

Extra Credit: Prototype Search

The systems we chose are intermetallic binary compounds between rare-earth elements. Dshemuchadse *et al.*^[1] showed that statistically there are several prototype structures that are favored. We chose 12 of the most common binary solids in ICSD^[2]:

cP4-Cu ₃ Au	cF24-Cu ₂ Mg	hP12-MgZn ₂	hP6-CaCu ₅	oS8-TiI	oP16-Fe ₃ C
oP12-Co ₂ Si	oI12-KHg ₂	cP8-Cr ₃ Si	hP8-Mg ₃ Cd	cF8-NaCl	tP2-AuCu

And the elements we have are:

Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

For pseudopotential, we used Perdew-Zunger^[3] LDA calculated by Hartwigsen, Goedecker, and Hutter^[4] (*.pz-sp-hgh.UPF in PWSCF) to cover the whole lanthanides. We missed some of them in our bash script, so there are only part of lanthanides in our calculations.

By combining two different element and one structure, we perform a high-throughput computation of ~1000 calculations to get a rough understanding of possible structures, and computed again using higher precision to confirm our results.

In <http://oqmd.org/materials/composition/Ce-Pr-Nd-Sm-Eu-Ho-Er-Tm-Yb-Lu>^[5,6], we can find that only Yb and Eu are found to form compounds, $\Delta H_f(\text{YbEu}) = -0.009$ eV/atom. This indicates it is fair to calculate with only elements as competing phases. We can deal with Yb-Eu compounds we find competitive in a post process.

In both rough and fine calculations, elements and compounds are both calculated to get a comparable result. An example input file for a rough run is as follows:

```
&control
  calculation = 'vc-relax',
  restart_mode = 'from_scratch',
/
&system
  ecutwfc = 40.0,
  ecutrho = 160.0
  occupations = 'smearing'
  smearing = 'gaussian'
  degauss = 0.1
/
&electrons
  diagonalization='cg',
  mixing_mode = 'plain',
  mixing_beta = 0.7,
  conv_thr = 1.0d-4
/
&ions
  ion_dynamics='bfgs'
/
&cell
```

```

        cell_dynamics='bfgs'
/

```

And for a fine run:

```

&control
    calculation = 'vc-relax',
    restart_mode = 'from_scratch',
/
&system
    ecutwfc = 60.0,
    ecutrho = 240.0
    occupations = 'smearing'
    smearing = 'gaussian'
    degauss = 0.1
/
&electrons
    diagonalization='cg',
    mixing_mode = 'plain',
    mixing_beta = 0.7,
    conv_thr = 1.0d-6
/
&ions
    ion_dynamics='bfgs'
    trust_radius_min = 1.0d-2
/
&cell
    cell_dynamics='bfgs'
    cell_factor=4.0
/

```

K points are all generated by k_mesh.sh. For both rough and fine runs, KPPRA is set to 2000. The reason here is for a personal space there is only 80G available spaces. If submitting a series of 100 jobs with KPPRA=8000, disk would not be able to handle the output wavefunction files.

In the rough run, we calculated the ground state energy of each element (Ry/atom):

```

Ce  -77.2564527300000000000000
Pr  -92.9723561100000000000000
Nd  -110.4464896825000000000000
Pm  -129.9491901800000000000000
Sm  -151.6018133575000000000000
Eu  -175.0937591100000000000000
Gd  -201.3841274550000000000000
Tb  -229.4523357533333333333333
Dy  -260.6023638000000000000000
Ho  -293.5993150800000000000000
Er  -329.2807163050000000000000
Tm  -367.4550742250000000000000
Yb  -409.6936349400000000000000
Lu  -453.5517027075000000000000

```

Similarly, for compounds:

Ce	2	Pr	4	cF24-Cu2Mg	-525.96698460
Ce	4	Pr	8	cP4-Cu3Au	
Ce	4	Pr	8	hP12-MgZn2	
Ce	6	Pr	2	hP8-Mg3Cd	-649.36745848
Ce	8	Pr	4	oP12-Co2Si	-987.06620432
Ce	1	Pr	1	cF8-NaCl	-170.09522521
Ce	4	Pr	8	cP8-Cr3Si	-1052.31279648
Ce	1	Pr	5	hP6-CaCu5	-541.51589330
Ce	1	Pr	1	tP2-AuCu	-170.14663645
Ce	2	Nd	4	cF24-Cu2Mg	-596.19850498
Ce	3	Nd	1	cP4-Cu3Au	-341.97035832
Ce	4	Nd	8	hP12-MgZn2	-1192.25769563
Ce	6	Nd	2	hP8-Mg3Cd	-684.34245817
Ce	8	Nd	4	oP12-Co2Si	-1057.21571745
Ce	1	Nd	1	cF8-NaCl	-187.58211956
Ce	6	Nd	2	cP8-Cr3Si	-683.73664564
Ce	1	Nd	5	hP6-CaCu5	-629.29329679
Ce	1	Nd	1	tP2-AuCu	-187.64543503
Ce	2	Sm	4	cF24-Cu2Mg	-761.03988473
Ce	3	Sm	1	cP4-Cu3Au	-383.22471083
Ce	4	Sm	8	hP12-MgZn2	-1522.02646695
Ce	6	Sm	2	hP8-Mg3Cd	-766.82942767
Ce	8	Sm	4	oP12-Co2Si	-1222.13429011
Ce	1	Sm	1	cF8-NaCl	-228.84648565
Ce	6	Sm	2	cP8-Cr3Si	-766.26282751
Ce	1	Sm	5	hP6-CaCu5	-835.29293351
Ce	1	Sm	1	tP2-AuCu	-228.90113396
Ce	2	Eu	4	cF24-Cu2Mg	-854.84946085
.....					

"energy-prototype.out" 1230L, 59558C

By comparing the energy of elements and compounds, we get energy difference per atom:

$$E_{diff} = \frac{(n_{atom,1}E_{atom,1} + n_{atom,2}E_{atom,2}) - E_{compound}}{n_{atom,1} + n_{atom,2}}$$

All results for $E_{diff} > 0$ are as follows:

(1)	8: $E_{diff} = 0.024758002499993$	Lu1Ce1	Lu Ce tP2-AuCu
(2)	35: $E_{diff} = 0.022099375000039$	Lu1Sm1	Lu Sm tP2-AuCu
(3)	38: $E_{diff} = 0.098455179999746$	Lu4Eu8	Lu Eu hP12-MgZn2
(4)	43: $E_{diff} = 0.085035102499887$	Lu1Eu1	Lu Eu tP2-AuCu
(5)	77: $E_{diff} = 0.139037825000059$	Lu2Ho2	Lu Ho tP2-AuCu
(6)	110: $E_{diff} = 0.024901142499971$	Ce1Lu1	Ce Lu tP2-AuCu
(7)	129: $E_{diff} = 0.119725840000001$	Ce2Sm4	Ce Sm cF24-Cu2Mg
(8)	131: $E_{diff} = 0.186149169999908$	Ce4Sm8	Ce Sm hP12-MgZn2
(9)	132: $E_{diff} = 0.087084574999949$	Ce6Sm2	Ce Sm hP8-Mg3Cd
(10)	136: $E_{diff} = 0.027413992500101$	Ce1Sm5	Ce Sm hP6-CaCu5
(11)	137: $E_{diff} = 0.042867872500011$	Ce1Sm1	Ce Sm tP2-AuCu
(12)	140: $E_{diff} = 0.741974410000012$	Ce4Eu8	Ce Eu hP12-MgZn2
(13)	141: $E_{diff} = 0.232316220000030$	Ce6Eu2	Ce Eu hP8-Mg3Cd
(14)	143: $E_{diff} = 0.076146669999986$	Ce1Eu1	Ce Eu cF8-NaCl
(15)	146: $E_{diff} = 0.143063869999992$	Ce1Eu1	Ce Eu tP2-AuCu
(16)	150: $E_{diff} = 0.099434419999852$	Ce6Gd2	Ce Gd hP8-Mg3Cd
(17)	155: $E_{diff} = 0.053637325000011$	Ce1Gd1	Ce Gd tP2-AuCu
(18)	163: $E_{diff} = 0.019228786666645$	Ce1Tb1	Ce Tb tP2-AuCu
(19)	174: $E_{diff} = 0.029720859999998$	Ce6Ho2	Ce Ho hP8-Mg3Cd
(20)	179: $E_{diff} = 0.054468680000014$	Ce1Ho1	Ce Ho tP2-AuCu
(21)	184: $E_{diff} = 0.094610170000124$	Ce2Er4	Ce Er oP12-Co2Si
(22)	200: $E_{diff} = 0.075512079999953$	Ce6Yb2	Ce Yb hP8-Mg3Cd
(23)	229: $E_{diff} = 0.002181350000001$	Pr4Sm8	Pr Sm hP12-MgZn2
(24)	235: $E_{diff} = 0.030716732500025$	Pr1Sm1	Pr Sm tP2-AuCu
(25)	239: $E_{diff} = 0.065589459999956$	Pr6Eu2	Pr Eu hP8-Mg3Cd
(26)	244: $E_{diff} = 0.066492989999972$	Pr1Eu1	Pr Eu tP2-AuCu
(27)	251: $E_{diff} = 0.024382334999984$	Pr1Gd1	Pr Gd tP2-AuCu
(28)	336: $E_{diff} = 0.0049385099999874$	Nd6Sm2	Nd Sm hP8-Mg3Cd
(29)	345: $E_{diff} = 0.131326715000000$	Nd6Eu2	Nd Eu hP8-Mg3Cd
(30)	350: $E_{diff} = 0.090951797499997$	Nd1Eu1	Nd Eu tP2-AuCu
(31)	395: $E_{diff} = 0.020351392500004$	Nd1Er1	Nd Er tP2-AuCu
(32)	414: $E_{diff} = 0.014914769999905$	Sm6Lu2	Sm Lu hP8-Mg3Cd
(33)	419: $E_{diff} = 0.022055075000026$	Sm1Lu1	Sm Lu tP2-AuCu
(34)	423: $E_{diff} = 0.252355265000006$	Sm6Ce2	Sm Ce hP8-Mg3Cd
(35)	428: $E_{diff} = 0.042687232500015$	Sm1Ce1	Sm Ce tP2-AuCu
(36)	437: $E_{diff} = 0.030670082500023$	Sm1Pr1	Sm Pr tP2-AuCu
(37)	441: $E_{diff} = 0.188909630000126$	Sm6Nd2	Sm Nd hP8-Mg3Cd
(38)	448: $E_{diff} = 0.268498509999972$	Sm4Eu8	Sm Eu hP12-MgZn2
(39)	449: $E_{diff} = 0.266044464999823$	Sm6Eu2	Sm Eu hP8-Mg3Cd
(40)	452: $E_{diff} = 0.077759144999845$	Sm6Eu2	Sm Eu cP8-Cr3Si
(41)	454: $E_{diff} = 0.090553892499997$	Sm1Eu1	Sm Eu tP2-AuCu
(42)	458: $E_{diff} = 0.076877225000089$	Sm6Gd2	Sm Gd hP8-Mg3Cd
(43)	463: $E_{diff} = 0.001272747500025$	Sm1Gd1	Sm Gd tP2-AuCu
(44)	481: $E_{diff} = 0.002888032499982$	Sm1Dy1	Sm Dy tP2-AuCu
(45)	484: $E_{diff} = 0.012063905000105$	Sm6Ho2	Sm Ho hP8-Mg3Cd
(46)	489: $E_{diff} = 0.056043312500037$	Sm1Ho1	Sm Ho tP2-AuCu
(47)	493: $E_{diff} = 0.048799445000213$	Sm6Er2	Sm Er hP8-Mg3Cd
(48)	496: $E_{diff} = 0.084776147500008$	Sm1Er1	Sm Er tP2-AuCu
(49)	498: $E_{diff} = 0.142724645000044$	Sm6Tm2	Sm Tm hP8-Mg3Cd
(50)	502: $E_{diff} = 0.040772587500101$	Sm1Tm1	Sm Tm tP2-AuCu
(51)	511: $E_{diff} = 0.012723202499956$	Sm1Yb1	Sm Yb tP2-AuCu

