

# Topics in Physics - C. Mukku

Siddharth Bhat



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Lagrangian, Hamiltonian mechanics</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Lagrangian . . . . .	5
1.2	Variational principle . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Functional calculus</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Functional Derivative - take 1 . . . . .	7
2.2	Functional Derivative as taught in class . . . . .	8
2.3	Common functional derivatives . . . . .	8
2.3.1	Derivative of $F[\phi] \equiv \int (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y})^2$ . . . . .	8
2.4	Deriving E-L from functional magic . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Maxwell's equations in Minkowski space</b>	<b>9</b>



# Chapter 1

## Lagrangian, Hamiltonian mechanics

Mechanics in terms of generalized coords.

### 1.1 Lagrangian

Define a functional.  $L$  over the config. space of partibles  $q^i, \dot{q}^i$ .  $L = L(q^i, \dot{q}^i)$ . We have an explicit dependence on  $t$ .

$$L = KE - PE$$

Assuming a 1-particle system of unit mass,

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 - V(q)$$

Assuming an n-particle system of unit mass,

$$L = \sum_i \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{i2} - V(q^i)$$

### 1.2 Variational principle

Take a minimum path from  $A$  to  $B$ . Now notice that the path that is slightly different from this path will have some delta from the minimum.

Action

$$S(t_0, t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(q^i, \dot{q}^i) dt$$

. Least action:  $\delta S = 0$



## Chapter 2

# Functional calculus

this chapter develops a completely handwavy physics version of functional analysis.

**Definition 1** A *functional*  $F$  is a function:  $F : (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

**Notation 1** Evaluation of a functional  $F$  with respect to  $f$  is denoted by  $F[f]$ .

### 2.1 Functional Derivative - take 1

Consider a functional  $F : (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and a "test function"  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Consider a functional  $F$ . We only define the derivative of a functional  $F$  with respect to a function  $f$  by what happens under an integral sign as follows:

$$\int \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}(x) \phi(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \phi] - F[f]}{\epsilon}$$

Now, we can define a small variation in  $F$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F &: (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \delta F(f, \phi) &\equiv \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}(x) \phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively,  $\delta F$  tells us the variation of the function  $f$  along a test function  $\phi$ . So, it encapsulates some kind of "directional derivative".

So, we can look at  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta f}$  as a functional as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} &: (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}(\phi) &= \delta F(f, \phi) \end{aligned}$$

Wehre  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta f}$  allows us to "test" the change of  $F$  with respect to  $f$  along a given "direction"  $\phi$ .

## 2.2 Functional Derivative as taught in class

Substitute  $\phi = \delta(x - p)$ . Now, the quantity:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \phi(x) = \delta F(f, \delta(x - p))$$

Rewriting  $\delta F$  by sticking it under an integral:

$$\int \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}(x) \delta(x - p) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \delta(x - p)] - F[f]}{\epsilon}$$

$$\left. \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \right|_p = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \delta(x - p)] - F[f]}{\epsilon}$$

That is, we can start talking about "derivative of the functional  $F$  with respect to a function  $f$  at a point  $p$ " as long as we only test the functional  $F$  against  $\delta$ -functions.

So, we can alternatively define this quantity as:

$$\left. \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \right|_p \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \delta(x - p)] - F[f]}{\epsilon}$$

While this does not "look like a functional", it actually is, if we mentally replace:

$$p \rightarrow \int - \delta(x - p) dx$$

This is how mukku got that expression.

## 2.3 Common functional derivatives

### 2.3.1 Derivative of $F[\phi] \equiv \int (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y})^2$

$$\left. \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \right|_p = \int (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y})^2$$

## 2.4 Deriving E-L from functional magic



## Chapter 3

# Maxwell's equations in Minkowski space

Let us first review Maxwell's equations:

$$\nabla \cdot E = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \text{ (Electric charges produce fields)}$$

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0 \text{ (Only magnetic dipoles exist)}$$

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \text{ (Lenz Law - time varying magnetic field induces current that opposes it)}$$

$$\nabla \times B = \mu_0 \left( J + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \right) \text{ (Ampere's law + fudge factor)}$$

Begin with the equation that  $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ . This tells that  $B$  can be written as the curl of some other field —  $B = \nabla \times A$ . Hence

$$\boxed{B^i = \mathcal{E}^{ijk} \partial_j A^k} \tag{3.1}$$

Next, take  $\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$ .

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial(\nabla \times A)}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \times \left( E + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

writing this as the divergence of some field  $\phi$  scaled by  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}$

$$E + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = \alpha(\nabla \cdot \phi)$$

$$E = \alpha \nabla \cdot \phi - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$

Since electrostatics is time-independent, we choose to think of  $\alpha = -1$ , so we can interpret  $\phi$  as the potential.

$$E^i = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k} g^{ik} - \frac{\partial A^i}{\partial t} \quad (3.2)$$

A slight reformulation (since we know that in Minkowski space,  $\partial_t = \partial_0$ ) we get the equation:

$$\boxed{E^i = -g^{ik} \partial_k \phi - \partial_0 A^i} \quad (3.3)$$

We get the metric  $g^{ik}$  involved to raise the covariant  $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^k}$  into the contravariant  $E^i$ .

(**Sid question:** how does one justify switching  $\nabla \times$  and  $\partial$ ? It feels like some algebra)

**Here be magic!** We define A new rank-2 tensor in Minkowski space-time, called  $F$  (for Faraday),

$$\boxed{F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu} \quad (3.4)$$

(**Sid question:** why is this object  $F_{\mu\nu}$  covariant? What does this *mean*?)

**Lemma 1**  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric.

**Lemma 2**  $F_{\mu\nu}$  has 6 degrees of freedom

*Proof.* Number of degrees of freedom of  $F$ :

$$\frac{4^2 \text{ (total)} - 4 \text{ (diagonal)}}{2 \text{ (anti-symmetry)}} = 6$$

Notice that  $F$  is a 1-form!

We now wish to re-express  $B^{ij}$  and  $E^{ij}$  in terms of  $F$ , so that this  $F$  captures all of maxwell's equations.

$$B^i = \mathcal{E}^{ijk} \partial_j A^k = \mathcal{E}^{ikj} \partial_k A^j \quad \text{by } k, j \text{ being free variables}$$

$$B^i = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{E}^{ijk} \partial_j A^k + \mathcal{E}^{ikj} \partial_k A^j \right)$$

$$\text{Substituting } \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j = F_{jk},$$

$$B^i = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^{ijk} F_{jk}$$

So,  $B$  in terms of  $F$  is:

$$\boxed{B^i = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^{ijk} F_{jk}} \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, we wish to write  $E$  in terms of  $F$ . The algebra is as follows:

$$E^i = -g^{ik} \partial_k \phi - \partial_0 A^i$$