

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Basics

Some brief definitions are listed as follows.

(11BD1)  $S$  is a null semigroup if  $\forall x, y \in S(xy = 0)$ ,

(11BD2)  $S$  is a left zero semigroup if  $\forall x, y \in S(xy = x)$ , the definition for right zero semigroup is obvious,

(11BD3)  $I \subset S$  is a proper ideal if  $\{0\} \subset I \subsetneq S$  and  $IS \subset S \wedge SI \subset S$ ,

(11BD4) given a set  $X$ , the full transformation semigroup is defined as  $(\text{End}_{\text{Set}}(X), \circ)$ , where  $\circ$  refers the composition of functions,

(11BD5) a morphism  $S \xrightarrow[\text{smg}]{\phi} \text{End}(X)$  is a *representation* of  $S$ , and  $\varphi$  is faithful if it is injective,

(11BD6) a semigroup  $S$  is a rectangular band if  $\forall a, b \in S(aba = a)$ ,

(11BD7)  $\langle \{a\} \rangle_{\text{smg}}$  is called a *monogenic semigroup*.

**Proposition 1.1.1** Suppose  $S$  is a semigroup, the propositions listed below are equivalent.

(a)  $S$  is a group,

(b) for all  $a, b \in S$ , there exists  $x, y \in S$  such that  $ax = b \wedge ya = b$ ,

(c)  $\forall a \in S(aS = Sa = S)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to demonstrate that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c). So we proceed to prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), and it suffices to show that  $S$  has the unique identity, and that for any element, its inverse exists and is unique. Let  $ax = ya = a$ , and it follows that

$$x = ax_1 = ay_1a = yay_1a = yx = ax_2ax = ax_2a = y_2a = y.$$

Thus, we may conclude that every element  $a$  in  $S$  has an identity  $\epsilon_a$  such that  $\epsilon_a a = a\epsilon_a = a$ . Now, the issue lies in proving  $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b$  for any  $a, b$  in  $S$ , and the method is analogous:

$$\epsilon_a = by_1 = by_2b = by_2b\epsilon_b = \epsilon_a\epsilon_b = \epsilon_a ax_2a = ax_2a = x_1a = \epsilon_b.$$

As for the existence and uniqueness of inverse, it also follows the same manner, so we omit it here.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.1.2** Suppose  $S$  is a semigroup,  $X = S^1$ , then there exists a faithful representation

$$\varphi : S \rightarrow \text{End}(X).$$

*Proof.* See [1, Theorem 1.1.2]. Simply stated,

$$S \hookrightarrow \text{End}(S^1)$$

$$a \longmapsto [\varphi_a : x \mapsto xa].$$

□

**Theorem 1.1.3** Suppose  $S$  is a semigroup, the following propositions are equivalent.

- ◇  $S$  is a rectangular band (11BD6),
- ◇ every  $a \in S$  is an idempotent, and  $abc = ac$  for all  $a, b, c$  in  $S$ ,
- ◇ there exists a left zero semigroup  $L$ , and a right zero semigroup  $R$ , such that  $S \simeq L \times R$ ,
- ◇ there exists two sets  $A, B$  such that  $S \simeq A \times B$ , in which  $A \times B$  is a semigroup with the multiplication defined as  $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1, b_2)$ .

*Proof.* See [1, Theorem 1.1.3].

□

## 1.2 Monogenic Subsemigroup

To study the monogenic subsemigroup, we introduce the following concepts. Suppose  $a$  is an element in  $S$ , and its order is finite if not specified.

$$(12MSD1) \quad \langle a \rangle := \langle \{a\} \rangle_{\text{smg}},$$

$$(12MSD2) \quad \text{ord}(a) := |\langle a \rangle|,$$

$$(12MSD3) \quad \text{idx}(a) := \min \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} : \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} (a^m = a^n \wedge m \neq n)\},$$

$$(12MSD4) \quad \text{prd}(a) := \min \{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} : a^{m+r} = a^m\},$$

$$(12MSD5) \quad \text{a semigroup is called } \textit{periodic} \text{ if all its elements are of finite order.}$$

Let  $m = \text{idx}(a)$ ,  $r = \text{prd}$ , clearly,  $a, a^2, \dots, a^{m+r-1}$  are mutually different, and  $\langle a \rangle = \{a, \dots, a^{m+r-1}\}$ .

