# A Replication of 'DeepBugs: A Learning Approach to Name-based Bug Detection'

Jordan Winkler Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana, USA jwinkler@purdue.edu

> Dario Rios Ugalde Lockheed Martin Arlington, Texas, USA dugalde@purdue.edu

Abhimanyu Agarwal Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana, USA agarw184@purdue.edu

Young Jin Jung Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana, USA jung199@purdue.edu Caleb Tung
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
tung3@purdue.edu

James C. Davis
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
davisjam@purdue.edu

#### **ABSTRACT**

We replicated the main result of *DeepBugs*, a bug detection algorithm for name-based bugs. The original authors evaluated it in three contexts: swapped-argument bugs, wrong binary operator, and wrong binary operator operands. We followed the algorithm and replicated the results for swapped-argument bugs. Our replication used independent implementations of the major components: training set generation, token vectorization, and neural network data pipeline, model, and loss function. Using the same dataset and the same testing process, we report comparable performance: within 2% of the accuracy reported by Pradel and Sen.

#### CCS CONCEPTS

• Software and its engineering → Software maintenance tools; Software verification and validation.

### **KEYWORDS**

Defect detection, replication, machine learning, deep learning

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Jordan Winkler, Abhimanyu Agarwal, Caleb Tung, Dario Rios Ugalde, Young Jin Jung, and James C. Davis. 2021. A Replication of 'DeepBugs: A Learning Approach to Name-based Bug Detection'. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM Joint European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering (ESEC/FSE '21), August 23–28, 2021, Athens, Greece. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. https://doi.org/10.1145/3468264.3477221

### 1 THE DEEPBUGS ALGORITHM

The DeepBugs algorithm [2] is a means by which to identify *name-based bugs* (1). These bugs are cases where a developer uses the wrong symbols - *e.g.*, variable names - in a context, such as invoking a function with arguments in the wrong order. Supposing that developers choose meaningful variable names [1], name-based

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3468264.3477221

For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ESEC/FSE '21, August 23–28, 2021, Athens, Greece

© 2021 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8562-6/21/08.

bugs can be detected by identifying contexts where variable names are used inconsistently with learned semantic meanings.




Figure 1: DeepBugs expresses software using an abstract syntax tree, embedded as semantic vectors via Word2Vec. A neural network checks if meanings match usage contexts.

## 2 REPLICATION

We implemented the DeepBugs algorithm, sharing dependencies on Acorn, TensorFlow/Keras, and the dataset ([3]). The dataset is already partitioned into training and evaluation categories. We used the authors' hyperparameters where possible, although the authors did not report RNG seeds. Beyond this, due to a clerical error, we used a Word2Vec window size of 200 tokens rather than 20 tokens.

As shown in Table 1, our results [4] match the original [2].

Table 1: Swapped-argument performance in our replication.

Step	Comparison Metric	Original VS Replication
1 & 2	Token vocabulary size	Close ("10K" vs. 9,994)
	Extracted token values	Identical
3	Generated vectors	Close (possibly affected by
		window size and RNG seed)
4	Training Loss	Close: ~0.002 VS ~0.002
	Test Error	Close: ~0.04 VS ~0.06

## **REFERENCES**

- A. Hindle, E.T. Barr, Z. Su, M. Gabel, and P. Devanbu. 2012. On the Naturalness of Software. In Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Software Engineering (Zurich, Switzerland) (ICSE '12). IEEE Press, 837–847. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSE.2012.6227135
- [2] M. Pradel and K. Sen. 2018. DeepBugs: a learning approach to name-based bug detection. Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages (2018). https://doi.org/10.1145/3276517
- [3] V. Raychev, P. Bielik, M. Vechev, and A. Krause. 2016. Learning programs from noisy data. ACM SIGPLAN Notices 51, 1 (Jan. 2016), 761–774. https://doi.org/10. 1145/2837614.2837671
- [4] J. Winkler, A. Abhimanyu, C. Tung, D.R. Ugalde, Y.J. Jung, and J.C. Davis. 2021. DeepBugs Jr. Artifact. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5110878