2017 Explanatory Notes Rural Business-Cooperative Service

Contents

Purpose Statement	30-1
Rural Business Program Account	
Appropriation Language	30-4
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-5
Summary of Increases and Decreases	30-5
Project Statements	30-6
Justification of Increases and Decreases	30-8
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-10
Classification by Objects	30-15
Intermediary Relending Program Fund Program Account	
Appropriation Language	30-16
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-17
Summary of Increases and Decreases	30-17
Project Statements	30-18
Justification of Increases and Decreases.	30-19
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-20
Classification by Objects	30-20
Rural Economic Development Loan Program Account	
Appropriation Language	30-21
Project Statements	30-22
Justification of Increases and Decreases	30-23
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-24
Classification by Objects	30-24
Rural Economic Development Grants	
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-25
Project Statements	30-26
Justification of Increases and Decreases	30-28
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-29
Classification by Objects	30-29
Rural Microenterprise Investment Program Account	
Appropriation Language	30-30
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-31
Summary of Increases and Decreases	30-31
Project Statements	30-32
Justification of Increases and Decreases	30-34
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-35
Classification by Objects	30-36
Biorefinery Assistance Program Account	
Project Statements	30-37
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-39
Classification by Objects	30-39
Energy Assistance Payments	
Project Statements	30-40
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-42
Classification by Objects	30-42
• •	

Rufai Ellergy for America Program Account	
Appropriation Language	30-43
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-44
Summary of Increases and Decreases.	30-44
Project Statements	30-45
Justification of Increases and Decreases.	30-48
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-50
Classification by Objects	30-52
Rural Cooperative Development Grants	
Appropriation Language	30-53
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-54
Summary of Increases and Decreases.	30-54
Project Statements	30-55
Justification of Increases and Decreases.	30-57
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-59
Classification by Objects	30-63
Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Communities Grants	
Project Statements	30-64
Rural Business Investment Program Account	
Appropriation Language	30-65
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-66
Summary of Increases and Decreases	30-66
Project Statements	30-67
Justification of Increases and Decreases.	30-68
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-69
Classification by Objects	30-69
Healthy Foods Financing Initiative	
Appropriation Language	30-70
Lead-Off Tabular Statement	30-71
Summary of Increases and Decreases.	30-71
Project Statements	30-72
Justification of Increases and Decreases.	30-73
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	30-74
Classification by Objects	30-74
Status of Programs	30-75
Summary of Budget and Performance	
Statement of Goals and Objectives.	29-81
Key Performance Outcomes and Measures	30-82
Program Evaluation	30-82
Full Cost by Department Strategic Goal	30-84

Purpose Statement

USDA Rural Development's (RD) Business and Cooperative Programs provide loans, loan guarantees, grants, and payments designed to increase economic opportunity in rural America.

Authorization and Program Descriptions

Business and Industry Guaranteed Loan Program (B&I) – Authorized by section 310B of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, 7 U.S.C 1921. The guaranteed loan program supports financing for business and industrial acquisition, construction, conversion, enlargement, repair or modernization outside a town or city with a population of less than 50,000. Loan funds are used to finance the purchase and development of land, easements, rights-of-way, buildings, equipment, facilities, machinery, supplies and materials. Loan funds also pay startup costs and supply working capital. Sole proprietors, as well as public, private, or cooperative organizations, Indian tribes, and corporations are eligible. The loan guarantee percentage drops from a maximum of 80 percent for loans of up to \$5 million to 60 percent for loans between \$10 million and \$40 million. The aggregate loan amount available to any one borrower under this program is limited to \$25 million. An exception to the limit is for cooperative organizations when the facility is located in a rural area and the facility provides value-added processing of an agricultural commodity. The maximum amount in such cases is \$40 million and must be approved by the Secretary.

Rural Business Development Grants (RBDG) – This grant program is designed to benefit small and emerging private businesses and cooperatives in rural areas by providing grant and technical assistance for economic and community development. This grant program will assist a wide range of recipients, including economic development organizations, business and community intermediaries, universities, and Indian tribes. To better serve USDA's goal to ensure that rural communities are self-sustaining, repopulating, and thriving economically, this program will award funding where grantees encourage private sector growth. The Agricultural Act of 2014, P.L. 113-79, consolidated the rural business enterprise grant (RBEG) and rural business opportunity grant (RBOG) programs under the RBDG.

<u>Intermediary Relending Program (IRP)</u> – Authorized by section 1323, Food Security Act of 1985. Revolving loan programs, such as the IRP, address the lack of available credit and financial market conditions, which exist in many rural communities, especially for smaller entities, service businesses and start-up activities. These conditions often limit the ability of rural economies to create jobs, enhance amenities, and increase incomes to enable rural families to prosper.

<u>Rural Economic Development Loans and Grants (REDLG)</u> – Authorized by section 313 Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 940C. This program provides zero-interest loans and small grants to electric and telephone utilities financed by RD's utilities programs, which in turn use the funding to promote sustainable rural economic development and job creation projects and to capitalize revolving loan funds for economic development and job creation purposes.

Rural Business Investment Program (RBIP) – Authorized by section 6029 of the Farm Security and Rural Development Investments Act of 2002, P.L. 107-171 and reauthorized under the Agricultural Act of 2014, P.L. 113-79. RBIP is designed to promote economic development and create wealth and job opportunities among individuals living in rural areas and help meet the equity capital investment needs primarily of smaller enterprises located in such areas. Under the RBIP, for-profit Rural Business Investment Companies (RBIC) make venture capital investments in rural areas with the objectives of fostering economic development in such areas and returning maximum profits to the RBIC's investors.

Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) – Authorized by section 9007 of the 2002 Farm Bill, P.L. 107-171, as amended by the 2008 Farm Bill, P.L. 110-246, and the Agricultural Act of 2014, P.L. 113-79. Section 9007 guaranteed loan and grant programs help farmers, ranchers and rural small businesses purchase and install renewable energy systems and make energy efficiency improvements. Renewable energy projects benefit

agricultural producers and rural small businesses with new and sustainable, income streams; reduced reliance on fossil fuels; and an improved environment. Energy efficiency projects also reduce the cost of production and business operations. Grants, if funding is made available, may also be used for energy audits.

Rural Cooperative Development Grants (RCDG) – Authorized by section 310B(e) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1932(e)). RCDGs improve the economic condition of rural areas by promoting a range of cooperative development activities. Grants are made to nonprofit corporations and institutions of higher education to operate centers for cooperative development. The centers address rural economic problems in two ways. First, a center brings together expertise in cooperative development and cooperative business operations that would otherwise be more difficult to obtain. Second, these experts in cooperative development facilitate new cooperative businesses and improve the operations of existing cooperatives through technical assistance and educational programs.

<u>Value-Added Agricultural Market Development Grant Program (VAPG)</u> – Authorized by section 213 of the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000, P.L. 106-224, as amended. Value-added agricultural market development grants enable producers of agricultural commodities to participate in the economic returns found in the value-added market. Grants may be used to develop business plans and develop strategies for creating marketing opportunities. Grants may also be used for feasibility studies and to provide capital to establish alliances or business ventures allowing producers to better compete in domestic and international markets.

Small, Socially Disadvantaged Producer Grants – Authorized by section 310B(e) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1932(e)). Grants to assist small, minority agricultural producers in rural areas provide funding for cooperative development centers, cooperatives or associations of cooperatives whose primary focus is to provide assistance to such producers, and whose governing board and /or membership is comprised of at least 75 percent socially disadvantaged members. Grants may be used for developing business plans, conducting feasibility studies, or developing marketing plans for farmers, ranchers, loggers, agricultural harvesters, and fishermen.

Cooperative Research Agreements – Authorized by the Cooperative Marketing Act of 1926, (7 U.S.C. 453). Cooperative agreements are used to encourage research on critical issues vital to the development and sustainability of cooperatives as a means of improving the quality of life in America's rural communities. Research proposals are solicited from institutions of higher education or nonprofit organizations interested in applying for competitively awarded cooperative agreements for research related to agricultural and nonagricultural cooperatives serving rural communities.

Cooperative Education and Research Program – Authorized by the Cooperative Marketing Act of 1926, (7 U.S.C. 453). The cooperative program conducts applied research on practical issues and problems facing cooperatives and disseminates information to farmers and the general public on dealing with these issues. A range of educational activities and materials are developed to increase public awareness of cooperative strategies that can increase rural economic activity. Research findings are disseminated through a range of publications, such as the Rural Cooperatives magazine, the Internet, and participation in a variety of research and professional organization events.

<u>Technical Assistance to Cooperatives Program</u> – Authorized by the Cooperative Marketing Act of 1926, (7 U.S.C. 453). The cooperative program is authorized to provide advice and assistance to existing cooperatives and groups of farmers contemplating the formation of cooperatives. Technical assistance is provided through a network of staff at the national and State offices. Technical assistance studies are provided at the request of a cooperative or steering committee at no fee and may cover a range of business operational, organizational, financial, or developmental topics and problems. Resources to support these important activities are provided from the general salaries and expense account appropriated to RD.

Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas (ATTRA) Program – Authorized by section 310(c) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act of 2008. ATTRA provides information to farmers and other rural users on a variety of sustainable agricultural practices that include both crop and livestock operations. The program encourages agricultural producers to adopt sustainable agricultural practices, which allow them to maintain or

improve profits, produce high quality food and reduce adverse impacts to the environment.

Rural Microentrepenuer Assistance Program – Authorized under Section 379E(d) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 2008s). The purpose of the program is to support the development and ongoing success of rural microentrepreneurs and microenterprises. Direct loans and grants are provided to microentrepreneurs development organizations with the skills necessary to establish new rural microenterprises and continuing technical and financial assistance related to the successful operation of rural microenterprises.

<u>Biorefinery Assistance Program</u> – Reauthorized under Title IX of the Agricultural Act of 2014, P.L. 113-79. The purpose of the section is to assist in the development of new and emerging technologies for the development of advanced biofuels. The program will increase energy independence, promote resource conservation, diversify markets for agricultural and forestry products, create jobs, and enhance economic development in rural economies.

Repowering Assistance Program – Reauthorized under Title IX of the Agricultural Act of 2014, P.L. 113-79, the program directs the Secretary of Agriculture to provide financial incentives to biorefineries in existence on June 18, 2008 to replace the use of fossil fuels used to produce heat or power at their facilities by installing new systems that use renewable biomass, or to produce new energy from renewable biomass.

Bioenergy For Advanced Biofuels Program – Reauthorized under Title IX of the Agricultural Act of 2014, P.L. 113-79, the program directs the Secretary of Agriculture to make payments to eligible producers to support and ensure an expanding production of advanced biofuels. Advanced biofuels are defined as "fuel derived from renewable biomass other than corn kernel starch" in the 2008 Farm Bill. To receive a payment, an eligible producer shall enter into a contract with the Secretary of Agriculture for production of advanced biofuels.

Geographic dispersion of offices and employees

RD programs are administered by the three agencies representing RD: Housing and Community Facilities, Utilities, Business and Cooperative Development. RD headquarters is located in Washington, D.C. As of September 30, 2015, there were 4,811 permanent full-time employees, including 1,491 in the headquarters and 3,320 in the field offices.

OIG Reports – In progress

#34601-015-TE – National Report on the Business and Industry Guaranteed Loan Program #34703-002-TE – ARRA – Business and Industry Guaranteed Loan Program, Phase 2 #34601-006-AT – Rural Business-Cooperative Service Intermediary Relending Program #34703-001-32 – ARRA – Business and Industry Guaranteed Loan Program, Phase 3 #34601-0001-31 – Rural Business-Cooperative Service Grant Program Duplication

GAO Reports – In Progress

GAO-12-819 "Entrepreneurial Assistance: Opportunities Exist to Improve Programs' Collaboration, Data-Tracking, and Performance Management." On September 11, 2012, USDA provided GAO with its statement of action outlining how the Department will address the GAO recommendations. "Wind Energy: Additional Actions Could Help Ensure Effective Use of Federal Financial Support." USDA did not have any comments on draft report. Final report yet to be issued.

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Rural Business Program Account (including transfers of funds)

- For the cost of loan guarantees and grants, for the rural business development programs authorized by section 310B and described in subsections (a), (c), [(f)] and (g) of section 310B of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, [\$62,687,000] \$65,799,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, not to exceed \$500,000 shall be made available for one grant to a qualified national organization to provide technical assistance for rural transportation in order to promote economic
- 2 development [and \$3,000,000 shall be for grants to the Delta Regional Authority (7 U.S.C. 2009aa et seq.) for any Rural Community Advancement Program purpose as described in section 381E(d) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, of which not more than 5 percent may be used for administrative expenses]: *Provided further*, That \$4,000,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for business grants to benefit Federally Recognized Native American Tribes, including \$250,000 for a grant to a qualified national
- 3 organization to provide technical assistance for rural transportation in order to promote economic development[:*Provided further*, That for purposes of determining eligibility or level of program assistance the Secretary shall not include incarcerated prison populations]: *Provided further*, That sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to funds made available under this
- 4 heading: *Provided further*, That in addition to the amount provided under this heading \$20,000,000 shall be available for demonstration projects and \$5,000,000 for data systems alignment to support innovative multigenerational approaches to combating rural child poverty, to remain available until September 30, 2019: *Provided further*, That this funding may be utilized in addition to amounts otherwise provided for any program of the Rural Development Mission Area as determined by the Secretary and for administrative and evaluation costs to carry out the demonstration projects: *Provided further*, That such funds shall be in addition to any other funds that may be available for such purposes.

<u>The first change</u> eliminates the legal reference for Delta Regional Authority grants as no funding is requested for this program in 2017.

<u>The second change</u> eliminates the language for Delta Regional Authority grants as no funding is requested for this program in 2017.

The third change eliminates the terms of eligibility or level of program assistance.

<u>The fourth change</u> adds language for rural child poverty as funding is requested for this program in 2017. This program supports innovative strategies to combat rural child poverty by focusing on both children and the parents with a bundled services approach.

RURAL BUSINESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Lead-Off Tabular Statement

	Loan Level	Subsidy	Grants
Budget Estimate, 2017	\$892,244,000	\$35,779,000	\$55,000,000
2016 Enacted	919,768,000	35,687,000	27,000,000
Change in Appropriation	-27,524,000	92,000	28,000,000

Summary of Increases and Decreases

(Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017
<u> </u>	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations:					
Business and industry (B&I) guaranteed loans	\$66,971	-\$19,971	-\$11,313	+\$92	\$35,779
Rural business development grants (RBDG)	-	+19,500	-	+6,000	25,500
RBDG native American tribes	-	+3,750	-	-	3,750
RBDG technical assistance transportation	-	+500	-	-	500
RBDG native American tribes transportation	-	+250	-	-	250
Rural business enterprise grants (RBEG)	21,148	-21,148	-	-	-
RBEG technical assistance transportation	500	-500	-	-	-
RBEG native American tribes	2,420	-2,420	-	-	-
RBEG native American tribes transportation	250	-250	-	-	-
Rural business opportunity grants (RBOG)	920	-920	-	-	-
RBOG native American tribes	1,330	-1,330	-	-	-
Delta regional authority grants	3,000	-	-	-3,000	-
Rural Child Poverty	-	-	-	-	25,000
Total Discretionary Appropriations	96,539	-22,539	-11,313	+3,092	90,779

RURAL BUSINESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		2015	2015 Actual	2016	2016 Enacted			Inc. or Dec.			2017 Estimate	timate	ı
Program	Program	Budget	F	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Pro	Program	Budget		Program		Budget	ì
	Level	Authority SYs			Authority SYs		Authority S	SYs L	Level	Authority	/ SYs			Authority SYs	S
Discretionary Appropriations:															
Business and industry (B&I) guaranteed loans	\$958,097	\$66,971 155		\$919,765	\$47,000 156	5 \$919,768	\$35,687 180		-\$27,524 (1)		+\$92 (5) +	+6 \$892,244		\$35,779 186	9
B&I guaranteed NADBank loans	1	•	2	•	1	1	1	5	,	•			,	1	2
Rural business development grants (RBDG)	1	1	ı	19,500	19,500 107	7 19,500	19,500 13	123	+6,000 ((2) +6,000	+ 0	3 25	25,500	25,500 126	9
RBDG native American tribes	1	1	ı	3,750	3,750 -	3,750	3,750	1	1			- 3	3,750	3,750 -	
RBDG technical assistance transportation	1	ı	ı	500	500 -	500	500	ı	1	(2)		1	500	500	
RBDG native American tribes transportation	1	ı	ı	250	250 -	250	250	1	1	(2)		1	250	250 -	
Rural business enterprise grants (RBEG)	21,148	21,148	84	1	1	•	ı	ı	ı	•			1		
RBEG technical assistance transportation	500	200	ı	•	1	ı	ı	1	ı	•		1	1		
RBEG native American tribes	2,420	2,420	ı	•	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	•			1		
RBEG native American tribes transportation	250	250	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	•		1	ı		
Rural business opportunity grants (RBOG)	920	920	22	•	1	ı	ı		ı	•			1		
RBOG native American tribes	1,330	1,330	ı	•	1	ı	ı		ı	•		1			
Delta regional authority grants	3,000	3,000	ı	3,000	3,000 -	3,000	3,000	1	-3,000 (3)	3) -3,000	0	1	1		
Rural Child Poverty	1	•	ı	•	-	1	1	+	+25,000 (4)	+25,000	0	- 25	25,000	25,000 -	
Total Appropriation	987,665	96,539 266	266	946,765	74,000 268	3 946,768	62,687 30	308	+476	+28,092		+9 947	947,244	90,779 317	7
Bal. Available, SOY	179,298	20,294		316,615	20,445 -	613,303	28,162	-3	-394,727	-16,082	2	. 218	218,576	12,080 -	
Recoveries, Other (Net)	183,249	12,922	1	292,347	18,006 -	224,419	11,146	ĭ	-40,368	-1,241	1 -	184	184,051	9,905 -	
Total Available	1,350,212	129,755	266 1	266 1,555,728	112,451 268	3 1,784,490	101,995 30	308 -4;	-434,618	+10,769		+9 1,349,872		112,764 317	7
Unobligated balances rescinded \underline{a}	ı	ı		ı	1	ı	ı		-449	-449	-		-449	-449	
Bal. Available, EOY	-232,768	-20,445	-	-480,781	-28,162 -	-234,059	-12,080	+	+41,111	+1,690	- 0	-192	-192,948	-10,390 -	I
Total Obligations	1,117,444	109,310 266		1,074,947	84,289 268	3 1,550,431	89,915 30	308 -3	-393,957	+12,010		+9 1,156,474		101,925 317	_

<u>a</u>/ A rescission of unobligated balances in the amount of \$352,323.31 is proposed for this account in 2017 along with a rescission of offsetting collections.

