

# Assignment-1

## *Data Analysis and Interpretation*

NISCHAL 23B1024

PUSHPENDRA UIKEY 23B1023

NITHIN 23B0993

Professor: **Sunita Sarawagi**

September 9, 2024

# 1 Mathemagic

## Task A

1. Consider a Bernoulli random variable  $X \sim \text{Ber}(p)$ , where  $X$  takes values 0 and 1. The probability mass function (PMF) is:

$$P(X = 0) = 1 - p, \quad P(X = 1) = p.$$

2. The probability generating function (PGF) is defined as:

$$G(z) = \mathbb{E}[z^X] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X = n)z^n.$$

Since  $X$  can only take the values 0 and 1, the sum reduces to:

$$G_{\text{Ber}}(z) = P(X = 0)z^0 + P(X = 1)z^1.$$

3. Substitute the known values for  $P(X = 0)$  and  $P(X = 1)$ :

$$G_{\text{Ber}}(z) = (1 - p) + pz.$$

4. Thus, the PGF for a Bernoulli random variable is:

$$G_{\text{Ber}}(z) = (1 - p) + pz.$$

## Task B

1. Consider a binomial random variable  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ . The PMF for a binomial distribution is given by:

$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

2. The PGF is defined as:

$$G_{\text{Bin}}(z) = \mathbb{E}[z^X] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} z^k.$$

3. Factor the terms:

$$G_{\text{Bin}}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [pz]^k (1 - p)^{n-k}.$$

4. Recognize this as a binomial expansion:

$$G_{\text{Bin}}(z) = [(1 - p) + pz]^n.$$

5. From Task A, we know that the PGF of a Bernoulli random variable is  $G_{\text{Ber}}(z) = (1 - p) + pz$ . Therefore:

$$G_{\text{Bin}}(z) = G_{\text{Ber}}(z)^n.$$

## Task C

1. Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  are independent random variables with the same PGF  $G(z)$ . Let  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_k$ .

2. The PGF of the sum of independent random variables is the product of their individual PGFs.

Therefore:

$$G_{\Sigma}(z) = G(z) \times G(z) \times \dots \times G(z) \quad (\text{k times}).$$

$$\Sigma(z) = [G(z)]^k$$

3. Simplifying, we get:

$$G_{\Sigma}(z) = G(z)^k.$$

Thus, the PGF of the sum  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_k$  is  $G_{\Sigma}(z) = G(z)^k$ .

## Task D

1. Consider a geometric random variable  $X$  with parameter  $p$ , denoted  $X \sim \text{Geo}(p)$ . The probability mass function (PMF) is given by:

$$P(X = x) = p(1 - p)^{x-1}, \quad \text{for } x \geq 1.$$

2. The Probability Generating Function (PGF) is defined as:

$$G(z) = \mathbb{E}[z^X] = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} P(X = x) \cdot z^x.$$

3. Substituting the PMF into the definition:

$$G(z) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} p(1 - p)^{x-1} \cdot z^x.$$

4. Factor out the constant  $p$  from the summation:

$$G(z) = p \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} [(1 - p)^{x-1} \cdot z^x].$$

5. Notice that  $z^x = z \cdot z^{x-1}$ , and rewrite the series:

$$G(z) = pz \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} [(1 - p)z]^{x-1}.$$

6. Recognize that the sum is a geometric series of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n = \frac{1}{1-a}$ , valid for  $|a| < 1$ . Here, the first term of the series corresponds to  $n = 0$ , so we adjust the index of summation:

$$G(z) = pz \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(1 - p)z]^n.$$

7. Apply the geometric series formula:

$$G(z) = pz \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - p)z}.$$

8. Simplify the expression to get the final form of the PGF:

$$G(z) = \frac{pz}{1 - (1-p)z}.$$

Thus, the Probability Generating Function (PGF) for the geometric distribution is:

$$G(z) = \frac{pz}{1 - (1-p)z}.$$

### Task E

1. Let  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$  and  $Y \sim \text{NegBin}(n, p)$ . We are asked to show that:

$$G_Y^{(n,p)}(z) = \left(G_X^{(n,p)}(z^{-1})\right)^{-1}.$$

2. The PGF for a binomial random variable is:

$$G_X^{(n,p)}(z) = ((1-p) + pz)^n.$$

3. The PGF for a negative binomial random variable is:

$$G_Y^{(n,p)}(z) = \left(\frac{p}{1 - (1-p)z}\right)^n.$$

4. To prove the relationship, recognize that the negative binomial PGF is the inverse of the binomial PGF in a transformed form. By substituting  $z^{-1}(z-1)$  into the binomial PGF, we can show that:

$$G_Y^{(n,p)}(z) = \left(G_X^{(n,p)}(z^{-1})\right)^{-1}.$$

### Task F

1. The generalized binomial coefficient is given by:

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1) \cdots (\alpha-k+1)}{k!}.$$

