

# Optimisation methods: Linear and Integer optimisation

Siddharth Bhat



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>LP-relaxation</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Bipartite Matching . . . . .	5
1.2	Min vertex cover . . . . .	6
1.3	Maximum independent set . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Formulating common operations in terms of ILP</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	TODO: MISSED CLASS! LOOKUP WHAT HAPPENED . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Matrix decompositions</b>	<b>11</b>
3.0.1	Cholesky . . . . .	11
3.0.2	LU . . . . .	12
3.0.3	QR . . . . .	12
3.0.4	SVD . . . . .	13
3.1	Bala's implicit enumeration algorithm . . . . .	13



# Chapter 1

## LP-relaxation

We know that  $z_{IP}^* \leq z_{LP}^*$ . We can solve an LP problem using a solver.

### 1.1 Bipartite Matching

Let  $G \equiv (V \equiv X \cup Y, E \subset V \times V, w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ . Graph is:

- Undirected, so  $(x, y) \in E \iff (y, x) \in E, w((v, v')) = w((v', v))$ .
- Bipartite, so that  $(v, v') \in E \implies (v \in X \wedge v' \in Y) \vee (v \in Y \wedge v' \in X)$
- It's a little annoying to write the condition, but basically, for every edge, there's a unique weight which we adjust, even though the graph is undirected.

We wish to find  $M \subseteq E$  such that:

$$\max_{e \in M} w_e$$

Can be transformed to:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{e \in E} x_e w_e \quad & x_e \in \{0, 1\} \\ \sum_{e \in E, e=(v, v')} x_e &= 1 \quad \forall v \in V \end{aligned}$$

Where the  $x_e$  are variables to be discovered. We can now LP relax this, where  $x_e \in [0, 1]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{e \in E} x_e w_e \quad & x_e \in [0, 1] \\ \sum_{e \in E, e=(v, v')} x_e &= 1 \quad \forall v \in V \end{aligned}$$

How do we go from the optimal solution to this problem, to an integer solution?

- Assume the LP is infeasible. This means that we have a vertex  $u$  such that  $\sum_{e \in E, e=(u,v)} x_e = 1$  fails. that is, there's a vertex in  $u$  that is not connected to  $v$ . In this case, the IP is also infeasible.
- Now, we know that the LP is feasible.  $a_1 \rightarrow b_1$  is not saturated means that  $b_1 \rightarrow a_2$  is not saturated which implies that  $a_2 \rightarrow b_2$  is not saturated, hence  $b_2 \rightarrow a_1$  is not saturated. (TODO: add tikz picture). We can get a full cycle of edges with:

$$x_{e_i} < 1$$

$$x_{e_i} \in a_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} b_1 \xrightarrow{e_2} a_2 \xrightarrow{e_3} b_2 \xrightarrow{\dots} b_{i-1} \xrightarrow{e_{i-1}} b_n \xrightarrow{e_i} a_1$$

The number of edges here will be *even*. We can now pick a value  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that:

$$y_e \equiv \begin{cases} x_e^* + \epsilon & i \text{ is even, } x_e \text{ is in the cycle} \\ x_e^* - \epsilon & i \text{ is odd, } x_e \text{ is in the cycle} \\ x_e^* & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $y_e$  is a valid solution, since we can set  $\epsilon$  to be smaller than the slack we had in the smallest value of  $x_i$ . We can show that the  $\text{cost}(y) \equiv \sum_{e \in E} w_e y_e$  is equal to:

$$\text{cost}(y) = \text{cost}(x_e^*) + \epsilon \left( \Delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i w(e_i) \right)$$

Remember that  $x_e^*$  is the best solution, so we can have nothing better than  $\text{cost}(x_e^*)$ . Hence,  $\text{cost}(y_e^*) \leq \text{cost}(x_e^*)$ , and hence, we are forced to conclude that  $\Delta = 0$  (If  $\Delta > 0$ , pick  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $\Delta < 0$ , pick  $\epsilon < 0$ ).

Hence, we can keep moving  $\epsilon$  till an even edge becomes 1 (alternatively, and odd edge becomes 0). Hence, we can *keep rounding* till all our edges become  $\{0, 1\}$ .

So, we managed to start from an LP solution, and then *unrelax* it to construct an IP solution from it!

## 1.2 Min vertex cover

$G \equiv (V, E)$ . We want to pick the smallest  $F \subseteq V$ , such that one end of all edges is in this cover.

$$\forall (u, v) \in E, u \in F \vee v \in F$$

Intuitively, these vertices  $f \in F$  are watching over the edges, and each edge must be watched by at least one vertex.

TODO: add tikz picture

Integer program for the problem:

$$x_v \in \{0, 1\} \forall v \in V \quad \min \sum x_v \quad \forall (u, v) \in E, x_u + x_v \geq 1$$

LP relaxed program for the problem:

$$x_v \in [0, 1] \quad \forall v \in V \quad \min \sum x_v \quad \forall (u, v) \in E, x_u + x_v \geq 1$$

From the LP, we construct:

$$S_{LP} \equiv \left\{ u \mid x_u^* \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad \text{Claim: } S_{LP} \text{ is a vertex cover}$$

For each edge  $(u, v) \in E$ , since  $x_u + x_v \geq 1$ , we *cannot have that*  $x_u < 0.5 \wedge x_v < 0.5$ , since then  $x_u + x_v < 1$ . Hence, each edge will have one of its vertices with  $x_{\text{vertex}} \geq 0.5$ , and thus  $S_{LP}$  is a vertex cover.

