

# Edgeworth Box with Scaled Cobb–Douglas Utilities

## 1 Preferences and Endowments

There are two agents,  $A$  and  $B$ , and two goods. Total endowments are normalized to

$$x_A + x_B = 1, \quad y_A + y_B = 1.$$

Preferences are given by scaled Cobb–Douglas utility functions.

**Agent A**

$$u_A(x_A, y_A) = (x_A^\alpha y_A^{1-\alpha})^s, \quad \alpha = 0.25.$$

**Agent B**

$$u_B(x_B, y_B) = (x_B^\beta y_B^{1-\beta})^s, \quad \beta = 0.75.$$

Equivalently,

$$u_A = x_A^{\alpha s} y_A^{(1-\alpha)s}, \quad u_B = x_B^{\beta s} y_B^{(1-\beta)s}.$$

## 2 Marginal Rates of Substitution

For agent  $A$ ,

$$\text{MRS}_A = \frac{\partial u_A / \partial x_A}{\partial u_A / \partial y_A} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{y_A}{x_A}.$$

For agent  $B$ ,

$$\text{MRS}_B = \frac{\partial u_B / \partial x_B}{\partial u_B / \partial y_B} = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \frac{y_B}{x_B}.$$

Note that the scaling parameter  $s$  cancels out and does not affect marginal rates of substitution.

### 3 Contract Curve

Pareto efficiency requires  $MRS_A = MRS_B$ . With  $a = 0.25$  and  $\beta = 0.75$ ,

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{y_A}{x_A} = 3 \frac{1 - y_A}{1 - x_A}.$$

Rearranging,

$$y_A(1 - x_A) = 9x_A(1 - y_A).$$

Solving for  $y_A$  yields the contract curve:

$$\boxed{y_A = \frac{9x_A}{1 + 8x_A}, \quad x_A \in (0, 1).}$$

### 4 Utilities Along the Contract Curve

Substitute  $y_A = \frac{9x}{1+8x}$ .

**Agent A**

$$\begin{aligned} u_A(x) &= \left( x^{1/4} \left( \frac{9x}{1+8x} \right)^{3/4} \right)^s \\ &= \boxed{9^{3s/4} \frac{x^s}{(1+8x)^{3s/4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Agent B**

$$\begin{aligned} u_B(x) &= \left( (1-x)^{3/4} \left( \frac{1-x}{1+8x} \right)^{1/4} \right)^s \\ &= \boxed{\frac{(1-x)^s}{(1+8x)^{s/4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

### 5 Core (Pareto-Improving Segment)

Let the initial endowment be  $E = (x_e, y_e)$ .

Endowment utilities are

$$u_A^e = (x_e^{1/4} y_e^{3/4})^s, \quad u_B^e = ((1-x_e)^{3/4} (1-y_e)^{1/4})^s.$$

The core is the subset of the contract curve such that both agents weakly gain.

## Endpoints

The lower endpoint  $x_L$  satisfies

$$9^{3s/4} \frac{x_L^s}{(1 + 8x_L)^{3s/4}} = (x_e^{1/4} y_e^{3/4})^s.$$

The upper endpoint  $x_U$  satisfies

$$\frac{(1 - x_U)^s}{(1 + 8x_U)^{s/4}} = ((1 - x_e)^{3/4} (1 - y_e)^{1/4})^s.$$

The core is therefore

$$x_L \leq x_A \leq x_U, \quad y_A = \frac{9x_A}{1 + 8x_A}.$$

## 6 Utility Possibility Frontier

The utility possibility frontier (UPF) is the image of the contract curve in utility space.

$$\begin{aligned} u_A(x) &= 9^{3s/4} \frac{x^s}{(1 + 8x)^{3s/4}}, \\ u_B(x) &= \frac{(1 - x)^s}{(1 + 8x)^{s/4}}, \quad x \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

## 7 Key Observation

The scaling parameter  $s$  affects utility levels but not:

- the contract curve,
- the core allocations,
- or Pareto efficiency.

