDS SHARE IS SEPERATED AND IS PAID TO HIM. IT DOES NOT MATTER WHETHER A LANDLORD PAYS A TENANT FOR TAKING THE CROP TO MARKET. NAMES ON AN ELEVATOR TICKET DOES NOT MEAN WHO OWNS THE CROP OR THE SHARE OF THE CROP. A NOT FOR HIRE TRUCK SUCH AS A FARMERS HAUL THEIR CROP AS PART OF THEIR BUSINESS. A FOR HIRE TRUCK IS PAID FOR HIS GOODS TRANSPORTATION.

FARM EQUIPMENT WAS NEVER INTENDED TO BE THE SUBJECT OF MOTTOR CARRIER RULES..TODAYS FARMING WOULD BE BROUGHT TO A SNAILS PACE IF FARM IMPLEMENTS ARE CONSIDERED COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLES AS THIS WOULD LIMIT THE EFFICIENCY AND SIZE OF FARMS

EXPORT ALONE DOES NOT BRING HIGH PRICES. AN ELEVATOR MATY HAVE SEVERAL CHOICE FOR GRAIN ON ANY DAY. MANY ELEVATORS PROCESS FEED OR ETHANOL AT THEIR OWN LOCATION AND MAY BE MORE COMPETIVE.

IF THIS PLAN DOES PASS IT COULD BE VERY COSTLY TO THE CONSUMER AND TO THE PRODUCER.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION
John Daily
306 East Park
Arthur, Illinois