

So you want to write a tutorial?

PsyTeachR Team

Open research requires many new skills, which are increasingly being required by journals and funders. Most researchers rely on tutorials to keep up to date. How do you write a tutorial that is useful to both the field and your own career?

As researchers, we need to constantly update our skills. New skills are especially important for research transparency,¹ such as coding for reproducibility of data processing and statistical analysis, or data simulation to improve pre-registration plans. A lack of resources for learning these new skills is one major barrier to open research,² which researchers have started to address by creating open tutorials.

Tutorials are a great way to have a positive impact on the field and it's incredibly rewarding to hear how your materials have helped someone. However, creating a useful tutorial takes time and experience. Researchers also bemoan the lack of recognition for this work by tenure and grant committees. So how do you write a great tutorial, make sure your colleagues get the maximum benefit from it, and you get appropriate credit for it? Below, we share our advice on some key questions to address, based on our experience creating open source tutorials for psychology research methods.

Who is it for?

Before you start writing a tutorial, it's important to think about your audience. What problems do they have that the skills in your tutorial will solve?

Educational theories emphasise the role of prior knowledge in learning; it's a key predictor of success in MOOCs.³ Consider what skills learners will require to understand your tutorial. Do they need some competence at a particular programming language? A working knowledge of mixed effects models? State prerequisites at the start to allow learners to decide if the tutorial is for them. Also be clear about the time commitment. For instance, retraining to use R for statistics requires a considerable time investment – in our own experience, about three months of weekly

classes or about three full days of working through materials to gain introductory skills.

Material is easier to learn when it's directly related to your experience, so consider what examples will resonate with your intended audience. While it's sometimes easier to discuss a hypothetical study of the effect of factor A on outcome B, concrete example-based learning is a more beneficial approach.⁴ Work through a realistic example or provide exercises for the learners.⁵ For instance, Richard McElreath's tutorials on Bayesian inference use examples from ecology and anthropology to allow learners to practice making realistic inference. The Open Stats Lab uses open datasets from papers published in *Psychological Science* to support lessons on introductory statistical concepts.

How do I make it useful?

Considering some multimedia principles⁶ can avoid users being overwhelmed. For example, the multiple representation principle suggests adding illustrations and pictures to verbal explanations because it engages different representations of the same idea, making it easier to understand. The coherence principle recommends succinctness – it's easier to understand concepts explained in fewer words. Don't structure a tutorial like a paper; lots of subheadings make it easy to skim for the most relevant parts.

Adding interactive elements such as self-checking quizzes or web apps for demonstrations can be helpful. Video tutorials are an engaging way to talk learners through the material. For example, Erin Buchanan's Statistics of DOOM YouTube channel, with more than 18K subscribers, contains video walkthroughs of statistical techniques that have earned hundreds of thousands of views.

**** NOT SURE ABOUT THIS PARAGRAPH:****

Interactivity is only useful when the learner has time and most of us perceive ourselves to be time-poor when it comes to learning new skills. While in a teaching setting we can demand participation in active

learning and introduce desirable difficulty⁷ to help our students learn better. It is also well-documented that learners misperceive that they learn less when engaged in active learning.⁸ So, when making tutorials for voluntary personal skills development, introduce optional interactivity by including exercises to practice the skills, or work-along examples while having core content accessible for quick understanding and review.

How do I make it accessible?

Accessibility is an important aspect of any open resource,⁹ including tutorials.

While methods journals will publish tutorial-style articles, this process can be slow and the static article format isn't always pedagogically ideal. Luckily, most journals accept preprints or article versions of interactive tutorials, so we advise first self-publishing. This can also give you the opportunity to get feedback from learners and refine your tutorial. For example, Nordmann and colleagues¹⁰ included a link to a feedback form on their first preprint of Data visualisation using R, for researchers who don't use R.

Make your tutorial findable by archiving a copy on a reliable platform. Code-based tutorials are often hosted on github, where you can archive multiple versions of code and other materials, including websites. For example, all of our psyTeachR materials are hosted on GitHub. The Open Science Framework also offers free archiving of materials and preprints, as well as an optional DOI. You can also get a DOI and version archiving by uploading your materials to Zenodo.

Submit the tutorial to a curation list like the Open Scholarship Knowledge Base or FORRT. You can also start a curation list for your own subdiscipline or methodological expertise, following great examples like OpenLists or Hitchhiker's Guide to the Brain. Once you've created a few tutorials, you might want to organise them into a website or online book, like Danielle Navarro's popular Learning Statistics with R. For a well-developed series of tutorials, partnering with an online course company can give your tutorials a huge reach. For example, Daniel Lakens' Coursera course Improving Your Statistical Inferences has enrolled nearly 60K students.

Make your tutorial accessible by giving it an open source license. For example, the psyTeachR book series written by our group are all published under

a Creative Commons CC-BY-SA 4.0 license, which allows others to copy, redistribute, remix, and transform, as long as the original source is cited and derivatives use the same license. Because of this, our books have been translated into French and Chinese. Consider writing the tutorial in an open format, such as html. PDFs may look pretty, but they are difficult to adapt or use translation and screen reading tools on, and copying code or large chunks of text is often impossible.