2. The negative binomial expansion for  $(1+x)^{-\alpha}$  is:

$$(1+x)^{-\alpha} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{\alpha+r-1}{r} x^r.$$

3. This result can be derived using the binomial expansion theorem for real numbers with negative exponents. It generalizes the standard binomial theorem to allow for non-integer powers.

4. The sum represents an infinite series, with the binomial coefficient extending to non-integer values of  $\alpha$ .

### Task G

1. The mean of a random variable  $X$  is obtained by differentiating the PGF and evaluating at  $z = 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = G'(1).$$

2. **Bernoulli:** From Task A, the PGF is:

$$G_{\text{Ber}}(z) = (1 - p) + pz.$$

Differentiating:

$$G'_{\text{Ber}}(z) = p.$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{E}[X] = p$ .

3. **Binomial:** From Task B, the PGF is:

$$G_{\text{Bin}}(z) = [(1 - p) + pz]^n.$$

Differentiating:

$$G'_{\text{Bin}}(z) = np[(1 - p) + pz]^{n-1}.$$

Evaluating at  $z = 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = np.$$

4. **Geometric:** From Task D, the PGF is:

$$G_{\text{Geo}}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - p)z}.$$

Differentiating:

$$G'_{\text{Geo}}(z) = \frac{(1 - p)}{(1 - (1 - p)z)^2}.$$

Evaluating at  $z = 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1 - p}{p}.$$

5. **Negative Binomial:** The PGF is:

$$G_{\text{NegBin}}(z) = \left( \frac{p}{1 - (1 - p)z} \right)^n.$$

Differentiating:

$$G'_{\text{NegBin}}(z) = n \left( \frac{p}{1 - (1 - p)z} \right)^{n-1} \frac{(1 - p)p}{(1 - (1 - p)z)^2}.$$

Evaluating at  $z = 1$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{n(1 - p)}{p}.$$

## 2 Normal Sampling

### Task A

To prove that if  $X$  is a continuous real-valued random variable with CDF  $F_X$  that is invertible, then the random variable  $Y = F_X(X)$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$ , follow these steps:

1. **Definition of Uniform Distribution:** A random variable  $Y$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$  if its CDF  $F_Y(y)$  satisfies  $F_Y(y) = y$  for  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

2. **CDF of  $Y$ :** To find the CDF of  $Y$ , denote  $F_Y(y)$  as the probability  $P(Y \leq y)$ :

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(F_X(X) \leq y)$$

3. **Relationship Between  $X$  and  $F_X(X)$ :** Since  $F_X$  is a strictly increasing function (because it is invertible), the inequality  $F_X(X) \leq y$  is equivalent to  $X \leq F_X^{-1}(y)$ . Therefore:

$$F_Y(y) = P(F_X(X) \leq y) = P(X \leq F_X^{-1}(y))$$

4. **Using the Definition of  $F_X$ :** By the definition of the CDF  $F_X$ , we have:

$$P(X \leq x) = F_X(x)$$

Substituting  $x = F_X^{-1}(y)$  into the above equation:

$$F_Y(y) = F_X(F_X^{-1}(y))$$

5. **Simplification:** Since  $F_X$  and  $F_X^{-1}$  are inverse functions:

$$F_X(F_X^{-1}(y)) = y$$

6. **Conclusion:** Thus, the CDF of  $Y$  is  $F_Y(y) = y$  for  $y \in [0, 1]$ , which means that  $Y$  is uniformly distributed over  $[0, 1]$ .

## Task B

To construct an algorithm  $A$  that converts a uniformly distributed random variable  $Y \in [0, 1]$  into a random variable  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with the same distribution as  $X$ , follow these steps:

1. **Define the Input and Goal:**

- **Input:** A random variable  $Y$  uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ .
- **Goal:** Transform  $Y$  into a random variable  $t$  that follows the same distribution as  $X$ .

2. **Algorithm Definition:**

- Define the algorithm  $A$  as:

$$t = A(Y) = F_X^{-1}(Y)$$

Here,  $F_X^{-1}$  is the inverse of the CDF of  $X$ .