(52)	515: E_diff = 0.419683925000072	Eu6Lu2	Eu Lu hP8-Mg3Cd
(53)	520: E_diff = 0.085058702499964	Eu1Lu1	Eu Lu tP2-AuCu
(54)	524: E_diff = 0.626276970000163	Eu6Ce2	Eu Ce hP8-Mg3Cd
(55)	526: E_diff = 0.076138709999981	Eu1Ce1	Eu Ce cF8-NaCl
(56)	527: E_diff = 0.319187240000019	Eu6Ce2	Eu Ce cP8-Cr3Si
(57)	529: E_diff = 0.143449609999976	Eu1Ce1	Eu Ce tP2-AuCu
(58)	533: E_diff = 0.482984870000109	Eu6Pr2	Eu Pr hP8-Mg3Cd
(59)	537: E_diff = 0.067151189999947	Eu1Pr1	Eu Pr tP2-AuCu
(60)	541: E_diff = 0.551298515000099	Eu6Nd2	Eu Nd hP8-Mg3Cd
(61)	544: E_diff = 0.288147214999981	Eu6Nd2	Eu Nd cP8-Cr3Si
(62)	546: E_diff = 0.090962367500026	Eu1Nd1	Eu Nd tP2-AuCu
(63)	553: E_diff = 0.223972915000104	Eu6Sm2	Eu Sm cP8-Cr3Si
(64)	555: E_diff = 0.090537652499961	Eu1Sm1	Eu Sm tP2-AuCu
(65)	559: E_diff = 0.430816470000082	Eu6Gd2	Eu Gd hP8-Mg3Cd
(66)	563: E_diff = 0.065043295000009	Eu1Gd1	Eu Gd tP2-AuCu
(67)	567: E_diff = 0.357192093333424	Eu6Tb2	Eu Tb hP8-Mg3Cd
(68)	572: E_diff = 0.054313956666647	Eu1Tb1	Eu Tb tP2-AuCu
(69)	579: E_diff = 0.049972930000195	Eu6Dy2	Eu Dy cP8-Cr3Si
(70)	581: E_diff = 0.096805600000039	Eu1Dy1	Eu Dy tP2-AuCu
(71)	585: E_diff = 0.568267520000063	Eu6Ho2	Eu Ho hP8-Mg3Cd
(72)	588: E_diff = 0.089863110000124	Eu6Ho2	Eu Ho cP8-Cr3Si
(73)	594: E_diff = 0.657118670000273	Eu6Er2	Eu Er hP8-Mg3Cd
(74)	597: E_diff = 0.064362840000285	Eu6Er2	Eu Er cP8-Cr3Si
(75)	599: E_diff = 0.169206224999982	Eu1Er1	Eu Er tP2-AuCu
(76)	603: E_diff = 0.570792790000041	Eu6Tm2	Eu Tm hP8-Mg3Cd
(77)	608: E_diff = 0.122976155000060	Eu1Tm1	Eu Tm tP2-AuCu
(78)	612: E_diff = 0.477125419999993	Eu6Yb2	Eu Yb hP8-Mg3Cd
(79)	617: E_diff = 0.091237910000018	Eu1Yb1	Eu Yb tP2-AuCu
(80)	621: E_diff = 0.064137705000121	Gd6Lu2	Gd Lu hP8-Mg3Cd
(81)	635: E_diff = 0.053165735000050	Gd1Ce1	Gd Ce tP2-AuCu
(82)	639: E_diff = 0.227575990000105	Gd6Pr2	Gd Pr hP8-Mg3Cd
(83)	644: E_diff = 0.024718014999962	Gd1Pr1	Gd Pr tP2-AuCu
(84)	662: E_diff = 0.001287917500065	Gd1Sm1	Gd Sm tP2-AuCu
(85)	665: E_diff = 0.245137890000024	Gd4Eu8	Gd Eu hP12-MgZn2
(86)	671: E_diff = 0.065026854999985	Gd1Eu1	Gd Eu tP2-AuCu
(87)	679: E_diff = 0.007435871666701	Gd1Tb1	Gd Tb tP2-AuCu
(88)	693: E_diff = 0.084491694999997	Gd1Ho1	Gd Ho tP2-AuCu
(89)	697: E_diff = 0.264057890000231	Gd6Er2	Gd Er hP8-Mg3Cd
(90)	702: E_diff = 0.094431240000063	Gd1Er1	Gd Er tP2-AuCu
(91)	706: E_diff = 0.215005020000035	Gd6Tm2	Gd Tm hP8-Mg3Cd
(92)	711: E_diff = 0.038172580000037	Gd1Tm1	Gd Tm tP2-AuCu
(93)	715: E_diff = 0.041180230000009	Gd6Yb2	Gd Yb hP8-Mg3Cd
(94)	765: E_diff = 0.002876282499983	Dy1Sm1	Dy Sm tP2-AuCu
(95)	768: E_diff = 0.316306379999787	Dy4Eu8	Dy Eu hP12-MgZn2
(96)	769: E_diff = 0.169740539999793	Dy6Eu2	Dy Eu hP8-Mg3Cd
(97)	774: E_diff = 0.096781899999996	Dy1Eu1	Dy Eu tP2-AuCu
(98)	778: E_diff = 0.039127280000002	Dy6Gd2	Dy Gd hP8-Mg3Cd
(99)	783: E_diff = 0.050076065000042	Dy1Gd1	Dy Gd tP2-AuCu
(100)	792: E_diff = 0.024162696666679	Dy1Tb1	Dy Tb tP2-AuCu
(101)	842: E_diff = 0.055603670000039	Ho1Ce1	Ho Ce tP2-AuCu
(102)	863: E_diff = 0.011687064999933	Ho6Sm2	Ho Sm hP8-Mg3Cd
(103)	871: E_diff = 0.444605690000117	Ho4Eu8	Ho Eu hP12-MgZn2
(104)	872: E_diff = 0.228622589999759	Ho6Eu2	Ho Eu hP8-Mg3Cd

