* Greeting 🡪 There is an argument that ‘We ought not take organs from the dead if they have requested not to donate their organs, or if their family does not want their organs to be donated’   
  🡪 Discuss (Partially Agree with this statement)  
  🡪 I agree we should not take organs from the dead if and only they have requested not to donate their organs under rational thinking 🡪 Respect their Autonomy 🡪 Take patient decision at 1st place  
  🡪 If they are not persons (Example: Children, Human Beings with cognitive disorders.)  
  🡪 Consider the decision from their family who has rational agency.
* And Now, let me explain why I think we should not take organs from the dead, once they have requested not to do so. There are 3 points: Respect Autonomy Principle, Negative Right to Self-Property. Moral Obligation
* The first points is, Respect Autonomy Principle
  + The Statement is given that the Patient do make an autonomous decision
  + They are not slave or items
    - Some of U, dead body can be seen as an item  
      🡪 Autonomy 🡪 Give valuable meaning to the ‘items’ 🡪 we should take care of those items
    - Ruin the cultural heritage 🡪 Respect of Culture
    - We should not ruin the items with valuable meaning 🡪 Respect of Autonomy is what we treasured in our society
  + Unjust
    - Letting sb. die 🡪 Morally Unacceptable
  + Take a look at what John said.
    - There are some patients don’t want to donate their organs, not because of their religion, just afraid of ‘Surgery’
  + Well, If you can’t figure it out,
    - They are independent, lay on the bed and rely on the medical care every single day.
  + They just want to die peacefully, after experienced endless pain  
    🡪 Gain Mental Comfort
    - It is inhumane 🡪 When Physician will extract their organ whatever they have requested.
  + I would say yes, It is unjust, but their situations are similar to those who need organs
    - their decision is morally acceptable.
* The Second point is, Negative Right to Self-Property
  + Fabre believe patient has a positive right to life & Organs are goods   
    🡪 There is a duty to provide the organ to others.
  + Has negative right to deciding how to “use” the goods.  
    (You can decide how to use your own property, your own goods)  
    (For dead person, they write Will and testament to distribute their property)
  + No One can force sb. to distribute their properties to the public regardless of effort.
    - No fair
    - Is it fair to distribute a property Workers who work 24hrs/day 🡪 Fat boy just doing nothing
  + Yes, Patient do have a positive right to get the organs
    - But if we take organs from the dead without their agreement 🡪 Violate the dead’s negative right.
  + It is wrong to violate a right, even if you could do more good, by doing so
    - We ought not take organs from the dead if they have requested not to do so.
* The Third point is, Moral Obligation
  + There is an argument 🡪 More Lives can be Saved
    - Everything was always done to ensure that as many people as possible would enjoy long and happy life
  + Question about it? 🡪 Some people believe it is morally unacceptable to force sb. to donate their organs after they die.
    - They may refuse the medical treatment based on Moral Obligation
    - It is not sure that more life would be saved.
  + The case that similar to sweat factory
    - Without, sweat factory is immoral
    - When we see it as immoral, we may try to against. 🡪 There is a period that against Nike
  + I would question about it whether more live would be saved?

Q&A: Why we have to consider the decision from their family when they are not persons? Why don’t we just take out the organ directly to save more people?

* Moral Obligation
* U may keep asking me 🡪   
  Take it out first 🡪 Ask?  
  Cannot Keep the body-integrity 🡪 It against the family wills 🡪 And do no good at all
* What if physician doesn’t tell the truth 🡪 Affect the creditability of physician 🡪 More patient may doubt on it & refuse the medical treatment.