# Information Theory Practice Sets 3 and 4

## Lakshmi Prasad Natarajan

### Solutions are not to be returned

#### Warm-up exercise.

- Recall the formulae and description of
  - data processing inequality
  - definition of sufficient statistics
  - Fisher factorization theorem
  - convergence of a sequence of numbers
  - convergence in probability
  - weak law of large numbers
- Note that if  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of numbers with the limit a, and if f(x) is any function continuous at a, then the sequence  $f(a_1), f(a_2), \ldots$  converges to f(a).

## **Practice Problems**

#### Practice Set 3

- From Cover & Thomas, Chapter 2:
  2.6, 2.15, 2.21, 2.23, 2.28 (only the first part of the question), 2.31, 2.39.
- 2. In the following scenarios,  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  where  $X_i$  are i.i.d with a distribution that is dependent on a parameter  $\theta$ . Also, T = f(X) is a function of X. You must show that T is a sufficient statistic of X for  $\theta$ .
  - (a)  $X_i$  are uniformly distributed in the interval  $[-\theta, +\theta]$ , and  $t = (t_1, t_2) = f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\min_i x_i, \max_i x_i)$ .
  - (b)  $X_i$  are Gaussian with mean  $\theta$  and variance 1, and  $t = \sum_i x_i$ . Is  $t = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{n}$  a sufficient statistic?
  - (c)  $X_i$  are Gaussian with mean 0 and variance  $\theta$ , and  $t = \sum_i x_i^2$ .
- 3. Suppose T is a sufficient statistic of X for  $\theta$ , and g is an invertible function. Is it true that g(T) is also a sufficient statistic of X for  $\theta$ ?

#### Practice Set 4

- 1. Cover & Thomas, Chapter 3: 3.2, 3.6 (use the fact that log is a continuous function), 3.8, 3.9.
- 2. Suppose  $|\mathcal{X}| < \infty$  and let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d on  $\mathcal{X}$  with the probability mass function  $p_X(x), x \in \mathcal{X}$ .
  - (a) Let  $a \in \mathcal{X}$ . Use the weak law of large numbers to show that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{1}\left\{X_{i}=a\right\} \xrightarrow{p} p_{X}(a),$$

where 1 is the indicator function.

(b) We now want to show that when n is large, with high probability, every element  $a \in \mathcal{X}$  occurs approximately  $p_X(a)$  times in the sequence  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . That is, show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P \left[ \bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \left\{ X_i = a \right\} - p_X(a) \right| \le \epsilon \right\} \right] = 1$$

Hint: You may want to use the union bound on probability  $P[A \cup B] \leq P[A] + P[B]$ .

3. Convergence in the mean square sense. We say that random variables  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots$  converge to a random variable Z in the mean square sense if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[|Z_n - Z|^2\right] = 0.$$

This is denoted as  $Z_n \xrightarrow{m.s.} Z$ .

Show that if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d with finite mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \xrightarrow{m.s.} \mu.$$