


smart citations for better research

The most efficient way to discover and understand research. Using Smart Citations, easily check how a scientific article has been talked about and if its findings have been supported or contrasted by others. Search the largest database of citation statements with over 900M citation statements extracted and analyzed from over 25m full-text articles, plus over 1B traditional citations.

A new peer-reviewed paper is published every 4 minutes

An estimated 50-90% of scientific reports cannot be independently verified

Scholarly metrics are all based on impact, no indication of reliability



unlock deep expertise easily

Vizualize Network

Surf the citation web! Discover and evaluate how articles cite each other through interactive scite Visualizations. See a vizual representaion of publications.

Alerts

Stay up to date on how literature is being talked about by setting alerts for new publications and citations. Alerts can be made for authors, journals, institutions, or publications.

Dashboards

Evaluate institutions, journals, researchers and more with dashboards that show how groups of publications have been supported. Create your own dashboards and track publications of interest.

Upload a Manuscript

Upload a PDF to check if the references have been supported, contrasted, retracted, received an expression of concern, etc.

Browser Extension

The scite browser extension for Chrome, Firefox, or Safari allows you to easily see how articles have been cited anywhere you're reading online.

Find supporting and contrasting evidence on any topic

Using scite is the only way to discover if studies have been verified and are reliable at scale. Search over 118m (our doi data count ie. reports) publications to find out how they have been received.

✓ supporting Confidence 93%

“...Compared to the diploid parental line, the frequencies of chromosome missegregation and micronuclei formation were significantly elevated in most PTA clones (Figure 2A) but not in the tetraploid line (Figure 2A). **In agreement with previous work (Nicholson et al., 2015), the trisomic clones showed similar aberrations, albeit to a lesser extent (Supplemental Figure S2B).** Furthermore, we observed an increase of structural aberrations in PTA lines and, consistent with earlier work (Kuznetsova et al 2015; Passerini et al, 2016) also in trisomic clones (Figure 2B)....”

Quantitative proteomic and phosphoproteomic comparison of human colon cancer DLD-1 cells differing in ploidy and chromosome stability Christina Viganó, et al. 2018 *Molecular Biology of the Cell* Section: Results



thebmj

Karger



WILEY

IOP



Thieme



We've partnered with leading organizations and publishers to help make researcher easier to understand and discover.



This is a really cool tool. I just tried it out on a paper we wrote on flu/pneumococcal seasonality... really interesting to see the results were affirmed by other studies.
— David N. Fisman Professor, University of Toronto

scite

+1 (510) 912-7245

<https://calendly.com/scite>

sales@scite.ai