Let  $K_a$  be  $\{a^m, \dots, a^{m+r-1}\}$ , we assert that it is a cyclic group. Consider the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ , obviously,  $\{[m], \dots, [m+r-1]\} = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, there exists  $0 \leq g \leq r-1$  such that  $[m+g] = [1]$ , which implies  $\forall k ([k] = [k(m+g)])$ . Since  $a^{(m+g)k} = a^{m+hr}a^{k-m} = a^m a^{k-m}$  for all  $k > m$ , the  $a^{(m+g)k}$  exhaust  $K_a$ .

**Proposition 1.2.1** Suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of finite order in the same or different subsemigroups, then

$$\langle a \rangle \simeq \langle b \rangle \Leftrightarrow (\text{idx}(a), \text{prd}(a)) = (\text{idx}(b), \text{prd}(b)).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{idx}(a) = \text{idx}(b) = m$  and  $\text{prd}(a) = \text{ord}(b) = r$ , the mapping defined below is an isomorphism.

$$\{a, \dots, a^{m+r-1}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{b, \dots, b^{m+r-1}\}$$

$$a^k \longmapsto b^k$$

For the reverse, assume  $\langle a \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle b \rangle$ , where  $\phi$  maps  $a$  to  $b^\xi$ , it is straightforward to verify that  $\langle b^\xi \rangle = \langle b \rangle$  and that  $\text{idx}(a) = \text{idx}(b^\xi)$ ,  $\text{prd}(a) = \text{prd}(b^\xi)$ . If  $\xi = 1$ , the proof is over. On the other hand, if  $\xi > 1$ , then there exists  $\mu \geq 1$  such that  $b^{\xi\mu} = b$ , thus,  $\text{idx}(b) = 1$ , which implies  $\langle b \rangle$  is a cyclic group. Hence,  $\langle a \rangle$  is also a cyclic group with the generator  $\phi^{-1}(b) = a^\zeta$ . Since  $a$  is a generator, similarly, there exists an integer  $\nu$  that makes  $a^{\zeta\nu} = a$ , and it follows that  $\text{idx}(a) = 1$ . Furthermore, observe that  $\text{prd}(a) = |\langle a \rangle| = |\langle b \rangle| = \text{prd}(b)$ . □

**Proposition 1.2.2** For any pair  $(m, r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^2$ , there exists a semigroup  $S$  containing an element with  $\text{idx}$  of  $m$  and  $\text{prd}$  of  $r$ .

*Proof.* See [1, p.12]. Simply stated, the correspondence is given by  $(m, r) \mapsto (12 \cdots m + 1) \in S_{m+r}$ . □

## 1.3 Relations

Given a set  $X$ , the power set  $P(X^2)$  equipped with the multiplication defined as

$$R_1 \circ R_2 := \{(a, b) \in X^2 : \exists c \in X((a, c) \in R_1) \wedge (c, b) \in R_2\},$$

where  $R_i$  is the element in  $P(X^2)$ , forms a semigroup. To see this, it suffices to verify  $\circ$  is associative, which is obvious. Some brief definitions are listed as follows.

(13RD1)  $R(x) := \{y \in X : (x, y) \in R\}$ ,

(13RD2)  $R(A) := \bigcup_{x \in A} R(x)$ ,

(13RD3)  $R^{\text{op}} := \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in R\}$ ,

(13RD4)  $\Delta_X := \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ ,

(13RD5) if it is not specified,  $R^n$  represents  $R \circ \dots \circ R$  (n times),

(13RD6) given a morphism  $f : S \rightarrow S'$ , then  $\ker f := \{(x, y) \in S^2 : f(x) = f(y)\}$ .