Only ordinal preferences (MRS) matter for efficiency.

same, now with two scaling parameters

## 8 Preferences and Endowments

There are two agents,  $A$  and  $B$ , and two goods. Total endowments are normalized to

$$x_A + x_B = 1, \quad y_A + y_B = 1.$$

Preferences are given by Cobb–Douglas utilities with agent-specific scaling parameters.

**Agent A**

$$u_A(x_A, y_A) = \left(x_A^\alpha y_A^{1-\alpha}\right)^{s_A}, \quad \alpha = 0.25.$$

**Agent B**

$$u_B(x_B, y_B) = \left(x_B^\beta y_B^{1-\beta}\right)^{s_B}, \quad \beta = 0.75.$$

Equivalently,

$$u_A = x_A^{\alpha s_A} y_A^{(1-\alpha)s_A}, \quad u_B = x_B^{\beta s_B} y_B^{(1-\beta)s_B}.$$

## 9 Marginal Rates of Substitution

For agent  $A$ ,

$$\text{MRS}_A = \frac{\partial u_A / \partial x_A}{\partial u_A / \partial y_A} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{y_A}{x_A}.$$

For agent  $B$ ,

$$\text{MRS}_B = \frac{\partial u_B / \partial x_B}{\partial u_B / \partial y_B} = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \frac{y_B}{x_B}.$$

**Key observation** The scaling parameters  $s_A$  and  $s_B$  cancel out. Thus, Pareto efficiency depends only on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

## 10 Contract Curve

Pareto efficiency requires  $MRS_A = MRS_B$ . Substituting  $\alpha = 0.25$  and  $\beta = 0.75$ :

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{y_A}{x_A} = 3 \frac{1 - y_A}{1 - x_A}.$$

Rearranging,

$$y_A(1 - x_A) = 9x_A(1 - y_A).$$

Solving for  $y_A$  yields the contract curve:

$$\boxed{y_A = \frac{9x_A}{1 + 8x_A}, \quad x_A \in (0, 1).}$$

## 11 Utilities Along the Contract Curve

Substitute  $y_A = \frac{9x}{1+8x}$ .

**Agent A**

$$\begin{aligned} u_A(x) &= \left( x^\alpha \left( \frac{9x}{1 + 8x} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right)^{s_A} \\ &= \boxed{9^{(1-\alpha)s_A} \frac{x^{s_A}}{(1 + 8x)^{(1-\alpha)s_A}}} \quad (\alpha = 0.25). \end{aligned}$$

**Agent B**

$$\begin{aligned} u_B(x) &= \left( (1 - x)^\beta \left( \frac{1 - x}{1 + 8x} \right)^{1-\beta} \right)^{s_B} \\ &= \boxed{\frac{(1 - x)^{s_B}}{(1 + 8x)^{(1-\beta)s_B}}} \quad (\beta = 0.75). \end{aligned}$$

## 12 Core (Pareto-Improving Segment)

Let the initial endowment be  $E = (x_e, y_e)$ .

Endowment utilities:

$$u_A^e = (x_e^\alpha y_e^{1-\alpha})^{s_A}, \quad u_B^e = ((1 - x_e)^\beta (1 - y_e)^{1-\beta})^{s_B}.$$

## Endpoints of the Core

The lower endpoint  $x_L$  is defined by agent  $A$  being indifferent:

$$9^{(1-\alpha)s_A} \frac{x_L^{s_A}}{(1+8x_L)^{(1-\alpha)s_A}} = (x_e^\alpha y_e^{1-\alpha})^{s_A}.$$

The upper endpoint  $x_U$  is defined by agent  $B$  being indifferent:

$$\frac{(1-x_U)^{s_B}}{(1+8x_U)^{(1-\beta)s_B}} = ((1-x_e)^\beta (1-y_e)^{1-\beta})^{s_B}.$$

