Page No.:

Rank 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 16 0.3 precision 1 1 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.5 0.57 0.5 0.44 0.5 lecall 0.2 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 1 ~ 0. 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 Protect (1) 1 1 1 1 1 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.55 AP - 1 2 Pinterso (2) = (8.28) = 0.7527A) $\partial E_{T} = \sum_{k=0}^{3T} \partial E_{T}$. ∂y_{T} $\left(\frac{1}{j=k+1} \partial h_{T} \right) \frac{1}{\partial w}$ if dhid 21 & might be because of use of sigmoid activations then | the dhi will vanish, resulting in j= k+1 dhi-1 no or very minor gradient of Equirit to le Et will not have ony contribution in up dating weight w. Solution: - Use of gated networks or activations that are not bounded between -1, 1

b) is the data above can be confuseing for a normal recurrent network as, many same words are repeated many times before a new word comes. A model can get comprised y a the should follow a the The model can become biased to former.
The reason of this is because of vanishing gradient problem. gradeent problem? The The The the use now to crhile calculating gradient of total form wiret to we get following E = 5 + 62 + 63 + Ey ---DE = DEI + DE2 + DE3 + DEY ---Errors E, Ez, Ez focus on predicting the after seeing the wherear Ey will focus on predicting "use" after seeing the hence the contribution of Ey in updating we soll be 4 times less than E, E, E3 which is equivalent to vanishing of DEY DW gradient.

The suggested modification is:

Along with predicting the final next

occurrence, predict the no. of times int

will appear consecutively before a new Cij word. black, 1 color, 1 the, 3 use, 3 use, 3 black, 1 The, I the, 3 Now, if he use gated networks, the vanishing gradient problem will be resolved.

Q.4 = - (1-p) V logp when $\gamma=0$ FL=-log P which is same as standard cross Entropy loss. for correctly classified enamples, Focal loss is relatively less than cross entropy loss thus preventing the model to make over-confident predictions which can regatively affect its overall performance. is not affected much - just decreased slightly as (I-P) is more near 1 and in mis classification P<0.5 Q.5 A bounding box can be Represented as 4 dimensional vector - (x, y, h, w) Ly my coordinates of center

Ly my height and width of bounding bone

Consider following 2 cases as (1) OIT boon = (0,0,2,2) Predicted boon = (10,0,2,2) (0,10) (OEI)(O) 6 norm is =) 12 + 02 + 02 + 02 100 = 2 = 1 6 = 3

(2) OII bbon = (0,0,2,2) Predicted bbon = (0,0,1,2) Predict ned. $L_2 norm = \int_0^2 + 0^2 + 1^2 + 0^2$ $100 = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$ the reason why it happens is because the room of refresentation of a bounding bonvector refresentation of take into the / capture

H(x, y, h, w) doesn't take into the / capture the relative ship hositioning of blocks.

It considers each of the elements - En, y, h, w 3

ar seperate quantity while ralculatery the

norm. IOV on other hand, takes into account the relative positioning of two befores. a) Ho = CH_ -D* stride + He - 2 padding No= (WI-1) + stude + W/k - 2 padding, Ho, Wo - height, width of output

HI, WI - " of kernel

HK, , WE - 11 1 by offlying above equations $H_0 = 9$ So Size of result is 9x9.

Le f Lg h J 6000 af the fe00 0 000 ag tec ant gotfetide ngfe hbtfd gc hctdg hd ohof 0090 resize into 3×3.