RURAL BUSINESS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Project Statement Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2014 Actual	2015	2015 Actual	2016	2016 Enacted	In	Inc. or Dec.		2017 E	2017 Estimate	L
Program	Program	Budget	Ь	Budget	Program	Budget	Ь	Budget	720	В	Budget	5
	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority	SYS	Level	Authority SYs	Y S
Discretionary Obligations:												
Business and industry (B&I) guaranteed loans	\$1,084,020	\$1,084,020 \$75,773 155	\$1,044,113	\$53,354 156	\$53,354 156 \$1,519,510	\$58,957 180	-\$420,907	-\$14,903	9+	\$1,098,603	\$44,054 186	98
B&I guaranteed NADBank loans	1	114 5	1	100 5	1	37 5	1	-37	ı	ı	ı	2
Rural business development grants (RBDG)	1	•	22,264	22,264 107	22,861	22,861 123	+5,104	+5,104	+3	27,965	27,965 12	126
RBDG native American tribes	1	1	4,818	4,818 -	4,310	4,310 -	-154	-154	1	4,156	4,156	
RBDG technical assistance transportation	1	1	502	502 -	500	500 -	•	1	ı	500	500	1
RBDG native American tribes transportation	1	1	250	250 -	250	250 -	•	1	ı	250	250	
Rural business enterprise grants (RBEG)	25,207	25,207 84	1	1	ı	1	•	ı	ı	ı	'	,
RBEG technical assistance transportation	500	500	1		1	1	•	1	ı	1	'	1
RBEG native American tribes	2,791	2,791 -	1	•	1	1	1	1	ı	1	'	,
RBEG native American tribes transportation	250	250 -	1		1	1	1	1	ı	1		
Rural business opportunity grants (RBOG)	1,676	1,676 22	1		1	1	•	1	ı	1	'	1
Delta regional authority grants	3,000	3,000 -	3,000	3,000 -	3,000	3,000 -	-3,000	-3,000	ı	1	'	1
Rural Child Poverty	1	•	1	•	1	1	+25,000	+25,000	ı	25,000	25,000	,
Total Obligations	1,117,444	1,117,444 109,310 266	1,074,947	84,288 268	1,550,431	89,915 308	-393,957	+12,010	6+	1,156,474	101,925 317	17
Unobligated balances, rescinded \underline{a}	•	•	•	1	•		+449	+449		449	449	
Bal. Available, EOY	232,768	20,445	480,781	28,162 -	234,059	12,080 -	-41,111	-1,690	1	192,948	10,390	
Total Available	1,350,212	129,755 266	1,555,728	112,451 268	1,784,490	101,995 308	-434,618	+10,769	6+	1,349,872	112,764 317	17
Bal. Available, SOY	-179,298	-20,294 -	-316,615	-20,445 -	-613,303	-28,162 -	+394,727	+16,082	•	-218,576	-12,080	
Other Adjustments (Net)	-183,249	-12,922 -	-292,347	-18,006	-224,419	-11,146 -	+40,368	+1,241	-	-184,051	-9,905	
Total Appropriation	987,665	96,539 266	946,765	74,000 268	946,768	62,687 308	+476	+28,092	6+	947,244	90,779 317	17

<u>a</u>/ A rescission of unobligated balances in the amount of \$352,323.31 is proposed for this account in 2017 along with a rescission of offsetting collections.

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) A decrease of \$27,524,000 for the rural business and industry guaranteed loans program (\$919,768,000 available in 2016).

Base funds for the program will continue to create/save jobs. The \$892.2 million program level in 2017 for the rural business and industry guaranteed loan program will mean that Rural Development (RD) will be able to create/save approximately 11,674 jobs and assist 252 businesses.

The rural business and industry (B&I) guaranteed loan program promotes the creation of rural businesses to secure start-up capital, finance business expansion, and create jobs, which helps to diversify the rural economy and supporting such sectors as local and regional food and the bioenergy/bioeconomy.

This investment provides increased employment opportunities for rural communities and upgrades community infrastructure to improve the quality of life for rural residents.

Continuation of this program is critical because:

- It provides capital to enable rural businesses to participate in the global economy; and
- It provides loan guarantees to private sector financial institutions to improve, develop, or finance business, industry, and employment; and to improve the economic and environmental climate in rural communities.

The agency strives to improve the quality of life in rural America by encouraging the establishment and growth of rural businesses and cooperatives, which ultimately increases the availability, stability, and quality of jobs in rural America. The agency also strives to expand economic and trade opportunities for agricultural producers and other rural residents and build leveraging partnerships with State, local and private sources to expand the total amount of resources provided to rural areas.

The B&I guaranteed loan program supports USDA's Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving, by creating and expanding businesses in rural communities. These businesses create/save jobs in the local community; improving economic prosperity and decrease outmigration.

(2) An increase of \$6,000,000 for rural business development grants (\$24,000,000 available in 2016).

The rural business development grant program supports USDA's Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving, by creating and expanding businesses in rural communities. These businesses create/save jobs in the local community; improving economic prosperity and decrease outmigration.

In 2017, the funding will create 8,325 jobs and assist 4,150 businesses. Adequate funding for this program is important because it is one of the only programs that RD operates that can finance technical assistance (salaries and expenses) and specifically help to improve human capital in low-capacity areas.

(3) A decrease of \$3,000,000 for Delta Regional Authority grants (\$3,000,000 available in 2016).

Funding for the Delta Regional Authority is not requested as funding for this region can be provided by other Rural Business programs.

(4) An increase of \$25,000,000 for rural child poverty (\$0 available in 2016).

Every child—from the Colonias on the Southwest border, the small towns of the Mississippi Delta, the tribal communities in Indian Country, to the hollers of eastern Kentucky—should have an opportunity to succeed. Yet one in four rural children currently live in poverty—the highest rate since 1986—and the gap between urban and rural childhood poverty rates continues to increase. Both the overall rural poverty rate and the rural child

poverty rate have exceeded rates in urban areas for over thirty years. While poor children living in rural America face similar educational, social, and economic challenges as their urban counterparts, many of these problems are exacerbated by the isolation and limited access to support services commonly experienced in rural areas. Not only is rural and tribal childhood poverty pervasive, it is persistent; rural parents tend to have less education and are more likely to be underemployed than urban parents, putting their children at higher risk of becoming poor.

The proposal creates a \$20 million pilot program for up to 3-year grants to local governments and nonprofit organizations offering bundled services to serve poor rural children and parents together. Specific strategies for implementing the two-generation grants will be community driven, but may include physical collocation of services, building collaboration models across agencies, establishing new systems and referral networks to link services, aligning disparate data systems, and improving coordination of program delivery across agencies. Communities may use funding to hire and/or cross-train staff, purchase new software and data systems, and improve individual child- and parent-focused programming to foster whole-family engagement. Pilot program funds would be provided exclusively to projects that are located in areas of high poverty and that are part of a bundled service approach that focus on both the children and the adults of low-income rural families. The maximum amount of the grant would be \$500,000. The grants would encourage or require collaboration and partnerships of key entities at the local level. For example, the applicant may be a community action agency that traditionally delivers temporary assistance to needy families (TANF) resources and Early Head Start, but that in its application includes the local community college to deliver workforce development programs. Applicants could include local governments (but not States), educational institutions (including community colleges as well as historically black, tribal, or Hispanic institutions), and community action agencies.

The proposal also includes \$5 million in support of interagency efforts to develop and share IT innovations in support of integrated multi-generational service delivery with states, municipalities, and tribes. The System Integration Technical Assistance Center (housed at HHS and FNS), would allow for the development of systems elements that could be used across multiple programs (including traditional health and human services like Medicaid, SNAP, and LIHEAP, but also RD housing programs) in determining program eligibility. The proposed investments are consistent with Congressional interest in promoting evidence-based policy through more robust data systems and data sharing.

(5) An increase of \$92,000 for the rural business and industry guaranteed loan subsidy (\$35,687,000 available in 2016).

The increase in subsidy budget authority is related primarily to the increase in the subsidy rate. The subsidy amount is necessary to support the estimated loan obligations associated with the requested 2017 loan level for the program.

Guaranteed Business and Industry Loans Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory -	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State, Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	\$23,685	\$27,160	-	-
Alaska	11,728	24,503	-	-
Arizona	25,158	29,563	-	=
Arkansas	33,531	9,217	-	-
California	48,165	29,728	-	-
Colorado	16,354	1,771	-	-
Connecticut	=	6,000	-	-
Delaware	-	1,350	-	-
Florida	56,281	78,713	-	_
Georgia	9,096	22,525	_	_
g	4,460	7,500	_	_
daho	30,077	36,227	_	_
llinois	11,159	6,048	_	_
ndiana	4,235	12,778		
owa	41,777	45,969	_	_
Cansas	13,043	43,909 17,990	-	-
		22,125	-	-
Kentucky	27,950	,	-	-
Louisiana	89,983	52,977	-	-
Maine	18,249	2.250	=	=
Maryland	8,944	3,268	-	-
Massachusetts	4,450	-	-	-
Michigan	20,922	20,620	-	-
Minnesota	25,614	18,330	-	-
Mississippi	27,000	20,017	-	-
Missouri	28,657	62,635	-	-
Montana	3,388	16,640	-	-
Nebraska	4,586	8,957	-	-
Nevada	11,856	12,841	-	-
New Hampshire	4,050	2,000	-	-
New Jersey	-	10,000	-	-
New Mexico	13,535	8,429	-	-
New York	24,851	13,309	_	-
North Carolina	47,639	55,409	-	_
North Dakota	8,132	11,853	<u>-</u>	_
Ohio	31,891	34,957	_	_
Oklahoma	36,733	24,234	_	_
Oregon	37,560	65,426	_	-
Pennsylvania	23,014	25,760	-	-
South Carolina	34,292	14,883	-	-
			-	-
South Dakota	3,335	1,239	-	-
Tennessee	26,655	27,079	-	-
Texas	49,649	35,000	=	=
Jtah	17,670	22,639	-	-
ermont	1,435	-	-	-
/irginia	21,377	16,802	-	-
Vashington	16,516	20,535	-	-
Vest Virginia	14,065	12,051	-	-
Visconsin	33,703	34,534	-	-
Wyoming	25,257	5,746	-	-
Guam	-	2,700	-	-
Puerto Rico	12,313	2,777	-	-
Virgin Islands	-	1,300	-	-
Jndistributed	-	-	\$1,519,510 <u>a</u> /	\$1,098,603 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	1,084,020	1,044,113	1,519,510	1,098,603

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Rural Business Enterprise Grants Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory -	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/ Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	\$691	-	-	-
Alaska	256	-	-	-
Arizona	341	-	-	=
Arkansas	509	-	-	-
California	699	-	_	_
Colorado	318	=	_	_
Connecticut	160	-	_	_
Delaware	142	_	_	_
Florida	811	_	_	_
Georgia	984	_	_	_
Hawaii	154	_	_	_
IdahoIdaho	229	-	-	_
Illinois	605	-	-	-
Indiana		-	-	-
_	543	=	-	-
lowa	520	-	-	-
Kansas	257	-	=	-
Kentucky	920	-	=	-
Louisiana	470	-	-	-
Maine	374	=	=	-
Maryland	645	=	=	-
Massachusetts	202	-	-	-
Michigan	785	-	-	-
Minnesota	420	-	-	-
Mississippi	564	-	-	-
Missouri	715	-	-	-
Montana	440	-	-	-
Nebraska	285	-	-	-
Nevada	139	-	-	-
New Hampshire	175	-	-	_
New Jersey	143	-	_	_
New Mexico	258	_	_	<u>-</u>
New York	1,229	_	_	_
North Carolina	986	_	_	_
North Dakota	372	_	_	_
Ohio	901	-	-	-
	254	-	-	-
Oklahoma	_	=	-	-
Oregon	367	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	781	-	-	-
Rhode Island	68	=	=	-
South Carolina	688	-	-	-
South Dakota	309	-	-	-
Tennessee	841	-	-	-
Гexas	911	-	-	-
Utah	275	-	-	-
Vermont	857	-	-	-
Virginia	640	-	-	-
Washington	357	-	-	-
West Virginia	384	-	-	-
Wisconsin	801	-	-	-
Wyoming	114	-	-	-
Guam	168	-	-	_
N. Mariana Islands	50	-	-	_
Puerto Rico	100	_	_	_
Obligations	25,207			

Rural Business Enterprise Grants - Technical Assistance for Rural Transportation Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State /Temitem	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Maryland	\$500	-	-	-
Obligations	500	_	_	_

Rural Business Enterprise Grants - Native American Tribes Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alaska	\$200	-	-	-
Arizona	80	-	-	-
California	216	-	-	-
Connecticut	98	-	=	=
Idaho	23	-	-	-
Louisiana	90	-	-	-
Maine	100	-	=	=
Michigan	200	-	=	=
Minnesota	200	-	-	-
Montana	317	-	-	-
Nebraska	99	-	=	=
Nevada	100	-	=	-
New Mexico	50	-	=	=
North Dakota	178	-	-	-
Oklahoma	498	-	-	-
Oregon	98	-	-	-
South Dakota	99	-	-	-
Washington	45	-	-	=
Wisconsin	100	-	-	=
Undistributed	-	=	=	=
Obligations	2,791	-	-	-

Rural Business Enterprise Grants - Native American Tribes for Rural Transportation Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom	2014 Estimate	2015 Estimate	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Maryland	\$250	-	-	-
Obligations	250	-	-	-

Rural Business Opportunity Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Termiter	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Idaho	\$98	-	-	-
Minnesota	50	-	-	-
Nebraska	45	-	-	-
Nevada	98	-	-	-
New York	55	-	-	_
North Dakota	324	-	-	_
Oklahoma	100	-	-	-
South Dakota	98	-	-	-
Tennessee	63	-	-	-
Utah	100	-	-	_
Vermont	569	-	-	_
Washington	75	-	-	_
Obligations	1,676	-	=	_

Rural Business Development Grants Native American Tribes <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u>

State/Temitem	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alaska	-	\$288	-	-
Arizona	-	557	-	-
California	-	500	-	-
Idaho	-	268	-	-
Minnesota	-	341	-	-
Nebraska	-	181	-	-
Nevada	-	126	-	-
New Mexico	=	307	-	-
North Dakota	=	999	=	-
Oklahoma	=	682	-	-
Oregon	=	261	=	-
South Dakota	-	100	_	-
Washington	_	109	_	-
Wisconsin	-	99	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$4,310 a/	\$4,156 a/
Obligations	-	4,818	4,310	4,156

RBDG Native American Tribes for Rural Transportation <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory -	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Maryland	-	\$250	\$250	\$250
Obligations	-	250	250	250

RBDG Technical Assistance for Rural Transportation <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory -	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Maryland	-	\$502	\$500	\$500
Obligations	-	502	500	500

Delta Regional Authority Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Mississippi	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	-
Obligations	3,000	3,000	3,000	=

Rural Child Poverty <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	-	-	-	\$25,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	-	25,000

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Rural Business Development Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	-	\$703	-	-
Alaska	-	130	-	-
Arizona	-	334	-	-
Arkansas	-	462	-	-
California	-	613	-	-
Colorado	_	246	_	_
Connecticut	_	159	_	_
Delaware	_	111	_	_
Florida	_	586	_	_
Georgia	_	832	_	_
Hawaii	_	113	_	_
Idaho	_	197	_	_
Illinois	_	462	_	_
Indiana	-	501	-	-
	-		-	-
owa	-	336	-	-
Kansas	-	245	-	-
Kentucky	-	679	-	-
Louisiana	-	422	-	-
Maine	-	275	-	-
Maryland	-	214	-	-
Massachusetts	-	242	-	-
Michigan	-	785	-	-
Minnesota	-	391	-	-
Mississippi	-	534	-	-
Missouri	-	675	-	-
Montana	-	184	-	-
Nebraska	-	185	-	_
Nevada	-	148	-	_
New Hampshire	_	213	_	_
New Jersey	_	156	_	_
New Mexico	_	267	_	_
New York	_	1,076	_	_
North Carolina	_	859	_	
North Dakota	_	613	_	_
	-		-	-
Ohio	-	729	-	-
Oklahoma	-	406	-	-
Oregon	-	293	-	-
Pennsylvania	-	709	-	-
Rhode Island	-	83	-	-
South Carolina	-	620	-	-
South Dakota	-	156	-	-
Tennessee	-	733	-	-
Гexas	-	871	-	-
Jtah	-	134	-	-
Vermont	-	1,393	-	-
Virginia	-	607	-	-
Washington	-	323	-	-
West Virginia	_	356	_	_
Wisconsin	_	476	_	_
Wyoming	_	119	_	-
Puerto Rico	_	246	_	_
Other Countries	<u>-</u> -	64	<u>-</u>	-
Jndistributed	-	04	\$22,861 <u>a</u> /	\$27,965
		_	N// NDI 9/	

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

		2014	2015	2016	2017
		Actual	Estimate	Enacted	Estimate
41.0	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$109,310	\$84,288	\$89,915	\$76,925
99.9	Total, new obligations	109,310	84,288	89,915	76,925

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Intermediary Relending Program [Fund Account] (including transfer of funds)

- 1 For the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized by the Intermediary Relending Program [Fund Account] (7 U.S.C. 1936b), \$18,889,000.
 - For the cost of direct loans, [\$5,217,000]\$5,476,000, as authorized by the Intermediary Relending Program
- 2 [Fund Account] (7 U.S.C. 1936b), of which [\$531,000]\$557,000 shall be available through June 30,
- 3 [2016] 2017, for Federally Recognized Native American Tribes; and of which [\$1,021,000]\$1,072,000 shall be
- 4 available through June 30, [2016]2017, for Mississippi Delta Region counties (as determined in accordance with Public Law 100-460): *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.
- In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the direct loan programs, [\$4,468,000]\(\frac{\$4,564,000}{\$4,564,000} \) shall be 5 [transferred to and merged with] paid to the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

The first change removes the words "Fund Account" from the title of the program to correctly identify the title of the program in the authorizing statute.

<u>The second change</u> removes the words "Fund Account" from the title of the program to correctly identify the title of the program in the authorizing statute.

<u>The third change</u> modifies the expiration date of the set-aside funds for the federally recognized Native American Tribes loan program from June 30, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

<u>The fourth change</u> modifies the expiration date of the set-aside funds for the Mississippi Delta Region counties loan program from June 30, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

The fifth change adds language simplifying the transfer and consolidation of funds from the individually appropriated program expense accounts to the Rural Development, Salaries and Expense account.