It can be easily verified that  $(R_1 \circ R_2)^{\text{op}} = R_2^{\text{op}} \circ R_1^{\text{op}}$ , thus,  $(R^n)^{\text{op}} = (R^{\text{op}})^n$ . A commonly used conclusion is

$$(a, b) \in R^n \Leftrightarrow \exists (t_i)_{i=1}^n \in X^n (a = t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t_n = b),$$

where  $t_i \rightarrow t_{i+1}$  means  $t_i R t_{i+1}$ .

We then introduce the definitions of partial orders and equivalent relations from this perspective. A partial order is a relation satisfies the following conditions,

- ◇ (reflective)  $\Delta_X \subset R$ ,
- ◇ (anti-symmetric)  $R \cap R^{\text{op}} = \Delta_X$ ,
- ◇ (transitive)  $R^2 \subset R$ .

On another hand,  $R$  is an equivalence relation is the conditions below are all hold,

- ◇ (reflective)  $\Delta_X \subset R$ ,
- ◇ (symmetric)  $R^{\text{op}} \subset R$ ,
- ◇ (transitive)  $R^2 \subset R$ .

Given a partial ordered set  $X$ , we have the following concepts, note that the definition of max/supre/upper-case is analogous.

(13LTC1) Suppose  $U \subset X$ ,  $m \in U$  is the *minimal* element if  $\nexists a \in U (a < m)$ ,

(13LTC2) suppose  $U \subset X$ ,  $m \in U$  is the *minimum* element if  $\forall a \in U (m \leq a)$ ,

(13LTC3) suppose  $U \subset X$ ,  $l \in X$  is the *lower bound* of  $U$  if  $\forall a \in U (l \leq a)$ .

(13LTC4) We say that  $X$  satisfies *minimal condition* if every nonempty subset of it has a minimal element.

(13LTC5) Suppose  $U \subset X$ ,  $i \in X$  is the *infimum*, denoted as  $\inf U$ , if  $i$  is the maximum element of all lower bounds of  $U$ .

(13LTC6) We say that  $X$  is a *complete lower semilattice* if  $\forall U \subset X (\exists \inf U)$ , and is a *lower semilattice* if  $\forall \{x, y\} \subset X (\exists \inf \{x, y\})$ . If  $X$  is a lower semilattice, the operation  $(x, y) \mapsto \inf \{x, y\}$  forms a binary function, denoted as  $(\cdot) \wedge (\cdot)$ , as for the upper-case, we denote  $x \vee y$  by  $\sup \{x, y\}$ .

(13LTC7) We say that  $X$  is a *lattice* if it's both an upper semilattice and a lower semilattice.

In addition, in a lower semilattice, it can be verified that

- ◇  $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x = x \wedge y$ ,
- ◇  $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z)$ , that is,  $(X, \wedge)$  forms a semigroup.

**Proposition 1.3.1** Given a set  $X$ , a partition  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of disjoint subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $\bigsqcup \mathcal{A} = X$ . Then, there exists a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \{R \in P(X^2) : \text{equivalence relation}\} &\xleftarrow{1:1} \{\mathcal{A} \in P(X) : \text{partition}\} \\ R &\longmapsto \{R(x)\}_{x \in X} \\ [R : (x, y) \in R \Leftrightarrow \exists A \in \mathcal{A}(x \in A \wedge y \in A)] &\longmapsto \mathcal{A} \end{aligned}$$

## 1.4 Congruences

Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $R$  is a relation on  $S$ , here are some definitions:

- (14SRD1)  $aR = a \cdot R := \{(ax, ay) : (x, y) \in R\}$ , for the reverse,  $Ra := \{(xa, ya) : (x, y) \in R\}$ , in addition,  $aRb := \{(axb, ayb) : (x, y) \in R\}$ .
- (14SRD2)  $S^1R = S^1 \cdot R := \bigcup_{a \in S^1} aR$ , the definition of  $RS^1$  is analogous, furthermore,  $S^1RS^1$  represents  $\bigcup_{(a,b) \in S^1 \times S^1} aRb$ .
- (14SRD3)  $RR = R \cdot R := \{(x_1x_2, y_1y_2) : (x_i, y_i) \in R \wedge i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ ,
- (14SRD4)  $R^n := R \cdot R \cdots R$  (n times).
- (14SRD5) We say that  $R$  is *left compatible* if  $S^1R \subset R$ , similar to *right compatible*.
- (14SRD6) We say that  $R$  is *compatible* if  $S^1R \subset R \wedge RS^1 \subset R$ , which is equivalent to  $RR \subset R$ .