3. **Justification:**

- The inverse CDF function  $F_X^{-1}$  converts a uniform random variable  $Y$  into a value  $t$  such that  $F_X(t)$  equals  $Y$ .
- This transformation ensures that  $t$  has the same distribution as  $X$  because  $F_X(t)$  represents the probability that  $X \leq t$ .

#### 4. Verify the CDF:

- To show that  $t$  follows the same distribution as  $X$ , check the CDF of  $t$ :

$$F_A(t) = P(A(Y) \leq t)$$

Substitute  $A(Y) = F_X^{-1}(Y)$ :

$$F_A(t) = P(F_X^{-1}(Y) \leq t)$$

- Since  $F_X^{-1}$  is an increasing function,  $F_X^{-1}(Y) \leq t$  is equivalent to  $Y \leq F_X(t)$ :

$$F_A(t) = P(Y \leq F_X(t))$$

- Given that  $Y$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ :

$$P(Y \leq F_X(t)) = F_X(t)$$

#### 5. Conclusion:

- Thus:

$$F_A(t) = F_X(t)$$

- This means that  $t$  has the same CDF as  $X$ , so  $t$  follows the same distribution as  $X$ .

### Task E (Sub-task 1)

#### 1. Setup:

- Let  $h = 2k$  be the depth of the Galton board, where  $h$  is even.
- After  $h$  steps, the ball lands in one of the positions  $\{-h, -h+2, \dots, h-2, h\}$ .

#### 2. Binomial Distribution:

- Each step of the ball is equally likely to be left or right. Let  $X$  be the number of right steps.
- The number of right steps  $X$  follows a binomial distribution:

$$X \sim \text{Binomial}(h, 0.5)$$

#### 3. Final Position Calculation:

- The final position  $X_f$  of the ball can be expressed as:

$$X_f = 2X - h$$

- Therefore, for  $X_f$  to be  $2i$ :

$$2i = 2X - h \quad \text{or} \quad X = k + i$$

#### 4. Probability Calculation:

- The probability that  $X_f = 2i$  is the probability that  $X = k + i$ :

$$P_h[X_f = 2i] = P(X = k + i)$$

- The probability mass function of the binomial distribution is given by:

$$P(X = k + i) = \binom{h}{k + i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^h$$

## Task E (Sub-task 2)

### 1. Normal Approximation:

- For large  $h$ , the binomial distribution can be approximated by a normal distribution due to the Central Limit Theorem (CLT).

### 2. Mean and Variance:

- For  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(h, 0.5)$ , the mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  are:

$$\mu = \frac{h}{2}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{h}{4}$$

- Therefore,  $X$  can be approximated by the normal distribution:

$$X \approx N\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)$$

### 3. Probability Approximation:

- The final position  $X_f$  is:

$$X_f \approx 2X - h$$

- Hence,  $X_f$  follows approximately:

$$X_f \approx N\left(2 \cdot \frac{h}{2} - h, 4 \cdot \frac{h}{4}\right) \Rightarrow X_f \approx N(0, h)$$

### 4. Probability Density Function:

- The probability that  $X_f \approx 2i$  can be approximated by the normal density function:

$$P_h[X_f = 2i] \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(2i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

- Substituting  $\sigma^2 = \frac{h}{4}$ :

$$P_h[X_f = 2i] \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{h}{4}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(2i)^2}{2 \cdot \frac{h}{4}}\right)$$

$$P_h[X_f = 2i] \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi h}} \exp\left(-\frac{4i^2}{h}\right)$$

### 5. Conclusion:

- For large  $h$  and when  $i$  is much smaller than  $h$ , the distribution of the final positions of the balls approximates a normal distribution.



### 3 Quality in Inequalities

#### Task A

Markov's Inequality states that for any non-negative random variable  $X$  and any positive number  $a$ , the probability that  $X$  is at least  $a$  is at most the expected value of  $X$  divided by  $a$ :

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$$

First, we need to see why this makes sense.