INTERMEDIARY RELENDING PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Lead-Off Tabular Statement

Budget Estimate, 2017	\$10,040,000
2016 Enacted	9,685,000
Change in Appropriation	355,000

<u>Summary of Increases and Decreases</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Dragram	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017
Program	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations:					
Intermediary Relending Program	\$4,082	+\$1,736	-\$601	+\$259	\$5,476
Administrative Expenses	4,439	-	+29	+96	4,564
Total	8,521	+1,736	-572	+355	10,040

INTERMEDIARY RELENDING PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual	 	201	2015 Actual		2016	2016 Enacted		Inc	Inc. or Dec.		2017	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program Budget	Budget	щ	Program Budget	Budget		Program Budget	Budget		Program	Budget		Program Budget	Budget	
	Level	Level Authority SYs Lev	Ys	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	vel Authority SYs Level Authority SYs Level	§Ys	Level	Authority	SYs	SYs Level Authority SYs	vuthority	SYs
Discretionary Appropriations:	000	690	,	000	010	7	010 000	, t	4	(1)	(0) 0909		000) [V	ų ų
Intermediary Kelending Program	\$18,889	\$18,889 \$4,082 40 \$18,	1 0	18,890	\$2,818	40	40 \$18,888 \$2,818 40 \$18,888	\$5,217	7	+\$1 (1)	+51 (1) +5259 (2) +1 518,889	-	\$18,889	\$3,470	CC
Administrative Expenses \underline{a}'	ı	4,439		ı	4,439	1	1	4,468	ı	ı	96+	ı	ı	4,564	
Total Appropriation	18,889	18,889 8,521 46 18,	46	18,890	10,257	46	,890 10,257 46 18,888	9,685 54	54	1	+355	+1	+355 +1 18,889 10,040 55	10,040	55
Total Available	18,889	18,889 8,521 46 18,	46	18,890	10,257	46	18,888	890 10,257 46 18,888 9,685 54	54	+	+355		+1 18,889 10,040 55	10,040	55
Total Obligations	18,889	18,889 8,521 46 18,	46	18,890	10,257	46	18,888	890 10,257 46 18,888 9,685 54	54	+1	+355	+	+1 18,889 10,040 55	10,040	55

Project Statement Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		201	2015 Actual		2016	2016 Enacted		In	Inc. or Dec.		2017	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program Budget	Budget	Pr	Program Budget	Budget	. 7	Program Budget	Budget	. 7	Program	Budget		Program Budget	Budget	
	Level	Level Authority SYs Level Authority SYs Level Authority SYs Level	s.	Level	Authority !	SYs	Level	Authority .	SYs	Level	Authority		Level	SYs Level Authority SYs	SYs
Discretionary Obligations:															
Intermediary Relending Program	\$18,889	\$18,889 \$4,082 46 \$18	\$ 91	18,890	\$5,818	46	\$5,818 46 \$18,888	\$5,217 54	54	+\$1 (1	+\$1 (1) +\$259 (2) +1 \$18,889	2) +1	\$18,889	\$5,476	55
Administrative Expenses \underline{a}	1	4,439		ı	4,439	1	ı	4,468	1	ı	96+	ı	ı	4,564	ı
Total Obligations	18,889	8,521 46 18,	9:	18,890	10,257	46	10,257 46 18,888	9,685 54	54	+	+355	1	18,889	10,040 55	55
Total Available	18,889	18,889 8,521 46 18,890 10,257 46 18,888 9,685 54	9:	18,890	10,257	46	18,888	9,685	54	+1	+355	1	18,889	18,889 10,040 55	55
Total Appropriation	18,889	18,889 8,521 46 18,890 10,257 46 18,888 9,685 54	9:	18,890	10,257	46	18,888	9,685	54	+1	+355		18,889	18,889 10,040 55	55

a/ In 2014, funding of \$4,439,000 was appropriated and transferred to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account. In 2015, funding of \$4,439,000 was appropriated and transferred to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account. In 2016, funding of \$4,468,000 was appropriated and transferred to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account. In 2017, funding of \$4,564,000 is requested, and will be paid to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account.

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$1,000 for the intermediary relending loan program (\$18,888,000 available in 2016).

Base funding of the intermediary relending program (IRP) is used to alleviate poverty and increase economic activity and employment in rural communities. Under the IRP program, loans are provided to local organizations (intermediaries) for the establishment of revolving loan funds. These revolving loan funds are used to make loans to businesses and community and economic development organizations that are unable to obtain commercial credit to assist them with financing business and economic development activities to create or retain jobs in disadvantaged and remote communities. Intermediaries are encouraged to work in concert with State and regional strategies and in partnership with other public and private organizations that can provide complementary resources.

The program supports the USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving, by creating or saving jobs through financing of businesses.

In 2017, the proposed funding level of \$18.889 million is projected to create and/or save 14,450 jobs and assist 642 businesses.

(2) An increase of \$259,000 for the intermediary relending program loan subsidy (\$5,217,000 available in 2016).

The increase in subsidy budget authority is related primarily to the increase in the subsidy rate. The subsidy amount is necessary to support the estimated loan obligations associated with the requested 2017 loan level for the program.

Intermediary Relending Program Loans
<u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u>
(Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alaska	\$1,000	-	-	-
Arizona	-	\$415	-	-
California	1,000	1,000	-	-
Colorado	-	1,000	-	-
Georgia	500	· -	-	-
Illinois	-	1,000	-	-
owa	500	200	-	-
Kentucky	500	-	-	-
Maryland	-	992	-	=
Massachusetts	1,000	492	-	=
Minnesota	293	-	-	-
Mississippi	_	600	-	-
Missouri	963	1,649	-	-
Montana	2,250	852	_	_
Nebraska	176	-	-	-
New Hampshire	1,000	500	-	-
New Jersey	1,000	-	-	-
New Mexico	-	250	-	-
North Carolina	_	326	_	_
Oklahoma	309	-	_	_
Pennsylvania	1,500	2,174	_	_
South Carolina	-	400	_	_
South Dakota	750	3,553	_	_
Texas.	400	1,000	_	_
Vermont	3,000	2,000	_	_
Vashington	1,750	-,	_	_
Vest Virginia	-,	_	_	_
Visconsin	1.000	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	-,	487	_	_
Undistributed	_	- -	\$18,888 <u>a</u> /	\$18,889 a/
Obligations	18,889	18,890	18,888	18,889

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

		2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
25.0	Other purchases of goods and services				
	from government accounts	\$4,439	\$4,439	\$4,468	4,564
41.0	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	4,082	5,818	5,217	5,476
99.9	Total, new obligations	8,521	10,257	9,685	10,040

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Rural Economic Development Loans Program Account (Including [Rescission] Cancellation of Funds)

For the principal amount of direct loans, as authorized under section 313 of the Rural Electrification Act, for the purpose of promoting rural economic development and job creation projects, [\$33,077,000] <u>\$85,000,000</u>.

Of the funds derived from interest on the cushion of credit payments, as authorized by section 313 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, [\$179,000,000] \$151,487,000 shall not be obligated and [\$179,000,000] \$151,487,000 are [rescinded] hereby permanently cancelled.

<u>This change</u> clarifies the way the interest on cushion of credit funds is being removed from this account and being recorded in the budget.

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECT LOANS

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		201	2015 Actual		201	2016 Enacted		Inc.	Inc. or Dec.		2017	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program Budget	Budget		Program Budget	Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget	
	Level	Level Authority SYs Level Aut	SYs	Level	Authority SYs Level	SYs	Level	Authority SYs	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs	SYs Level	Authority 3	SYs
Discretionary Appropriations: Rural economic development direct loans	1	•	19	1	1	18	1	1	21	ı	1	+1	1	•	22
Total Appropriation	ı	ı	19	ı	1	18	ı	ı	21	1	ı	+1	ı	ı	22
Bal. Available, SOY	\$57,885	\$57,885 \$4,891	1	\$7,986	\$1,020	ı	\$4,258	\$570	ı	-\$95	+\$22	ı	\$4,163	\$592	1
Recoveries, Other (Net)	6,087	292		4,465	570	,	4,421	592	1	-205	8+		4,216	009	1
Total Available	66,972	5,659	19	5,659 19 12,451	1,590	18	8,680	1,162	21	-300	30	+1	8,379	1,192	22
Bal. Available, EOY	-12,068	-1,020	ı	-4,465	-570	,	-4,424	-592	1	-2,072	-332	1	-6,496	-924	1
Offsetting collections paid from rural economic development grants account $a / b / b$	30,695	2,594	ı	30,662	3,916	1	33,131	4,436	ı	+53,986 (1)	+7,960 (2)	1	87,116	12,397	1
Total Obligations	85,600	85,600 7,233 19 38,648	19	38,648	4,935 18	18	37,386	5,006	21	51,614	7,659	+1	89,000	12,665	22

Project Statement Obligations Details and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		20	2015 Actual		201	2016 Enacted		Inc	Inc. or Dec.		2017	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program Budget	Budget		Program	Program Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget	
	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Level Authority SYs Level Authority SYs Level	SYs	Level	Authority SYs	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs	SYs Level	Authority SYs	SYs
Discretionary Obligations: Rural economic development direct loans	\$85,600	\$85,600 \$7,233 19 \$38,648	19	\$38,648		18	\$4,935 18 \$37,386	\$5,006	21	+\$51,614 (1)	21 +\$51,614 (1) +\$7,659 (2) +1 \$89,000	1+ (\$89,000	\$12,665	22
Total Obligations	85,600		19	7,233 19 38,648	4,935 18	18	37,386	5,006	21	21 +51,614	+7,659	+1	89,000	12,665	22
Other Adjustments (Net)	-9,087	-768		-4,465	-570		-4,421	-592	•	+205	∞	•	-4,216	009-	
Bal. Available, EOY	12,068	1,020	1	4,465	570		4,424	592	1	+2,072	+332	1	6,496	924	ı
Total Available	88,581	7,485	19	7,485 19 38,648	4,935	18	37,389	5,006	21	+53,890	+7,983	+1	91,279	12,989	22
Bal. Available, SOY	-57,885	-4,891		-7,986	-1,020		-4,258	-570	•	+95	-22	1	-4,163	-592	1
Offsetting collections paid from rual economic development grants account $a/b/$	-30,695	-2,594	1	-30,662	-3,916	1	-33,131	-4,436	•	-53,986	-7,960	•	-87,116	-12,397	ı
Total Appropriation	1		19			18	1	1	21		1	+1	1	1	22

a/ Program is funded by the cushion of credit account.
 b/ Budget Authority includes \$308,347 from temporary 2015 sequestration funds and \$301,172 from temporary 2016 sequestration funds.

Justification of Increases or Decreases

(1) An increase of \$51,923,000 for the rural economic development loans (\$33,077,000 available in 2016).

Base funds for the program continue to create/save jobs. In 2016, the rural economic development loan program anticipates creating/saving a total of 1,342 jobs. This program provides zero interest loans and small grants to electric and telephone utilities financed by Rural Development's utilities programs, which in turn, use the funding to promote sustainable rural economic development and job creation projects and to capitalize revolving loan funds for economic development and job creation purposes.

Continuation of this program is critical because the program:

- Supports a variety of purposes and activities serving local and regional-based projects in rural areas.
- Assists rural businesses and community development projects by providing access to additional capital resources.
- Provides opportunities for job creation and growth in rural areas adjusting from the transition away from fossil-fueled energy production.

The program supports the USDA Strategic Goal for assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

In 2017, this loan program anticipates creating/saving a total of 3,450 jobs.

(2) An increase of \$7,667,000 for the rural economic development loan subsidy (\$4,429,000 available in 2016).

There is no request for discretionary budget authority because this program is funded from the earnings in the cushion of credit account. The requested subsidy supports the estimated loan obligation with the requested 2017 loan level for this program.

Rural Economic Development Loans <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	\$1,300	-	-	-
Colorado	550	-	-	=
Delaware	593	-	-	-
Georgia	3,082	-	-	-
Idaho	2,000	-	-	-
Illinois	11,810	\$2,550	-	-
Indiana	-	2,629	-	-
Iowa	9,917	8,080	-	-
Kansas	10,295	2,016	-	-
Kentucky	-	2,525	-	-
Minnesota	333	1,000	-	-
Mississippi	4,000	4,000	-	-
Missouri	750	3,000	-	-
Montana	300	-	-	-
North Carolina	14,670	3,645	-	-
North Dakota	8,075	-	-	-
Ohio	1,573	-	-	-
South Carolina	3,000	2,000	-	-
South Dakota	-	720	-	-
Tennessee	10,610	4,483	-	-
Texas	-	2,000	-	-
Virginia	1,000	-	-	-
Washington	750	-	-	-
Wisconsin	992	-	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$37,386 <u>a</u> /	\$89,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	85,600	38,648	37,386	89,000
Bal. Available, SOY	-57,885	-7,986	-4,258	-4,163
Recoveries	-9,087	-4,465	-4,421	-4,216
Bal. Available, EOY	12,068	4,465	4,424	6,496

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Total, Adjust Approp.....

<u>Classification by Objects</u> (Dollars in thousands)

30,662

33,131

87,116

30,695

	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0 Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$7,233	\$4,935	\$5,006	\$12,665
99.9 Total, new obligations	7,233	4,935	5,006	12,665

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS <u>Lead-Off Tabular Statement</u>

Budget Estimate, 2017	-\$151,487,000 -179,000,000 27,513,000
<u>Lead-Off Tabular Statement</u> (On basis of Funding)	
Budget Estimate, 2017	\$12,000,000 11,184,000 816,000

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Project Statement
Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

c.	2014 Actual		2015 Actual	1	2016 Enacted	þ	Inc. or Dec.	ec.	2017 Estimate	ıte
rogram	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs
Discretionary Appropriations: Rural economic development grants	1	18	1	18	1	21	1	ı	1	21
Total Adjusted Approp	ı	18	1	18	ı	21	ı	ı	ı	21
Rescissions, Transfers, and Seq. (Net)	-\$175,000	ı	-\$179,000	1	-\$179,000	ı	+\$27,513	1	-\$151,487	ı
Total Appropriation	-175,000	18	-179,000	18	-179,000	21	+27,513	1	-151,487	21
Transfers In:										
Rural Electrification and Telecommunications										
Liquidating Account, Cushion of Credit \overline{a}	195,167	ı	184,347	1	168,000	ı	-12,000	1	156,000	1
Rural Electrification and Telecommunications										
electric underwriter loan fees	12,589	-	13,957	-	13,500	1	+135	-	13,635	-
Subtotal	207,756	18	198,304	18	181,500	21	-11,865	ı	169,635	21
Rescission	-175,000	ı	-179,000	ı	-179,000	1	+27,513	ı	-151,487	
Temporary Sequestration \underline{b}	-921	ı	-1,038	ı	-1,117	ı	+1,117	1	ı	•
Sequestration recovered	1	ı	921	ı	1,038	ı	+79	1	1,117	•
Bal. Available, SOY	183,791	ı	195,139	ı	201,497	ı	-12,899	•	188,598	•
Recoveries, Other (Net)	644	1	300	-	300	ı	-	-	300	1
Total Available	216,269	18	214,626	18	204,218	21	+3,945	ı	208,163	21
Bal. Available, EOY	-204,396	İ	-201,497	ı	-188,598	ı	+4,832	ı	-183,767	1
Offsetting collections paid to rural										
economic development program account	-2,594	ı	-3,916	ı	-4,436	ı	-7,960	ı	-12,397	1
Total Obligations	9,280	18	9,213	18	11,184	21	+816 -1	1	12,000	21

a/ Amounts represent earnings generated by the interest differential on voluntary cushion of credit payments made by Rural Utilities Service borrowers.
 b/ Amounts represent temporary sequestration of the rural economic development loan subsidy and rural economic development grant funding.

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Project Statement
Obligations Details and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	2014 Actual	II.	2015 Actual	al	2016 Enacted	ed	Inc. or Dec.	S	2017 Estimate	,,
Program	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount S	SYs
Discretionary Obligations: Rural economic development grants	\$9,280	18	\$9,213	18	\$11,184	21	+\$816 -1		\$12,000 21	21
Total Obligations	9,280	18	9,213	18	11,184	21	+816		12,000 21	21
Recoveries, Other (Net)	-644 204,396	1 1	-300 201,497	1 1	-300 188,598	1 1	-4,832	1 1	-300 183,767	1 1
Total Available	213,031	18	210,410	18	199,482	21	-4,016		195,467	21
Transfers In a/	-207,756	ı	-198,304	ı	-181,500	ı	+11,865	1	-169,635	1
Bal. Available, SOY Temporary Sequestrationb/	-183,791 921		-195,139 1.038	1 1	-201,497 $1,117$	1 1	+12,899 $-1,117$		-188,598	1 1
Sequestration recovered	1		-921		-1,038	•	-79	1	-1,117	ı
Offsetting collections paid to rual economic development program account	2,594	1	3,916	1	4,436		+7,960	ı	12,397	1
Total Appropriation	-175,000	18	-179,000	18	-179,000	21	+27,513	-	-151,487 21	21

a/ Amounts represent earnings generated by the interest differential on voluntary cushion of credit payments made by Rural Utilities Service borrowers.
 b/ Amounts represent temporary sequestration of the rural economic development loan subsidy and rural economic development grant funding.

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$816,000 for rural economic development grants (\$11,184,000 available in 2016).

Base funds for the rural economic development grant program continue to create/save jobs. The program provides small grants to promote sustainable rural economic development and job creation projects and to capitalize revolving loan funds for economic development and job creation purposes.

Continuation of this program is critical because the program:

- Supports a variety of purposes and activities serving local and regional-based projects in rural areas.
- Assists rural businesses and community development projects by providing access to additional capital resources.
- Provides opportunities for job creation and growth in rural areas adjusting from the transition away from fossil-fueled energy production.

The program supports the USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

The program anticipates creating/saving 750 jobs. The program is funded through the earnings from the cushion of credit account.

Rural Economic Development Grants Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

Crata/TE and a second	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Delaware	-	\$300	-	-
Illinois	600	-	-	-
Indiana	300	-	-	-
Iowa	1,780	3,250	-	-
Kansas	167	-	-	-
Michigan	300	-	-	-
Minnesota	300	600	-	-
Mississippi	300	-	-	-
Missouri	300	-	-	-
Nebraska	1,200	300	-	-
North Carolina	1,800	2,848	-	-
North Dakota	632	-	-	-
Ohio	300	-	-	-
South Dakota	1,401	1,615	-	-
Utah	300	-	-	-
Wisconsin	300	300	-	-
Undistributed	-700	-	\$11,184 <u>a</u> /	\$12,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	9,280	9,213	11,184	12,000

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0 Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$9,280	\$9,213	\$11,184	\$12,000
99.9 Total, new obligations	9,280	9,213	11,184	12,000

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored):

Rural Microenterprise Investment Program Account

For the cost of loans, \$2,904,000, and for grants, \$2,000,000, under the same terms and conditions as authorized by section 379E of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 2008s): *Provided*, That such cost of loans, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined by section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

RURAL MICROENTERPRISE INVESTMENT PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Lead-Off Tabular Statement

	Loan Level	Subsidy	Grants
Budget Estimate, 2017	\$23,419	\$2,904	\$2,000
2016 Enacted	-	-	-
Change in Appropriation	23,419	2,904	2,000

<u>Summary of Increases and Decreases</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Drogram	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017
Program	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations:					
Microenterprise Loans	-	-	-	+\$2,904	\$2,904
Microenterprise Grants	-	-	-	+2,000	2,000
Total Discretionary Appropriations	-	-	-	+4,904	4,904
Mandatory Appropriations:					
Microenterprise Loans	\$1,500	-\$750	-	-	750
Microenterprise Grants	1,500	+750	-	-	2,250
Total Mandatory Appropriations	3,000	-	-	-	3,000
Total	3,000	-	-	+4,904	7,904

RURAL MICROENTERPRISE INVESTMENT PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		2015	5 Actual		2010	2016 Enacted		Inc	Inc. or Dec.		201	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program	Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget	
	Level	Authority SYs		Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs
Discretionary Appropriations:															
Microenterprise Loans	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	ı	1	ı	+\$23,419 (1)	+\$2,904 (3)	9+	\$23,419	\$2,904	9
Microenterprise Grants	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	+2,000 (2)	+2,000	-	2,000	2,000	
Subtotal	1	•	ı	1	1	ı	1	•	1	+25,419	+4,904	9+	25,419	4,904	9
Mandatory Appropriations:															
Microenterprise Loans \underline{a}	\$23,962	\$1,500	11	\$5,855	\$750	11	\$6,620	\$750	12	-571	1	5-	6,048	750	7
Microenterprise Grants \underline{a}	1,500	1,500	11	2,250	2,250	11	2,250	2,250	12	ı	1	+1	2,250	2,250	13
Subtotal	25,462	3,000	22	8,105	3,000	22	8,870	3,000	24	-571	-	4-	8,298	3,000	20
Total Adjusted Approp	25,462	3,000 22	22	8,105	3,000	22	8,870	3,000	24	+24,848	+4,904	+2	33,718	7,904	26
Rescissions and Transfers (Net)	-25,462	-3,000	ı	-8,105	-3,000	ı	-8,870	-3,000	1	+571	ı	ı	-8,298	-3,000	ı
Total Appropriation	1	1	22	'		22	1	1	24	+25,419	+4,904	+2	25,419	4,904	26
Transfers In: Commodity Credit Corporation	25,462	3,000	ı	8,105	3,000	1	8,870	3,000	1	-571		1	8,298	3,000	1
Total	25,462	3,000 22	22	8,105	3,000	22	8,870	3,000	24	-571	ı	+2	8,298	3,000	26
Sequestration	ı	1	1	-592	-219	1	-603	-204	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	ı
Bal. Available, SOY	28,498	2,223	1	10,152	2,512	1	998'9	2,171	1	-4,642	-1,797	1	2,225	374	ı
Recoveries, Other (Net)	11,869	929		2,777	551	1	2,392	342	1	+236	+68		2,628	410	
Total Available	65,829	6,152	22	20,442	5,844	22	17,525	5,309	24	+21,045	+3,379	+2	38,571	8,688	26
Bal. Available, EOY	-45,597	-2,512	1	-15,417	-2,171	ı	-2,424	-374	1	-236	89-	1	-2,660	-442	١
Total Obligations	20,233	3,641	22	5,025	3,673	22	15,101	4,935	24	+20,810	+3,311	+2	35,911	8,246	26

a/ Mandatory funding provided by section section 6023 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$3,000,000 each year from 2014 through 2018, to remain available until expended.