We possess to prove the assertion in the last definition above. Since  $\Delta_S \subset R$ ,  $RR \subset R$  ensures for all  $a \in S^1$  and  $(x, y) \in S$ ,  $(ax, ay) \in R$ . Conversely, assume  $(x_1x_2, y_1y_2) \in RR$ . Since  $S^1R \subset R \wedge RS^1 \subset R$ , we obtain that  $(x_1x_2, x_1y_2) \in R$  and  $(x_1y_2, x_2y_2) \in R$ . Thus,  $(x_1x_2, y_1y_2) \in R$ .

The conclusion below is often used in algebra, especially in situations where an equivalence relation and some operations are imposed on a set to give it an algebraic structure, for example, ideal of rings, the construction of amalgamated product and the construction of tensor product. Its core, precisely, is the concept of congruence in semigroup theory.

**Proposition 1.4.1** Suppose  $R$  is an equivalence relation on a semigroup  $S$ , then

$$R(x)R(y) := R(xy) \text{ well defined} \Leftrightarrow R \text{ is a congruence.}$$

The following constructions are also important.

- (a)  $\langle R \rangle_{\text{eqv}} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} [R \cup \Delta_S \cup R^{\text{op}}]^n$  is the smallest equivalence relation containing  $R$ , where  $S$  can just be a set.
- (b)  $\langle R \rangle_{\text{cpt}} := S^1RS^1$  is the smallest compatible relation containing  $R$ .
- (c)  $\langle R \rangle_{\text{cog}} := \langle S^1RS^1 \rangle_{\text{eqv}}$  is the smallest congruence containing  $R$ .

*Proof.* The proof for (a) is on [1, Proposition 1.4.9], the proof for (b) and (c) can be found in p.25-p.26 in the same book.  $\square$

Both set  $\text{Eqv}(S)$  of equivalences and  $\text{Cog}(S)$  of congruences on  $S$  are partially ordered by  $\subset$ . In fact, both are complete lattice. Take  $\text{Cog}(S)$  as an example, for any subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Cog}(S)$ , it can be verified that  $\inf \mathcal{U} = \bigcap \mathcal{U}$  and  $\sup \mathcal{U} = \langle \bigcup \mathcal{U} \rangle_{\text{cog}}$ . Notice that for any  $R_1, R_2 \in \text{Cog}(S)$

$$\langle R_1 \cup R_2 \rangle_{\text{cog}} = \langle R_1 \cup R_2 \rangle_{\text{eqv}}. \quad (1.1)$$

Thus, both symbol  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  on lattice  $\text{Eqv}(S)$  and  $\text{Cog}(S)$  represent the same operations of sets. Here are some conclusions for supplementation, which can be found in [1, p.28]. Suppose  $R_1, R_2$  are equivalences, then

$$(14CP1) \quad R_1 \vee R_2 = \langle R_1 \cup R_2 \rangle_{\text{eqv}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (R_1 \cup R_2)^n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (R_1 \circ R_2)^n,$$

$$(14CP2) \quad R_1 \circ R_2 = R_2 \circ R_1 \Rightarrow R_1 \vee R_2 = R_1 \circ R_2.$$

**Example 1.4.2** Let  $G$  be a group,  $E \subset G^2$  be an equivalence, and  $N = E(1_G)$  (14RD1), which is typically denoted as  $[1_G]$  in other books. Then,

$$[a][b] = [ab] \text{ well-defined} \Leftrightarrow N \triangleleft G \wedge (aEb \Leftrightarrow ab^{-1} \in E).$$

If  $E$  is an equivalence on a ring  $R$ , which is given by the data  $(R, +, -, 0_R, \cdot, 1_R)$ . Let  $I = [0_R]$ , then,

$$[a] + [b] = [a + b] \text{ well-defined} \Leftrightarrow I < R \wedge (aEb \Leftrightarrow a - b \in I).$$

