Critical thinking

Siddharth Bhat

Contents

0.1	Defini [*]	tions
0.2	Intenti	on and extension
0.3	Extension and denotative definitions	
0.4	Intenti	on and Intensional Definitions
	0.4.1	Types of intentional definitions
	0.4.2	Techniques for creating intensional definitions
0.5	Fallacies	
	0.5.1	Fallacies of relevance
	0.5.2	Fallacies of defective induction
	-	Fallacies of presumption

Definition 1. Retrograde analysis: Reasoning that seeks to explain how things must have developed from things that have happened before.

0.1 Definitions

Definition 2. Definiendum: The word or symbol being defined

Definition 3. Definiens: A symbol or group of symbols that have the same definition as the Definiendum

Definition 4. Ambiguity: A term is ambiguous in a given context when it has more than one distinct meaning, and the context does not make clear what is intended.

Definition 5. *Vagueness: A term is vague, when there are borderline cases where the term may or may not apply.*

- **Stipulative definition**: A new definition where some meaning is arbitrarily defined. Such a definition cannot be correct or incorrect. Eg. Zetta has been stipulatively defined to mean 10¹².
- Lexical definition: Reports the meaning that the Definiendum already has. The report maybe correct or incorrect, so such a definition maybe true or false.
- **Precising definition**: A definition used to eliminate vagueness or ambiguity.
 - It reports on a word that already exists, but it makes the known meaning more precise. It could increase the precision by stipulating, but the purpose of this stipulation is to *improve a pre-existing* meaning.
- **Theoretical definition**: A definition that encapsulates an understanding of the theory in which the term is a key element.
- **Persuasive definition**: A definition used to resolve disputes by influencing attitudes and stirring emotion. Often uses emotive language.

4 CONTENTS

0.2 Intention and extension

Definition 6. Extension: The set of all objects to which a term may be applied.

extention(P)
$$\equiv \{x \mid \forall x \in universe, P(x)\}\$$

Definition 7. *Intension: The attributes shared by all and only the objects in the class the term denotes. Or, the connotation of the term.*

$$intension(P) \equiv \bigcap_{\forall x \in extension(P)} attribs(x)$$

The equilateral triangle example Consider equi-angular triangle, whose intention is different from equi-lateral triangle.

Both of these have the same extension (since the sets are the same), but have different intentions.

0.3 Extension and denotative definitions

Means of defining extensive terms:

Definition 8. Denotative definition: A definition that identifies the extention of a term, by (for eg.) listing the members of the definition. An extensive definition

Definition 9. Ostensive definition: A denotative definition, where the definition is made by pointing. For example, the word desk means this.

Definition 10. *Quasi Ostensive definition: Example: the desk is means this article of furniture. This presupposes the meaning of article of furniture.*

0.4 Intention and Intensional Definitions

0.4.1 Types of intentional definitions

Definition 11. Subjective intension: Set of all attributes speaker believes are posessed by objects denoted by that word

Definition 12. Objective intension: Total set of characteristics shared by all objects in the word's extension

Definition 13. Conventional intension: Commonly accepted intension of a term;

0.4.2 Techniques for creating intensional definitions

Definition 14. Synonymous definition: A word, phrase, etc. is defined in terms of another

Definition 15. Operational definition: Defined by means of operations. Example: length can be defined by the measuring procedure.

Definition 16. Definitions by genus and difference (Analytical definitions): Class whose membership is divided: **genus**. Subclasses: **species**.

o.s. FALLACIES 5

0.5 Fallacies

0.5.1 Fallacies of relevance

• Appeal to populace: Appeal to people's emotions. Eg. speeches by fascist leaders.

- Appeal to emotion: Appeal to base emotions such as pity.
- **Red herring**: Distract from the actual argument with a *deliberately misleading trail*. Etymology: People who used to try and save foxes from being hunted by leaving a smoked herring, which confuses dogs, and also turns red.
- **Strawman**: Misconstrue argument to make it seem weaker than it actually is, and then defeat the weakened argument.
- Ad homenim abusive type (Argument against the person): Attack moral character of person.
- Ad homenim circumstantial type: Attacking someone's argument based on their *circumstance*. Eg. calling a non-vegeterian who argues for reduced meat consumption a hypocrite. This does not reduce the validity of the argument at all.
- Appeal to force: Appeal to threats to coerce the other person to accept your argument.
- Missing the point: One attacks a different thesis than the one the interlocutor was advancing.

0.5.2 Fallacies of defective induction

- Argument from ignorance: Arguing that just because something is not proven true, it must be false, or the converse.
- **Appeal to inappropriate authority**: Appeal to the authority of someone who is not an authority on the subject at hand.

Example: Invoking Picasso on a discussion about economics.

- False cause: Arguing for a cause-and-effect relationship where none exists. Eg. you fell sick because of the bees this time of year.
- Hasty Generalization: Performing induction from a very small sample size.

0.5.3 Fallacies of presumption

These come from presuming unjustified assumptions.

- Accident: Assuming a generalization applies to all concrete cases.
 - Example: It is wrong to steal. We can create corner cases such as "what if the person was hungry"? This falls under accident.
- **Complex question**: Constructing a loaded question where refuting a part of the question implicitly provides truth to another part, which was unintended.
 - Example: "With all of the hysteria, all of the fear, all of the phony science, could it be that manmade global warming is the greatest hoax ever perpetrated on the American people?"
- **Begging the question**: Assuming the conclusion in the supposition.
 - Example: "There is no such thing as knowledge which cannot be carried into practice, for such knowledge is really no knowledge at all."