RURAL MICROENTERPRISE INVESTMENT PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Project Statement Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual	2015	15 Estimate		2016	2016 Enacted		Inc. or Dec.		201	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program Budget	Budget	Program	Budget		Program	Budget	Program	Budget		Program	Budget	
	Level	Level Authority SYs Level	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority SYs	s Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs
Discretionary Obligations:													
Microenterprise Loans	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	+\$23,419 (1)	(1) +\$2,904 (3)	(3) +6	\$23,419	\$2,904	9
Microenterprise Grants	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	+2,000 (2)	(2) +2,000	-	2,000	2,000	
Subtotal	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	+25,419	+4,904	9+	25,419	4,904	9
Mandatory Obligations:													
Microenterprise Loans \underline{a}	\$17,700	\$1,108 11	\$1,550	\$199	11	\$11,465	\$1,299 12	2 -3,304	-287	5 -	8,161	1,012	7
Microenterprise Grants \underline{a}	2,533	2,533 11	3,475	3,475	11	3,636	3,636 12	2 -1,306	-1,306	+1	2,330	2,330	13
Subtotal	20,233	3,641 22	5,025	3,673	22	15,101	4,935 24	4 -4,610	-1,593	4	10,491	3,342	20
Total Obligations	20,233	3,641 22	5,025	3,673	22	15,101	4,935 24	4 +20,810	+3,311	+2	35,911	8,246	26
Bal. Available, EOY	45,597	2,512 -	15,417	2,171	1	2,424	374 -	+236	+68	ı	2,660	442	ı
Total Available	65,829	6,152 22	20,442	5,844	22	17,525	5,309 24	4 +21,045	+3,379	+2	38,571	8,688	26
Transfers: Commodity Credit Corporation	-25,462	-3,000 -	-8,105	-3,000	1	-8,870	-3,000	+571	1	ı	-8,298	-3,000	1
Sequestration	•	1	592	219	1	603	204 -	-603	-204	1	1	ı	1
Bal. Available, SOY	-28,498	-2,223 -	-10,152	-2,512	ı	-6,866	-2,171 -	+4,642	+1,797	1	-2,225	-374	,
Recoveries, Other (Net)	-11,869	-929	-2,777	-551	1	-2,392	-342 -	-236	-68	-	-2,628	-410	
Total Appropriation	1	- 22		•	22	1	- 24	4 25,419	+4,904	+2	25,419	4,904	26

½ Mandatory funding provided by section 6023 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$3,000,000 each year from 2014 through 2018, to remain available until expended.

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$23,419,000 for the rural microenterprise assistance program direct loans (\$0 available in 2016).

The purpose of the program is to support the development and ongoing success of rural microentrepreneurs and microenterprises. These funds will support 2,123 businesses. To accomplish this purpose, the program will make direct loans to selected Microenterprise Development Organizations (MDOs). Selected MDOs will use the funds to provide microloans for working capital, business acquisitions, debt refinancing, fixtures, supplies, furniture, inventory, and equipment costs, and purchase or lease of facility. The program provides loan funds to intermediaries to capitalize revolving microloan fund programs. The revolving microloan fund programs make loans of not more than \$50,000 to recipients in rural communities involving equipment purchases and working capital for start-up or expansion of microenterprises. The term of the loan is for 20 years.

Funding for this program is critical because:

- It is the only Federal nation-wide program that finances the capitalization of microloan revolving loan funds that exclusively serve rural areas.
- Supports a variety of purposes and activities serving rural microenterprises (i.e. agricultural production).
- Assists rural microentrepreneurs and microenterprises, which are unable to obtain adequate capital from commercial credit or other Federal, State, or local programs at reasonable rates and terms.

The program supports the USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

The rural microenterprise assistance program addresses the lack of available credit and financial market conditions for microenterprise activities. These conditions often limit the ability of rural economies to create jobs, enhance amenities, and increase incomes to enable rural families to prosper.

With the funding requested in 2017, the program will create or save about 5,678 jobs.

(2) An increase of \$2,000,000 for the rural microenterprise assistance program grants (\$0 available in 2016).

The proposal will support expansion of the rural microenterprise assistance grant program, which will assist small businesses of 10 people or less to expand and grow their businesses. Funds are for technical assistance to the MDOs and do not go directly to an ultimate recipient.

(3) An increase of \$2,904,000 for the rural microenterprise assistance program direct loan subsidy (\$0 available in 2016).

The subsidy requested for this program is to support the requested loan level of \$23.4 million.

$\begin{array}{c} Discretionary\ Rural\ Microentrepreneur\ Assistance\ Program\ Direct\ Loans \\ \underline{Geographic\ Breakdown\ of\ Obligations} \end{array}$

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	-	-	-	\$23,419 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	_	23,419

Mandatory Rural Microentrepreneur Assistance Program Direct Loans <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State /The mide was	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
California	\$4,300	-	-	-
Colorado	\$300	-	-	-
Connecticut	\$500	-	-	-
Florida	\$300	-	-	-
Illinois	\$500	-	-	-
Iowa	\$200	-	-	-
Kentucky	\$900	-	-	-
Louisiana	\$1,000	-	-	-
Maine	-	\$500	-	-
Maryland	1,000	-	-	-
Massachusetts	500	-	-	-
Missouri	600	-	-	-
Montana	100	-	-	-
Nebraska	1,150	-	-	-
Nevada	500	-	-	-
New Jersey	500	-	-	-
New Mexico	-	500	-	-
North Carolina	900	-	-	-
North Dakota	500	-	-	-
Oregon	300	150	-	-
Pennsylvania	1,200	-	-	-
South Carolina	450	400	-	-
South Dakota	900	-	-	-
West Virginia	500	-	-	-
Wisconsin	600	-	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$11,465 <u>a</u> /	\$8,161 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	17,700	1,550	11,465	8,161

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Discretionary Rural Microentrepreneur Assistance Program Grants

Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	-	-	-	\$2,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	_	2,000

Mandatory Rural Microentrepreneur Assistance Program Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u>

(Dollars in thousands)

State /The state of	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Arizona	-	\$115	-	-
California	\$825	209	-	-
Colorado	30	-	-	-
Connecticut	105	-	-	-
Delaware	-	37	-	-
Florida	75	-	-	-
Georgia	-	29	-	-
Hawaii	-	17	-	-
dahod	-	84	-	-
llinois	30	119	-	-
owa	50	-	-	-
Kansas	-	10	-	-
Kentucky	130	131	-	-
ouisiana	105	-	-	-
Maine	-	449	-	-
Aaryland	135	252	-	_
Massachusetts	-	64	-	_
Michigan	-	88	-	-
Minnesota	-	159	-	-
Mississippi	30	-	-	-
Missouri	150	-	-	-
Montana	25	92	-	-
Vebraska	138	289	-	-
Nevada	-	68	-	-
New Jersey	-	110	-	-
North Carolina	200	122	-	-
North Dakota	105	30	-	-
Ohio	30	116	-	-
Oregon	105	44	-	-
Pennsylvania	30	188	-	-
South Carolina	-	187	-	-
outh Dakota	100	118	-	-
Vashington	30	127	-	-
Vest Virginia	105	-	-	-
Visconsin	_	222	_	_
Jndistributed	-	-	\$3,636 <u>a</u> /	\$2,330 <u>a</u>
Obligations	2,533	3,475	3,636	2,330

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

		2014	2015	2016	2017
	_	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$3,641	\$3,673	\$4,935	\$8,246
99.9	Total, new obligations	3,641	3,673	4,935	8,246

NOTE: Amounts include mandatory and discretionary funding.

BIOREFINERY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Project Statement Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)

(Dollars in thousands)

	201·	2014 Actual	201	2015 Actual	2016	2016 Enacted	Inc.	Inc. or Dec.	2	2017 Estimate	
Program	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program	n Budget	
	Level	Authority SYs	s Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs	's Level	Authority	SYs
Mandatory Appropriations: Guaranteed biorefinery loansa/	\$241,371	\$241,371 \$100,000 16 \$124	\$124,008	\$50,000 15	\$223,015	\$50,000 17	-\$223,015	-\$50,000 +1	.	,	18
Subtotal	241,371	241,371 100,000 16	124,008	50,000 15	223,015	50,000 17	-223,015	-50,000 +1	1.	ļ ,	18
Total Adjusted Approp	241,371	241,371 100,000 16	124,008	50,000 15	223,015	50,000 17	-223,015	-50,000 +1	<u>-</u>		18
Rescissions, Transfers, and Seq. (Net)	-241,371	-241,371 -100,000 -	-124,008	- 50,000 -	-223,015	- 50,000 -	+223,015	- 000,02+	,	1	ı
Total Appropriation	'	- 16	ı	- 15	1	- 17		-	.1		18
Transfers In: Commodity Credit Corporation	241,371	241,371 100,000 -	124,008	50,000 -	223,015	50,000 -	-223,015	- 50,000			1
Commodity Credit Corporation	•	1	ı		72,926	16,350 -	ı	1	- \$94,185	\$5 \$19,600	1
Total	241,371	241,371 100,000 -	124,008	50,000 -	295,941	- 056,99	-223,015	- 20,000	- 94,185	19,600	ı
Rescission \underline{b}	-98,223	-40,694 -	1	1	1	1	1				ı
	•	1	-9,053	-3,650 -	-15,165	-3,400 -	+15,165	+3,400		0 .	1
Discretionary block on S&E funds $\underline{c}/\underline{d}/$	1	1	-40,551	-16,350 -	-87,422	-19,600 -	+87,422	+19,600		0	
Bal. Available, SOY	171,802	71,178 -	212,451	111,847 -	553,526	124,100 -	+36,866	-1,240	- 590,392	122,860	ı
Recoveries, Other (Net)	67,005	27,760 -	ı	1	ı	1	1	ı		0	ı
Total Available	381,955	158,244 16	286,855	141,847 15	746,880	167,450 17	-62,303	-24,990 -1	-17 684,577	77 142,460	1
Bal. Available, EOYBal. Available	-220,955	-220,955 -111,847 -	-206,855	-124,100 -	-547,995	-122,860 -	+64,571	+22,260	-483,424	24 -100,600	ı
Total Obligations	161,000	46,397 16	80,000	17,746 15	198,885	44,590 17	+2,268	-2,730 -17	7 201,153	53 41,860	1

a/ Mandatory funding provided by section 9003 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$100,000,000,000 for 2014, \$50,000,000 for both 2015 and 2016, to remain available until expended.

Rescission of all unobligated balances in the amount of \$40,694,000 of Biorefinery Assistance Program Account funds pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriates Act, 2014, Public Law 113-76, Division A, Title VII, GP 741.

Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015, PL 113-235, dated December, 16, 2014, GP 716(5) blocks S&E to be spent to run the program in excess of \$30,000,000 for 2015.

d/Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, PL 114-113, dated December, 18, 2015, GP 714(4) blocks S&E to be spent to run the program in excess of \$27,000,000 of the funding appropriated for the program for 2016.

BIOREFINERY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Project Statement Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)

(Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		201:	2015 Actual	20	2016 Enacted		Inc. or Dec.	Dec.	2017	2017 Estimate
Program	Program	Budget		Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program		Budget	Program	Budget
	Level	Authority SYs Level	Υs	Level	Authority SYs	s Level	Authority S	SYs Level		Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs
Mandatory Obligations:												
Guaranteed biorefinery loans \underline{a}	\$161,000	\$161,000 \$46,397 16 \$80,000	16	\$80,000	\$16,632 15	\$ \$198,885	5 \$44,590 17		+\$2,268	-\$2,730 +1	\$201,153	\$41,860 18
Guaranteed biorefinery modifications	1	ı	1	1	1,114 -	•	1	1	1	1	•	
Subtotal	161,000	46,397	ı	80,000	17,746 -	198,885	5 44,590	- +2	+2,268	-2,730 -	201,153	41,860 -
Total Obligations	161,000	161,000 46,397 16	16	80,000	17,746 15	5 198,885	5 44,590 17		+2,268	-2,730 +1	201,153	41,860 18
Bal. Available, EOY	220,955	220,955 111,847	1	206,855	124,100 -	547,995	5 122,860	64	-64,571	-22,260 -	483,424	100,600
Total Available	381,955	381,955 158,244 16	16	286,855	141,847 15	746,880	0 167,450 17		-62,303	-24,990 +1	684,577	142,460 18
Transfers In:	-241,371	-241,371 -100,000	ı	-124,008	-50,000 -	-295,941	1 -66,350	- +201	+201,756	+46,750 -	-94,185	-19,600
Rescission <u>b</u> /	98,223	40,694		ı	1	'	1	1	1	1	1	'
Sequestration	•	•	1	9,053	3,650 -	15,165	5 3,400	15	-15,165	-3,400 -	•	
Discretionary block on S&E funds $\underline{c}/\underline{d}$	ı	ı	ı	40,551	16,350 -	87,422	2 19,600	-87	-87,422	-19,600 -	1	!
Bal. Available, SOY	-171,802	-71,178	ı	-212,451	-111,847 -	-553,526	6 -124,100	36	-36,866	+1,240 -	-590,392	-122,860 -
Recoveries, Other (Net)	-67,005	-27,760	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	1	1	
Total Appropriation		1	16	1	- 1	5	ı	17		-+	1	- 18

a/ Mandatory funding provided by section 9003 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$100,000,000 for 2014, \$50,000,000 for both 2015 and 2016, to remain available until expended.

b/Rescission of unobligated balances in the amount of \$40,694,000 of Biorefinery Assistance Program Account funds pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriates Act, 2014, Public Law 113-76, Division A, Title VII, GP 741.

c/ Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015, PL 113-235, dated December, 16, 2014, GP 716(5) blocks S&E to be spent to run the program in excess of \$30,000,000 for 2015.

[△] Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, PL 114-113, dated December, 18, 2015, GP 714(4) blocks S&E to be spent to run the program in excess of \$27,000,000 of the funding appropriated for the program for 2016.

Mandatory Guaranteed Biorefinery Loans <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Georgia	\$70,000	\$70,000	-	-
Louisiana	91,000	10,000	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$198,885 <u>a</u> /	\$201,153 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	161 000	80 000	198 885	201 153

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0 Grants, subsidies, and contribution	s \$46,397	\$17,746	\$44,590	\$41,860
99.9 Total, new obligations	46,397	17,746	44,590	41,860

ENERGY ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)
(Dollars in thousands)

		,	1	i		,				
Рювтат	2014 Actual	nal	2015 Actual	tual	2016 Enacted	cted	Inc. or Dec.	ec.	2017 Estimate	nate
, rogram	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs
Mandatory Appropriations: Repowering assistance payments	\$12,000		,		1		1		1	
	15,000	1	\$15,000	ı	\$15,000	1	1	1	\$15,000	1
Total Adjusted Approp	27,000	2	15,000	2	15,000	2		ı	15,000	2
Rescissions, Transfers and Seq. (Net)	-27,000	1	-15,000	1	-15,000	1	1	1	-15,000	1
Total Appropriation	ı	2	ı	2	ı	2	ı	ı	1	2
Transfers In:	0		,		,				000	
Commodity Credit Corporation	27,000		15,000		15,000		1		15,000	
l'otal	27,000	ı	15,000	ı	15,000	ı		ı	15,000	ı
Rescission $\underline{c}/\underline{d}/\underline{e}/$	-8,000	1	-8,000	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	ı
Sequestration	- 12	1	-1,095	ı	-1,020	ı	+\$1,020	ı	- 7050	ı
Recoveries, Other (Net)			500		2,430		7,7-			
Total Available	70,131	2	28,239	2	23,278	2	-1,225		22,053	2
Bal. Available, EOY	-21,834	ı	-9,298		-7,053	1	-447	1	-7,500	1
Total Obligations	48,297	2	18,941	2	16,225	2	-1,672	1	14,553	2
	Obligatio	Project ns Detail (Dollars i	Project Statement Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)	rs (SYs)						
	2014 Actual	ual	2015 Actual	tual	2016 Enacted	cted	Inc. or Dec.	ec.	2017 Estimate	nate
1 10814111	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs
Mandatory Obligations: Repowering assistance payments \underline{a}	ı	1	\$4,437	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	1
biofuels payments $\frac{b}{b}$	\$48,297	1	14,504	1	\$16,225	1	-\$1,672	-	\$14,553	1
Total Obligations	48,297	2	18,941	2	16,225	2	-1,672		14,553	2
Bal. Available, EOYBal. Available	21,834	ı	9,298	ı	7,053	ı	+447	1	7,500	1
Total Available	70,131	2	28,239	2	23,278	2	-1,225		22,053	2
Transfers In: Commodity Credit Corporation	-27,000	1	-15,000	1	-15,000	1		1	-15,000	1
Total	-27,000	7	-15,000	2	-15,000	7	1	ı	-15,000	2
Rescission $\underline{c}/\underline{d}/\underline{e}/$	8,000		8,000		1 6		1 6		1	
Sequestration	ı	ı	1,095	ı	1,020	ı	-1,020	ı	ı	ı
Bal. Available, SOY Recoveries, Other (Net)	-51,131	1 1	-21,834 -500	1 1	-9,298	1 1	+2,245	1 1	-7,053	1 1
Total Appropriation	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2
, ,,										

Project Statement Footnotes

- a/ Mandatory funding provided by section 9004 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$12,000,000 for 2014, to remain available until expended.
- Mandatory funding provided by section 9005 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$15,000,000 for 2014 through 2018, to remain available until expended.
- c/ Rescission of all unobligated balances of Repowering Assistance Payment funds pursuant to P.L.113-6, Division A, Title III, GP 738.
- d/ Rescission of unobligated balance in the amount of \$8,000,000 of Bioenergy for Advanced Biofuels Payment funds pursuant to P.L. 113-6, Division A, Title VII, GP 734.
- e/ Rescission of unobligated balance in the amount of \$8,000,000 of Repowering Assistance Payment funds pursuant to Sec. 748 of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015, HR 83, dated December 16, 2014.