It is nothing but a corollary of the former assertion in the case of an Abelian group  $(R, +, -, 0_R)$ . Based on this, to let

$$[a][b] = [ab]$$

well-defined, again, we consider it on the semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$ , and this requires  $RE \subset E \wedge ER \subset E$  (14SRD2). It is easy to verify that  $RE \subset E \wedge ER \subset E \Rightarrow RI \subset I \wedge IR \subset I$ . Conversely, suppose  $RI \subset I \wedge IR \subset I$ , it follows that

$$aEb \Leftrightarrow a - bE0 \Leftrightarrow a - b \in I \Rightarrow \forall r \in R (ra - rb \in I) \Leftrightarrow \forall r \in R (raEr b) \Rightarrow RE \subset E,$$

the procedure of proving  $RE \subset E$  follows the same manner.

## 1.5 Ideals

Some definitions are listed below.

(15IDD1)  $\rho$  is a mapping from the set of proper ideal of  $S$  to  $\text{Cog}(S)$ , which is given by  $I \mapsto I^2 \cup \Delta_S$ ,

(15IDD2) elements in  $\text{im } \rho$  are called *Rees ideals*,

(15IDD3) a morphism  $\phi$  is called a *Rees morphism* if  $\ker \phi$  (15RD6) is a Rees ideal.

Based on this, we obtain some properties:

- ◇ each  $\rho(I)$  is a congruence, thus,  $S/\rho(I) = \{I\} \sqcup \{\{x\} : x \in S \setminus I\}$  forms a semigroup,
- ◇  $I \in S/\rho(I)$  is a zero element.
- ◇ Above all, suppose  $I$  is a proper ideal, there exists a bijection

$$\{I \subset J \subsetneq S : \text{ideal}\} \xleftarrow{1:1} \{\bar{J} \subset S/\rho(I) : \text{ideal}\}$$

$$J \longmapsto \rho(I)(J)$$

$$\rho(I)^{-1}(\bar{J}) \longleftarrow \bar{J}.$$

## 1.6 Free Semigroup

The definition of free semigroup is similar to other algebraic structures, that is, the initial object in the comma category  $(j_X, U)$ . To be specific,  $(\mathbf{F}(X), \iota)$  is the free semigroup of set  $X$ , if for any  $(S, f)$ , where  $S$  is a semigroup and  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is a function, there exists unique semigroup morphism  $\phi$  that makes the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbf{F}(X) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \exists! \phi \\ & & S \end{array}$$

The construction is also straightforward, we omit it here.

**Definition 1.6.1** Suppose  $Y$  is a relation on free semigroup  $\mathbf{F}(X)$ , let

$$\langle X|Y \rangle := \mathbf{F}(X) / \langle Y \rangle_{\text{cog}}.$$

If there exists an epimorphism  $\phi : \mathbf{F}(X) \rightarrow S$ , a semigroup, such that  $\ker \phi = \langle Y \rangle_{\text{cog}}$ , and hence  $\langle X|Y \rangle \simeq S$ , we say that  $S$  is presented.

## Chapter 2

# Green's Equivalences; Regular Semigroups

### 2.1 Green's Equivalences

Suppose  $S$  is a semigroup, here are some basic concepts.

(21GBD1)  $S^1a$  is the *principal left ideal* of  $a$ , same as the right-case,

(21GBD2)  $\mathcal{L}$  is an equivalence defined by  $a\mathcal{L}b \Leftrightarrow S^1a = S^1b$ ,

(21GBD3)  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence defined by  $a\mathcal{R}b \Leftrightarrow aS^1 = bS^1$ ,

(21GBD4)  $\mathcal{J}$  is an equivalence defined by  $a\mathcal{J}b \Leftrightarrow S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1$ ,

(21GBD5)  $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$  is also an equivalence,

(21GBD6)  $\mathcal{D} := \langle \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\text{eqv}} = \mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}$ , which is equal to  $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ , the reason is illustrated by (21GBP13) and (21CP2).

