Pulumock Assessment - Participant 2

Date   2025-05-14 12.13.55

1.   **Which approach had a smoother learning curve in regards to unit testing?**

     Pulumock

     Motivate your answer

     cleaner documentation, better naming conventions, easier to get started

2.   **Which approach offered better readability in your code?**

     Pulumock

     Motivate your answer

     the only iffy thing is chained build and buildAsync, but otherwise a lot more readable and cleaner

3.   **Which approach offered better reusability in your code?**

     Pulumock

     Motivate your answer

     not really applicable given only 2 tests were written and they touched upon two separate parts of
     what can be tested, but judging by the way the whole testing class is structured
     it would be easier to write more tests using Pulumock

4.   **Which approach would you personally prefer to use in a real-world project?**

     Pulumock

     Motivate your answer

     an extra dependency to save hours of headaches, though not having a community around
     it can suck if you run into a problem, and LLMs won't be able to help you

5.   **What challenges (if any) did you face when using either approach?**

     lack of experience with these types of unit testing and
     dotnet development, so a lot of syntax unclarities

6.   **What was your overall experience using Pulumock?**

     loved it!

7.   **Do you have any suggestions for improving Pulumock?**

     not really, it's really sweet, would be neat to get it popular and get a community around it
     so that it becomes the new standard, because I really can't see any downsides to using it