Mandatory Repowering Assistance Grants
<u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u>
(Dollars in thousands)

Chata/Tamitama	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
California	-	\$3,000	-	-
Oregon	-	1,437	-	-
Obligations	_	4.437	-	

Mandatory Bioenergy Program for Advanced Biofuels Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tamitam:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	\$42	\$48	-	-
Arizona	5	4	-	-
Arkansas	29	154	-	-
California	449	1,220	-	-
Colorado	4,851	53	=	-
Connecticut	131	36	=	-
Delaware	34	=	=	-
Florida	-	350	-	-
Georgia	545	73	=	-
ławaii	114	9	=	-
daho	18	45	=	-
llinois	39	436	=	-
ndiana	1,759	692	=	-
owa	1,688	892	-	-
Kansas	1,297	1,926	-	-
Kentucky	2,751	654	-	-
ouisiana	633	763	-	-
Maine	784	28	-	-
Maryland	79	120	-	-
Massachusetts	115	6	-	-
/lichigan	2	36	-	-
//innesota	17	1,095	-	-
lississippi	983	64	-	-
Iissouri	309	1,551	-	-
Iontana	14,897	-	-	-
lebraska	-	640	-	-
levada	3,539	24	-	_
New Hampshire	46	170	-	-
New Jersey	571	-	-	-
New Mexico	-	1	=	-
New York	-	13	-	-
North Carolina	60	12	=	-
North Dakota	265	=	=	-
Ohio	=	553	=	-
Oklahoma	750	158	=	-
Oregon	611	46	-	-
Pennsylvania	436	870	-	-
Chode Island	2,649	19	-	-
outh Carolina	308	4	-	-
outh Dakota	8	0	-	-
ennessee	4	882	-	-
exas	79	518	-	-
Jtah	6,141	-	-	-
rermont	49	3	-	-
/irginia	11	70	-	-
Vashington	538	207	-	-
West Virginia	500	7	-	-
Visconsin	7	56	-	-
Vyoming	153	-	-	-
Jndistributed	-	-	\$16,225 <u>a</u> /	\$14,553 <u>a</u>
Obligations	48,297	14,504	16,225	14,553

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0 Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$48,297	\$18,941	\$16,225	\$14,553
99.9 Total, new obligations	48,297	18,941	16,225	14,553

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Rural Energy for America Program

For the cost of a program of loan guarantees <u>and grants</u>, under the same terms and conditions as authorized by section 9007 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (7 U.S.C. 8107), [\$500,000] <u>\$18,515,000</u>: *Provided*, That the cost of loan guarantees, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

This change adds the words "and grants" as the budget proposes grant funding.

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM

Lead-Off Tabular Statement

	Loan Level	Subsidy	Grants
Budget Estimate, 2017	\$75,754,000	\$3,515,000	\$15,000,000
2016 Enacted	7,576,000	500,000	-
Change in Appropriation	68,178,000	3,015,000	15,000,000

<u>Summary of Increases and Decreases</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Drogram	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017
Program	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations:					
Guaranteed renewable energy loans	\$3,500	-\$2,150	-\$850	+\$3,015	\$3,515
Renewable energy grants	=	=	-	+15,000	15,000
Total Discretionary Appropriations	3,500	-2,150	-850	+18,015	18,515
Mandatory Appropriations					
Guaranteed renewable energy loans	25,000	-15,000	-	+5,000	15,000
Renewable energy grants	21,500	+16,500	-	-5,500	32,500
Renewable energy feasibility studies	1,000	-1,000	-	-	-
Renewable energy audits and technical assistance	2,500	-500	-	+500	2,500
Total Mandatory Appropriations	50,000	-	-	-	50,000
Total	53,500	-2,150	-850	+18,015	68,515

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)
(Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual	201	2015 Actual	2016	2016 Enacted	Inc.	Inc. or Dec.		2017	2017 Estimate
Program	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program	Budget		Program	Budget
	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority SYs	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority SYs
Discretionary Appropriations:	092 613	\$3 500 5	\$12.760	\$1.350.5	925 23	9 0053	(1) 621 89\$+	+\$3.015 (3)		127 273	43 515 6
	412,100		417,100		0.0.				- (15,000	
Subtotal	12,760	3,500 5	12,760	1,350 5	7,576	9 005		+18,015	۱ .	90,754	18,515 6
propriatio											
Guaranteed renewable energy loans a/b/	91,141	25,000 10	94,518	10,000 10	151,515	10,000 11	+171,761	+5,000	ı	323,276	15,000 11
Renewable energy grants $\frac{b}{b}$	21,500	21,500 71	38,000	38,000 71	38,000	38,000 79	-5,500	-5,500	+2	32,500	32,500 81
Renewable energy feasibility studies <u>b</u> /	1,000	1,000 -	1	1	1	1	•	1		ı	1
technical	6		000	000	000	000	Q U			0	0
assistance $\underline{\underline{b}}$	2,500	- 000,7	2,000	- 000,7	2,000	- 000,7	+200	+200		2,500	
Subtotal	116,141	50,000 81	134,518	50,000 81	191,515	50,000 90	+166,761	ı	+2	358,276	50,000 92
Total Adjusted Approp	128,901	53,500 86	147,278	51,350 86	199,091	50,500 96	+249,939	+18,015	+2	449,030	68,515 98
Rescissions, Transfers and Seq. (Net) \underline{c}	-116,141	- 50,000 -	-134,518	- 50,000 -	-191,515	- 50,000 -	-166,761	•	1	-358,276	- 50,000 -
Total Appropriation	12,760	3,500 86	12,760	1,350 86	7,576	96 009	+83,179	+18,015	+2	90,754	18,515 98
Transfers In: Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC)	116,141	50,000 -	134,518	50,000	191,515	50,000 -	+166,761	1	1	358,276	50,000 -
Total	116,141	50,000 -	134,518	- 000'05	191,515	- 000,00	+166,761	ı	,	358,276	50,000 -
Sequestration	1	1	-9,820	-3,650 -	-13,023	-3,400 -	+13,023	+3,400	,	1	1
Bal. Available, SOY	57,519	24,762 -	154,485	57,422 -	91,869	10,688 -	-76,547	-6,681	ı	15,322	4,007 -
Recoveries, Other (Net) $\underline{c} \underline{d} / \underline{e} /$	8,185	7,018 -	11,589	5,620 -	11,526	3,743 -	+1,984	-303	1	13,510	3,440 -
Total Available	194,604	85,280 86	303,533	110,741 86	289,463	61,531 96	+188,399	-3,584	+2	477,862	75,962 98
Lapsing Balances	1	1	-116	-12 -	ı	•	•	1		ı	1
Bal. Available, EOY	-125,781	-57,422 -	-59,172	-10,688 -	-11,798	-4,007 -	-1,981	+309	ı	-13,779	-3,698 -
Total Obligations	68,824	27,858 86	244,244	100,041 86	277,665	57,524 96	+186,418	-3,275	+2	464,083	72,264 98

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM

Project Statement
Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)
(Dollars in thousands)

	201	2014 Actual		201	2015 Actual		2016 Enacted	nacted		Inc	Inc. or Dec.		2017	2017 Estimate	
	Program	Budget		Program	Budget	Program		Budget		Program	Budget		Program	Budget	
Program	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority S	SYs Level	·	Authority S	SYs	Level	Authority	SYs	Level	Authority S	SYs
Discretionary Obligations: Guaranteed renewable energy loans	\$12.760	\$12.760 \$3.500 \$ \$12.644	v	\$12,644	\$1,338	5	\$7.576	8500	9	+\$68 179 (1)	+\$3.015 (3)	ı	875.754	\$3,515	9
Renewable energy grants	1	1	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) 1)))		+15,000 (2)	+15,000	1	15,000	15,000) ,
Subtotal	12,760	3,500	5	12,644	1,338	5 7,	7,576	200	9	+83,179	+18,015	1	90,754	18,515	9
Mandatory Obligations:															
Guaranteed renewable energy loans $a/b/$	43,689	11,984	10	148,622	15,724	10 228,	228,121	15,056	11	+107,008	+494	ı	335,129	15,550	11
Renewable energy grants \underline{b}	12,374	12,374	71	80,979	80,979	71 39,	39,863	39,863	42	-4,384	-4,384	+2	35,479	35,479	81
Renewable energy audits and technical															
assistance \underline{b}	1	-	-	2,000	2,000	- 2,	2,105	2,105	-	+615	+615	-	2,720	2,720	
Subtotal	56,064	24,358	81	231,601	98,703	81 270,	270,089	57,024	06	+103,239	-3,275	+2	373,328	53,749	92
Total Obligations	68,824	27,858	98	244,244	100,041	86 277.	277,665	57,524	96	+186,418	+14,740	+2	464,083	72,264	86
Lapsing Balances	•	1	,	116	12		ı	•	ı		•	1	•	•	1
Bal. Available, EOY	125,781	57,422	ı	59,172	10,688	- 11	11,798	4,007	1	+1,981	-309	1	13,779	3,698	1
Total Available	194,604	85,280	98	303,533	110,741	86 289	289,463	61,531	96	+188,399	+14,431	+2	477,862	75,962	86
Transfers In	-116,141	-50,000	,	-134,518	-50,000	191,515	,515	-50,000	,	-166,761	1	•	-358,276	-50,000	
Sequestration	1	•	,	9,820	3,650	- 13	13,023	3,400	,	-13,023	-3,400	ı	•	1	,
Bal. Available, SOY	-57,519	-24,762		-154,485	-57,422	91	-91,869	-10,688		+76,547	+6,681	ı	-15,322	-4,007	
Recoveries, Other (Net) $\underline{c}/\underline{d}/\underline{e}/$	-8,185	-7,018	1	-11,589	-5,620	11,	-11,526	-3,743	ı	-1,984	+303	1	-13,510	-3,440	1
Total Appropriation	12,760	3,500	86	12,760	1,350	86 7,	7,576	500	96	+83,179	+18,015	+2	90,754	18,515	86

Project Statement Footnotes

- a/ The subsidy rate is based on a guarantee fee of 1% and annual fee of .25%.
- b/ Includes mandatory funding provided by section 9007 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$50 million for 2014, \$50 million for 2015, 2016, and 2017. The funds are to remain available until expended.
- c/ For 2014, actual recoveries, Other (Net), of the \$7,018, budget authority amount, \$441 is for subsidy and \$6,577 is for grants.
- d/ For 2014, actual recoveries, Other (Net), of the \$7,018, budget authority amount, \$441 is for subsidy and \$6,577 is for grants.
- e/ For 2016, estimated recoveries, Other (Net), of the \$3,743, budget authority amount, \$550 is for subsidy and \$3,193 is for grants.

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$68,179,000 for rural energy for America program (REAP) guaranteed loans (\$7,576,000 available in 2016).

Base funds for the program continue to generate kilowatt-hours of alternative energy. The 2017 request will support a total program level of \$75.78 million for guaranteed loans, projecting to result in 168 million kilowatt hours of renewable energy generation/savings and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by an estimated 100,800 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalence. Since 2009, the Rural Business Service authorized 10,753 awards under the Rural Energy for America Program, saving or generating 10.5 million megawatt hours of energy.

The REAP request provides loan guarantees to farmers, ranchers, and rural small businesses to assist with purchasing renewable energy systems and making energy efficiency improvements to their physical plants.

Continuation of this program is critical because the program:

- Supports Presidential initiatives for a secure energy future.
- Enhances rural prosperity by facilitating sustainable renewable energy development.

The REAP guaranteed loan program provides significant leverage of Federal funds and greatly enhances access to a growing number of agricultural producers and small rural businesses that can greatly benefit from this program.

Expanding renewable energy production creates green jobs, improves the environment, and provides numerous opportunities to enhance rural prosperity. USDA provides financial and technical assistance to aid in sustainably producing and distributing renewable resources. This assistance goes to farmers, ranchers, forest landowners, small businesses, rural utilities, tribal governments, and rural municipalities and covers biomass, solar, wind, hydro, and geothermal technologies as well as providing support for energy efficiency/conservation improvements.

The 2014 Farm Bill provides \$50 million for loans and grants in mandatory budget authority for this program projecting to result in 1,165 million kilowatt hours of renewable energy generation/savings and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by an estimated 603,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalence.

(2) An increase of \$15,000,000 for rural energy for America program grants (\$0 available in 2016).

Base funds for the REAP grant program will continue to generate kilowatt-hours of alternative energy. In 2017, funding for the grant program of \$15 million is projected to result in 120 million kilowatt hours of renewable energy generation/savings and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by an estimated 72,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalence.

REAP provides grants to farmers, ranchers, and rural small businesses to assist with purchasing renewable energy systems and making energy efficiency improvements to their physical plants. For the last three years this program has seen an increase interest from small businesses in looking to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and diversify power generation and fuel production. Renewable energy projects funded by USDA grants improve the local economy by creating new jobs at energy plants, enhancing the tax base, and increasing local business profits. In addition funds allowed many agricultural producers and rural small business owners to decrease their energy consumption and increase their profit margins.

Expanding renewable energy production creates green jobs, improves the environment, and provides numerous opportunities to enhance rural prosperity. USDA provides financial and technical assistance to aid in sustainably producing and distributing renewable resources. This assistance goes to farmers, ranchers, forest landowners, small businesses, rural utilities, tribal governments, and rural municipalities and covers biomass, solar, wind, hydro, and geothermal technologies as well as providing support for energy efficiency/conservation improvements.

The 2014 Farm Bill provides an additional \$25 million in mandatory budget authority for this program.

(3) An increase of \$3,015,000 for rural energy for America program guaranteed loan subsidy (\$500,000 available in 2016).

The increase in subsidy for this program is due to the increase in the requested loan level, and this level of budget authority will support a loan level of \$75.8 million.

Discretionary Rural Energy for America Program Guaranteed Loans Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alaska	-	\$31	-	=
Florida	-	2,363	-	-
North Carolina	\$12,760	10,250	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$7,576 <u>a</u> /	\$75,754 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	12,760	12,644	7,576	75,754
Lapsing Balances	-	117	-	-
Total, Adjusted Approp	12,760	12,760	7,576	75,754

Discretionary Rural Energy for America Program Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	=	=	=	\$15,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	_	_	=	-

Mandatory Rural Energy for America Program Guaranteed Loans <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Torritory	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Arizona	-	\$5,000	-	-
Hawaii	-	550	-	-
Missouri	-	3,375	-	-
Nebraska	\$25	-	-	-
New York	-	316	-	-
North Carolina	42,440	139,271	-	-
Ohio	-	110	-	-
Virginia	1,225	-	-	-
Undistributed	=	=	\$228,121 <u>a</u> /	\$335,129 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	43,689	148,622	228,121	335,129

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Mandatory Rural Energy for America Program Grants Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory -	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	\$179	\$1,857	-	-
Alaska	143	699	-	-
Arizona	167	1,187	-	-
Arkansas	147	609	-	-
California	429	5,845	-	-
Colorado	126	691	-	-
Connecticut	148	898	-	-
Delaware	142	936	-	-
Florida	287	420	-	-
Georgia	390	4,108	-	-
Hawaii	142	545	-	-
daho	161	1,137	-	-
llinois	270	1,566	-	-
ndiana	354	1,752	-	-
owa	842	3,710	-	-
Kansas	237	936	-	-
Kentucky	325	2,155	-	-
Louisiana	226	1,198	-	-
Maine	190	1,784	-	-
Maryland	177	1,206	-	-
Massachusetts	158	1,870	-	-
Michigan	462	2,262	-	-
Minnesota	566	2,228	-	-
Mississippi	288	1,847	-	-
Missouri	327	1,978	-	-
Montana	168	748	-	-
Nebraska	169	1,786	_	_
Nevada	41	738	_	_
New Hampshire	164	1,163	_	_
New Jersey	127	991	_	_
New Mexico	90	1,110	_	_
New York	317	2,691	_	_
North Carolina	399	3,379	_	_
North Dakota	147	461	_	_
Ohio	364	2,365	_	_
Oklahoma	229	1,421	_	_
Oregon	205	1,358	_	_
Pennsylvania	358	2,165	_	_
Rhode Island	80	857	_	_
South Carolina	122	236	_	_
South Dakota	169	1,119	_	_
Tennessee	327	2,210	_	_
Texas	399	2,148	_	_
Utah	129	921	-	-
Vermont	122	1,555	_	-
Virginia	281	2,143	-	-
Washington	152	1,364	_	_
West Virginia	215	848	-	-
Wisconsin	273	1,902	-	_
	273 67	239	- -	-
Wyoming		319	-	-
Guam	162		-	-
Puerto Rico	163 125	1,241	-	-
Virgin Islands	125	55	-	-
Other Countries	88	19	e20.962 -/	eoe 470 -/
Undistributed Obligations	12,374	80,979	\$39,863 <u>a</u> / 39,863	\$35,479 <u>a</u> / 35,479

 $[\]underline{a}$ / Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mandatory Rural Energy for America Program Energy Audits and Technical Assistance} \\ \underline{\text{Geographic Breakdown of Obligations}} \end{array}$

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory -	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
California	-	\$20	-	-
Colorado	-	50	-	-
Florida	-	99	-	-
Georgia	-	73	-	-
Illinois	-	90	-	-
Indiana	-	100	-	-
Kentucky	-	67	-	-
Maine	-	100	-	-
Massachusetts	-	98	-	-
Michigan	-	100	-	-
Minnesota	-	100	-	-
Missouri	-	100	-	-
Nebraska	-	71	-	-
New Mexico	-	83	-	-
North Carolina	-	154	-	-
Ohio	-	40	-	-
Oklahoma	-	100	-	-
Oregon	-	70	-	-
Pennsylvania	-	100	-	-
South Dakota	-	2	-	-
Tennessee	-	100	-	-
Texas	-	99	-	-
Washington	-	94	-	-
Wisconsin	-	88	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$2,105 <u>a</u> /	\$2,720 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	_	2,000	2,105	2,720

<u>a</u>/ Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

<u>Classification by Objects</u> (Dollars in thousands)

	D'	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Discretionary	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$27,858	\$100,041	\$57,524	\$72,264
99.9	Total, new obligations	27,858	100,041	57,524	72,264

NOTE: Amounts include mandatory and discretionary funding.

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Rural Cooperative Development Grants

For rural cooperative development grants authorized under section 310B(e) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1932), [\$22,050,000]\$22,250,000, of which \$2,500,000 shall be for cooperative agreements for the appropriate technology transfer for rural areas program: *Provided*, That not to exceed \$3,000,000 shall be for grants for cooperative development centers, individual cooperatives, or groups of cooperatives that serve socially disadvantaged groups and a majority of the boards of directors or governing boards of which are comprised of individuals who are members of socially disadvantaged groups; and of which \$10,750,000, to remain available until expended, shall be for value-added agricultural product market development grants, as authorized by section 231 of the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (7 U.S.C. 1632a).