These objects possess these short properties:

(21GBP11) “Mutual divisibility” means if  $a\mathcal{L}b$ , then  $a, b$  can divide each other, that is,  $\exists x, y \in S^1$  such that  $ax = b \wedge by = a$ . Same as the right-case.

(21GBP12)  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *right* congruence, and  $\mathcal{R}$  is a *left* congruence.

(21GBP13)  $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ , the proof can be found in [1, Proposition 2.1.3].

(21GBP14) Obviously,  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{J}$ ,

(21GBP15) Suppose  $S$ , which has no identity, induces equivalences  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}, \dots$ , and  $S^1$  induces  $\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{D}', \dots$ . Then,  $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \sqcup \{(1, 1)\}$ , the same conclusion holds for the remaining equivalences.

We then can impose a partial order on  $S/\mathcal{L}, S/\mathcal{R}$  and  $S/\mathcal{J}$ ; to be specific,

$$\diamond \mathcal{L}(a) \leq \mathcal{L}(b) \Leftrightarrow S^1a \subset S^1b,$$

$$\diamond \mathcal{R}(a) \leq \mathcal{R}(b) \Leftrightarrow aS^1 \subset bS^1,$$

$$\diamond \mathcal{J}(a) \leq \mathcal{J}(b) \Leftrightarrow S^1aS^1 \subset S^1bS^1.$$

Notice that for all  $a \in S$  and  $x, y \in S^1$ ,

$$(21GBOP1) \mathcal{L}(xa) \leq \mathcal{L}(a),$$

$$(21GBOP2) \mathcal{R}(ax) \leq \mathcal{R}(a),$$

$$(21GBOP3) \mathcal{J}(xay) \leq \mathcal{J}(a),$$

(21GBOP4)  $\mathcal{L}(a) \leq \mathcal{L}(b) \vee \mathcal{R}(a) \leq \mathcal{R}(b) \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}(a) \leq \mathcal{J}(b)$ .

Noticing the property  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{J}$ , we are naturally led to ask when  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ , and the book [1] gives the following proposition:

(21GBP21) If  $S$  is a group, then  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D} = S^2$ .

(21GBP22) If  $S$  is a commutative semigroup, then  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ .

(21GBP23) If  $S$  is a periodic semigroup (21MSD5), then  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$  (see Proposition 2.1.4).

(21GBP24) If  $S$  is a semigroup, and both  $S/\mathcal{L}$  and  $S/\mathcal{R}$  as partial ordered sets satisfy the minimal condition (21LTC4), then  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$  (see Proposition 2.1.5).

Note that in the procedure of proving (21GBP24), we have to verify that if  $S/\mathcal{L}$  possess minimal condition then so does  $S^1/\mathcal{L}'$ , where  $\mathcal{L}'$  is originated from semigroup  $S^1$ , and that  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{J}' \Rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ . As for the former, let  $U'$  be any subset of  $S^1/\mathcal{L}'$ , then  $U' = \{\mathcal{L}'(a) : a \in A \wedge A \subset S^1\}$ . According to (21GBP15), we obtain that  $a \neq 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}'(a) = \mathcal{L}(a)$  and  $a \in S \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}'(a) = \mathcal{L}(a)$ , thus, let  $U = \{\mathcal{L}(a) : a \in A \setminus \{1\}\} \subset S/\mathcal{L}$ , clearly it contains a minimal element  $\mathcal{L}(m)$ , which is also the minimal element of  $U'$ .

## 2.2 The $\mathcal{D}$ -Classes

Here are some properties of  $\mathcal{D}$ -Classes:

(22CDP1)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{D}(a) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x) \subset \mathcal{D}(a) \wedge \mathcal{R}(x) \subset \mathcal{D}(a) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}(x) \subset \mathcal{D}(a)$ .

(22CDP2)  $\mathcal{D}(a) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{R}(a)} \mathcal{L}(t) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{D}(a)} \mathcal{L}(t) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{L}(a)} \mathcal{R}(t) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{D}(a)} \mathcal{R}(t)$ .

