

```

TongdeMacBook-Pro:tonytian_hw2b tong$ g++ main.cpp
TongdeMacBook-Pro:tonytian_hw2b tong$ ./a.out 10000 20000 50000 100000 200000
Size  Method  Min    Max    Ticks  Secs
10000  Array    42397  2147150393  3272   0.003272
10000  Value    42397  2147150393  19481  0.019481
10000  Ref      42397  2147150393  2625   0.002625
10000  Ref+Tmp  42397  2147150393  1986   0.001986
20000  Array    30098  2147385404  5116   0.005116
20000  Value    30098  2147385404  42254  0.042254
20000  Ref      30098  2147385404  5402   0.005402
20000  Ref+Tmp  30098  2147385404  4031   0.004031
50000  Array    92334  2147481790  12988  0.012988
50000  Value    92334  2147481790  103676 0.103676
50000  Ref      92334  2147481790  14312  0.014312
50000  Ref+Tmp  92334  2147481790  10930  0.01093
100000 Array    5262  2147463132  29910  0.02991
100000 Value    5262  2147463132  204294 0.204294
100000 Ref      5262  2147463132  34291  0.034291
100000 Ref+Tmp  5262  2147463132  24098  0.024098
200000 Array    3829  2147473268  52882  0.052882
200000 Value    3829  2147473268  406980 0.40698
200000 Ref      3829  2147473268  63461  0.063461
200000 Ref+Tmp  3829  2147473268  50244  0.050244
500000 Array    243   2147476735  139664 0.139664
500000 Value    243   2147476735  1021550 1.02155
500000 Ref      243   2147476735  166364 0.166364
500000 Ref+Tmp  243   2147476735  146440 0.14644
1000000 Array    711   2147482794  295833 0.295833
1000000 Value    711   2147482794  2099203 2.0992
1000000 Ref      711   2147482794  372771 0.372771
1000000 Ref+Tmp  711   2147482794  294573 0.294573
2000000 Array    608   2147483540  607718 0.607718
2000000 Value    608   2147483540  4384840 4.38484
2000000 Ref      608   2147483540  725222 0.725222
2000000 Ref+Tmp  608   2147483540  603104 0.603104
5000000 Array    282   2147483241  1613095 1.61309
5000000 Value    282   2147483241  10789123 10.7891
5000000 Ref      282   2147483241  2100330 2.10033
5000000 Ref+Tmp  282   2147483241  1587614 1.58761
10000000 Array    30    2147483638  3259301 3.2593
10000000 Value    30    2147483638  22282480 22.2825
10000000 Ref      30    2147483638  4429183 4.42918
10000000 Ref+Tmp  30    2147483638  3538995 3.53899
20000000 Array    175   2147483616  6820477 6.82048
20000000 Value    175   2147483616  46819448 46.8194
20000000 Ref      175   2147483616  9021849 9.02185
20000000 Ref+Tmp  175   2147483616  7138429 7.13843
50000000 Array    135   2147483642  19029475 19.0295
50000000 Value    135   2147483642  121685288 121.685
50000000 Ref      135   2147483642  24101205 24.1012
50000000 Ref+Tmp  135   2147483642  19285946 19.2859

```

After running the four implementations of the merge sort, we can see some performance differences among them. For most of the time, the performance ranking should be:

Ref+Tmp > Array >= Ref > Value

There are mainly 3 differences on their implementations:

- The way of the outside arguments being passed into the function
- The data structure for storing the number sequence
- If additional data structures created and passed into the function

The reason has several aspects. Firstly, the Array and the Ref all have three arguments: the array structure and two indices of head and tail. The array structures are the cpp original array and the vector from STL. They are all shallow copied to be passed in, so the two functions did modifications in-place. For every depth of the recursion, there is a temporary array structure

with the length  $n$  created. (assume  $n$  is the length of the original unsorted array, same below)  
Therefore, the only difference of array structure won't make much difference on the final performance.

The reason that Value is the slowest is that the function does hard copy for the array as argument every time the function is called, so for each depth of recursion, many arrays with  $n$  length in total would be created and copied due to the hard copy. Besides, I used "assign" to do the vector truncation, and then pass the truncated array into the function call. This operation would also do creation and copy for new array, which is also  $n$  complexity in total for each depth layer. Therefore, although is no temporary new array created for merging. The multiple times of new array creation would still be much slower of only one time of creation, whose memory is also collected immediately after using.

The reason that Ref+Tmp is the fastest is that it not only has the superiority of Array and Ref compared to Value. It doesn't have the temporary array created in each function calling for merging. It uses an additional array created previously by passing it as argument, so it saves a lot of time in sparing memory for creating new array, even if the numbers copying time complexity is still there. Therefore, Ref+Tmp slightly wins in the end.