How do I get credit for it?

Now that you've created a tutorial and archived it somewhere accessible for your audience, how do you get credit for it? Providing useful learning materials is a great way to gain visibility and reputation in your field, but it can be challenging to evidence their impact.

The first step is making sure it's easy for people to find and use a standard citation for the tutorial. Get a DOI through one of the services described above and put the full citation somewhere prominent on the tutorial. Most preprint services allow you to register the DOI of a published version in the future so that citations can be amalgamated.

While tutorials for advanced statistical methods can be highly cited, especially when they are published in methods journals, tutorials that explain the fundamentals of a technique or cover non-technical subjects are seldom cited, despite arguably having a larger impact on research and teaching. This can also be a problem with non-standard formats such as webpages, videos, and interactive apps, despite their potential for better learning. Web analytics can help you keep track of and evidence engagement with your tutorials. Materials hosted on a platform like YouTube or a preprint server will automatically track engagement. If you advertise materials with a link to the DOI, metrics platforms can track their use in publications and social media (e.g., one of our in-press tutorials¹⁰ already has an Altmetric score of 284). You can access detailed Google analytics by adding a few lines of javascript to any webpage.

How can tutorial users help?

The advice above is meant to help aspiring tutorial writers to create the best materials they can, but what can the rest of us do to help? Researchers can make sure to cite any tutorials that have influenced

their research, even if the materials are not journal articles or the topics are more general than advanced methods. Journals can help by explicitly encouraging the citation of all relevant materials and eliminating citation limits for methods. Methods journals can also create more innovative article formats so that tutorial writers don't have to choose between the pedagogical and accessibility advantages of interactive online formats versus the prestige and reach of journal articles. Most importantly, hiring and tenure committees, as well as funders, should recognise the expertise, effort, and altruism involved in producing high-quality tutorials and recognise that they may not have the traditional evidence of citations in journal articles. A good tutorial can take as long as a research paper or book to write, reflect decades of experience, and have an enormous positive impact on the field.

References

1. Nosek, B. A. *et al.* Promoting an open research culture. *Science* **348**, 1422–1425 (2015).
2. Zečević, K. *et al.* Exploring factors that influence the practice of open science by early career health researchers: A mixed methods study. *HRB Open Research* **3**, (2020).
3. Kennedy, G., Coffrin, C., De Barba, P. & Corrin, L. Predicting success: How learners' prior knowledge, skills and activities predict MOOC performance. in *Proceedings of the fifth international conference on learning analytics and knowledge* 136–140 (2015). doi:10.1145/2723576.2723593.
4. Gog, T. van, Rummel, N. & Renkl, A. Learning how to solve problems by studying examples. in *The cambridge handbook of cognition and education* (eds. Dunlosky, J. & Rawson, K. A.) 183–208 (Cambridge University Press, 2019). doi:10.1017/9781108235631.
5. Renkl, A. & Atkinson, R. K. Learning from worked-out examples and problem solving. (2010) doi:10.1017/CBO9780511844744.007.
6. Mayer, R. E. & Moreno, R. A cognitive theory of multimedia learning: Implications for design principles. *Journal of educational psychology* **91**, 358–368 (1998).
7. Bjork, E. L., Bjork, R. A. & others. Making things hard on yourself, but in a good way: Creating desirable difficulties to enhance learning. *Psychology and the real world: Essays illustrating fundamental contributions to society* **2**, (2011).
8. Deslauriers, L., McCarty, L. S., Miller, K., Callaghan, K. & Kestin, G. Measuring actual learning versus feeling of learning in response to being actively engaged in the classroom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 19251–19257 (2019).
9. Wilkinson, M. D. *et al.* The FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific data* **3**, 1–9 (2016).
10. Nordmann, E., McAleer, P., Toivo, W., Paterson, H. & DeBruine, L. Data visualisation using R, for researchers who don't use r. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science* (in press) doi:10.31234/osf.io/4huvw.

Author Credit

- Wan, Freda: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft
- Toivo, Wilhelmiina (0000-0002-5688-9537): Conceptualization
- Stack, Niamh: Conceptualization
- Paterson, Helena (0000-0001-7715-5973): Conceptualization, Writing - original draft
- Nordmann, Emily (0000-0002-0806-1081): Conceptualization
- McAleer, Phil (0000-0002-4523-2097): Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft
- Mavromati, Kalliopi: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft
- Lai, Rebecca (0000-0001-7055-2036): Conceptualization, Writing - original draft
- Kuepper-Tetzl, Carolina (0000-0003-0830-7915): Conceptualization, Writing - original draft
- DeBruine, Lisa (0000-0002-7523-5539): Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing
- Cleland Wood, Heather (0000-0003-2295-254X): Conceptualization
- Bartlett, James (0000-0002-4191-5245): Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - original draft

- Barr, Dale J (0000-0002-1121-4608): Conceptualization