

EE32009

Coursework: Automated Feedback

Submission downloaded 03/11/2025 at 14:14.

Feedback generated on Monday 3rd November, 2025 at 14:15.

Joshua Poole

University of Bath
Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering

Formative Feedback Only

All feedback contained within this document is purely indicative of your current solutions performance. Final grades for this assignment will consider a range of additional factors when producing a mark, meaning there may be some slight change between the performance seen here and your final grades. This feedback is intended to provide you with some insight into the performance of your approach prior to submission, allowing you to further improve your solution as the semester progresses.

1 Dataset D2

1.1 General Data Properties

Table 1: Properties of the provided dataset, D2, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	60 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 2: The D2.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 5002)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 5002)

1.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 3: Index identification performance for dataset D2, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.696721
Recall	0.874749

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	330	16	343	19	18
	2	61	250	347	24	20
	3	4	9	572	23	17
	4	1	8	83	579	27
	5	5	6	16	203	504

Figure 1: Confusion matrix for the dataset D2 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

2 Dataset D3

2.1 General Data Properties

Table 4: Properties of the provided dataset, D3, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	40 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 5: The D3.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 14314)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 14314)

2.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 6: Index identification performance for dataset D3, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.210284
Recall	0.904175

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	37	35	456	39	30
	2	18	107	463	38	32
	3	3	4	410	124	13
	4	1	5	55	524	31
	5	0	3	57	130	395

Figure 2: Confusion matrix for the dataset D3 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

3 Dataset D4

3.1 General Data Properties

Table 7: Properties of the provided dataset, D4, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	20 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 8: The D4.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 42804)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 42804)

3.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 9: Index identification performance for dataset D4, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.06976
Recall	0.985153

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	0	17	225	312	67
	2	1	3	233	251	108
	3	0	0	166	406	18
	4	0	0	54	426	127
	5	0	3	6	182	381

Figure 3: Confusion matrix for the dataset D4 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

4 Dataset D5

4.1 General Data Properties

Table 10: Properties of the provided dataset, D5, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	0 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 11: The D5.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 81480)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 81480)

4.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 12: Index identification performance for dataset D5, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.031676
Recall	0.999613

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	0	4	21	133	328
	2	0	2	23	92	408
	3	0	5	32	229	273
	4	0	11	8	133	364
	5	0	0	4	79	432

Figure 4: Confusion matrix for the dataset D5 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.

5 Dataset D6

5.1 General Data Properties

Table 13: Properties of the provided dataset, D6, provided for reference. These may also be found in the coursework specification.

Property	Value
SNR (Approx.)	<0 dB
Num. of Classes	5
Classification Status	Unlabelled
Sampling Rate	25 kHz

Table 14: The D6.mat submission file content metadata. As outlined in the coursework specification, this should include an Index and Class vector. If you have labelled every identified pulse, you should expect the number of class entries to match the number of index entries in your submission data.

Name	Data Type	Data Shape
Index	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 96220)
Class	<class ‘numpy.ndarray’>	(1, 96220)

5.2 Submission Performance

The index identification accuracy (i.e. how well you identified where pulses were in the data) was first assessed before class identification was considered. This yielded the following metrics:

Table 15: Index identification performance for dataset D6, reported as precision and recall. In both cases, a value closer to 1.0 is better.

Metric	Value
Precision	0.040636
Recall	0.999744

The class identification was then considered, resulting in the following confusion matrix. Please note, any missed pulses will not be present in this result - so while it may look good, you will also need to consider the results found above in the index performance table.

		Predicted Class				
		1	2	3	4	5
Actual Class	1	0	27	8	80	655
	2	0	7	4	62	693
	3	0	61	6	110	594
	4	0	69	2	85	661
	5	0	19	1	58	708

Figure 5: Confusion matrix for the dataset D6 submission file. A good result should have most values sitting along the lead diagonal. The raw count has been used here instead of percentage to provide you with additional insight.