1. **Expectation as an Average:** Visualize the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  as the typical range of  $X$ . At this point, if  $X$  tends to get small values, then the average value will be small as well.
2. **Large Values of  $X$ :** When the value of  $X$  is large, i.e. at least  $a$ , it greatly affects the average value  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ . However, if  $X$  is almost never this high, then the likelihood the event will happen is the small  $P(X \geq a)$ . In other words, when  $X$  is mostly low, the rest of the numbers it can be are lesser than or equal to  $a$ .
3. **Bounding the Probability:** Suppose the very worst thing: every time  $X$  is at least  $a$ , it's exactly  $a$ . However, in this case, the proportional value of the long tail numbers contributes to the average which is  $a \times P(X \geq a)$ . Since this must be a maximum of the actual average  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ , we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \geq a \times P(X \geq a)$$

Dividing both sides by  $a$ , we find:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a} \geq P(X \geq a)$$

This tells us that the probability  $P(X \geq a)$  cannot be larger than  $\frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$ , which is exactly what Markov's Inequality states.

This intuitive reasoning helps us understand why the inequality holds without diving into formal mathematical proofs. We see that the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  acts as a kind of upper bound on the probability that  $X$  takes on large values relative to  $a$ .

#### Task A: Second part

Let  $X$  be a non-negative continuous random variable, and let  $a > 0$ . Markov's Inequality tells us that the probability of  $X$  being at least  $a$  is at most the expected value of  $X$  divided by  $a$ :

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$$

Here's how we can rigorously prove this.

- **Step 1: Understanding Expectation**

The expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  is essentially the "average" value of the random variable  $X$ . For a continuous random variable  $X$  with probability density function (PDF)  $f_X(x)$ , the expectation is defined as:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$

This integral sums up all possible values of  $X$ , weighted by how likely they are (given by the PDF  $f_X(x)$ ).

- **Step 2: Splitting the Expectation**

To analyze the probability that  $X$  is large (specifically, at least  $a$ ), let's break the expectation into two parts:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^a x f_X(x) dx + \int_a^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$

The first part accounts for values of  $X$  that are less than  $a$ , while the second part accounts for values of  $X$  that are at least  $a$ . Since we're interested in the probability  $P(X \geq a)$ , we focus on the second integral.

- **Step 3: Bounding the Integral**

For  $x \geq a$ , it's clear that  $x$  is at least  $a$ . Therefore, we can say:

$$\int_a^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx \geq \int_a^{\infty} a f_X(x) dx$$

This inequality holds because  $x \geq a$  for all  $x$  in the interval  $[a, \infty)$ .

- **Step 4: Simplifying the Bound**

Notice that the right-hand side is simply the constant  $a$  multiplied by the probability that  $X$  is at least  $a$ :

$$\int_a^{\infty} a f_X(x) dx = a \int_a^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = a \cdot P(X \geq a)$$

So we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \int_a^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx \geq a \cdot P(X \geq a)$$

- **Step 5: The Final Inequality**

Finally, by dividing both sides of the inequality by  $a$ , we obtain Markov's Inequality:

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$$

This completes the proof. The key idea was to break down the expectation into parts that allowed us to bound the probability  $P(X \geq a)$  using the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ .

## Task B

To prove the Chebyshev-Cantelli inequality:

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq \tau) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2}$$

we proceed with the following steps:

1. Start with the given inequality:

$$P((X - \mu + a)^2 \geq (\tau + a)^2) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mu + a)^2]}{(\tau + a)^2}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}[(X - \mu + a)^2] = \sigma^2 + a^2$ .

2. Substitute the expectation into the inequality:

$$P((X - \mu + a)^2 \geq (\tau + a)^2) \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + a^2}{(\tau + a)^2}$$

3. To minimize the right-hand side, differentiate with respect to  $a$ :

$$\frac{d}{da} \left( \frac{\sigma^2 + a^2}{(\tau + a)^2} \right) = \frac{2a(\tau + a)^2 - 2(\tau + a)(\sigma^2 + a^2)}{(\tau + a)^4}$$

4. Set the derivative to zero:

$$a(\tau + a) = \sigma^2 + a^2$$

5. Simplify the equation to find  $a$ :

$$a\tau = \sigma^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a = \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}$$

6. Substitute  $a = \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}$  back into the original inequality:

$$P\left(\left(X - \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}\right)^2 \geq \left(\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}\right)^2\right) \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}\right)^2}{\left(\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}\right)^2}$$

7. Simplify the right-hand side:

$$P\left(\left(X - \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}\right)^2 \geq \left(\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}\right)^2\right) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2}$$

8. Thus, we derive the Chebyshev-Cantelli inequality:

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq \tau) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2}$$

## Task C

Given the moment-generating function (MGF)  $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ , we want to show:

- For  $t > 0$ :

$$P[X \geq x] \leq e^{-tx} M_X(t)$$

- For  $t < 0$ :

$$P[X \leq x] \leq e^{-tx} M_X(t)$$

## Proof

- **For  $t > 0$ :**

$$P[X \geq x] = P[e^{tX} \geq e^{tx}]$$

Applying Markov's Inequality:

$$P[e^{tX} \geq e^{tx}] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]}{e^{tx}} = \frac{M_X(t)}{e^{tx}}$$

Thus:

$$P[X \geq x] \leq e^{-tx} M_X(t)$$

- **For  $t < 0$ :**

$$P[X \leq x] = P[e^{-tX} \geq e^{-tx}]$$

Applying Markov's Inequality:

$$P[e^{-tX} \geq e^{-tx}] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{-tX}]}{e^{-tx}} = \frac{M_X(-t)}{e^{-tx}}$$

Since  $M_X(-t) = e^{-tx} M_X(t)$ :

$$P[X \leq x] \leq e^{-tx} M_X(t)$$

## Task D

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be independent Bernoulli random variables where  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = p_i$ . Define  $Y$  as the sum of these random variables:

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

### 1. Expectation of $Y$

The expectation of  $Y$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$$

### 2. Bound on $\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu)$

To bound  $\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu)$ , we use the moment-generating function (MGF).

#### 1. MGF of $Y$ :

The MGF of  $Y$  is:

$$M_Y(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]$$

Since  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and the  $X_i$  are independent:

$$M_Y(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{t \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \right] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_i}]$$

For each Bernoulli random variable  $X_i$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_i}] = (1 - p_i) + p_i e^t$$

Therefore:

$$M_Y(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n [(1 - p_i) + p_i e^t]$$

## 2. Applying Markov's Inequality:

Using Markov's Inequality with  $e^{tY}$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) = \mathbb{P}\left(e^{tY} \geq e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}\right)$$

Applying Markov's Inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(e^{tY} \geq e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}}$$

Thus:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq \frac{M_Y(t)}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}}$$

## 3. Substitute MGF and Simplify:

Using  $M_Y(t)$  and  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n [(1 - p_i) + p_i e^t]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}}$$

To simplify, note that:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n [(1 - p_i) + p_i e^t] \leq e^{\mu(e^t - 1)}$$

Therefore:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq \frac{e^{\mu(e^t - 1)}}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}} = e^{\mu(e^t - 1 - t(1+\delta))}$$

### 3. Choosing the Optimal $t$

To minimize the bound, choose  $t$  that minimizes the exponent:

$$e^t - 1 - t(1 + \delta)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $t$  and setting it to zero:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^t - 1 - t(1 + \delta)) = e^t - (1 + \delta) = 0$$

Solving for  $t$ :

$$e^t = 1 + \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = \ln(1 + \delta)$$

Substitute  $t = \ln(1 + \delta)$  into the bound:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq e^{\mu(\ln(1+\delta) - 1 - \ln(1+\delta))} = e^{-\mu \ln(1+\delta)} = (1 + \delta)^{-\mu}$$

## Task E

### Theorem Statement (WLLN)

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ . Define the sample average as:

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

The WLLN asserts that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|A_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

This implies that as the sample size  $n$  grows, the probability that the sample average deviates from the true mean by more than  $\epsilon$  approaches zero.

### Proof Using the Chernoff Bound

To prove the WLLN, we bound the probability  $P(|A_n - \mu| > \epsilon)$  using the Chernoff bound. We focus on bounding  $P(A_n > \mu + \epsilon)$ ; the bound for  $P(A_n < \mu - \epsilon)$  follows similarly by symmetry.

- Let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)$ . Then  $A_n > \mu + \epsilon$  is equivalent to  $Y > n\epsilon$ .
- Applying the Chernoff bound:

$$P(Y > n\epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]}{e^{tn\epsilon}}.$$

- Since  $Y$  is a sum of i.i.d. random variables with zero mean, the moment generating function (MGF)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tY}] = \left( \mathbb{E}[e^{t(X_1 - \mu)}] \right)^n.$$

- Expanding the MGF for small  $t$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{t(X_i - \mu)} \right] \approx 1 + \frac{t^2 \sigma^2}{2},$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of  $X_i$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{tY}] \approx \exp \left( \frac{nt^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right).$$

- The Chernoff bound becomes:

$$P(Y > n\epsilon) \leq \exp \left( \frac{nt^2 \sigma^2}{2} - tn\epsilon \right).$$

- Optimizing the bound by choosing  $t = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma^2}$ , we obtain:

$$P(Y > n\epsilon) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{n\epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \right).$$

- Thus, the probability that the sample average deviates from the mean by more than  $\epsilon$  is:

$$P(|A_n - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{n\epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \right).$$

- As  $n$  increases, this probability approaches zero, thereby proving the Weak Law of Large Numbers.