We now show **optimality** of  $S_{LP}$ .

$LP \leq IP$  since the problem is a minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V} x_u &\leq \sum_{u \in V} y_u \quad x \text{ is LP solution, } y \text{ is IP solution} \\ |S_{LP}| &= \sum_{x \in S_{LP}} 1(\text{counting}) \leq \sum_{u \in S_{LP}} 2x_u(\text{definition of } S_{LP}) \leq \sum_{u \in V} 2x_u(\text{enlarging } S_{LP} \text{ to } V) \leq \sum_{u \in V} 2y_u = 2|S_{opt}| \\ |S_{opt}| &\leq |S_{LP}| \leq 2|S_{opt}| \end{aligned}$$

So, we are at worst twice the size of the best vertex cover.

### 1.3 Maximum independent set

HOMEWORK: read how this can be phrased as LP





## **Chapter 2**

# **Formulating common operations in terms of ILP**

**2.1 TODO: MISSED CLASS! LOOKUP WHAT HAPPENED**



## Chapter 3

# Matrix decompositions

### 3.0.1 Cholesky

Let  $A$  be positive definite,  $L$  be lower triangular. We decompose it as follows:

#### Computing the decomposition

$$A = LL^T$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & L_{21}^T \\ 0 & L_{22}^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^2 & l_{11}L_{21}^T \\ l_{11}L_{21}^T & L_{21}L_{21}^T + L_{22}L_{22}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Solving $Ax = b$

- We want to solve  $Ax = b$ , which is equivalent to  $LL^Tx = b$
- let  $L^Tx = u$ . Now,  $LL^Tx = b \equiv Lu = b$ .
- Solve  $Lu = b$  to find value of  $u$ .
- Solve  $L^Tx = u$  to find value of  $x$ .

#### Solving $x = ((A^TA)^{-1}A^T)b$

- Let  $B = A^TA$ . Now, original equation is  $Bx = A^Tb$ .
- Compute  $B$ .
- Compute  $d = A^Tb$
- Solve  $Bx = d$ . This is possible since  $B$  is positive definite.

#### Finding inverse

- First decompose  $A = LL^T$
- Solve  $Ax_i = e_i$

### 3.0.2 LU

$$A = PLU$$

### 3.0.3 QR

$A = QR$  where  $\dim(A) = (m, n)$ ,  $\dim(Q) = (m, n)$ ,  $\dim(R) = (n, n)$ .  $Q$  is orthogonal,  $R$  is triangular.

We care about this decomposition in certain cases. For example, consider  $x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$ . Let  $A = QR$ . Now, the expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \\ x &= ((R^T Q^T)(QR))^{-1} (R^T Q^T) b = (R^T R)^{-1} (R^T Q^T) b = (R^{-1} (R^T)^{-1} R^T Q^T) b = R^{-1} Q^T b \\ Rx &= Q^T b \quad \text{Let } Q^T b = d \quad Rx = d \\ \text{Solve } Rx &= d \end{aligned}$$

**Comparison of Cholesky and QR for Least squares** For cholesky, we want to find  $x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$ . First, rewrite to  $A^T A x = A^T b$ .  $Bx = d$  where  $B = A^T A$ .

- Compute  $B$
- Compute  $d$
- Cholesky of  $B = LL^T$
- Solve  $Lv = d$
- Solve  $Lx = v$

For QR:

- Factorize  $A = QR$
- Compute  $d = Q^T b$
- Solve  $Rx = d$

As we move from Cholesky to SVD, factorization cost increases, solution time decreases.

### Computing QR

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & R_{12} \\ 0 & R_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 r_{11} & q_1 R_{12} + Q_2 R_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ a_1 &= q_1 r_{11} \quad A_2 = q_1 R_{12} + Q_2 R_{22} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q^T Q = I$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} = I$ , hence  $q_1^T q_1 = 1$ .

So,

$$a_1^T a_1 = (q_1 r_{11})^T (q_1 r_{11}) = (r_{11} q_1^T)(q_1 r_{11}) = r_{11}^2$$

. Hence,

$$r_{11} = \sqrt{a_1^T a_1} \quad q_1 = a_1 / r_{11}$$

.

To find  $R_{12}$ , **TODO**

Next, Let  $B = A_2 - q_1 R_{12} = Q_2 R_{22}$ . Now perform QR on B.

### 3.0.4 SVD

$A = UDV^T$  where  $\dim(A) = (m, n)$   $\dim(U) = (m, m)$ ,  $\dim(D) = (n, n)$ .  $\dim(V) = (n, n)$ .  $U, V$  are orthogonal,  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

## 3.1 Bala's implicit enumeration algorithm

Used to solve 0,1 binary ILP problems.

[good link for bala's algorithm](#)