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

<u>Lead-Off Tabular Statement</u>

Budget Estimate, 2017	\$22,250,000
2016 Enacted	22,050,000
Change in Appropriation	200,000

Summary of Increases and Decreases (Dollars in thousands)

Program	2014 Actual	2015 Change	2016 Change	2017 Change	2017 Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations	rictaar	Change	Change	Change	Listimate
Rural cooperative development grants	\$5,800	-	-	+\$200	\$6,000
Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas agreements	2,250	+\$250	-	-	2,500
Grants to assist minority producers	3,000	-	-	-	3,000
Value-added agriculture product marketing development grants	11,250	-3,187	-	-	8,063
Agricultural marketing resource center grants	750	-213	-	-	537
Value-added agricultural product beginning & socially disadvantaged					
farmers & ranchers	1,500	-425	-	-	1,075
Value-added agricultural product marketing development mid-tier chains	1,500	-425	-	-	1,075
Total Discretionary Appropriations	26,050	-4,000	-	+200	22,250
Mandatory Appropriations					
Value-added agriculture product marketing development grants	47,250	-47,250	-	-	-
Agricultural marketing resource center grants	3,150	-3,150	-	-	-
Value-added agricultural product beginning & socially disadvantaged					
farmers & ranchers	6,300	-6,300	-	-	-
Value-added agricultural product marketing development mid-tier chains	6,300	-6,300	-		
Total Mandatory Appropriations	63,000	-63,000	-	-	-
Total	89,050	-67,000	-	+200	22,250

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)
(Dollars in thousands)

	2014 Actual	_	2015 Actual	al	2016 Enacted	cted	Inc. or Dec.	ec.	2017 Estimate	late
Program	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs
Discretionary Appropriations:	1		1		1				() () () () () () () () () ()	
Rural cooperative development grants	\$5,800		\$5,800		\$5,800	1	+\$200 (1)	-	\$6,000	
Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas agreements	2,250		2,500	•	2,500	ı	- (2)	-	2,500	
Grants to assist minority producers	3,000	1	3,000	1	3,000	ı	- (3)	-	3,000	1
Value-added agricultural product marketing development grants	11,250	,	8,063	•	8,063	ı	- (4)	-	8,063	ı
Agricultural marketing resource center grants	750	,	537	1	537	•	- (4)	-	537	ı
Value-added agricultural product beginning & socially disadvantaged										
farmers & ranchers	1,500	,	1,075	1	1,075	٠	- (4)	-	1,075	ı
Value-added agricultural product marketing development mid-tier chains	1,500	-	1,075	-	1,075	•	- (4)	- (1,075	ı
Subtotal	26,050	27	22,050	27	22,050	33	+200	1	22,250	33
Mandatory Appropriations:										
Value-added agricultural product marketing development grants \overline{a}	47,250	1	1	1	•	1	1	1	1	ı
Agricultural marketing resource center grants \underline{a}'	3,150		1	•	1	1	•	•	1	ı
Value-added agricultural product beginning & socially disadvantaged										
farmers & ranchers \underline{a}	6,300	1	1	1	•	ı	ı	1	ı	ı
Value-added agricultural product marketing development mid-tier chains \overline{a}	6,300	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı
Subtotal	63,000	7	-	7	-	6	-	-	-	6
Total Adjusted Appropriations	89,050	34	22,050	34	22,050	42	200		22,250	42
Rescissions, Transfers, and Seq. (Net)	-63,000	1	1	ı	ı	•	1	ı	ı	ı
Total Appropriation	26,050	34	22,050	34	22,050	42	+200		22,250	42
Transfers In:										
Commodity Credit Corporation	63,000	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	•
Subtotal	63,000		•	1	1	1	•	1	1	ı
Bal. Available, SOY	13,857		78,396	•	45,551	1	-28,984	•	16,567	,
Recoveries, Other (Net)	2,804	1	3,053	•	1,706	1	98-	1	1,620	ı
Total Available	105,711	34	103,499	34	69,307	45	-28,870	ı	40,437	42
Lapsing Balances	0-		0-	•	1	•	1	•	1	
Unobligated balances rescinded \underline{b}	1	,	1	1	•	•	-22	1	-22	ı
Bal. Available, EOY	-78,396		-45,551	•	-16,567	•	+14,328	1	-2,240	•
Total Obligations	27,315	34	57,948	39	52,740	43	-14,564	'	38,176	42

a/ Mandatory funding provided by section 6203 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$63,000,000 for 2014, to remain available until expended.
 b/ A rescission of unobligated balances in the amount of \$21,597 is proposed for this account in 2017.

RURAL COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Project Statement Obligations Details and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

- Ductoman	2014 Actual	1	2015 Actual	ual	2016 Enacted	cted	Inc. or Dec.	ec.	2017 Estimate	ıte
riogiani	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs	Amount	SYs
Discretionary Obligations:										
Rural cooperative development grants	\$5,800	1	\$5,800	1	\$5,800	1	+\$200 (1)	1	\$6,000	ı
Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas agreements	2,250	ı	2,500	ı	2,500	1	- (2)	1	2,500	ı
Grants to assist minority producers	3,000	,	3,000	ı	3,000	ı	- (3)	1	3,000	ı
Value-added agricultural product marketing development grants	16,008	ı	22,442	ı	10,412	ı	-712 (4)	ı	9,700	ı
Agricultural marketing resource center grants	204	1	1,623	ı	1,045	1	-444 (4)	1	601	ı
Value-added agricultural product beginning & socially disadvantaged										
farmers $\&$ ranchers	ı	1	1	ı	1,075	ı	225 (4)	1	1,300	ı
Value-added agricultural product marketing development mid-tier chains	1	-	1	-	1,075	-	- (4)	1	1,075	-
Subtotal	27,262	31	35,366	27	24,907	33	-731	1	24,176	33
Mandatory Obligations:										
Value-added agricultural product marketing development grants $\underline{a}/$	53	1	21,533	1	25,500	ı	-11,500	1	14,000	ı
Agricultural marketing resource center grants \underline{a}	1	-	1,050	-	2,333	-	-2,333	1	ı	1
Subtotal	53	8	22,582	7	27,833	6	-13,833	ı	14,000	6
Total Obligations	27,315	39	57,948	43	52,740	43	-14,564	-1	38,176	42
Recoveries, Other (Net)	-2,804	ı	-3,053	1	-1,706	1	98+	ı	-1,620	
Lapsing Balances	0	1	0	1	ļ	ı	ı	1	ı	ı
Unobligated balances rescinded \underline{b}	ı	1	1	1	ļ	1	22		22	ı
Bal. Available, EOYBal. Available, EOY	78,396	ı	45,551	ı	16,567	1	-14,328	İ	2,240	ı
Total Available	102,907	39	100,446	43	67,601	43	-28,784	-1	38,817	42
Transfers In	-63,000	ı	1	1	1	1		1	1	ı
Bal. Available, SOY	-13,857	1	-78,396	ı	-45,551	1	+28,984	1	-16,567	1
Total Appropriation	26,050	39	22,050	43	22,050	43	+200	-1	22,250	42

Mandatory funding provided by section 6203 of the Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79, dated February 7, 2014. The Act provides funds from the Commodity Credit Corporation of \$63,000,000 for 2014, to remain available until expended.
 A rescission of unobligated balances in the amount of \$21,597 is proposed for this account in 2017.

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$200,000 for rural cooperative development grants (\$5,800,000 available in 2016).

Base funding in addition to the \$200,000 increase requested for the rural cooperative development grant (RCDG) program will assist approximately 365 cooperatives or mutually owned businesses.

Cooperatives offer an alternative to investor-owned companies through which those using the entity's goods or services are actively involved in the governance of the organization. Over the last decade, Rural Development has used the RCDG program to develop a network of cooperative development centers, whose trained staff work with of new and emerging cooperatives covering a broad range, including traditional farm supply, marketing, dependent care, conversions of traditional companies to employee ownership.

(2) No change in funding for appropriate technology transfer for rural areas agreements (\$2,500,000 available in 2016).

Base funds for the appropriate technology transfer for rural areas (ATTRA) will be used for a competitive grant program that will assist businesses through a nonprofit entity that will deliver publications and resources to producers. This project provides information to farmers and other rural users on a variety of sustainable agricultural practices that include both cropping and livestock operations. This program supports USDA strategic goals by providing services that help farmers, ranchers, market gardeners, extension agents, researchers, educators, farm organizations, and others involved in agriculture, especially those who are economically disadvantaged or belong to traditionally underserved communities working within their community for sustainable agriculture.

In 2015, ATTRA:

- Delivered over 13,000 research letters, technical publications, electronic media (CDs, DVDs, jump drives), illustrated guides, and other materials at no charge in response to client queries.
- Provided at least two million ATTRA publications, resource lists and other educational resources that
 are read online or through downloads of HTML and PDF, multimedia presentations, and other
 educational media from the ATTRA website.
- Maintained current website traffic rates of approximately 600,000 visits per year and two million page views per year, and maintain the quality of visits to our website by keeping the content fresh, high-quality, and up-to-date.
- Completed 20 new or significantly updated publications or other educational materials on priority topics that meet continuing client demand.
- Provided at least eight webinars which will reach at least 1,500 participants.
- Organized, presented, and/or facilitated presentations, workshops, field days or other speaking engagements in at least 25 locations across the U.S. attended by at least 15,000 people.
- Monitored and communicated practical information about changes in Federal and regional agricultural
 programs, especially those changes affecting sustainable agriculture practices, local foods, marketing,
 and farm energy management that have a direct impact on our farmer, rancher, and Cooperative
 Extension clients.
- Partnered with nongovernmental organizations and various USDA programs to implement collaborative educational services and program development activities related to sustainable agriculture.
- Provided, on average, assistance to over 2,200,000 agricultural producers and businesses.
- Supported six regional offices to provide information and technical assistance on a wide array of agricultural topics.
- Provided clients one-on-one technical assistance on sustainable agriculture and marketing.

(3) No change in funding for grants to socially disadvantaged group grants (\$3,000,000 available in 2016).

Base funding for this program will assist approximately 20 cooperatives or mutually owned businesses. The socially disadvantaged group grant (SDGG) provides technical assistance to socially disadvantaged agricultural producers through eligible cooperative development centers, cooperatives and associations of cooperatives. Technical assistance provided to socially disadvantaged producers includes activities such as market research; product and/or service improvement; legal advice and assistance; feasibility studies, business plans, marketing plan development; and training. The program supports the USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

(4) No change in funding for value added producer grants (\$10,750,000 available in 2016).

It is estimated that 85 producers will be assisted with the requested funds in 2017. RD will continue to efficiently addressing demand and assisting as many producers with planning funding or working capital funding to establish or run value-added agricultural marketing ventures.

Base funds for the value-added agriculture product market development grants (VAPG) program continue to assist rural Americans by helping farmers, groups of farmers, and farmer-owned cooperatives add value to their products, allowing producers to build economic gains that then circulate through the local economy. This program provides priority to applicants proposing to increase the development of local and regional food systems. The program supports the USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

The VAPG funding will also support the Agricultural Marketing Resource Center, which is an electronic, national resource for producers interested in value-added agriculture. The Center provides resources such as web site information regarding commodities and products, markets and industries and renewable energy, value added business and economic analysis tools, and outreach activities including workshops, seminars, and newsletters and responding to webmail inquiries.

In 2015, RD obligated 259 VAPG awards for a total of \$46.6 million that are projected to assist approximately 6,000 businesses (independent producers). The total includes awards made to the Agricultural Marketing Resource Center (AgMRC).

Rural Cooperative Development Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitem:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alaska	-	\$200	-	-
California	\$400	400	-	-
Colorado	200	200	-	-
Hawaii	200	200	-	-
Illinois	72	200	-	-
Indiana	200	200	-	-
Kentucky	200	200	-	-
Massachusetts	200	200	-	-
Michigan	200	200	-	-
Minnesota	600	600	-	-
Mississippi	72	200	-	-
Montana	391	200	-	-
Nebraska	200	200	-	-
North Carolina	400	-	-	-
North Dakota	400	400	-	-
Ohio	272	281	-	-
Oregon	121	200	-	-
Pennsylvania	200	200	-	-
South Dakota	72	200	-	-
Гехаѕ	200	200	-	-
Virginia	400	319	-	-
Washington	400	400	-	-
Wisconsin	400	400	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$5,800 <u>a</u> /	\$6,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	5,800	5,800	5,800	6,000

Appropriate Technology Transfer Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

State/Torritory	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Montana	\$2,250	\$2,500	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$2,500 <u>a</u> /	\$2,500 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	2,250	2,500	2,500	2,500

a/ Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Grants to Assist Minority Producers <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Ctata/Tamitama	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	389	\$175	-	-
Alaska	-	175	-	-
Arizona	188	-	-	-
Arkansas	169	-	-	-
California	200	212	-	-
Hawaii	197	175	-	-
Louisiana	200	-	-	-
Maryland	-	172	-	-
Massachusetts	236	143	-	-
Minnesota	-	350	-	-
Mississippi	400	316	-	-
New Mexico	363	306	-	-
South Carolina	300	350	-	-
South Dakota	-	134	-	-
Texas	197	175	-	-
Virginia	-	141	-	-
Washington	160	175	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$3,000 <u>a</u> /	\$3,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000

$\begin{tabular}{ll} Value-Added \ Agricultural \ Product \ Marketing \ Development \ Grants - Discretionary \\ \underline{Geographic \ Breakdown \ of \ Obligations} \end{tabular}$

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Touritous	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Alabama	\$300	\$664	-	-
Alaska	91	48	-	-
Arizona	209	547	-	-
Arkansas	30	249	-	-
California	200	2,344	-	-
Connecticut	-	250	-	-
Delaware	-	749	-	-
Florida	-	557	-	-
Georgia	1,194	3,230	-	-
Hawaii	42	358	-	-
Idaho	_	799	_	_
Illinois	341	\$630	_	_
Indiana	130	610	_	_
lowa	193	1,415	_	_
Kansas	384	705	_	_
Kentucky	212	605	_	_
Louisiana	200	250	_	_
Maine	472	248	_	_
Maryland	430	1,508	_	_
Massachusetts	430	200	-	-
	1 206	200	-	-
Michigan	1,206 994	-	-	-
Minnesota		250	-	-
Mississippi	1.520	250	-	-
Missouri	1,529	-	-	-
Montana	26	-	=	=
Nebraska	176	6	-	-
Nevada	106	-	-	-
New Hampshire	128	-	-	-
New Jersey	50	-	-	-
New Mexico	50	=	-	=
New York	611	1,498	-	=
North Carolina	717	149	-	=
North Dakota	62	-	-	-
Ohio	19	-	-	-
Oklahoma	276	322	-	-
Oregon	-	862	-	-
Pennsylvania	164	113	-	=
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-
South Carolina	539	-	-	-
South Dakota	274	-	-	-
Tennessee	497	50	-	-
Гехаѕ	361	187	-	-
U tah	75	-	-	-
Vermont	245	186	_	_
Virginia	1,625	872	-	-
Washington	1,129	629	-	_
West Virginia	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	343	1,351	_	_
Guam	9	-	_	_
Puerto Rico	256	_	_	_
Undistributed	-	-	\$10,412 <u>a</u> /	\$9,700 a/
	16,008	22,442	10,412 <u>a/</u>	9,700 <u>a</u> /

Value-Added Agricultural Marketing Resource Center Grants - Discretionary Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

State /Temiter	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Iowa	\$204	\$1,623	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	\$1,045 <u>a</u> /	\$601 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	204	1,623	1,045	601

Value-Added Agricultural Product Market Development Grants - Beginners and Socially Disadvantaged Farmers and Ranchers - Discretionary

Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	=	=	\$1,075 <u>a</u> /	\$1,300 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	1,075	1,300

Value-Added Agricultural Product Market Development Grants - Mid-Tier Chains - Discretionary Geographic Breakdown of Obligations

(Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	-	-	\$1,075 <u>a</u> /	\$1,075 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	1,075	1,075

Value-Added Agricultural Marketing Resource Center Grants - Mandatory Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State/Tomitom:	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Iowa	-	\$1,050	=	-
Undistributed	-	=	\$2,333 <u>a</u> /	-
Obligations	=	1,050	2,333	-

a/ Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Value-Added Agricultural Product Marketing Development Grants - Mandatory <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Chaha/Taunitaun	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory -	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
California	\$53	-	-	_
Massachusetts	-	\$300	-	-
Michigan	-	851	-	-
Minnesota	-	1,055	-	-
Mississippi	-	500	-	-
Missouri	-	1,461	-	-
Nebraska	-	315	-	-
New Hampshire	-	96	-	-
New Jersey	-	550	=	-
New Mexico	-	709	-	-
New York	-	1,818	-	-
North Carolina	-	471	-	-
North Dakota	-	124	-	-
Ohio	-	199	-	-
Oregon	-	1,185	-	-
Pennsylvania	-	577	-	-
South Carolina	-	1,130	-	-
South Dakota	-	304	-	-
Texas	-	962	-	-
Utah	-	250	-	-
Vermont	-	784	-	-
Virginia	-	3,097	-	-
Washington	-	831	-	-
West Virginia	-	50	-	-
Wisconsin	-	2,198	-	-
Wyoming	-	30	-	-
Puerto Rico	-	1,683	-	-
Other Countries	-	3	-	-
Undistributed	-	-	25,500 <u>a</u> /	14,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	53	21,533	25,500	14,000

<u>a</u>/ Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

	_	2014	2015	2016	2017
	_	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
41.0 Gra	ants, subsidies, and contributions	\$27,315	\$57,948	\$52,740	\$38,176
99.9	Total, new obligations	27,315	57,948	52,740	38,176

NOTE: Amounts include mandatory and discretionary funding.

RURAL EMPOWERMENT ZONES AND ENTERPRISE COMMUNITIES GRANTS

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

Program	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2014 Actual 2015 Actual 2016 Enacted Inc. or Dec.	Inc. or Dec.	2017 Estimate
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Discretionary Appropriations:					
Rural empowerment zones and enterprise communities grants	1	1	1	1	ī
Subtotal	1	1	1	1	1
Bal. Available, SOY	\$33	\$33	\$33	-\$33	1
Total Available	33	33	33	-33	1
Unobligated balances rescinded $\frac{a}{}$	ı	ı	-33	+33	ı
Bal. Available, EOY	-33	-33	1	ı	1
Total Obligations	ı	1	1	1	1
Obligations (D	Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)	ars (SYs)			

Program	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2015 Actual 2016 Enacted Inc. or Dec.	Inc. or Dec.	2017 Estimate
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Discretionary Appropriations:					
rural empowerment zones and enterprise communes grants	•	•	•		1
Subtotal	1	ı	1	I	ı
Bal. Available, SOY	\$33	\$33	\$33	-\$33	1
Total Available	33	33	33	-33	1
Unobligated balances rescinded \underline{a}'	1	ı	-33	+33	ı
Bal. Available, EOY	-33	-33	ı	ı	ı
Total Appropriations	1	1	1	1	1

a/ The amounts are rescinded pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, Public Law 114-113, signed December 18, 2015, in accordance with section 739.