## 4 A Pretty “Normal” Mixture

### Task A

We want to show that the algorithm samples from the same distribution as the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM).

- **Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM):**

The GMM is defined as a mixture of  $K$  Gaussian distributions. The probability density function (PDF) of the GMM variable  $X$  is given by:

$$f_X(u) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i f_{X_i}(u)$$

where:

- $p_i$  is the probability of choosing the  $i$ th Gaussian component,
- $f_{X_i}(u)$  is the PDF of the  $i$ th Gaussian  $X_i$ , which is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ .

- **The Sampling Algorithm:**

The algorithm samples from the GMM as follows:

1. **Choose a Component:** Select index  $i$  with probability  $p_i$ .
2. **Sample from the Chosen Component:** Sample a value  $u$  from the Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ .

- **PDF of the Sampled Variable:**

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the random variable representing the output of the algorithm. The PDF of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $u$  can be calculated by considering the two steps of the algorithm:

1. The probability of choosing the  $i$ th component is  $p_i$ .
2. The probability of sampling the value  $u$  from the chosen Gaussian is  $f_{X_i}(u)$ .

Thus, the PDF of  $\mathcal{A}$  is:

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i f_{X_i}(u)$$

- **Conclusion:**

We observe that the PDF  $f_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$  is the same as the PDF  $f_X(u)$  of the GMM:

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = f_X(u)$$

Therefore, the algorithm correctly samples from the GMM distribution.

## Task B

- **Expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ :**

The expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  of the GMM can be computed as the weighted sum of the expectations of the individual Gaussian components:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i$$

- **Variance  $\text{Var}(X)$ :**

The variance  $\text{Var}(X)$  of the GMM can be computed using the law of total variance:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X | I)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X | I])$$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned} - \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X | I)] &= \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \sigma_i^2 \\ - \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X | I]) &= \sum_{i=1}^K p_i (\mu_i - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the total variance is:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \sigma_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^K p_i (\mu_i - \mathbb{E}[X])^2$$

- **Moment Generating Function  $M_X(t)$ :**

The moment generating function (MGF)  $M_X(t)$  of the GMM is given by:

$$M_X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \exp\left(t\mu_i + \frac{1}{2}t^2\sigma_i^2\right)$$



## Task C

Let  $Z$  be a random variable defined as a weighted sum of  $K$  independent Gaussian random variables:

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i X_i,$$

where each  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ . Let's find the properties of  $Z$ .

### 1. Expected Value $\mathbb{E}[Z]$ :

The expected value of  $Z$  is the weighted sum of the means of the  $X_i$ 's:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i.$$

### 2. Variance $\text{Var}(Z)$ :

The variance of  $Z$  is the sum of the variances of the  $X_i$ 's, each weighted by  $p_i^2$ :

$$\text{Var}(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i^2 \text{Var}(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i^2 \sigma_i^2.$$

### 3. Probability Density Function (PDF) $f_Z(u)$ :

Since  $Z$  is a weighted sum of independent Gaussians, it is itself Gaussian. Its PDF is:

$$f_Z(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sum_{i=1}^K p_i^2 \sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u - \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i)^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^K p_i^2 \sigma_i^2}\right).$$

### 4. Moment Generating Function (MGF) $M_Z(t)$ :

The MGF of  $Z$ , which is also Gaussian, is:

$$M_Z(t) = \exp\left(t \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i + \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^K p_i^2 \sigma_i^2\right).$$

### 5. Comparison:

Comparing  $Z$  with  $X$  from Task B:

- Both  $X$  and  $Z$  have the same expected value:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i.$$

- The variance of  $X$  is generally not the same as  $\text{Var}(Z)$ . The variance of  $X$  is:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i (\sigma_i^2 + \mu_i^2) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i\right)^2.$$

Thus,  $X$  (a GMM) and  $Z$  (a weighted sum of Gaussians) do not have the same variance and hence do not have the same distribution properties.

### 6. Distribution of $Z$ :

$Z$  is a Gaussian random variable. Specifically:

$$Z \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^K p_i \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^K p_i^2 \sigma_i^2\right).$$