(105)	877: E_diff = 0.149064319999979	Ho1Eu1	Ho Eu tP2-AuCu
(106)	881: E_diff = 0.030356119999851	Ho6Gd2	Ho Gd hP8-Mg3Cd
(107)	886: E_diff = 0.084478755000021	Ho1Gd1	Ho Gd tP2-AuCu
(108)	962: E_diff = 0.020337982499996	Er1Nd1	Er Nd tP2-AuCu
(109)	973: E_diff = 0.497898779999559	Er4Eu8	Er Eu hP12-MgZn2
(110)	979: E_diff = 0.169205014999989	Er1Eu1	Er Eu tP2-AuCu
(111)	985: E_diff = 0.004614270000047	Er1Gd1	Er Gd cF8-NaCl
(112)	988: E_diff = 0.094476540000073	Er1Gd1	Er Gd tP2-AuCu
(113)	997: E_diff = 0.018214591666720	Er1Tb1	Er Tb tP2-AuCu
(114)	1070: E_diff = 0.040793987500024	Tm1Sm1	Tm Sm tP2-AuCu
(115)	1073: E_diff = 0.293357240000205	Tm4Eu8	Tm Eu hP12-MgZn2
(116)	1161: E_diff = 0.312951334999980	Yb2Nd2	Yb Nd tP2-AuCu
(117)	1173: E_diff = 0.082106890000432	Yb4Eu8	Yb Eu hP12-MgZn2
(118)	1179: E_diff = 0.091183440000009	Yb1Eu1	Yb Eu tP2-AuCu

We then proceeded to finer calculation conditions to confirm the above results.

For $ecutwfc = 60.0$, $ecutrho = 240.0$, some calculations of elements are unable to finish, with the error message which would not happen with the rough input specification:

```
from efermig : error #          1
internal error, cannot bracket Ef
```

Nonetheless, we can at least go with the elements with confirmed message of JOB DONE.

The result we get for single elements are:

```
Ce -77.2722783300000000000000
Pr -92.9500725775000000000000
Nd -110.4361186125000000000000
Pm -130.0629904725000000000000
Sm -151.6598160125000000000000
Eu -176.6617025300000000000000
Gd -9999
Tb -9999
Dy -9999
Ho -288.7310828500000000000000
Er -323.3746351450000000000000
Tm -361.1742997250000000000000
Yb -406.2741650200000000000000
Lu -456.5445040225000000000000
```

Set total energy of element to -9999 make those elements unbeatable, to make sure our result are correct. Though they may not be the most result we could get.

Up to now, our calculations have given confirmed results of the below structures that are below the elements. Indeed, there are some duplicates, as well as the competing phase of YbEu (B2) existing on OQMD^[5,6]. Excluding all them, we have:

(1)	5: E_diff = 1.262996823749972	Lu1Ho1	Lu Ho tP2-AuCu
(2)	7: E_diff = 0.028232915000009	Ce2Sm4	Ce Sm eF24-Cu2Mg
(3)	8: E_diff = 0.030177731666678	Ce4Sm8	Ce Sm hP12-MgZn2
(4)	9: E_diff = 0.010183260624984	Ce6Sm2	Ce Sm hP8-Mg3Cd
(5)	10: E_diff = 0.004699776250031	Ce1Sm5	Ce Sm hP6-CaCu5
(6)	11: E_diff = 0.026263803749998	Ce1Sm1	Ce Sm tP2-AuCu
(7)	19: E_diff = 1.304697773749979	Ce6Ho2	Ce Ho hP8-Mg3Cd
(8)	20: E_diff = 2.574789114999987	Ce1Ho1	Ce Ho tP2-AuCu
(9)	21: E_diff = 2.056441747499984	Ce8Er4	Ce Er oP12-Co2Si
(10)	22: E_diff = 0.976523171249994	Ce6Yb2	Ce Yb hP8-Mg3Cd
(11)	23: E_diff = 0.026721835000008	Pr4Sm8	Pr Sm hP12-MgZn2
(12)	24: E_diff = 0.034201470000014	Pr1Sm1	Pr Sm tP2-AuCu
(13)	28: E_diff = 0.055524212500004	Nd6Sm2	Nd Sm hP8-Mg3Cd
(14)	30: E_diff = 3.123027816249987	Nd1Er1	Nd Er tP2-AuCu
(15)	32: E_diff = 0.026266313750000	Sm1Ce1	Sm Ce tP2-AuCu
(16)	33: E_diff = 0.034200920000018	Sm1Pr1	Sm Pr tP2-AuCu
(17)	39: E_diff = 2.561592693750015	Sm1Ho1	Sm Ho tP2-AuCu
(18)	40: E_diff = 3.119075301249978	Sm1Er1	Sm Er tP2-AuCu
(19)	41: E_diff = 3.309266736249981	Sm1Tm1	Sm Tm tP2-AuCu

(20)	42: E_diff = 1.867669228750003	Sm1Yb1	Sm Yb tP2-AuCu
(21)	57: E_diff = 0.207405843749967	Eu6Ho2	Eu Ho cP8-Cr3Si
(22)	58: E_diff = 0.485146618749980	Eu6Er2	Eu Er cP8-Cr3Si
(23)	59: E_diff = 2.424655897499974	Eu1Er1	Eu Er tP2-AuCu
(24)	60: E_diff = 2.612227857499988	Eu1Tm1	Eu Tm tP2-AuCu
(25)	61: E_diff = 1.167773300000022	Eu1Yb1	Eu Yb tP2-AuCu
(26)	76: E_diff = 2.574825314999998	Ho1Ce1	Ho Ce tP2-AuCu
(27)	77: E_diff = 3.849487016875031	Ho6Sm2	Ho Sm hP8-Mg3Cd
(28)	78: E_diff = 0.779497545833313	Ho4Eu8	Ho Eu hP12-MgZn2
(29)	79: E_diff = 3.508098486249992	Ho6Eu2	Ho Eu hP8-Mg3Cd
(30)	80: E_diff = 1.873103444999998	Ho1Eu1	Ho Eu tP2-AuCu
(31)	82: E_diff = 3.123025931249970	Er1Nd1	Er Nd tP2-AuCu
(32)	83: E_diff = 1.151460360833312	Er4Eu8	Er Eu hP12-MgZn2
(33)	84: E_diff = 2.424658102499990	Er1Eu1	Er Eu tP2-AuCu
(34)	88: E_diff = 3.309264716249970	Tm1Sm1	Tm Sm tP2-AuCu
(35)	89: E_diff = 1.278974336666655	Tm4Eu8	Tm Eu hP12-MgZn2
(36)	90: E_diff = 1.893020273749983	Yb1Nd1	Yb Nd tP2-AuCu
(37)	91: E_diff = 0.309837560000005	Yb4Eu8	Yb Eu hP12-MgZn2
(38)	92: E_diff = 1.167774604999977	Yb1Eu1	Yb Eu tP2-AuCu

There are 7 of them that are excluded, leaving at least **31** prototype search results that have beaten the current results in OQMD^[5,6], according to the current selection of pseudopotential, K points, cutoff energy, etc. The above results have been confirmed by JOB DONE in both elements and compounds, so we believe they are sound results. For raw calculation data, please visit our GitHub page: https://github.com/PureHyd/MSE458_Final.

Reference:

- [1] J. Dshemuchadse and W. Steurer, *Inorganic Chemistry* **54**, 1120 (2014).
- [2] G. Bergerhoff, R. Hundt, R. Sievers, and I. D. Brown, *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **66**, 23 (1983).
- [3] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, *Physical Review B* **23**, 5048 (1981).
- [4] C. Hartwigsen, S. Goedecker, and J. Hutter, *Physical Review B* **58**, 3641 (1998).
- [5] J. E. Saal, S. Kirklin, M. Aykol, B. Meredig, and C. Wolverton, *Jom* **65**, 1501 (2013).
- [6] S. Kirklin, J. E. Saal, B. Meredig, A. Thompson, J. W. Doak, M. Aykol, S. Rühl, and C. Wolverton, *Npj Computational Materials* **1**, (2015).