(22CDP3)  $a\mathcal{D}b \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a) \cap \mathcal{L}(b) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(b) \cap \mathcal{L}(a) \neq \emptyset$ .

(22CDP4) The intersection of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -class and an  $\mathcal{R}$ -class is either  $\emptyset$  or a  $\mathcal{H}$ -class, conversely any  $\mathcal{H}$ -class is a intersection of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -class and an  $\mathcal{R}$ -class.

(22CDP5) Suppose  $S = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{L}_i = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \mathcal{R}_j$ , then  $S = \bigsqcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \mathcal{L}_i \cap \mathcal{R}_j$ .

Notice that the data  $(\mathcal{L}_i \cap \mathcal{R}_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  exhausts all  $\mathcal{H}$ -classes. Moreover, this partition of set  $S$  is always described as a table, each cell is either empty or an  $\mathcal{H}$ -class.

	$\mathcal{L}_1$	$\mathcal{L}_2$
$\mathcal{R}_1$		
$\mathcal{R}_2$		

The following result is usually known as Green's Lemma. We denote by  $\rho_s$  the mapping  $x \mapsto xs$ , and by  $\lambda_s$  the mapping  $x \mapsto sx$ .

(22GLM1) Suppose  $a\mathcal{R}b$ , there exists  $s, s' \in S^1$  such that  $as = b$  and  $bs' = a$ , then,

- ◇  $\mathcal{L}(a) \xrightleftharpoons[\rho_{s'}]{\rho_s} \mathcal{L}(b) = \text{id}$ ,
- ◇  $\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{L}(a)$ , we have  $x\mathcal{R}sx, x\mathcal{R}x' \Rightarrow xs\mathcal{R}x's$  and  $x\mathcal{L}x' \Rightarrow xs\mathcal{L}x's$ ,
- ◇  $\forall x \in \mathcal{L}(a), \mathcal{H}(x) \xrightarrow[\rho_s|_{\mathcal{H}(x)}]{1:1} \mathcal{H}(xs)$ .

(22GLM2) Suppose  $a\mathcal{L}b$ , then there exists  $s, s' \in S^1$  such that  $sa = b$  and  $s'b = a$ , we obtain

- ◇  $\mathcal{R}(a) \xrightleftharpoons[\lambda_{s'}]{\lambda_s} \mathcal{R}(b) = \text{id}$ ,
- ◇  $\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{R}(a)$ , we have  $x\mathcal{L}xs, x\mathcal{L}x' \Rightarrow sx\mathcal{L}sx'$  and  $x\mathcal{R}x' \Rightarrow sx\mathcal{R}sx'$ ,
- ◇  $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}(a), \mathcal{H}(x) \xrightarrow[\lambda_s|_{\mathcal{H}(x)}]{1:1} \mathcal{H}(sx)$ .



Based on this, we have some corollaries:

$$(22\text{GLMC1}) \quad a\mathcal{D}b \Rightarrow |\mathcal{H}(a)| = |\mathcal{H}(b)|,$$

$$(22\text{GLMC2}) \quad xy \in \mathcal{H}(x) \Rightarrow xy\mathcal{R}x \Rightarrow xy = u \wedge uv = x \Rightarrow x\mathcal{R}u \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}(x) \xrightarrow{\rho_y} \mathcal{H}(xy) = \mathcal{H}(x),$$

$$(22\text{GLMC3}) \quad xy \in \mathcal{H}(y) \Rightarrow xy\mathcal{L}y \Rightarrow xy = u \wedge vu = y \Rightarrow y\mathcal{L}u \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}(y) \xrightarrow{\lambda_x} \mathcal{H}(xy) = \mathcal{H}(y).$$

(22GLMC4) (Green's Lemma) If  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -class in a semigroup  $S$ , then either  $H^2 \cap H = \emptyset$  or  $H^2 = H$  and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $S$ .

(22GLMC5) If  $e$  is an idempotent, then  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a subgroup. No  $\mathcal{H}$ -class can contain more than one idempotent.



# Bibliography

- [1] John M Howie. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. Oxford University Press, 1995.