The estimates include appropriation language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Rural Business Investment Program Account

For loans and grants for the rural business investment program, as authorized by section 384F(b)(3)(A) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, \$6,577,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the cost of the loan guarantees, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

RURAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT PROGRAM

<u>Lead-Off Tabular Statement</u>

_	Loan Level	Subsidy	Grants
Budget Estimate, 2017	\$20,600,000	\$2,577,000	\$4,000,000
2016 Enacted			
Change in Appropriation	20,600,000	2,577,000	4,000,000

Summary of Increases and Decreases (Dollars in thousands)

D	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017
Program	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations:					
Rural business investment program guaranteed loans	-	-	-	+\$2,577	\$2,577
Rural business investment programs grants	-	-		+4,000	4,000
Total	-	-		+6,577	6,577

RURAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs)
(Dollars in thousands)

	2014	Actual	2015	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	Inacted	Inc. or Dec.	Dec.	2017 E	2017 Estimate
Program	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program	Program Budget Program Budget	J	Budget	Program Budget	Budget
	Level	Authority	Level	Authority	Level	Authority Level Authority Level Authority Level		Authority	Level	Level Authority
Discretionary Appropriations:										Ì
Rural business investment program guaranteed loans	•	•	•	•	1	•	+\$20,600 (1) +\$2,577 (3) \$20,600	+\$2,577 (3)	\$20,600	\$2,577
Rural business investment programs grants	ı	ı	ı	1	1	1	+4,000 (2)	+4,000	4,000	4,000
Total Appropriation	1	1	1	1	1	1	+24,600	+6,577	24,600	6,577
Total Available	1	ı	I	ı	ı	I	+24,600	+6,577	24,600	6,577
Total Obligations	1	1	ı	1	•	1	+24,600	+6,577	24,600	6,577

Project Statement Obligations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	2014	Actual	2015	2015 Actual	2016 E	2016 Enacted	Inc. or Dec.	Dec.	2017 Estimate	stimate
Program	Program	Budget	Program	Budget Program Budget Program Budget	Program	Budget	Program	Budget	Program Budget	Budget
	Level	Authority	Level	Authority Level Authority Level Authority	Level	Authority	Level	Authority	Level	Level Authority
Discretionary Appropriations:										
Rural business investment program guaranteed loans	•	1	•	•	1	1	+\$20,600 (1)	+\$20,600 (1) +\$2,577 (3) \$20,600	\$20,600	\$2,577
Rural business investment programs grants	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	+4,000 (2)	+4,000	4,000	4,000
Total Obligations		1	1	1	1	1	+24,600	+6,577	24,600	6,577
Total Available	'	1	1	1	1	1	+24,600	+6,577	24,600	6,577
Total Appropriation	1	1	1	1	1	1	+24,600	+6,577	24,600	6,577

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$20,600,000 for the rural business investment program loans (\$0 available in 2016).

The rural business investment program (RBIP) guaranteed loan program promotes economic development in rural areas by helping to meet the equity capital investment needs of smaller enterprises located in such areas. USDA licenses newly formed for-profit investment fund entities as Rural Business Investment Companies (RBICs). These companies are necessary to invest capital into rural communities that are usually bypassed by larger equity investors. RBICs use the equity raised in capitalizing their fund to make equity, and equity-like, investments mostly in smaller enterprises located primarily in rural areas. RBIP is administered in partnership with the Small Business Administration (SBA).

In 2017, the budget proposes \$20.6 million in available RBIP guaranteed loans, or debentures, which will enable RD to license one or more leveraged RBICs, and, when combined with their private equity match requirement, will provide approximately \$30 million in total capital for investments in mostly smaller enterprises in rural America over the life of the funds.

The program supports USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

(2) An increase of \$4,000,000 for the rural business investment program grants (\$0 available in 2016).

Operational assistance grants will be used by RBICs to provide management, marketing, and other technical assistance that assists a smaller enterprise with its business development, and indirectly supports the RBICs' capital investment in the enterprise.

The program supports USDA Strategic Goal of assisting rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, repopulating, and economically thriving.

(3) An increase of \$2,577,000 for the rural business investment program guaranteed loan subsidy (\$0 available in 2016).

The 2017 budget requests \$2.6 million in budget authority. This level of funding will support \$20.6 million in guaranteed loans.

Rural Business Investment Program Guaranteed Loans <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Ctota/Tamitama	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	-	-	-	\$20,600 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	-	20,600

Rural Business Investment Program Grants <u>Geographic Breakdown of Obligations</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Chata/Tamitama	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
State/Territory	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Undistributed	-	-	-	\$4,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	=	-	4,000

a/ Totals cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects (Dollars in thousands)

		2014	2015	2016	2017	
	_	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate	
41.0 Grants	s, subsidies, and contributions	-	-	-	\$6,577	
99.9 To	otal, new obligations	-	-	-	6,577	

The estimates include appropriations language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Healthy Foods Financing Initiative

For necessary expenses of the Secretary to support projects that provide access to healthy food in underserved areas, to create and preserve quality jobs, and to revitalize low-income communities, \$1,000,000, to remain available until expended, for the cost of loans and grants that is consistent with section 4206 of the Agricultural Act of 2014.

HEALTHY FOOD FINANCING INITIATIVE

Lead-Off Tabular Statement

Budget Estimate, 2017					\$1,000,000
Change in Appropriation				_	1,000,000
<u>Sum</u>		eases and Deci	<u>reases</u>		
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017
_	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimate
Discretionary Appropriations: Healthy Food Financing Initiative	-	-	-	+\$1,000	\$1,000
Total	-	-	-	1,000	1,000

HEALTHY FOOD FINANCING INITIATIVE

Adjusted Appropriations Detail and Staff Years (SYs) (Dollars in thousands)

	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	Inc. or Dec.	2017 Estimate
Frogram	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
Discretionary Appropriations:	1		,	(1) 000 (1)	\$1,000
Total Appropriation	1	1	1	+1,000	1,000
Total Available	1	1	,	+1,000	1,000
Total Obligations	1	1	1	+1,000	1,000
Program	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	Inc. or Dec.	2017 Estimate
	Amount	Amount	Level	Amount	Amount
Discretionary Obligations: Healthy Food Financing Initiative	1	1	1	+\$1,000 (1)	\$1,000
Total Obligations	ı	1	1	+1,000	1,000
Total Available	1	1	ı	+1,000	1,000
Total Appropriation	1	1	1	+1,000	1,000

Justification of Increases and Decreases

(1) An increase of \$1,000,000 for healthy food financing initiative (\$0 available in 2016).

The 2017 budget provides funding for multi-year healthy food financing initiative that will increase the availability of affordable, healthy foods in underserved rural communities to create and preserve quality jobs and revitalize low-income communities, particularly through the development or equipping of grocery stores and other healthy food retailers. This program was authorized in the 2014 Farm Bill. For this initiative, USDA will make available \$1 million in financial and technical assistance to community development financial institutions, other nonprofits, public agencies, and businesses with sound strategies for addressing the healthy food needs of communities. These organizations will use Federal grants, below-market rate loans, loan guarantees and tax credits to attract private sector capital for an even greater investment in projects that increase access to fresh produce and other healthy foods. The goal is to support efforts to provide access to healthy foods in underserved areas, to create and preserve quality jobs, and to revitalize low-income communities.

RD will work in close coordination with USDA's Food and Nutrition Service and Agricultural Marketing Service. Working with its Federal partners, RD will expand healthy food access for families participating in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). The vast majority of SNAP participants are children, elderly or adults living in households where members are employed in low-wage jobs.

The funds will be targeted to severely underserved low- and moderate-income communities. Most often low-income communities are less attractive, under the conventional financing, to retailers of healthy food. However, effective local programs have shown that well-targeted financing and technical assistance can create viable business outcomes and access to healthier foods and create new markets for farmers, but also create jobs and support broader development efforts to revitalize distressed communities.

Through loans, grants and technical assistance, RD can provide assistance to low- and moderate-income communities for supporting market planning and promotion efforts as well as infrastructure and operational improvements designed to stimulate consumer demand, enhance marketing, expand demand and retail outlets for farm products, and increase availability of locally and regionally produced foods.

Healthy Food Financing Initiative Geographic Breakdown of Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

State/Territory	2014 Actual	2015 Actual	2016 Enacted	2017 Estimate
	Amount	Amount Amount		Amount
Undistributed	-	-	-	\$1,000 <u>a</u> /
Obligations	-	-	-	1,000

 $\underline{a}/\,$ Total cannot be distributed at this time.

Classification by Objects

(Dollars in thousands)

	2014	2015	2016	2017
_	Actual	Estimate	Enacted	Estimate
41.0 Grants, subsidies, and contributions	-	-	-	\$1,000
99.9 Total, new obligations	-	-	-	1,000

Status of Programs

The Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS) programs provide direct loans, loan guarantees, grants, technical assistance and payments designed to increase economic opportunity in rural America. Rural Development (RD) State and field office staffs work collaboratively with political and business leaders to leverage RD resources.

RBS promotes economic development by supporting loan guarantees to businesses through banks, credit unions and community-managed lending pools. RBS offers technical assistance and information to help agricultural producers and cooperatives get started and improve the effectiveness of their operations. RBS programs are committed to helping improve the economy and quality of life in rural America. Through these programs, we touch rural America in many ways.

Business and Industry Guaranteed (B&I) Loan Program

Current Activities:

The B&I Guaranteed Loan Program is one of the major tools that RD employs to facilitate business growth in rural areas. The B&I Guaranteed Loan Program provides funding through small or regional scale lenders to ensure rural communities have access to capital. In 2015, RD issued 369 B&I loan guarantees totaling \$1.044 billion assisting 430 rural businesses, and creating or saving 15,840 jobs.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

In 2015 Aspen Mountain Real Estate received a \$19 million loan to build a new 16-bed, 51,500 square foot medical facility with four operating rooms and two procedure rooms in Rock Springs, Wyoming. The doctors practicing there will be offering specialty medicine in fields such as pain management, ophthalmology, orthopedics, etc.

In February 2015, IntegrioCo was awarded a \$7.5 million loan to build a composite crosstie manufacturing plant in Cullen, Louisiana. IntegriCo immediately created 75 new jobs at an average wage of \$15.38 hourly, which is substantial in rural, economically distressed areas. IntegriCo plans to create as many as 250 jobs over the next five years. After the loss of a major manufacturer several years ago due to outsourcing, this area has been starving for an opportunity of this magnitude.

Rural Energy for America Program (REAP)

Current Activities:

REAP provides assistance to agricultural producers and rural small businesses to complete a variety of projects, including renewable energy systems, energy efficiency improvements, renewable energy development assistance and energy audits. In 2015, REAP funded 1,945 projects totaling \$244.1 million (\$82.9 million in grants and \$161.2 million in loan guarantees) with \$17 million in budget authority. Of this total, the Renewable Energy System and Energy Efficiency Improvements Program of REAP funded five projects with \$12.6 million in discretionary loan guarantee funding (with \$1.3 million in budget authority) and 1,940 projects with \$231.5 million in mandatory funds; \$82.9 million in grants and \$148.6 million in loans (with \$15.7 million in budget authority). When operational, the 2015 REAP projects are expected to generate/save approximately 1,070 million kilowatt hours (kWh).

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

Niagara Cave, Inc., was awarded a \$29,066 grant to install a 37.8 KW solar array to offset electrical usage of their family owned private cave operation located in Harmony, Minnesota. The solar array will generate 49,500 kWh of energy annually and provide 100% of the electrical needs for the cave operation, with additional energy being sold to the local electric cooperative that serves the cave. The project will save the operation over \$5,440 in electrical cost per year and generate another \$450 in revenue per year by selling excess power to the grid.

Parker Marine Enterprises, Inc., a rural small business employing approximately 100 people in Beaufort, North Carolina was awarded a \$42,775 grant to replace lighting in their boat manufacturing facility. The new lighting upgrades will save over 30% in annual energy usage at the facility which assists the company in reducing their long term operating costs.

Intermediary Relending Program (IRP)

Current Activities:

The IRP lends money to economic development intermediaries (non-profits and public bodies) who re-lend it to rural businesses (ultimate recipients) that might not otherwise be able to obtain such financing. The program supports sustainable economic development and helps create or retain jobs in disadvantaged and remote communities. In 2015, IRP issued 30 loans totaling \$18.9 million to intermediaries to establish revolving loan funds to assist 642 businesses and to create or save 14,450 jobs.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

The Northern Communities Investment Corporation received \$1 million to provide a low-interest loan to relend for business and community development in Vermont's Northeast Kingdom Rural Economic Area Partnership Zone.

The Vermont Small Business Development Corporation received \$1 million to provide low-interest loans to business and community development organizations to assist 16 businesses retain and/or create 63 jobs,

Rural Microentrepreneur Assistance Program (RMAP)

Current Activities:

The RMAP program supports the development and ongoing success of rural microentrepreneurs and microenterprises that consist of 10 or less employees. Direct loans and technical assistance grants are made to select Microenterprise Development Organizations (MDOs), through a competitive grant program specified in regulation. In 2015, a total of \$1.5 million in loans was obligated to 4 RMAP applicants. The \$3.4 million in RMAP grants was provided to 61 applicants to support the development and ongoing success of rural microentrepreneurs and microenterprises.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

CommunityWorks, Inc. received a \$400,000 loan and \$100,000 grant to capitalize a Rural Microloan Revolving Fund that makes microloans to rural microentrepreneurs and microenterprises as well as top provide technical assistance for these same rural entities across the 46 counties of South Carolina.

Rural Economic Development Loan and Grant (REDLG) Program

Current Activities:

The REDLG program provides zero-interest loans to local utilities which, in turn, relend the funds to local businesses (ultimate recipients) for projects that will create and retain employment in rural areas. The program

funds business start-up, expansion, business incubators, education and training facilities and equipment, community development assistance, health care and other projects that support rural economic development.

In 2015, REDLG issued 37 loans totaling \$38.6 million creating or saving 1,620 jobs. In addition, 33 grants totaling \$9.2 million were made to qualifying utilities assisting 30 businesses and creating or saving 545 jobs.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

West River Electric Association, Inc. received a \$720,000 loan to finance the Wall School District Athletic Complex in Wall, South Dakota. The project will create 40 new jobs.

United Electric Cooperative, Inc. received a \$200,000 loan to provide a zero-interest loan to DMR Plastics in Missouri, to assist with financing the expansion of their plastic material recycling business. The project will create and or save 10 jobs.

Whetstone Valley Electric Cooperative received a \$300,000 grant to re-lend to Northeast South Dakota Health Care Foundation to help fund medical equipment for the Milbank Area Hospital located in Milbank, South Dakota. Project will create 11 new jobs and assist in supporting 102 jobs.

Rural Business Development Grant (RBDG) Program

Current Activities:

RBDG provides grant to support towns, communities, State agencies, etc. in providing targeted technical assistance, training, and other activities leading to the development or expansion of small and emerging private businesses in rural areas that have fewer than 50 employees and less than \$1 million in gross revenues. Programmatic activities are separated into enterprise or opportunity type grant activities. In 2015, the opportunity type portion of RBDG issued 64 grants to residents and businesses in 36 States totaled \$5.3 million and created or saved more than 905 jobs. The enterprise type portion of RBDG awarded 404 grants totaling \$25.5 million and created or save about 10,740. In total, these grants assisted 3,908 businesses in creating or saving 11,645 jobs.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

The University of Alaska Fairbanks received a \$134,809 grant to provide technical assistance for business planning to the Native Village of Sayoonga to evaluate different production strategies to guide the development of a comprehensive meat industry.

Eastern Oklahoma State College received a \$199,000 grant to establish a Native American Agribusiness incubator facility and demonstration/retail outlet building in Wilburton, Oklahoma that specializes in vegetable and horticulture plants that use aquoponics.

Value Added Producer Grant (VAPG) Program

Current Activities:

The VAPG program helps agricultural producers grow their businesses by turning raw commodities into value-added products, expanding marketing opportunities and developing new uses for existing products. In 2015, the VAPG Program funded 363 grants for a total of \$43.9 million. These grants created or saved 3,127 jobs, assisted 2,659 businesses and 2,548 farmers.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

The San Xavier Cooperative Association in Tucson, Arizona received a \$52,206 planning award to determine the feasibility of the farmer cooperative producing various types of flour, providing cold storage and establishing a central market to sell products locally.

Fifer Orchards, Inc. of Camden Wyoming, Delaware received a \$200,000 grant to expand the local market for asparagus, strawberries, and tomatoes. Specifically, funds are being used to increase marketing efforts and allow the organization to process, package and deliver their local produce.

Bohemian Creamery in Sebastopol, California was awarded a \$100,000 grant to produce, sell and market goat whey sodas and soft-serve frozen yogurt.

North Cove Mushroom in Brightwood, Virginia was awarded a \$250,000 grant to process and market locally produced mushrooms into prepared foods and medicinal products.

Agricultural Marketing Resource Center (AgMRC)

Current Activities:

AgMRC provids funding for the maintenance of a virtual resource for national information for value added agriculture. In addition to supporting the value-added producer grant program, AgMRC provides a wealth of information on commodities and products including: agriculture-tourism, aquaculture, energy, forestry, fiber, grains and seeds. Additionally, AgMRC provides prospective value added producers with resources and information on markets and industries as well as tools to assist with developing feasibility studies and business plans. Through its Web site, AgMRC offers tips and information for agricultural producers looking to start a business, how to get prepared, and strategies and analysis for developing a successful business. The funds awarded provide the resources, research and other support to maintain the AgMRC Web site. Funding for 2015 was \$1,623,243, all of which was awarded.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

AgMRC project links producers with electronically available information and resources. AgMRC virtual services are available to independent producers, processors and service providers with critical information to build successful value-added agricultural enterprises.

Rural Cooperative Development Grants (RCDG) Program

Current Activities:

These centers work with and support new cooperative businesses. RCDG are awarded to non-profit groups and higher education institutions. The recipients will use the funds to operate centers to develop or expand rural businesses, especially cooperatives and mutually-owned businesses. The funding can be used to conduct feasibility studies, create and implement business plans, offer technical assistance, establish low-interest loans, and help rural businesses develop new markets for their products and services. In 2015 these centers assisted more than 716 small businesses and cooperatives create/or save more than 848 jobs. In 2015, grants totaling approximately \$5.8 million were made to 30 centers.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

The Latino Economic Development Center (LEDC) in Minneapolis, Minnesota, received a \$200,000 technical assistance grant to help rural Latino farmers form cooperatives as a way to promote economic development. LEDC has trained Latino farmers in cooperative organization and management, including farm incubation equipment sharing, which enables them to move from migrant work to year-round positions, and creates pathways for low-wage farmworkers to become farm owners.

The Cooperative Development Institute, Inc. in Massachusetts received a \$200,000 grant to provide technical assistance and training for business conversions to cooperatives and to start up and establish co-ops in the worker, food systems, social and energy sectors.

In Oregon, the Community and Shelter Assistance Corporation (CASA of Oregon) received a \$200,000 grant to provide technical assistance and training to seven manufactured housing cooperatives so that they remain in compliance with annual lender requirements. The funds will assist owners of manufactured dwellings in forming non-profit cooperatives, enabling them to convert their parks from investor-owned into resident-owned, creating safe and affordable dwellings, and a stabilized community.

The Kohala Center, Inc. in Hawaii received a grant of \$200,000 to provide cooperative and business development technical assistance to rural areas throughout Hawaii to combat the physical isolation and dependence on imported food and energy. Funds will be used to provide technical assistance to agricultural producers, emerging food hubs, and local food distributors and linking them to local institutional buyers.