The core is therefore:

$$x_L \leq x_A \leq x_U, \quad y_A = \frac{9x_A}{1+8x_A}.$$

## 13 Utility Possibility Frontier

The utility possibility frontier (UPF) is the image of the contract curve in utility space.

$$\begin{aligned} u_A(x) &= 9^{(1-\alpha)s_A} \frac{x^{s_A}}{(1+8x)^{(1-\alpha)s_A}}, \\ u_B(x) &= \frac{(1-x)^{s_B}}{(1+8x)^{(1-\beta)s_B}}, \quad x \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

## 14 Summary

- The contract curve is independent of  $s_A$  and  $s_B$ .
- The core allocations are unchanged by scaling.
- Scaling parameters affect only the *cardinal representation* of utilities.
- Pareto efficiency depends solely on marginal rates of substitution.

same, now with 1 scaling parameter for A, and a=b

## 15 Preferences and Endowments

There are two agents,  $A$  and  $B$ , and two goods. Total endowments are normalized to

$$x_A + x_B = 1, \quad y_A + y_B = 1.$$

Preferences are given by Cobb–Douglas utilities with one agent-specific scaling parameter.

**Agent A**

$$u_A(x_A, y_A) = (x_A^\alpha y_A^{1-\alpha})^{s_A}, \quad \alpha = 0.5.$$

**Agent B**

$$u_B(x_B, y_B) = (x_B^\beta y_B^{1-\beta}), \quad \beta = 0.5.$$

Equivalently,

$$u_A = (x_A y_A)^{\frac{1}{2}s_A} \quad u_B = (x_B y_B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

## 16 Marginal Rates of Substitution

For agent  $A$ ,

$$\text{MRS}_A = \frac{\partial u_A / \partial x_A}{\partial u_A / \partial y_A} = \frac{y_A}{x_A}.$$

For agent  $B$ ,

$$\text{MRS}_B = \frac{\partial u_B / \partial x_B}{\partial u_B / \partial y_B} = \frac{1 - y_A}{1 - x_A}.$$

**Key observation** The scaling parameters  $s_A$  cancels out.

## 17 Contract Curve

Pareto efficiency requires  $MRS_A = MRS_B$ . Substituting  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 0.5$ :

$$\frac{y_A}{x_A} = \frac{1 - y_A}{1 - x_A}.$$

Rearranging,

$$y_A(1 - x_A) = x_A(1 - y_A).$$

Solving for  $y_A$  yields the contract curve:

$$\boxed{y_A = x_A, \quad x_A \in (0, 1)}.$$

## 18 Utilities Along the Contract Curve

Substitute  $y_A = x$ .

**Agent A**

$$u_A(x) = x^{s_A}$$

**Agent B**

$$u_B(x) = 1 - x$$

## 19 Core (Pareto-Efficient Segment)

Let the initial endowment be  $E = (x_e, y_e)$ .

Endowment utilities:

$$u_A^e = (x_e y_e)^{\frac{1}{2}s_A}, \quad u_B^e = ((1 - x_e)(1 - y_e))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

### Endpoints of the Core

The lower endpoint  $(x_L, y_L)$  is defined by agent  $A$  being indifferent:

$$x_L = y_L = (u_A^e)^{\frac{1}{s_A}}$$

The upper endpoint  $(x_U, y_U)$  is defined by agent  $B$  being indifferent:

$$x_U = y_U = 1 - u_B^e$$



The core is therefore:

$$x_L \leq x_A \leq x_U, \quad y_A = x_A.$$

## 20 Utility Possibility Frontier

The utility possibility frontier (UPF) is the image of the contract curve in utility space.

$$u_A(x) = (1 - u_B(x))^{s_A}$$

## 21 Summary

- The contract curve is independent of  $s_A$  and  $s_B$ .
- The core allocations are unchanged by scaling.
- Scaling parameters affect only the *cardinal representation* of utilities.
- Pareto efficiency depends solely on marginal rates of substitution.