In Virginia, the Cooperative Development Foundation received a grant of \$119,185 to provide technical assistance for the organization and development of home health care cooperatives in rural areas. Home care and health care workers, a fast growing workforce, are plagued by low wages and minimal benefits. Grant funds will be used to create new tools and resources that facilitate the creation of new home care cooperatives, facilitate the growth of existing home health care cooperatives, which will ultimately improve the quality of home care, and transform the workers into worker-owners.

Appropriate Technology Transfer to Rural America (ATTRA)

Current Activities:

The ATTRA program, also called the National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service, provides sustainable agriculture information to those engaged in or serving commercial agriculture, such as farmers, ranchers, extension agents, farm organizations, and farm-based businesses. In 2015, \$2.5 million was obligated to fund ATTRA.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

Work for the ATTRA project takes place in six locations throughout the United States. The ATTRA project is staffed by more than 20 National Center for Appropriate Technology agricultural specialists with diverse backgrounds in livestock, horticulture, soils, organic farming, integrated pest management, farm energy, and other sustainable agriculture specialties. It provides information and other technical assistance to farmers, ranchers, extension agents, educators, and others involved in sustainable agriculture across the United States.

Small Socially-Disadvantaged Producer Grants (SSDPG) Program

Current Activities:

The SSDPG program provides grants to cooperatives, groups of cooperatives, and cooperative development centers to provide technical assistance to small, socially disadvantaged producers. In 2015, the SSDPG Program awarded \$3 million in grants to 19 organizations that created 126 jobs, assisted 429 businesses and assisted 350 farmers.

Selected Examples of Recent Progress:

South Central Farmers' Health Education Fund, in Sun Valley, California, received a \$37,400 grant to determine the feasibility of raising tilapia and growing plants in a recirculated aquaponics system.

North Leupp Family Farms Inc., in Leupp, Arizona, received a \$174,946 grant to provide technical assistance to at least 30 socially disadvantaged producers on the Navajo Nation. The technical assistance includes assessing

producer needs, helping producers use modern technology, developing marketing strategies and connecting producers to other USDA programs.

Northcountry Cooperative Foundation in Minneapolis, Minnesota was awarded \$175,000 to provide technical assistance that will help three socially-disadvantaged cooperatives improve their water and sewer system operations in order to house cooperative members; conduct a complete evaluation of the current storm shelter that will lead to a redesign to maximize access and value to the community; and evaluate if the development of community solar arrays is a viable option to reduce electric utility costs for cooperative members.

Inter-Tribal Buffalo Council in Rapid City, South Dakota, received \$134,259, to provide technical assistance for training of best practices in development of disease management programs for Tribal buffalo herds.

Summary of Budget and Performance Statement of Department Goals and Objectives

USDA's Rural Business-Cooperative Service offers programs to support business development and job training opportunities for rural residents.

Our programs help provide the capital, technical support, educational opportunities and entrepreneurial skills that can help rural residents start and grow businesses or access jobs in agricultural markets and in the bio-based economy. USDA and our public and private partners are connecting rural residents to the global economy by:

- 1. Supporting business growth and development;
- 2. Facilitating sustainable renewable energy development;
- 3. Developing regional food systems;
- 4. Generating and retaining jobs through recreation and natural resource restoration, conservation, and management;
- 5. Increasing access to broadband.

These investments support the nation's long-term prosperity by ensuring that rural communities are self-sustaining, repopulating and thriving economically. The RBS has one strategic goal and one strategic objective.

<u>USDA Strategic Goal 1:</u> Assist rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining, re-populating, and economically thriving. Two key objectives Rural Development supports are (1) to enhance rural prosperity; and (2) to create thriving communities.

<u>USDA Strategic Objective 1.1</u>: Enhanced capital formation and opportunities provided to agricultural producers and rural businesses.

USDA exceeded the target for this performance measure by more than 20 percent. The Department's efforts to create and save jobs included the following for FY2015:

- Funding projects of highest priority, which is reflected when jobs are created and saved, while emphasizing quality production in loan and grant making;
- Emphasizing the importance of correlating the data used in priority scoring applications with those used in reporting performance measures;
- Providing additional guidance and training to agency field offices to reinforce Guaranteed Loan System (GLS) data integrity, combined with weekly monitoring by the national office; and
- Extending the processing time for grants of \$20,000 or less from 15 to 60 days, thereby ensuring a more comprehensive agency review.

Kev Performance Measures

Annual Performance Goals, Indicators, and Trends	2011	2012	2013	2014	Fiscal Year 2015		2016	2017	
and frends					Target	Actual	Result	Target	Target
1.1.1** Number of jobs created or saved through USDA financing of businesses	64,935	52,468	44,419	41,202	41,202	52,697	MET	40,877	55,100
Cost*	\$1,490,025	\$1,151,738	\$1,041,713	\$1,231,607	\$1,233,838	\$1,233,838		\$1,002,446	\$1,046,502

Allowable Data Range for Met: The tolerance range for the measure to be "met" is 5 percent or from 37,642 to 41,605. Jobs data are projected based on historic results. The number of jobs created/saved by each project is gathered when projects are obligated in the Guaranteed Loan System (GLS) data warehouse. Final job counts are verified later in the life of the project upon closing the loan and grant.

*Amounts in thousands.

**The programs summarized in the table include: B&I, RBDG, Delta regional grants, Rural Child Poverty, HFFI, IRP, RBIP, RMAP, and all Cooperative Program grants. REDLG are not included in the Cost, as the funding is from the cushion of credit. However the job numbers include REDLG activities.

Data Assesment of Performance Measure 1.1.1

Date Source – Grantees and lenders will verify and report the performance measures as required by the specific RBS program and data will be recorded in GLS. All jobs created must be directly related to the project funded or financed by RBS programs. It is imperative that when reviewing the estimated numbers of jobs, the jobs are not indirect jobs created or saved and can be verified by RBS agency staff.

Completeness of Data — Business program data are considered final and complete.

Reliability of Data — Data for jobs created or saved are obtained by State office staff from borrowers and lenders. They are entered into the GLS when obligations are recorded. Overall, the data on jobs created and saved are reliable.

Quality of Data — While the quality of the data on jobs created and saved is satisfactory, USDA seeks to improve the data quality, and, as previously mentioned, is refining the policy for how jobs created and saved are counted. The new policy will provide the States with definitive guidance that will increase consistency of the data. For example, the policy provides specific direction on how to quantify jobs.

Analysis of Results:

RBS will meet the strategic goal and objective noted above, by investing financial resources and providing technical assistance to businesses and cooperatives. RBS worked with these communities to establish strategic alliances and partnerships that leverage public, private, and cooperative resources to create jobs and stimulate rural economic activity. RBS exceeded the target for this performance measure by more than 20 percent. RBS expects to meet the proposed FY 2017 performance measures based on current funding levels. Lower than expected funding levels will decrease the opportunities for job creation / saving. Due to the timing of allocation of funds and the application period, some programs may not obligate funds until the 4th quarter.

Additional Performance Information/Challenges for the Future:

While rural communities are diverse and their economic challenges vary based on the condition of the industries that drive their economies, generally speaking, for more than a decade, those that rely heavily on the manufacturing and agricultural industries have struggled to remain competitive in the global economy. Furthermore, rural areas typically have underdeveloped public services that make it difficult to attract or retain businesses. The persistent lack of well-paying job opportunities — and the related local tax base ramifications — place many rural county and municipal governments under great stress.

Program Evaluations:

No reports were completed for FY 2015.

Strategic Goal Funding Matrix (Dollars in thousands)

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	Increase or	<u>2017</u>
Program	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Department Strategic Goal 1 : Assist Rural Communities to Creat	e Prosperity so	they are self-	sustaining and	economically	
thriving					
Department Objective 1: Enhance Rural Prosperity, including lev	eraging capita	l markets to in	crease governi	ment's investme	nt in rural
America					
Rural Business-Cooperative Service:					
Program Loan Level	\$1,156,565	\$1,173,723	\$974,526	\$50,926	\$1,025,452
Budget Authority	117,034	107,929	70,904	40,832	111,736
Staff Years	377	372	428	13	441
Department Objective 2: Increase Agricultural Opportunitie	es by Ensurin	ig a Robust S	afety Net, cre	eating new man	kets, and
supporting a competitive agricultural system					
Rural Business-Cooperative Service:					
Program Loan Level	27,315	57,948	22,050	200	22,250
Budget Authority	27,315	57,948	22,050	200	22,250
Staff Years	27	34	42	0	42
Department Objective 3: Contribute to the Expansion of the	Bioeconomy	y by supporti	ng developme	ent, production	ı, and
consumption of renewable energy and biobased products					
Rural Business-Cooperative Service:					
Program Loan Level	278,121	343,185	437,106	121,109	558,215
Budget authority	122,551	136,728	115,500	-12,385	103,115
Staff Years	103	103	115	3	118
Total Cost, Strategic Goal 1	266,900	302,605	208,454	28,647	237,101
Staff Years, Strategic Goal	507	509	585	16	601

<u>Full Cost by Department Strategic Goal</u> (Dollars in thousands)

Department Strategic Goal: Assist rural communities to create prosperity so they are self-sustaining,

repopulating, and economically thriving				
	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rural Business programs:	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
Guaranteed Business and Industry Loans and Rural Business				
Investment Program Loans				
Program Level	\$1,084,020	\$1,044,113	\$919,768	\$892,244
Budget Authority	75,773	53,354	35,687	35,779
Administrative costs (direct)	15,339	16,064	16,970	17,334
Indirect costs		6,884	7,273	7,429
Total Costs	98,330	76,302	59,930	60,542
FTEs	155	179	180	186
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	12,149	15,840	13,025	11,674
Cost per measure (unit cost)	8.09	4.82	4.60	5.19
NADBank Guaranteed Business and Industry Loans				
Budget Authority	114	100	-	-
Administrative costs (direct)	541	581	614	627
Indirect costs	255	249	263	269
Total Costs	910	930	877	896
FTEs	5	5	5	5
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	NA	NA	NA	NA
Delta Regional Authority Grant				
Program Level	-	3,000	3,000	-
Budget Authority	-	3,000	3,000	-
Total Costs	-	3,000	3,000	-
Rural Business Enterprise Grants				
Program Level	31,748	-	-	-
Budget Authority	31,748	-	-	-
Administrative costs (direct)	8,120	8,560	-	-
Indirect costs	3,821	3,669	-	-
Total Costs	43,689	12,229	-	-
FTEs	82	-	-	-
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	8,037	-	-	-

5.44

Cost per measure (unit cost).....

repopulating, and economically thriving	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rural Business programs:	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
Rural Business Opportunity Grants Program Level	1,676			
	1,676	-	-	-
Budget Authority Administrative costs (direct)	2,165	2,272	-	-
Indirect costs	1,019	2,272 974	-	-
Total Costs	4,860	3,246		
FTEs	4,800	3,240	-	_
Performance Measure:	22	-	-	_
Jobs created/saved	422			
Cost per measure (unit cost)	11.52	-	-	-
	11.52	-	-	-
Rural Business Development Grants Program				
Program Level	-	27,834	24,000	30,000
Budget Authority	-	27,834	24,000	30,000
Administrative costs (direct)	180	211	11,666	11,917
Indirect costs	85	91	5,001	5,108
Total Costs	265	28,136	40,667	47,025
FTEs	2	107	123	126
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	-	12,401	8,568	8,325
Cost per measure (unit cost)	-	2.27	4.75	5.65
Intermediary Relending Program				
Program Level	18,889	18,890	18,888	18,889
Budget Authority	4,082	5,818	5,217	5,476
Administrative costs (direct)	4,511	4,756	5,024	5,132
Indirect costs	2,123	2,038	2,153	2,199
Total Costs	10,716	12,612	12,394	12,807
FTEs	46	46	54	55
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	14,450	14,451	14,449	14,450
Cost per measure (unit cost)	0.74	0.87	0.86	0.89
Rural Economic Development Loans - Mandatory				
Program Level	85,600	38,648	33,077	85,000
Budget Authority	7,233	4,935	4,429	12,079
Administrative costs (direct)	1,805	1,902	2,010	2,053
Indirect costs	849	815	861	880
Total Costs	9,887	7,652	7,300	15,012
FTEs	18	18	21	22
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	3,985	1,620	1,602	3,450
Cost per measure (unit cost)	2.48	4.72	4.56	4.35

repopulating, and oversement, and and	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rural Business programs:	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
Microenterprise Assistance Loans - Discretionary				
Program Level	-	-	-	23,419
Budget Authority	-	-	-	2,904
Administrative costs (direct)	-	-	-	-
Indirect costs			-	
Total Costs	-	-	-	2,904
FTEs	-	-	-	6
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	-	-	-	5,678
Cost per measure (unit cost)	-	-	-	0.51
Microenterprise Assistance Loans - Mandatory				
Program Level	17,700	1,550	13,239	6,048
Budget Authority	1,108	199	1,500	750
Administrative costs (direct)	1,083	1,163	1,228	1,254
Indirect costs	510	498	526	538
Total Costs	2,701	1,860	3,254	2,542
FTEs	11	11	12	7
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	1,471	1,011	3,210	1,466
Cost per measure (unit cost)	1.84	1.84	1.01	1.73
Guaranteed Biorefinery Loans - Mandatory				
Program Level	161,000	80,000	223,015	_
Budget Authority	42,898	17,746	50,000	_
Administrative costs (direct)	1,444	1,532	1,619	1,654
, ,	679	657	694	709
Indirect costs	45,021	19,935	52,313	2,363
Total Costs		*	*	*
FTEs	15	15	17	18
Renewable Energy for America Loans - Discretionary				
Program Level	12,760	12,644	7,576	75,754
Budget Authority	3,500	1,338	500	3,515
Administrative costs (direct)	502	528	558	570
Indirect costs	236	226	239	244
Total Costs	4,238	2,092	1,297	4,329
FTEs	5	5	6	6
Performance Measure:				
KWH saved/generated(in million)	12	28	17	168
Cost per measure (unit cost)	353	75	76	26
1			, ,	

repopulating, and economicany thriving	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rural Business programs:	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
rear Business programs.				
Renewable Energy for America Loans - Mandatory				
Program Level	43,689	148,622	151,515	323,276
Budget Authority	11,984	15,724	10,000	15,000
Administrative costs (direct)	953	1,004	1,061	1,083
Indirect costs	449	430	455	464
Total Costs	13,386	17,158	11,516	16,547
FTEs	10	10	11	11
Performance Measure:				
KWH saved/generated (in millions)	29	407	415	885
Cost per measure (unit cost)	462	42	28	19
Rural Economic Development Grants - Mandatory				
Program Level	9,280	9,213	12,000	12,000
Budget Authority	-	9,213	-	-
Administrative costs (direct)	1,805	1,902	2,010	2,053
Indirect costs	849	815	861	880
Total Costs	2,654	11,930	2,871	2,933
FTEs	18	18	21	21
Performance Measure:				
Jobs created/saved	688	545	1,000	1,000
Cost per measure (unit cost)	3.86	21.89	2.87	2.93
Energy Assistance Payments - Mandatory				
Program Level	48,297	18,941	15,000	15,000
Budget Authority	48,297	18,941	15,000	15,000
Administrative costs (direct)	180	211	223	228
Indirect costs	85	91	96	98
Total Costs	48,562	19,243	15,319	15,326
FTEs	2	2	2	2
Performance Measure:				
KWH produced (in thousands)	6,090,000	7,069,370	6,600,000	6,600,000
Cost per measure (unit cost)	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Renewable Energy for America Grants - Discretionary				
Program Level	_	_	_	15,000
Budget Authority	_	_	_	15,000
Administrative costs (direct)	_	-	-	, -
Indirect costs	_	-	-	-
Total Costs	_	-	-	15,000
FTEs	_	-	-	- ,
Performance Measure:				
KWH saved/generatedproduced (in Millions)	_	-	-	120
Cost per measure (unit cost)	_	-	-	125.00
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rural Business programs:	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
Renewable Energy for America Grants - Mandatory Program Level	12,374	82,979	40,000	35,000
	12,374	82,979	40,000	35,000
Budget Authority			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Administrative costs (direct)	7,023	7,398	7,815	7,983
Indirect costs	3,305	3,170	3,349	3,421
Total Costs	22,702	93,547	51,164	46,404
FTEs	71	71	79	81
Performance Measure:	1.005		220	•
KWH saved/generated (in millions)	1,025	663	320	280
Cost per measure (unit cost)	22.15	141.10	159.89	165.73
Microenterprise Grants				
Program Level	2,532	3,475	2,250	4,250
Budget Authority	2,532	3,475	2,250	4,250
Administrative costs (direct)	1,083	1,163	1,228	1,254
Indirect costs	510	498	526	538
Total Costs	4,125	5,136	4,004	6,042
FTEs	11	11	12	13
Rural Cooperative Development Grants				
Program Level	5,799	5,800	5,800	6,000
Budget Authority	5,799	5,800	5,800	6,000
Administrative costs (direct)	722	740	782	798
Indirect costs	340	317	335	342
Total Costs	6,861	6,857	6,917	7,140
FTEs	7	7	9	7,140
Performance Measure:	,	,	9	,
Groups Assisted 1/				
Cost per measure (unit cost)	-	_	-	-
•	_	-	_	_
Grants to Assist Minority Producers				
Program Level	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Budget Authority	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Total Costs	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Appropriate Technology Transfer				
Program Level	2,250	2,500	2,500	2,500
Budget Authority	2,250	2,500	2,500	2,500
Total Costs	2,250	2,500	2,500	2,500
Value Added Grants - Mandatory				
Program Level	53	22,582	_	_
Budget Authority	53	22,582	_	_
Administrative costs (direct)	702	740	782	798
Indirect costs	330	317	335	342
Total Costs	1,085	23,639	1,117	1,140
FTEs.	7	7	9	9
Performance Measure:	,	,		
Number businesses assisted 2/	809	1,400	-	-
Cost per measure (unit cost)	1.34	16.89	-	-
T	'			

	2014	2015	2016	2017
Rural Business programs:	Actual	Actual	Enacted	Estimate
Value Added Grants - Discretionary				
Program Level	16,212	24,066	10,750	10,750
Budget Authority	16,212	24,066	10,750	10,750
Administrative costs (direct)	2,007	2,114	2,233	2,281
Indirect costs	944	906	957	977
Total Costs	19,163	27,086	13,940	14,008
FTEs	20	20	24	24
Healthy Food and Financing Initiative				
Program Level	_	_	-	1,000
Budget Authority	-	-	-	1,000
Total Costs	-	-	-	1,000
Rural Youth Poverty				
Program Level	-	-	-	25,000
Budget Authority	-	-	-	25,000
Total Costs	-	-	-	25,000
Total Costs	-	-	-	-
Total, Rural Business-Cooperative Service Programs				
Program Level	1,556,879	1,547,856	1,485,378	1,584,131
Budget Authority	270,633	302,604	213,633	223,003
Administrative costs (direct)	50,165	52,841	55,823	57,019
Indirect costs	23,607	22,645	23,924	24,438
Total Costs, All Strategic Goals	344,405	378,090	293,380	304,460
Total FTEs, All Strategic Goals	507	532	585	601
Performance Measure:				
Measure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cost per measure (unit cost)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A